

# Volume and topology II (Paradoxical Decompositions)

Marc Culler

June 8, 2009

**Theorem (W. Thurston).** *Suppose  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are orientable hyperbolic 3-manifolds and  $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  has non-zero degree  $d$ . If  $\text{vol } M_1 = |d| \text{vol } M_2$  then  $f$  is homotopic to a covering map of degree  $d$ .*

This involves extending the argument to the situation where  $\tilde{f}_\infty$  is only a measurable function.

Thurston also defined a relative Gromov norm, which he used to show:

**Theorem (W. Thurston).** *If  $M_1$  is a non-compact orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume, and  $M_2$  is obtained by Dehn-filling at least one cusp of  $M_1$  then  $\text{vol } M_1 > \text{vol } M_2$ .*

Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a discrete subgroup of  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{H}^3$  set  $\Gamma_x(\epsilon) = \{\gamma \in \text{Isom}_+ \mathbb{H}^3 \mid \text{dist}(x, \gamma \cdot x) < \epsilon\}$

**Lemma (Special case of Margulis' lemma).** *There exists a constant  $\epsilon_0$  with the following property:*

- *If  $\Gamma < \text{Isom}_+^+ \mathbb{H}^3$  is a discrete group and  $x \in \mathbb{H}^3$  then  $\langle \Gamma_x(\epsilon_0) \rangle$  is virtually nilpotent.*

If  $\Gamma$  is torsion-free, i.e. if  $\mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$  is a manifold, the discrete, torsion-free, virtually nilpotent subgroups of  $\Gamma$  are actually abelian. There are three types:

- Cyclic groups generated by a loxodromic isometry;
- Cyclic groups generated by a parabolic isometry;
- Rank 2 free abelian groups generated by two parabolics.

The middle case can not arise if  $\mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$  has finite volume.

**Definition.** The  $\epsilon$ -thin part  $M_{(0,\epsilon]}$  of an orientable hyperbolic manifold  $M$  is the set of points  $p \in M$  such that there is a geodesic loop of length  $\leq \epsilon$  based at  $p$ . The  $\epsilon$ -thick part is  $M_{(\epsilon,\infty)} = M - M_{(0,\epsilon]}$

Suppose  $x \in M_{(0,\epsilon]}$ , and let  $\tilde{x}$  be a lift of  $x$  to  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . Then there exists  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\text{dist}(\tilde{x}, \gamma \cdot \tilde{x}) \leq \epsilon$ .

For  $G \subset \text{Isom}_+ \mathbb{H}^3$ , define

$$C_\epsilon(G) = \{x \in \mathbb{H}^3 : \text{dist}(\tilde{x}, g \cdot \tilde{x}) \leq \epsilon \text{ for some } g \in G\}.$$

If  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}$  is generated by a loxodromic isometry, then  $C_\epsilon(G)$  is a banana (or empty). In this case  $C_\epsilon(G)/G$  is a geometric tubular neighborhood of a geodesic.

If  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$  is generated by parabolic isometries, then  $C_\epsilon(G)$  is a horoball and  $C_\epsilon(G)/G$  is a cusp neighborhood.

So, if  $M$  has finite volume and  $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$  then  $M_{(0,\epsilon]}$  is a union of cusp neighborhoods and tubes around short geodesics.

**Theorem (Jørgensen).** *For each  $C > 0$  there exists a finite set  $\{M_1, \dots, M_k\}$  of finite-volume orientable hyperbolic 3-manifolds such that every orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold  $M$  with  $\text{vol } M < C$  is constructed by Dehn-filling some cusps of one of the  $M_i$ .*

The idea is that there are only finitely many possible homeomorphism types for  $M_{(\mu, \infty)}$  when  $\text{vol } M < C$ . If  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are points of  $M_{(\mu, \infty)}$  with  $\text{dist}(x_i, x_j) > \mu$  then the balls  $B(x_i, \mu/2)$  are pairwise disjoint, so  $n < C/v$  where  $v = \text{vol } B(x_i, \mu/2)$ . If  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  is maximal then every point of  $M_{(\mu, \infty)}$  is within distance  $2\mu$  of some  $x_i$ . Thus there is a Delaunay “triangulation” of  $\overline{M}_{(\mu, \infty)}$  with a bounded number of cells. (Lifted to  $\mathbb{H}^3$ , the 3-cells are convex hulls of sets of  $\geq 4$  points that lie on a sphere containing no lifts of  $x_i$  in its interior.)

The proof of Thurston's hyperbolic Dehn-filling theorem implies:

Let  $M$  be an orientable finite-volume hyperbolic 3-manifold. Fix a set of cusps of  $M$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , all but finitely many manifolds  $M'$  obtained by Dehn-filling these cusps have  $|\text{vol } M - \text{vol } M'| < \epsilon$ .

**Theorem.** *The set of volumes of orientable hyperbolic 3-manifolds forms a well-ordered subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and there are only finitely many distinct manifolds with each volume.*

Suppose  $\text{vol } M_1 > \text{vol } M_2 > \dots$ . By passing to a subsequence we may assume each  $M_n$  is constructed by Dehn-filling of a given set of cusps of a manifold  $M$ . By Gromov's Theorem we have  $\text{vol } M > \text{vol } M_n$  for all  $n$ . Thus  $|\text{vol } M - \text{vol } M_n| > |\text{vol } M - \text{vol } M_1|$  for all  $n > 1$ . Contradiction.

## Who's first?

---

In view of Jørgensen's theorem:

*Given a topological property  $P$  of finite volume hyperbolic 3-manifolds, we can ask "what is the first volume of a manifold with property  $P$ ?"*

Cao and Meyerhoff answered this for  $P = "M$  is non-compact and orientable". They also found #2.

More recently Gabai, Meyerhoff and Milley extended this list up to #10. The list consists of the first 10 manifolds in the cusped census provided with Jeff Weeks' SnapPea program.

They also answered this question for  $P = "M$  is closed and orientable." The answer is: Week's manifold,  $m003(-3,1)$ , which has volume 0.942707362...

## Margulis numbers

---

**Definition.** Let  $M$  be a finite-volume hyperbolic 3-manifold. Say that  $\epsilon$  is a *Margulis number* for  $M$  if  $M_{(0,\epsilon]}$  is a disjoint union of tubes and cusp neighborhoods.

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is some family of finite-volume hyperbolic 3-manifolds we define the *Margulis constant* of  $\mathcal{F}$  to be

$$\mu(\mathcal{F}) = \sup\{\epsilon : \epsilon \text{ is a Margulis number for all } M \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Observe that  $\mu(M) \doteq \mu(\{M\})$  is a topological invariant of  $M$ , by Mostow rigidity.

The Margulis constant for the class of all closed orientable hyperbolic manifolds is unknown. The best known lower bound (0.104) is due to Meyerhoff. It appears that  $\mu(m003(-3, 1))$  is about 0.774.

Shalen has recently shown that 0.3925 is a Margulis number for  $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$  if the trace field is quadratic,  $\Gamma$  has integral traces, and there is no torsion of order 2, 3 or 7 in  $H_1(M)$ .



## Two classes of hyperbolic manifolds

---

The following purely topological result applies to all closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds.

**Theorem (Jaco-Shalen).** *Suppose that  $M$  is a closed irreducible 3-manifold such that  $\pi_1(M)$  does not have a subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . Then every 2-generator subgroup which has infinite index in  $\pi_1(M)$  is free.*

The proof uses the Compact Core theorem and Stallings' five term exact sequence. The theorem implies that all closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds fall into two classes:

- Manifolds which have a finite cover with a 2-generator fundamental group;
- Manifolds  $M$  such that  $\pi_1(M)$  is 2-free, meaning that every 2-generator subgroup of  $\pi_1(M)$  is a free group.

We will see that  $\log 3$  is a lower bound for the Margulis constant of the second class of manifolds. It may be feasible to classify hyperbolic manifolds with 2-generator fundamental group.

## The log 3 Theorem and extensions

---

**Theorem (C - Shalen).** *Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a discrete subgroup of  $\text{Isom}_+\mathbb{H}^3$  which has no parabolic elements and is freely generated by  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ . If  $p \in \mathbb{H}^3$  then*

$$\max\{\text{dist}(p, \gamma_1 \cdot p), \text{dist}(p, \gamma_2 \cdot p)\} > \log 3.$$

**Theorem (Andersen, C, Canary, Shalen).** *Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a discrete subgroup of  $\text{Isom}_+\mathbb{H}^3$  which has no parabolic elements and is freely generated by  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ . Let  $p \in \mathbb{H}^3$  and set  $d_i = \text{dist}(p, \gamma_i \cdot p)$ . Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + e^{d_i}} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

These are much stronger than the versions proved in our papers, due to the proof of Marden's Tameness Conjecture by Agol and Calegari-Gabai.

The assumption of no parabolics can be dropped by applying Ohshika's proof of the general version of Bers Density Conjecture.

## Paradoxical decompositions

---

The free group  $F_2$  on the letters  $x, y$  has a “paradoxical decomposition”:

$$F_2 = \{1\} \cup X \cup Y \cup \bar{X} \cup \bar{Y},$$

where  $(X, Y, \bar{X}, \bar{Y})$  is the set of words that start with  $(x, y, x^{-1}, y^{-1})$ . The “paradoxical” aspect (that leads to the Banach-Tarski paradox) is that left multiplication by  $x$  maps  $\bar{X}$  onto  $\{1\} \cup \bar{X} \cup Y \cup \bar{Y}$ .

Think of  $F_2$  as acting on a 4-valent tree  $T$ . Then both  $T$  and its Cantor set of ends  $T_\infty$ , inherit decompositions with the same paradoxical property.

When  $\Gamma = \langle x, y \rangle$  is a free group of isometries of  $\mathbb{H}^3$  the analogue of  $T_\infty$  is the *limit set*  $\Lambda_\Gamma = \overline{\Gamma \cdot z} - \Gamma \subset S_\infty^2$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{H}^3$ . (This does not depend on  $z$ .)

We will construct a paradoxical decomposition of  $\Lambda_\Gamma$  (using measures, instead of subsets).

## Conformal densities

---

Our construction of measures does depend on a choice of point  $z \in \mathbb{H}^3$ , but in a very controlled way. Really, it gives a *conformal density of dimension  $D$* .

**Example:** For each point  $z \in \mathbb{H}^3$ , let  $\nu_z$  be the “visual measure” on  $S_\infty^2$ . Given a Borel set  $X \subset S_\infty^2$ ,  $\nu_z(X)$  is the measure of the solid angle subtended by  $X$  at the point  $z$ .

The relationship between  $\nu_z$  and  $\nu_{z'}$  is:

$$d\nu_{z'} = P_{z,z'}^2 d\nu_z \quad \left( \text{i.e. } \int f \nu_{z'} = \int f P_{z,z'} \nu_z \right),$$

where  $P_{z,z'}$  is a certain real-valued function on  $S_\infty^2$ :

In the upper half space model, if  $z$  and  $z'$  are on the  $t$ -axis at heights 1 and  $t_0$  then  $P_{z,z'}(\infty) = 1/t_0$ .

The family  $\nu_z$  is a 2-dimensional conformal density. If  $d\mu_{z'} = P_{z,z'}^D d\mu_z$ , the family  $\mu_z$  is  $D$ -dimensional.

Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a discrete free group generated by two isometries  $x$  and  $y$ . Take a point  $p$  in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  and consider its orbit  $\Gamma \cdot p$ .

For any point  $z \in \mathbb{H}^3$  and  $s > 0$  we have a Poincaré series:

$$\Sigma(z, s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s \operatorname{dist}(z, \gamma \cdot p)}.$$

If  $s$  is larger than the exponential growth rate of  $r \rightarrow |B(z, r) \cap \Gamma \cdot p|$ , this series will converge, and if  $s$  is smaller than the growth rate the series will diverge.

So there is a *critical exponent*  $D$  such that  $\Sigma(z, s)$  diverges if  $s > D$  and converges if  $s < D$ . (The value of  $D$  does not depend on  $z$ .)

When  $s = D$  the series may or may not converge. To avoid discussing “Patterson’s trick” we will assume it diverges.

## Patterson's construction

---

Fix  $p \in \mathbb{H}^3$  and consider the orbit  $\Gamma \cdot p$ . Choose a decreasing sequence  $(s_n)$  converging to the critical exponent  $D$  of  $\Sigma(z, s)$ .

For each  $z \in \mathbb{H}^3$  define

$$\mu_{z,n} = \frac{1}{\Sigma(z, s_n)} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s_n \text{dist}(z, \gamma \cdot p)} \delta_{\gamma \cdot p}.$$

After passing to a subsequence we may assume that these measures converge weakly to  $\mu_z$ . Note that the support of  $\mu_z$  is contained in  $S_\infty^2$  and  $\mu_z$  has total mass 1. It also follows formally that:

- for any other point  $z'$   $\mu_{z',n}$  converges (to  $\mu_{z'}$ ).
- $\mu_z = \mu_{X,z} + \mu_{\bar{X},z} + \mu_{Y,z} + \mu_{\bar{Y},z}$ , where  $\mu_{X,z}$  is constructed by summing over  $X \cdot z$  and taking the limit.
- $\mu_z, \mu_{X,z}, \dots$  are  $D$ -dimensional conformal densities ( $D \leq 2$ ).
- $\mu_{\bar{X},x(z)} = \mu_{\bar{X},z} + \mu_{Y,z} + \mu_{\bar{Y},z} = \mu_z - \mu_{X,z}$ , etc.

Note that we also have  $d\mu_{\bar{X},x(z)} = P_{z,x(z)} d\mu_{\bar{X},z}$ .

We need to work in the space of purely loxodromic discrete free groups generated by  $x$  and  $y$ . We can now use several big (new) theorems about this space.

- $AH(F_2) = \text{Hom}(F_2, PSL_2(\mathbb{C}))/\sim$ . Concretely,  $AH(F_2)$  has 3 complex parameters.
- $\mathcal{D} \subset AH(F_2)$  is the set of conjugacy classes of discrete faithful reps. Chuckrow showed that  $\mathcal{D}$  is closed.
- $\mathcal{GF} \subset \mathcal{D}$  is the set of *geometrically finite* (or Schottky) groups – those with finite-sided fundamental domains. Marden showed that  $\mathcal{GF}$  is open.
- $\overline{\mathcal{GF}} = \mathcal{D}$  by Bers' Density Conjecture (Bromberg, Ohshika).
- Purely loxodromic groups are dense in  $\mathcal{B} = \overline{\mathcal{GF}} - \mathcal{GF}$  (Bers).
- Canary, extending Bonahon, showed that if  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}$  is topologically tame then any positive  $\Gamma$ -invariant function  $f$  with  $\Delta(f) \leq 0$  is constant.
- By Marden's Tameness Conjecture (Agol, Calegari-Gabai), all groups in  $\mathcal{D}$  are topologically tame.

## Minimizing

---

We are minimizing  $\max(\text{dist}(z, x(z)), \text{dist}(z, y(z)))$  over  $\mathcal{D}$ . The minimum cannot occur in the open set  $\mathcal{GF}$  because we can always perturb a group in  $\mathcal{GF}$  to reduce the displacements. So we only have to consider purely loxodromic, geometrically infinite, topologically tame groups in  $\mathcal{B}$ . (These have  $\Lambda_\Gamma = S_\infty^2$ .)

For any  $D$ -dimensional conformal density  $\mu_z$ , and  $z_0 \in \mathbb{H}^3$  then the function  $u(z) = \int P_{z_0, z} \mu_z$  satisfies  $\Delta(u) = -D(n - D - 1)u$ . Since  $u$  must be constant in our case we have  $D = 2$ . This implies that  $\mu_z = \nu_z$ , the visual density.

By symmetrizing, we may assume  $\mu_{X, z}(S_\infty^2) = \mu_{\bar{X}, z}(S_\infty^2) \leq 1/4$ . Recall that  $\mu_{\bar{X}, x(z)} = \mu_{\bar{X}, z} + \mu_{Y, z} + \mu_{\bar{Y}, z} = \mu_z - \mu_{X, z}$ , and  $d\mu_{\bar{X}, x(z)} = P_{z, x(z)} d\mu_{\bar{X}, z}$

Thus for some function  $f$  we have  $\int f \mu_{\bar{X}, x(z)} \leq 1/4$  but  $\int f P_{z, x(z)} \mu_{\bar{X}, x(z)} \geq 3/4$ . A worst case analysis shows this can only happen if  $\text{dist}(z, x(z)) \geq \log 3$ .