# Lie Groups: Fall, 2024 Lecture X: The Affine Weyl Chambers and the Fundamental Group

#### December 14, 2024

We keep our running notation: G is a compact connected Lie group, T is a maximal torus of G;  $\mathfrak{t}$  is its Lie algebra, W is the Weyl group with its natural action on T and  $\mathfrak{t}$ . There is a positive definite, symmetric inner product on  $\mathfrak{t}$  leading to an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{t}^* \cong \mathfrak{t}$  and for each root  $\alpha$ , we have an element  $x_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{t}$ , the element corresponding identified with  $\alpha$  under this isomorphism. That is to say,  $x_{\alpha} \in \ker(\alpha)^{\perp}$  and  $\alpha(x_{\alpha}) = \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$ . The lattice  $\Lambda \subset \mathfrak{t}$  is the fundamental lattice, meaning that  $T = \mathfrak{t}/\Lambda$ ; the lattice  $\Lambda_0$  is the translation lattice of the affine Weyl group  $W_{\mathrm{aff}}$ , generated by the  $\lambda_{\alpha}$ ; and  $\Lambda_B^*$  is the dual to the root lattice. We have  $\Lambda_0 \subset \Lambda \subset \Lambda_B^*$ .

The main result in this section is the following:

**Theorem 0.1.** Let G be a compact Lie group. Then  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda_0$  are, as before, the fundamental lattice of G and the translation lattice for the affine Weyl group of G, respectively. Then the map  $T \to G$  induces a surjection  $\Lambda \to \pi_1(G)$  whose kernel is  $\Lambda_0$ . Thus, the inclusion  $T \to G$  induces an isomorphism  $\Lambda/\Lambda_0 \cong \pi_1(G)$ .

# 1 The Regular Elements $G_{\text{reg}} \subset G$

## 1.1 The Definition and Description of $G_{reg}$

**Definition 1.1.** An element  $g \in G$  is said to be *regular* if it is contained in a unique maximal torus. We define by  $G_{\text{reg}} \subset G$  be subspace of regular elements. For T a maximal torus, we denote by  $T_{\text{reg}} \subset T$  is the set of regular element of G contained in T. These are the elements that are contained in no maximal torus except T. We define a map  $\tilde{c}: G \times T \to G$  by  $(g,t) \mapsto gtg^{-1}$ . Since T is abelian,  $\tilde{c}$  induces a map

$$c: G/T \times T \to G$$

sending  $(gT, t) \mapsto gtg^{-1}$ . This map restricts to give a map  $c_{\text{reg}} \colon G/T \times T_{\text{reg}} \to G_{\text{reg}}$ .

**Proposition 1.2.** The map  $c_{\text{reg}}: G/T \times T_{\text{reg}} \to G_{\text{reg}}$  is a local diffeomorphism onto  $G_{\text{reg}}$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that c is a smooth map. Any conjugate of an element of  $T_{\text{reg}}$  is an element of  $G_{\text{rg}}$ , Any element  $g \in G_{\text{reg}}$  is conjugate to an element of  $t' \in T$  and clearly  $t' \in T_{\text{reg}}$ . This shows that the image of  $c_{\text{reg}}$  is  $G_{\text{reg}}$ .

Let us first compute the differential of  $c_{\text{reg}}$  at points of the form  $(eT, t_0)$ . Let g(s) be a smooth path with g(0) = e and let  $t_0 \in T_{\text{reg}}$ . We compute

$$(g(s)t_0(g(s)^{-1})'(0) = g'(0)t_0 - t_0g'(0) = [g'(0) - t_0g'(0)t_0^{-1}] \cdot t_0 = [(1 - \operatorname{ad}(t_0))(g'(0)] \cdot t_0.$$

Since  $t_0$  is a regular element, the kernel of  $1 - \operatorname{ad}(t_0)$  is  $\mathfrak{t}$  and the image of this map is the sum of the root spaces. Thus, the kernel of  $[(1 - \operatorname{ad}(t_0))(g'(0))] \cdot t_0$  is  $\mathfrak{t}$  and its image is  $(\bigoplus_{\alpha_i \in R} V_{\alpha_i}) \cdot t_0$ . This shows that the differential of the map  $G/T \times \{t_0\}$  at  $(eT, t_0)$  is injective with image  $(\bigoplus_{\alpha_i \in R} V_{\alpha_i}) \cdot t_0$ . Clearly, the map  $\{e\} \times T_{\operatorname{reg}} \to G$  given by  $(eT, t) \mapsto t$  has injective differential at  $t_0$  whose image is  $\mathfrak{t} \cdot t_0$ , which is a complementary space to the image of the differential of  $G/T \times \{t_0\}$ . This proves that the differential of map  $G/G \times T_{\operatorname{reg}} \to G_{\operatorname{reg}}$  at  $(eT, t_0)$  is an isomorphism for every  $t_0 \in T_{\operatorname{reg}}$ .

We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/T \times T_{\mathrm{reg}} & \stackrel{c_{\mathrm{reg}}}{\longrightarrow} & G_{\mathrm{reg}} \\ (g \cdot) \times \mathrm{Id} & & & \downarrow c_g \\ G/T \times T_{\mathrm{reg}} & \stackrel{c_{\mathrm{reg}}}{\longrightarrow} & G_{\mathrm{reg}} \end{array}$$

where  $c_g$  is conjugation by g. The vertical maps are diffeomorphisms. It follows that the differential of  $c_{\text{reg}}$  is an isomorphism at every  $(gT, t_0) \in G/T \times T_{\text{reg}}$ .

Hence, the map a local diffeomorphism. In particular,  $G_{\text{reg}}$  is an open subset of G.

This map is not a diffeomorphism. But after dividing out by the natural action of the Weyl group it becomes one.

**Lemma 1.3.** Define an action of the Weyl group W on  $G/T \times T$  by

$$w * (gT, t) = (gw^{-1}T, wtw^{-1})$$

These formulas give a well-defined left action of W stabilizing  $G/T \times T_{reg}$ . The map  $c: G/T \times T \to G$  is Weyl invariant and the quotient map

$$\overline{c}_{\mathrm{reg}} \colon ((G/T) \times T_{\mathrm{reg}})/W \to G_{\mathrm{reg}}$$

is a diffeomorphism

*Proof.* Let  $w \in W$  and let  $\widetilde{w}, \widetilde{w}' \in N(T)$  be two lifts of w. Then  $g\widetilde{w}^{-1}T = g(\widetilde{w}')^{-1}T$  This shows that the formulas lead to a well-defined map. It is clearly a left action of W on  $(G/T) \times T$  that stabilizes  $(G/T) \times T_{\text{reg}}$ .

We claim that the W-action on G/T is a free action. For, if  $g\tilde{w}^{-1}T = gT$ , then  $\tilde{w}^{-1}T = T$  implying that  $\tilde{w} \in T$ . Thus,  $\tilde{w}$  represents the trivial element in W. This means that the action of W on  $G/T \times T$  is a free action and hence  $(G/T) \times T)_{\text{reg}} \to ((G/T) \times T_{\text{reg}})/W$  is covering projection.

Since for  $g \in G$  and  $t \in T$ , we have  $gtg^{-1} = g\widetilde{w}^{-1}(\widetilde{w}t\widetilde{w}^{-1})\widetilde{w}g^{-1}$ , it follows that the map  $c \colon (G/T) \times T \to G$  is W-invariant so that there is an induced map

$$\overline{c} \colon ((G/T) \times T)/W \to G.$$

The restricting we have a map

$$\overline{c}_{\mathrm{reg}} : ((G/T) \times T_{\mathrm{reg}})/W \to G_{\mathrm{reg}}$$

which is a local diffeomorphism, since before dividing out by the free W-action it is a local diffeomorphism.

The last thing to check is that this quotient map  $\overline{c}_{rg}$  is one-to-one. Suppose that  $t_0, t'_0$  are elements of  $T_{reg}$  and  $g, g' \in G$  and we have  $gt_0g^{-1} = g't'_0(g')^{-1}$ . Then  $g^{-1}g'$  conjugates  $t'_0$  to  $t_0$ . Since these are elements of  $T_{reg}$  it follows that  $g^{-1}g' \in N(T)$ . Let w be the element of the Weyl group represented by  $g^{-1}g'$ . Then  $wt'_0w^{-1} = t_0$  and  $wT = g^{-1}gT$  so that gwT = g'T. This proves that  $w * (g'T, t'_0) = (gT, t_0)$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** The complement  $G \setminus G_{\text{reg}}$  is a finite union of the images in G of smooth maps from manifolds of dimension 3 less than the dimension of G.

*Proof.* The regular elements in maximal torus are the complement of  $\bigcup_{\alpha} \hat{U}_{\alpha}$ . Thus, the complement of  $G_{\text{reg}}$  in G is the union over the roots  $\alpha$  of the conjugates of  $\hat{U}_{\alpha}$ .

Let *n* be the dimension of *G* and *k* its rank. Then  $\hat{U}_{\alpha}$  is a (k-1)manifold and its conjugates are the image of  $(G/N(\hat{U}_{\alpha})) \times \hat{U}_{\alpha} \to G$  induced by  $(g, u) \mapsto gug^{-1}$ . The normalizer  $N(\hat{U}_{\alpha})$  has dimension k + 2 so that  $(G/N(\hat{U}_{\alpha})) \times \hat{U}_{\alpha}$  has dimension n - 3.

**Corollary 1.5.** For any  $g \in G_{\text{reg}}$ , the inclusion  $G_{\text{reg}} \subset G$  induces an isomorphism  $\pi_1(G_{\text{reg}}, g) \to \pi_1(G, g)$ .

*Proof.* Since the complement of  $G_{\text{reg}}$  in G has codimension 3, any loop in G based at g deforms, relative to the base point, into  $G_{\text{reg}}$  and if a map of the 2-disk into G has the image of the boundary contained in  $G_{\text{reg}}$  then the map deforms relative to its boundary into  $G_{\text{reg}}$ .

## **1.2** G/T is simply connected

**Proposition 1.6.** Let T be a maximal torus of G. Then  $\pi_1(G/T) = \{1\}$ .

**Corollary 1.7.** The inclusion  $T \to G$  induces a surjection  $\pi_1(T) \to \pi_1(G)$ .

*Proof.* (of the corollary) Since we have a fibration  $T \to G \to G/T$ , there is a long exact sequence of homotopy groups ending in

$$\pi_1(T) \to \pi_1(G) \to \pi_1(G/T).$$

But we have just seen that  $\pi_1(G/T) = \{e\}$ . The result follows.

*Proof.* (of the proposition) The inclusion of  $G_{\text{reg}} \to G$  induces an isomorphism on fundamental groups. The map  $(G/T) \times T_{\text{reg}} \to G_{\text{reg}}$  is a covering space and hence injective on fundamental groups. Fix  $t_0 \in T_{\text{reg}}$ . Then the inclusion  $G/T \times \{t_0\} \to G/T \times T_{\text{reg}}$  is injective on the fundamental group based at  $(eT, t_0)$ . Thus, the composed map  $G/T \times \{t_0\} \to G$  is injective on fundamental groups based at  $t_0$ .

But fixing a path in T from  $t_0$  to e, we see that the map  $G/T \to G$  given by  $gT \mapsto gt_0g^{-1}$  is homotopic to the map  $G/T \to G$  given by  $gT \mapsto geg^{-1} = e$ . Thus, any map  $S^1 \to (G/T) \times \{t_0\} \to G$  and bounds a disk mapping to G. Together with the fact that the map  $\pi_1((G/T \times \{t_0\}) \to \pi_1(G))$  is injective, this proves the result.  $\Box$ 

# 2 The Case of Finite Center

## 2.1 The Results

**Proposition 2.1.** Let G be a compact, connected Lie group with finite center. Then  $\pi_1(G)$  is finite, and thus the universal covering group of G is also compact.

*Proof.* The Lie algebra of the center of G is the subspace of  $\mathfrak{t}$  on which all the roots vanish. Since the center of G is finite, this subspace is trivial. That is to say, the roots span  $\mathfrak{t}^*$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Consequently the elements  $x_{\alpha}$ identified with roots  $\alpha$  under the isomorphism  $\mathfrak{t}^* \cong \mathfrak{t}$  span  $\mathfrak{t}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . The same is true of the  $\lambda_{\alpha} = 2x_{\alpha}/\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$  On the other hand, by Proposition 3.2 of the previous lecture, all these elements lie in the lattice  $\Lambda_0$ . Thus,  $\Lambda_0$  is a finitely generated subgroup of  $\mathfrak{t}$  and spans  $\mathfrak{t}$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ ; i.e.,  $\Lambda_0$  is a full lattice in  $\mathfrak{t}$  in the sense that  $\mathfrak{t}/\Lambda_0$  is a torus.

Since  $\Lambda$  is discrete and  $\Lambda_0 \subset \Lambda$ , it follows that  $\Lambda/\Lambda_0$  is a finite group. Again by Proposition 3.2 of the previous lecture, the lattice  $\Lambda_0$  is contained in the kernel of the map  $\Lambda \to \pi_1(G)$ . By Corollary 1.7 the map  $\Lambda \to \pi_1(G)$ is surjective. Hence,  $\pi_1(G)$  is a quotient of  $\Lambda/\Lambda_0$  and hence is finite.  $\Box$ 

In fact, the next theorem says that Theorem 0.1 holds when the center of G is finite. That is to say, the map  $\Lambda/\Lambda_0 \to \pi_1(G)$  is an isomorphism in the special case.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let G be a compact, connected Lie group with finite center. Let T be a maximal torus and  $\Lambda \subset \mathfrak{t}$  the co-weight lattice. Then the inclusion  $T \subset G$  induces an isomorphism  $\Lambda/\Lambda_0 \cong \pi_1(G)$ .

Before beginning the proof proper of the theorem we need some preliminary results.

#### 2.2 Preliminary Results

**Claim 2.3.** Since the center of G is finite, the affine Weyl chambers have compact closure in  $\mathfrak{t}$ .

*Proof.* Since the affine Weyl group acts transitively on the set of affine Weyl chambers, it suffices to prove this result for one affine Weyl chamber. Fix a fundamental Weyl chamber  $C_0$  with walls given by  $\{\alpha_1 = 0\}, \ldots, \{\alpha_k = 0\}$ , with the  $\alpha_i > 0$  on  $C_0$ . This gives a notion of positive roots and the  $\alpha_i$  are the simple roots. Hence, the  $\alpha_i$  are an  $\mathbb{R}$ -basis for the subspace spanned over  $\mathbb{R}$  by the roots. Since the center is finite, this subspace is all of t. That

is to say the  $\{\alpha_i\}_i$  are an  $\mathbb{R}$ -basis for t. Consider the unique affine Weyl chamber X contained in  $C_0$  whose closure contains 0. Then

$$\overline{X} \subset \cap_{i=1}^k \{ 0 \le \alpha_i \le 1 \}$$

Since, according to results in Lecture 8, the  $\alpha_i$  form a basis for  $\mathfrak{t}$ , this is a compact set.

**Corollary 2.4.** The action of  $\Lambda_0$  on the set of affine Weyl chambers is free.

*Proof.* If some non-zero element  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$  stabilized a chamber, then all powers of  $\lambda$  would stabilize that chamber. This is impossible since the chamber is contained in a compact subset of  $\mathfrak{t}$  and  $\lambda$  is a non-trivial translation of  $\mathfrak{t}$ .  $\Box$ 

**Claim 2.5.** Let  $T' = t/\Lambda_0$ . The images in T' of the affine walls in t divide T' into Weyl chambers, each one of which is the isomorphic image of an affine Weyl chamber in t. The Weyl group action on t descends to an action on T'. The action of W on T' is simply transitively on the set of Weyl chambers of T'.

Proof. Since the action of  $\Lambda_0$  on the set of Weyl chambers is a free action, the first statement is immediate. Since  $\Lambda_0 \subset W_{\text{aff}}$  is a normal subgroup with quotient W, the Weyl group action of  $\mathfrak{t}$  descends to an action on T'stabilizing the set of Weyl chambers. Since  $W_{\text{aff}}$  acts simply transitively on the set of affine Weyl chambers, W acts simply transitively on the Weyl chambers of T'.

#### **2.3** The Action of W on $\Lambda_B^*/\Lambda_0$

**Proposition 2.6.** Translation by  $\Lambda_R^*$ , the dual lattice to the root lattice, leaves invariant the set of affine walls and hence  $\Lambda_R^*$  acts freely on the set of affine Weyl chambers. The Weyl group action on t stabilizes  $\Lambda_R^*$ . Thus there is a group  $\Lambda_R^* \rtimes W$  stabilizing the set of affine Weyl chambers. The induced Weyl group action on  $\Lambda_R^*/\Lambda_0$  is trivial. The group  $\Lambda_R^* \rtimes W$  contains  $W_{\text{aff}}$  as a normal subgroup.

The analogue of these results hold when we replace  $\Lambda_R^*$  by the fundamental lattice  $\Lambda$ 

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \Lambda_R^*$ . Then for each root  $\alpha$  we have  $\alpha(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus, translation by x takes the wall  $\{\alpha = k\}$  to the wall  $\{\alpha = k + \alpha(x)\}$ , and hence preserves the set of affine walls. Thus,  $\Lambda_R^*$  acts as a group of translations stabilizing the affine Weyl structure. Since the affine Weyl chambers have compact closure, this action is a free action on the set of affine Weyl chambers.

**Claim 2.7.** The action of the Weyl group W on the quotient  $\Lambda_R^*/\Lambda_0$  is trivial.

*Proof.* For any  $x \in \Lambda_r^*$  and any root  $\alpha$ , we show that the image of x under reflection in the wall  $\{\alpha = k\}$  is congruent modulo  $\Lambda_0$  to x. The reflection is given by

$$x \mapsto x + (k - \alpha(x))\lambda_{\alpha}$$

The difference of these two elements is  $(k - \alpha(x))\lambda_{\alpha}$ , which, for  $x \in \Lambda_R^*$ , is an integral multiple of  $\lambda_{\alpha}$  and hence an element of  $\Lambda_0$ .

**Corollary 2.8.** The action of W on  $\Lambda/\Lambda_0$  is trivial.

Proof.  $\Lambda \subset \Lambda_B^*$ .

Lastly, we show that  $W_{\text{aff}}$  is a normal subgroup of  $\Lambda_R^* \rtimes W$  with quotient naturally isomorphic to  $\Lambda_R^*/\Lambda_0$ . To show that  $W_{\text{aff}}$  is a normal subgroup we need only show that conjugation by an element of  $\Lambda_R^*$  stabilizes  $\mathcal{W}_{\text{aff}}$ . Let  $\lambda \in \Lambda_R^*$ . Then

$$\lambda(\lambda_0 w)\lambda^{-1} = \lambda\lambda_0(\lambda^{-1})^w w = \lambda(\lambda^{-1})^w \lambda_0 w.$$

Since  $(\lambda^{-1})^w \cong \lambda^{-1}$  modulo  $\Lambda_0$ , the result is immediate.

We define a map  $(\Lambda_r^* \rtimes W)/W_{\text{aff}} \to \Lambda_R^*/\Lambda_0$  by  $\lambda w \mapsto [\lambda] \in \Lambda_R^*/\Lambda_0$ .

Since  $\Lambda_0 \subset \Lambda \subset \Lambda_R^*$  and  $\Lambda$  is a Weyl invariant lattice, it is clear that these results restrict to give analogous results for  $\Lambda$  instead of  $\Lambda_R^*$ .

#### **2.4** The Chamber Structure for T

Now we pass from  $T' = t/\Lambda_0$  to  $T = t/\Lambda$ . Here is the basic result.

**Proposition 2.9.** Each component of  $T_{\text{reg}}$  is the diffeomorphic image of a Weyl chamber in  $\mathfrak{t}$ . The Weyl group action on T preserves  $T_{\text{reg}}$  and acts transitively on the components of  $T_{\text{reg}}$ . The stablizer of each component of  $T_{\text{reg}}$  is isomorphic to  $\Lambda/\Lambda_0$ .

*Proof.* As we have just seen, the set of affine walls projects to T' to give a wall structure and a chamber structure on which the Weyl group acts simply transitively on the chambers.

**Claim 2.10.**  $\Lambda/\Lambda_0$  acts on T' preserving its Weyl chamber structure and acting freely on the set of chambers.

Proof. Since  $\Lambda \subset \Lambda_R^*$ , for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and every root  $\alpha$ , the evaluation  $\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ . From this it is clear this that translation by  $\lambda$  stabilizes the set of affine walls and hence stabilizes the set of affine Weyl chambers and acts freely on the affine Weyl chambers. Thus,  $\Lambda/\Lambda_0$  acts freely on the set of Weyl chambers of  $\mathfrak{t}/\Lambda_0 = T'$ .

The Weyl chambers of T are isomorphic images of affine Weyl chambers of  $\mathfrak{t}$  and their union is  $T_{\text{reg}}$ .

Since W acts trivially on  $\Lambda/\Lambda_0$ , the semi-direct product  $(\Lambda/\Lambda_0) \rtimes W$  is in fact a direct product  $(\Lambda/\Lambda_0) \times W$ . Let C be a chamber of T' and let  $S(C) \subset (\Lambda/\Lambda_0) \times W$  be the stabilizer of C.

**Lemma 2.11.** The projection  $S(C) \to (\Lambda/\Lambda_0)$  is an isomorphism. The projection of  $S(C) \to W$  is an isomorphism onto the stabilizer in W of the chamber  $\overline{C}$  that is the image in T of C.

*Proof.* Since the stabilizer of C is a subgroup of the product, its projection to either factor is a homomorphism. Since  $(\Lambda/\Lambda_0)$  acts freely on the chambers of T', the function from  $[\lambda] \in (\Lambda/\Lambda_0)$  to chambers in T' that sends  $[\lambda]$  to  $\lambda C$  is an injection. Thus, for each  $(\lambda] \in (\Lambda/\Lambda_0)$ , where is a unique  $w \in W$ such that  $w\lambda C = C$ , and hence a unique element  $([\lambda], w) \in (\Lambda/\Lambda_0) \times W$  in the stabilizer of C with first component  $[\lambda]$ . This proves that the projection from the stabilizer of C in  $(\Lambda/\Lambda_0) \times W$  to  $(\Lambda/\Lambda_0)$  is an isomorphism.

Now consider the projection of  $S(C) \to W$ . Clearly, any element in the image of this homomorphism stabilizes the image chamber  $\overline{C}$  of C. Conversely, suppose that  $w\overline{C} = \overline{C}$ . Then wC and C are in the same  $(\Lambda/\Lambda_0)$  orbit, and hence there is  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that  $\lambda wC = C$ . The element  $([\lambda], w) \in (\Lambda/\lambda_0) \times W$  stabilizes C. This proves that the image of S(C) in W is the stabilizer of  $\overline{C}$ . On the other hand, since  $(\Lambda/\Lambda_0)$  acts freely on the chambers of T' no element S(C) is contained in  $(\Lambda/\Lambda_0)$  and hence the homomorphism  $S(C) \to W$  is injective and consequently is an isomorphism onto its image.  $\Box$ 

This completes the proof of the proposition.

**Corollary 2.12.** Under the action of W, the stabilizer of any chamber of  $T_{\text{reg}}$  is isomorphic to  $\Lambda/\Lambda_0$ .

## 2.5 Proof of Theorem 2.2

We have already established that the map induced by  $T \subset G$  defines a surjection  $\Lambda \to \pi_1(G)$ , and that  $\Lambda_0 \subset \Lambda$  is contained in the kernel of this map. It remain to show that  $\Lambda_0$  is equal to the kernel.

Consider the isomorphism  $G/T \times_W T_{\text{reg}} \cong G_{\text{reg}}$ . The components of  $T_{\text{reg}}$  are isomorphic to convex subsets of  $\mathfrak{t}$  and hence are contractible. The Weyl group acts transitively on the components of  $T_{\text{reg}}$  and the subgroup stabilizing any component is isomorphic to  $\Lambda/\Lambda_0$ . Thus, we have an isomorphism

$$(G/T) \times_{\Lambda/\Lambda_0} C_0 \to G_{\text{reg}},$$
 (2.1)

where  $C_0$  is a component of  $T_{\text{reg}}$  and  $\Lambda/\Lambda_0$  is the subgroup of W stabilizing this chamber.

Since the W action on G/T is free, we can write the space in Expression (2.1) as fiber bundle over  $(G/T)/(\Lambda/\Lambda_0)$  with fiber  $C_0$ . Since  $C_0$  is contractible, the fundamental group of this space is identified with  $\pi_1((G/T)/\Lambda/\Lambda_0))$ . Since G/T is simply connected and the action of  $\Lambda/\Lambda_0$  on G/T is free, the fundamental group of the quotient is identified with  $\Lambda/\Lambda_0$ . This is the fundamental group of  $G_{\rm reg}$  and hence by Proposition 2.1, the fundamental group of G. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

**Corollary 2.13.** Let G be a compact, connected Lie group. Then the fundamental lattice  $\Lambda$  is equal to the lattice  $\Lambda_0$  generated by the  $\lambda_{\alpha}$  as  $\alpha$  ranges over the roots if and only if G is simply connected In this case, the center of G is  $\Lambda_B^*/\Lambda_0$ .

More generally, for any compact Lie group with finite center, the center is identified with  $\Lambda_B^*/\Lambda$  and its fundamental group is identified with  $\Lambda/\Lambda_0$ .

# 3 The Case of General Compact Lie Group

Now we turn to proving Theorem 0.1 for general compact Lie groups.

## 3.1 The Decomposition

**Proposition 3.1.** Let G be a compact, connected Lie group. Let  $Z \subset G$  be the connected component of the center of G. There is a compact simply connected group H and a central subgroup  $A \subset Z \times H$  and an isomorphism  $Z \times_A H \to G$ . The projection of  $A \to H$  is an injection of A onto a central subgroup of H.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{z} \subset \mathfrak{t}$  be the Lie algebra of Z, let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be the quotient Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}$ . Choose a G-invariant symmetric, positive definite inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The adjoint representation  $G \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$  acts trivially on  $\mathfrak{z}$  and hence stabilizes it. Thus, the adjoint action of G also stabilizes  $\mathfrak{z}^{\perp}$ . Thus,  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{z}^{\perp}] \subset \mathfrak{z}^{\perp}$ , and in particular,  $\mathfrak{z}^{\perp}$  is an ideal, and hence a sub Lie algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

In fact, this shows that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{z}^{\perp}$  is a decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  as a direct sum of two Lie algebras. In particular, the Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{z}^{\perp}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}$  are identified. Let  $\overline{H} = G/Z$ . Since the intersection of the kernels of the roots  $\alpha: \mathfrak{t} \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathfrak{z}$ , it follows that the center of  $\overline{H}$  is finite. Let H be the universal covering group. By Proposition 2.1 H is a compact, connected Lie group. The Lie algebra of H is  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}$ .

The inclusion of  $\mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{g}$  by an isomorphism onto  $\mathfrak{g}^{\perp}$  induces a map  $H \to G$ . We also have the inclusion  $Z \subset G$ . Since Z is central in G the product of these two homomorphism defines a map of Lie groups  $Z \times H \to G$ . By construction it induces an isomorphism on Lie algebras. Since G is connected, this map is onto with discrete kernel. Since  $Z \times H$  is compact, the kernel is a finite, central subgroup  $A \subset Z \times H$ . Since the map restricted to Z is injective,  $A \cap Z = \{0\}$ , meaning that the kernel of the projection mapping  $A \to H$  is injective.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let G be a compact Lie group. Then  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda_0$  are, as before, the fundamental lattice of G and the translation lattice for the affine Weyl group of G. Then the map  $T \to G$  induces a surjection  $\Lambda(G) \to \pi_1(G)$ whose kernel is  $\Lambda_0(G)$ . Thus, the inclusion  $T \to G$  induces an isomorphism  $\pi_1(G) \cong \Lambda(G)/\Lambda_0(G)$ .

*Proof.* In the previous lecture we showed that the map  $\Lambda \to \pi_1(G)$  is surjective and that  $\Lambda_0(G)$  is contained in the kernel. It remains only to show that  $\Lambda_0$  is equal to the kernel of this map.

#### First Case: $G = Z \times H$ with H having a finite center.

Let us consider the case when  $G = Z \times H$  (i.e., when  $A = \{e\}$ ). A maximal torus of G is of the form  $Z \times T_H$  and its Lie algebra is  $\mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_H$ . We denote by  $\Lambda_0(G)$  and  $\Lambda_0(H)$  the lattices in  $\mathfrak{t}$  and  $\mathfrak{t}_H$  that are the translation subgroups of the affine Weyl groups,  $W_{\text{aff}}(G)$  and  $W_{\text{aff}}(H)$ , of G and H, respectively. Analogously let  $\Lambda(G) \Lambda(H)$ , an  $\Lambda(Z)$  be the fundamental lattices of G, H, and Z, respectively. In this case the roots of G are trivial on  $\mathfrak{z}$  and are identified with the roots of H. Thus,  $\Lambda_0(G) \subset \mathfrak{z}^{\perp}$  and is identified with  $\Lambda_0(H)$ . Also, we have  $\Lambda(G) = \Lambda(Z) \times \Lambda(H)$ . By Theorem 2.2 for the compact group H with finite center,  $\Lambda(H)/\Lambda_0(H) = \pi_1(H)$  Thus,

$$\Lambda(G)/\Lambda_0(G) = \Lambda(Z) \times \Lambda(H)/\{0\} \times \Lambda_0(H)$$
  
=  $\Lambda(Z) \times \pi_1(H) = \pi_1(Z) \times \pi_1(H) = \pi_1(G).$ 

This establishes the Theorem 0.1 in the product case.

#### The General Case.

Now consider  $G = Z \times_A H$ , with H simply connected, where is a finite subgroup whose projection to H is an injection onto a central subgroup. Since  $Z \times H \to G$  is a finite covering, it is an isomorphism on the Lie algebras. Since H is simply connected,  $\Lambda(H) = \Lambda_0(H)$ . Thus, we have

$$\Lambda_0(G) = \Lambda_0(H) = \Lambda(H).$$

We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{cases} 0 \} \longrightarrow \{0\} \longrightarrow \{0\} \longrightarrow \{0\} \\ \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ 0 \} \longrightarrow \pi_1(Z \times H) \longrightarrow \pi_1(G) \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \{0\} \\ \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ 0 \} \longrightarrow \Lambda(Z) \times \Lambda(H) \longrightarrow \Lambda(G) \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \{0\} \\ \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ 0 \} \longrightarrow \{0\} \times \Lambda(H) \longrightarrow \{0\} \times \Lambda(H) \longrightarrow \{0\} \\ \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ 0 \} \longrightarrow \{0\} \times \Lambda(H) \longrightarrow \{0\} \longrightarrow \{0\}$$

The rows are exact. The first column is exact by what we established in the product case. The last column is obviously exact. A simple diagram chase shows that in fact the second column is exact, too. Hence,

$$\pi_1(G) \cong \Lambda(G) / \Lambda(H) = \Lambda(G) / \Lambda_0(G).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 0.1.

#### 

# **3.2** Description of $\Lambda_R^*$ and $\Lambda_0$ when the center is not finite

Let us begin with the product case:  $G = Z \times H$  where Z is a central torus in G and H has finite center. Then:

- $\Lambda_0(G) = \{0\} \times \Lambda_0(H)$  is a co-compact attice in  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ .
- $\Lambda(G) = \Lambda(Z) \times \Lambda(H)$
- $\Lambda_R(G) = \Lambda_R(H)$  acts trivially in  $\mathfrak{z}$ . and is a full lattice in the subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  of elements that annihilate  $\mathfrak{z}$ .

It follows that  $\Lambda_R(G)^* = \Lambda_R(H)^* \times \mathfrak{z}$ , is the product of a lattice in  $\mathfrak{h}$  with  $\mathfrak{z}$ , and hence has positive dimension.

The quotient  $\Lambda(G)/\Lambda_0(G)$  is identified with  $\Lambda(Z) \times \Lambda(H)/\Lambda_0(H)$ , ane hence  $\Lambda(G)/\Lambda_0(G) \cong \pi_1(G)$ . In this case, the Weyl chambers are no longer compact and the action of  $\Lambda$  on the set ha fixed points. The subgroup  $\Lambda_Z \subset \Lambda_G$  stabilizes every Weyl chamber.

I will leave the general case  $Z \times_A H$  as a homework exercise.