

MATH G9905 RESEARCH SEMINAR IN NUMBER THEORY  
(FALL 2014)

LECTURE 5 (OCTOBER 7, 2014)  
XIAOQING LI

LOWER BOUNDS FOR RANKIN–SELBERG TYPE INTEGRALS

NOTES TAKEN BY PAK-HIN LEE

ABSTRACT. In this talk, we will derive an almost sharp lower bound for a Rankin–Selberg type integral involving truncated Eisenstein series on  $\mathrm{GL}(2n)$ . Some orthogonality relations in the Fourier expansion of automorphic functions on  $\mathrm{GL}(n)$  will be discovered and results from sieve methods will be applied. This is a joint work with Goldfeld.

1. THE MODIFIED TRUNCATED EISENSTEIN SERIES

We will start by explaining the relationship between Arthur’s truncated Eisenstein series  $\hat{E}_A$  and the modified truncated Eisenstein series  $\hat{E}_A^*$ .

Let  $f$  be a Maass form for  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ , and

$$\phi(nmk) := |\det m_1|^{ns} |\det m_2|^{-ns} f(m_1) f(m_2).$$

Here  $nmk$  is the Iwasawa decomposition:  $n$  is in the unipotent radical of  $P_{n,n}$ ,  $m = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & \\ & m_2 \end{pmatrix}$  is in the Levi, and  $k \in \mathrm{O}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ . The cuspidal Eisenstein series is

$$E(z, s) := \sum_{\gamma \in P_{n,n} \backslash \Gamma} \phi(\gamma z).$$

Arthur’s truncated Eisenstein series is

$$\hat{E}_A(z, s) = E(z, s) - \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in P_{n,n} \backslash \mathrm{SL}_{2n} \\ h(\gamma \cdot z) \geq A}} c_P E|_{\gamma}$$

where  $h(z) = \left| \frac{\det m_1}{\det m_2} \right|$  is the height function and  $c_P E$  is the constant term of  $E$  along  $P$ .

We don’t know how to compute the Fourier series of Arthur’s truncation, so we introduce a modified version.

**Definition 1.**

$$\hat{E}_A^*(z, s) := E(z, s) - A^{\frac{n}{2}} E \left( z, s - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{\Lambda(2ns - 2n, f \times f)}{\Lambda(1 + 2ns - 2n, f \times f)} E(z, 2 - s)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in P_{n,n} \setminus \mathrm{SL}_{2n} \\ h(\gamma \cdot z) \geq A}} h(z)^{ns} \left(1 - \frac{A^{\frac{n}{2}}}{h(z)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\right) f(m_1) f(m_2) \Big|_{\gamma} \\
& - \frac{\Lambda(2ns - 2n, f \times f)}{\Lambda(1 + 2ns - 2n, f \times f)} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in P_{n,n} \setminus \mathrm{SL}_{2n} \\ h(\gamma \cdot z) \geq A}} h(z)^{n(2-s)} \left(1 - \frac{A^{\frac{n}{2}}}{h(z)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\right) f(m_1) f(m_2) \Big|_{\gamma}.
\end{aligned}$$

We can compute the Fourier expansion of  $\hat{E}_A^*$  because

$$\int_{(2)} \frac{x^{-w} dw}{w(w+1)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1-x} & \text{if } x > 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

See Lemma 4 below.

**Proposition 2.**  $h(\gamma \cdot z) \leq h(z)$  for  $y_i \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2n - 1$  and  $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\nu = (0, \dots, 0, \frac{1}{n}, 0, \dots, 0)$  be the  $(2n - 1)$ -tuple with  $\frac{1}{n}$  at the  $n$ -th place. Then

$$I_{\nu}(z) := \prod_{i=1}^{2n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{2n-1} y_i^{b_{i,j} \nu_j} = h(z).$$

Here

$$b_{i,j} = \begin{cases} ij & \text{if } i + j \leq 2n, \\ (2n - i)(2n - j) & \text{if } i + j \geq 2n. \end{cases}$$

We have

$$h(\gamma \cdot z) = I_{\nu}(\gamma \cdot z) = \|e_{n+1} \gamma \cdot z \wedge \dots \wedge e_{2n-1} \gamma \cdot z \wedge e_{2n} \gamma \cdot z\|^{-2} \|e_{n+1} z \wedge \dots \wedge e_{2n-1} z \wedge e_{2n} z\|^2 h(z).$$

As we mentioned last time,  $\|e_{n+1} M \wedge \dots \wedge e_{2n} M\|^2$  is the sum of squares of all the  $n \times n$  minors of the last  $n$  rows of  $M$ . Using the Cauchy–Binet formula, we can see that this is  $\leq h(z)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** For  $A \asymp t^{n^{10}}$ ,  $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \leq y_i \leq (t^{1+\epsilon})^{n(2n-1)}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2n - 1$ , we have

$$\hat{E}_A^*(z, s) = \hat{E}_A(z, s) - A^{\frac{n}{2}} \hat{E}_A\left(z, s - \frac{1}{2}\right) + c_{s-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{E}_A(z, 2 - s).$$

In other words, if we choose  $A$  to be very big, the truncated terms in the modified truncated Eisenstein series are all 0.

**Lemma 4.**

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{E}_A^*(z, s) &= E(z, s) - A^{\frac{n}{2}} E\left(z, s - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{\Lambda(2ns - 2n, f \times f)}{\Lambda(1 + 2ns - 2n, f \times f)} E(z, 2 - s) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \frac{A^{-\frac{n}{2}w}}{w(w+1)} E\left(z, s + \frac{w}{2}\right) dw \\
&\quad - \frac{\Lambda(2ns - 2n, f \times f)}{\Lambda(1 + 2ns - 2n, f \times f)} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \frac{A^{-\frac{n}{2}w}}{w(w+1)} E\left(z, 2 - s + \frac{w}{2}\right) dw.
\end{aligned}$$

## 2. ORTHOGONALITY OF FOURIER COEFFICIENTS

Recall the integral

$$I = |L(1 + 2int, f \times f)|^2 \cdot \int_{P_{2n-1,1}(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \eta^{2n}} \left| \int_0^\infty \hat{E}_A^*(z, 1 + it) g\left(\frac{A}{\beta}\right) \frac{dA}{A} \right|^2 \psi\left(\frac{\det z}{\delta}\right) d^\times z.$$

Here  $\eta^{2n} = \mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{R}) / \mathrm{O}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \mathbb{R}^\times$ ,  $g, \psi \in \mathbb{C}_c^\infty([1, 2])$ ,  $\beta = t^{n^{10}}$  and  $\delta = \beta^{-1}(\log \log t)^{n^2}$ .

Last time we derived an almost sharp upper bound

$$I \ll \beta^n \delta^{-1} \log^2 t |L(1 + it, f \times f)|$$

using the Fourier expansion of  $\hat{E}_A^*$  and the Maass–Selberg relations. Today we will prove a sharp lower bound, which will only use the Fourier expansion.

**Proposition 5.**  $I \gg \frac{\beta^n \delta^{-1}}{\log t}$ .

Recall the Fourier expansion of a general automorphic function on  $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ .

**Lemma 6.** *Suppose  $F$  is an automorphic function for  $\mathrm{SL}(k, \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $k \geq 4$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 F \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & u_{1k} \\ & 1 & \cdots & 0 & u_{2k} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & 1 & u_{k-1,k} \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} z \right) d^\times u \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k-2} \sum_{m_{k-1} \neq 0} \cdots \sum_{m_{k-l} \neq 0} \sum_{\gamma \in \tilde{P}_{k-1,l} \setminus \mathrm{SL}_{k-1}} \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \\ &F \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & u_{1,k-1} & u_{1k} \\ & 1 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & u_{2,k-1} & u_{2k} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & 1 & u_{k-1,k} \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{k-1} & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} z \right) \\ &\quad \cdot e(-m_{k-1}u_{k-1,k} - \cdots - m_{k-l}u_{k-l,k-l+1}) d^\times u \\ &+ \sum_{m_{k-1} \neq 0} \cdots \sum_{m_1 \neq 0} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{U}_{k-1} \setminus \mathrm{SL}_{k-1}} \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \\ &F \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_{12} & \cdots & u_{1k} \\ & 1 & \cdots & u_{2k} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} z \right) \\ &\quad \cdot e(-m_{k-1}u_{k-1,k} - \cdots - m_1u_{12}) d^\times u. \end{aligned}$$

The last term is called the nondegenerate term  $\mathrm{ND}(F)$ . The other terms are the degenerate term  $\mathrm{D}(F)$ . We need the orthogonality of these Fourier coefficients.

**Proposition 7.**

$$\int_{P_{k-1,1} \setminus \eta^k} \overline{D}(F) \cdot \text{ND}(F) d^\times z = 0$$

*Proof.* Since

$$\bigcup_{\gamma \in \text{U}_{k-1} \setminus \text{SL}_{k-1}} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot P_{k-1,1} \setminus \eta^k \cong \text{U}_k(z) \setminus \eta^k,$$

the integral unfolds as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{P_{k-1,1} \setminus \eta^k} \overline{D}(F) \cdot \text{ND}(F) d^\times z \\ &= \sum_{m_1 \neq 0} \cdots \sum_{m_{k-1} \neq 0} \int_{\text{U}_k(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \eta^k} \overline{D}(F)(z) \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \\ & \quad F \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_{12} & \cdots & u_{1k} \\ & 1 & \cdots & u_{2k} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} z \right) e(-m_1 u_{12} - \cdots - m_{k-1} u_{k-1,k}) d^\times u d^\times z \\ &= \sum_{m_1} \cdots \sum_{m_{k-1}} \int_{y_1=0}^\infty \cdots \int_{y_{2n-1}=0}^\infty \int_{x_{12}=0}^1 \cdots \int_{x_{k-1,k}=0}^1 \overline{D}(F)(z) e(m_1 x_{12} + \cdots + m_{k-1} x_{k-1,k}) d^\times x \\ & \quad \cdot \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 F \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_{12} & \cdots & u_{1k} \\ & 1 & \cdots & u_{2k} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} y \right) e(-m_1 u_{12} - \cdots - m_{k-1} u_{k-1,k}) d^\times u d^\times y. \end{aligned}$$

The first integral

$$\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \overline{D}(F)(z) e(m_1 x_{12} + \cdots + m_{k-1} x_{k-1,k}) d^\times x$$

can be shown to be 0 by induction. □

Applying this to the automorphic function

$$\int_0^\infty g \left( \frac{A}{\beta} \right) \hat{E}_A^*(z, s) \frac{dA}{A},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} I \gg & |L(1 + 2nit, f \times f)|^2 \int_{y_1=0}^\infty \cdots \int_{y_{2n-1}=0}^\infty \sum_{N \leq p \leq 2N} \\ & \left| \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty \hat{E}_A^* \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & u_{1,2n} \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} y, 1 + it \right) g \left( \frac{A}{\beta} \right) \frac{dA}{A} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \cdot e(-pu_{2n-1,2n} - u_{2n-2,2n-1} - \cdots - u_{12}) d^\times u \right|^2 \psi \left( \frac{\det z}{\delta} \right) d^\times y. \end{aligned}$$

The  $u$ -integral picks up the nondegenerate  $(1, \dots, 1, p)$  coefficients of  $\hat{E}_A^*$ , which are related to Jacquet's Whittaker function, so we need Stade's formula.

**Lemma 8** (Stade).

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty |W(y, \beta)|^2 |\det y|^w d^\times y \\ &= \frac{\pi^{aw} 2^b}{\Gamma\left(\frac{mw}{2}\right)} \left| \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \prod_{j \leq k \leq m-1} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(\beta_{m-k} - \beta_{m-k+j})} \Gamma\left(\frac{1 + \beta_{m-k} - \beta_{m-k+j}}{2}\right) \right|^{-2} \\ & \cdot \prod_{j=1}^m \prod_{k=1}^m \Gamma\left(\frac{w + \beta_j - \beta_k}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$  are the Langlands parameters of  $W(y, \beta)$ .

**Fact 9.** The  $(1, \dots, 1, p)$  Fourier coefficient of  $E(z, \frac{1}{2} + it)$  is

$$\frac{\lambda(p) \sigma_{nit}(p)}{p^{\frac{2n-1}{2}}} W(my, \alpha') \frac{1}{L(1 + 2int, f \times f)}$$

where  $\sigma_s(n) := \sum_{d|n} d^s$  and  $\lambda(p)$  is the  $p$ -th Hecke eigenvalue of  $f$ .

Now we want to get a lower bound for

$$\sum_{N \leq p \leq 2N} |\lambda(p) \sigma_{nit}(p)|^2.$$

### 3. LOWER BOUNDS FOR HECKE EIGENVALUES

**Lemma 10.** For full density of primes  $N \leq p \leq 2N$ ,

$$\sigma_{nit}(p) \gg \frac{1}{\log \log t}.$$

Here  $N \geq t^2$ .

In his GL(2) paper, Sarnak used  $\sigma_{nit}(p) \gg 1$  for a positive proportion of primes.

*Proof.* We have

$$|\sigma_{nit}(p)| = |p^{2int} + 1| \geq |\cos 2nt \log p + 1|.$$

If  $2nt \log p$  is close to  $(2m + 1)\pi$ , then the prime is bad. The condition

$$|2nt \log p - (2m + 1)\pi| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \log t}}$$

holds for  $p$  in short intervals only. Counting primes in short intervals by sieve theory, we see that the bad primes only contribute to lower order terms.  $\square$

What about  $\lambda(p)$ ? If it gets too small, we have no hope.

**Lemma 11.** For tempered Hecke–Maass  $f$  for  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , there exist at least  $\frac{1}{100n^2} \frac{N}{\log N}$  primes in  $[N, 2N]$  such that the  $p$ -th Hecke eigenvalue satisfies

$$|\lambda(p)| \gg \frac{1}{\log \log t}.$$

This is the only place where we need  $f$  to be tempered, i.e. to satisfy the Ramanujan conjecture.

*Proof.* By Liu–Wang–Ye,

$$\sum_{N \leq n \leq 2N} \Lambda(n) |\lambda(n)|^2 \sim N$$

where  $\Lambda(n) = \begin{cases} \log p & \text{if } n = p^k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$  Here  $|\lambda(p)| \leq n$  by the Ramanujan conjecture.

Suppose the conclusion is wrong. Then we split the sum into

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\text{good } p} \Lambda(p) |\lambda(p)|^2 + \sum_{\text{bad } p} \Lambda(p) |\lambda(p)|^2 \\ & \leq n^2 \frac{N}{50n^2 \log N} + \sum_{N \leq p \leq 2N} \Lambda(p) \frac{1}{\log^2 \log t} \\ & \leq \frac{N}{50 \log N} + \frac{N}{\log^2 \log t} \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. □

Hence

$$\sum_{N \leq p \leq 2N} |\lambda(p) \sigma_{nit}(p)|^2 \gg_f \frac{N}{\log N}.$$

**Corollary 12.**  $I \gg \frac{\beta^n \delta^{-1}}{\log t}$ .

The  $p$ -th Hecke eigenvalue of  $E$  is  $\lambda(p) \sigma_{nit}(p)$ . Recall that

$$\phi(z) := h(z)^{ns} f(m_1) f(m_2)$$

and

$$E(z, s) = \sum \phi(z)|_\gamma.$$

For the Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -function  $L(s, f \times f)$ , the eigenvalue is  $\lambda(p)p^s + \overline{\lambda(p)}p^{-s}$ , which we can bound by taking out  $\lambda(p)$ . For  $L(s, f_1 \times f_2)$  with two different  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , the upper bound still works but we haven't found a trick to obtain a lower bound yet.

#### 4. REFERENCE

Some background materials on the Maass–Selberg relations are available on Paul Garrett's website, in the following two papers:

- *Simplest Example of Truncation and Maass–Selberg Relations*<sup>1</sup>,
- *Truncation and Maass–Selberg Relations*<sup>2</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup>Available at [http://www-users.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/v/maass\\_selberg\\_trivial.pdf](http://www-users.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/v/maass_selberg_trivial.pdf).

<sup>2</sup>Available at [http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/v/maass\\_selberg.pdf](http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/v/maass_selberg.pdf).