

# A correction to *Conducteur des Représentations du groupe linéaire*

Hervé Jacquet

December 5, 2011

Nadir Matringe has indicated to me that the paper *Conducteur des Représentations du groupe linéaire* ([JPSS81a], citeError2) contains an error. I correct the error in this note. The correct proof is actually simpler than the erroneous proof. Separately, Matringe has given a different, interesting proof of the result in question ([Mat11]).

We recall the result in question. Let  $F$  be a local field. We denote by  $\alpha$  the absolute value, by  $q$  the cardinality of the residual field and finally by  $v$  the valuation of  $F$ . Thus  $\alpha(x) = |x| = q^{-v(x)}$ . Let  $\psi$  be an additive character of  $F$  whose conductor is the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_F$ . Let  $G_r$  be the group  $GL(r)$  regarded as an algebraic group. We denote by  $dg$  the Haar measure of  $G_r(F)$  for which the compact group  $G_r(\mathcal{O}_F)$  has volume 1. Let  $N_r$  be the subgroup of upper triangular matrices with unit diagonal. We define a character

$$\theta_{r,\psi} : N_r(F) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$$

by the formula

$$\theta_{r,\psi}(u) = \psi \left( \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r-1} u_{i,i+1} \right).$$

We denote by  $du$  the Haar measure on  $N_r(F)$  for which  $N_r(\mathcal{O}_F)$  has measure 1. We have then a quotient invariant measure on  $N_r(F) \backslash G_r(F)$ .

Let  $S_r$  be the algebra of symmetric polynomials in

$$(X_1, X_1^{-1}, X_2, X_2^{-1}, \dots, X_r, X_r^{-1}).$$

Let  $H_r$  be the Hecke algebra. Let  $S_r : H_r \rightarrow S_r$  be the Satake isomorphism. Thus for any  $r$ -tuple of non-zero complex numbers  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)$  we have an homomorphism  $H_r \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , defined by

$$\phi \mapsto \mathcal{S}(\phi)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r).$$

There is a unique function  $W : G_r(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying the following properties:

- $W(gk) = W(g)$  for  $k \in G_r(\mathcal{O}_F)$ ,
- $W(ug) = \theta_\psi(u)W(g)$  for  $u$  in  $N_r(F)$ ,
- for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)$  and all  $\phi \in H_r$ ,

$$\int_{G_r(F)} W(gh)\phi(h)dh = \mathcal{S}(\phi)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) W(g),$$

- $W(e) = 1$ .

We will denote this function by  $W(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r; \psi)$  and its value at  $g$  by  $W(g; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r; \psi)$ .

Let  $(\pi, V)$  be an irreducible admissible representation of  $G_r(F)$ . We assume that  $\pi$  is *generic*, that is, there is a non-zero linear form  $\lambda : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\lambda(\pi(u)v) = \theta_{r,\psi}(u) \lambda(v)$$

for all  $u \in N_r(F)$  and all  $v \in V$ . Recall that such a form is unique, within a scalar factor. We denote by  $\mathcal{W}(\pi; \psi)$  the space of functions of the form

$$g \mapsto \lambda(\pi(g)v),$$

with  $v \in V$ . It is the *Whittaker model* of  $\pi$ . On the other hand, we have the  $L$ -factor  $L(s, \pi)$  ([GJ72]). We denote by  $P_\pi(X)$  the polynomial defined by  $L(s, \pi) = P_\pi(q^{-s})^{-1}$ . The main result of [JPSS81a] is the following Theorem.

**Theorem 1** *There is an element  $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi; \psi)$  such that, for all  $r-1$ -tuple of non zero complex numbers  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1})$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{N_{r-1}(F) \backslash G_{r-1}(F)} W \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} W(g; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1}; \bar{\psi}) |\det g|^{s-1/2} dg \\ = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r-1} P_\pi(q^{-s} x_i)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In [JPS] it is shown that if we impose the extra condition

$$W \begin{pmatrix} gh & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = W \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for all  $h \in G_{r-1}(\mathcal{O}_F)$  and  $g \in G_{r-1}(F)$  then  $W$  is unique. The vector  $W$  is called the *essential vector* of  $\pi$  and further properties of this vector are obtained in [JPSS81a].

The proof of this theorem is incorrect in [JPS]. We give a simple proof here.

## 1 Review of some properties of the $L$ -factor

Let  $r \geq 2$  be an integer. Let  $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1})$  be a  $r - 1$ -tuple of complex numbers. We assume that

$$\operatorname{Re}(t_1) \geq \operatorname{Re}t_2 \geq \dots \geq \operatorname{Re}(t_{r-1}).$$

We denote by  $\pi(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1})$  the corresponding principal series representation. It is the representation induced by the characters  $\alpha^{t_1}, \alpha^{t_2}, \dots, \alpha^{t_{r-1}}$ . Its space  $I(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1})$  is the space of smooth functions  $\phi : G_{r-1}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\phi \left[ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & * & \dots & \dots & * \\ 0 & a_2 & \dots & \dots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & a_r \end{pmatrix} g \right] = |a_1|^{t_1 + \frac{r-2}{2}} |a_2|^{t_2 + \frac{r-2}{2} - 1} \dots |a_{r-1}|^{t_{r-1} - \frac{r-2}{2}} \phi(g).$$

The space  $I(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1})$  contains a unique vector  $\phi_0$  equal to 1 on  $G_{r-1}(\mathcal{O}_F)$  and thus invariant under  $G_{r-1}(\mathcal{O}_F)$ . We recall a standard result.

**Lemma 1** *The vector  $\phi_0$  is a cyclic vector for the representation  $\pi(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1})$ .*

PROOF: Indeed, if  $\operatorname{Re}(t_1) = \operatorname{Re}t_2 = \dots = \operatorname{Re}(t_{r-1})$ , the representation is irreducible and our assertion is trivial. If not, we use Langlands' construction ([Sil78]). There is a certain intertwining operator  $N$  defined on the space of the representation and the kernel of  $N$  is a maximal invariant subspace. By direct computation  $N\phi_0 \neq 0$  and our assertion follows.  $\square$

The representation  $I(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1})$  admits a non-zero linear form  $\lambda$  such that, for  $u \in N_{r-1}(F)$ ,

$$\lambda(\pi(u)\phi) = \theta_{r-1, \overline{\psi}}(u)\lambda(g).$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{W}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1}; \overline{\psi})$  the space spanned by the functions of the form

$$g \mapsto W_\phi(g), \quad W_\phi(g) = \lambda(\pi(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1})(g)\phi),$$

with  $\phi \in I(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1})$ . We recall the following result ([JS83])

**Lemma 2** *The map  $\phi \mapsto W_\phi$  is injective.*

It follows that the image  $W_0$  of  $\phi_0$  is a cyclic vector in  $\mathcal{W}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1}; \overline{\psi})$ . Up to a multiplicative constant,  $W_0$  is equal to the function  $W(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1}; \overline{\psi})$ .

Now let  $\pi$  be a generic representation of  $G_r(F)$ . For  $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$  and  $W' \in \mathcal{W}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1}; \overline{\psi})$  we consider the integral

$$\Psi(s, W, W') = \int_{N_{r-1} \backslash G_{r-1}} W \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} W'(g) |\det g|^{s-1/2} dg$$

The integral converges absolutely if  $\text{Re } s \gg 0$  and extends to a meromorphic function of  $s$ . In any case, it has a meaning as a formal Laurent series in the variable  $q^{-s}$  (see below). We recall a result from [JPSS83]

**Lemma 3** *There are functions  $W_j \in \mathcal{W}(\pi; \psi)$  and  $W'_j \in \mathcal{W}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1}; \overline{\psi})$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , such that*

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} \Psi(s, W_j, W'_j) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r-1} L(s + t_i, \pi).$$

Since  $W_0$  is a cyclic vector we see, after a change of notations, that there are functions  $W_j \in \mathcal{W}(\pi; \psi)$  and integers  $n_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , such that

$$\sum_i q^{-n_i s} \Psi(s, W_i, W(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1}; \overline{\psi})) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r-1} L(s + t_i, \pi).$$

In our discussion  $|x_1| \leq |x_2| \leq \dots \leq |x_{r-1}|$ . However, the functions  $W(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1}; \overline{\psi})$  are symmetric in the variables  $x_i$ . Thus we have the following result.

**Lemma 4** *Given a  $r-1$ -tuple of non-zero complex numbers  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1})$  there are functions  $W_j \in \mathcal{W}(\pi; \psi)$  and integers  $n_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , such that*

$$\sum_j q^{-n_j s} \Psi(s, W_j, W(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1}; \overline{\psi})) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r-1} P_\pi(q^{-s} x_i)^{-1}.$$

## 2 The ideal $I_\pi$

First, we can define a function  $W(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \overline{\psi})$  with values in  $S_{r-1}$  such that, for every  $g$  and every  $r-1$ -tuple  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1})$ , the scalar  $W(g; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1})$  is the value of the polynomial  $W(g; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \overline{\psi})$  at the point  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1})$ . For  $g$  in a set compact modulo  $N_{r-1}(F)$  the

polynomials  $W(g; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \bar{\psi})$  remain in a finite dimensional vector subspace of  $S_{r-1}$ . We have the relation

$$|\det g|^s W(g; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1}; \bar{\psi}) = W(g; q^{-s}x_1, q^{-s}x_2, \dots, q^{-s}x_{r-1}; \bar{\psi}).$$

It follows that if  $|\det g| = q^{-n}$  then the polynomial  $W(g; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \bar{\psi})$  is homogeneous of degree  $n$ . For each integer  $n$  define the integral

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_n(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \psi) = \\ \int_{|\det g|=q^{-n}} W \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} W(g, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \bar{\psi}) |\det g|^{-1/2} dg \end{aligned}$$

The support of the integrand is contained in a set compact modulo  $N_{r-1}(F)$ , which depends on  $W$ . In addition, there is an integer  $N_W$  such that the support of the integrand is empty if  $n < N(W)$ . The polynomial

$$\Psi_n(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \psi)$$

is homogeneous of degree  $n$ , that is,

$$X^n \Psi_n(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \psi) = \Psi_n(W; X X_1, X X_2, \dots, X X_{r-1}; \psi).$$

We consider the formal Laurent series

$$\Psi(X; W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \psi) = \sum_n X^n \Psi_n(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}, \psi),$$

or, more precisely,

$$\Psi(X; W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \psi) = \sum_{n \geq N_W} X^n \Psi_n(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \psi)$$

If we multiply, this Laurent series by  $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq r-1} P_\pi(X X_i)$  we obtain a new Laurent series

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(X; W, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \psi) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r-1} P_\pi(X X_i) = \\ \sum_{n \geq N_1(W)} X^n a_n(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \psi). \end{aligned}$$

where  $N_1(W)$  is another integer depending on  $W$ . We can replace  $\pi$  by its contragredient representation  $\tilde{\pi}$ ,  $W$  by  $\tilde{W}$ ,  $\psi$  by  $\bar{\psi}$ . Recall that  $\tilde{W}$  is defined by

$$\tilde{W}(g) = W(w_r {}^t g^{-1})$$

where  $w_r$  is the permutation matrix whose non-zero entries are on the anti-diagonal. The function  $\widetilde{W}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{W}(\widetilde{\pi}, \overline{\psi})$ . We define similarly

$$\Psi(\widetilde{W}; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \psi).$$

We have then the following functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi(q^{-1}X^{-1}; \widetilde{W}; X_1^{-1}, X_2^{-1}, \dots, X_{r-1}^{-1}; \psi) \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} P_{\widetilde{\pi}}(q^{-1}X^{-1}X_i^{-1}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \epsilon_{\pi}(XX_i, \psi) \Psi(X, W, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \overline{\psi}) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r-1} P_{\pi}(XX_i). \end{aligned}$$

The  $\epsilon$  factors are monomials. Thus there is another integer  $N_2(W)$  such that in fact

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi(X, W, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \psi) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r-1} P_{\pi}(XX_i) = \\ & \sum_{N_2(W) \geq n \geq N_1(W)} X^n a_n(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}). \end{aligned}$$

From now on we drop the dependence on  $\psi$  from the notation. Hence the product  $\Psi(X, W, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r-1} P_{\pi}(XX_i)$  is in fact a polynomial in  $X$  with coefficients in  $S_{r-1}$ . Moreover, because the  $a_n$  are homogeneous of degree  $n$ , there is a polynomial  $\Xi(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) \in S_{r-1}$  such that

$$\Psi(X; W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r-1} P_{\pi}(XX_i) = \Xi(W; XX_1, XX_2, \dots, XX_{r-1}).$$

In a precise way, let us write

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \leq r-1} P_{\pi}(X_i) = \sum_{m=0}^R P_m(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1})$$

where  $P_m$  is homogeneous of degree  $m$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi(X; W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r-1} P_{\pi}(XX_i) = \\ & \sum_n X^n \sum_{m=0}^R \Psi_{n-m}(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) P_m(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The polynomial  $\Xi(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1})$  is then determined by the condition that its homogeneous component of degree  $n$ ,  $\Xi_n(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1})$  be given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_n(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) = \\ \sum_{m=0}^R \Psi_{n-m}(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) P_m(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $I_\pi$  be the vector space spanned by the polynomials  $\Xi(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1})$ .

**Lemma 5** *In fact  $I_\pi$  is an ideal.*

PROOF: Let  $Q$  be an element of  $S_{r-1}$ . Let  $\phi$  be the corresponding element of  $H_{r-1}$ . Then

$$\int W(gh; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) \phi(h) dh = W(g; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) Q(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}).$$

Let  $W$  be an element of  $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ . Define another element  $W_1$  of  $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$  by

$$W_1(g) = \int_{G_{r-1}} W \left[ g \begin{pmatrix} h^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \phi(h) |\det h|^{1/2} dh.$$

We claim that

$$\Xi(W_1; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) = \Xi(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) Q(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}).$$

This will imply the Lemma.

By linearity, it suffices to prove our claim when  $Q$  is homogeneous of degree  $t$ . Then  $\phi$  is supported on the set of  $h$  such that  $|\det h| = q^{-t}$ . We have then, for every  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_n(W_1; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) = \\ \int_{|\det g|=q^{-n}} \int W \begin{pmatrix} gh^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} W(g; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) \phi(h) |\det h|^{1/2} dh |\det g|^{-1/2} dg \\ = \int_{|\det g|=q^{-n+t}} \int W \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} W(gh; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) \phi(h) dh |\det g|^{-1/2} dg \\ = \int_{|\det g|=q^{-n+t}} W \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} W(g; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) |\det g|^{-1/2} dg Q(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) \\ = \Psi_{n-t}(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) Q(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Xi_n(W_1; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) = \\
& \sum_{m=0}^R \Psi_{n-m}(W_1; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) P_m(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) \\
= & \sum_{m=0}^R \Psi_{n-m-t}(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) P_m(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) Q(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) \\
& = \Xi_{n-t}(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) Q(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $Q$  is homogeneous of degree  $t$  our assertion follows.  $\square$

### 3 Conclusion

Given a  $r-1$ -tuple of non-zero complex numbers  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1})$ , Lemma 4 shows that we can find  $W_j$  and integers  $n_j$  such that

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} (q^{-s})^{n_j} \Xi(W_j, q^{-s}x_1, q^{-s}x_2, \dots, q^{-s}x_{r-1}) = 1.$$

In particular,

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} \Xi(W_j, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1}) = 1.$$

Thus the element

$$Q(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} \Xi(W_j; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1})$$

of  $I_\pi$  does not vanish at  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1})$ . By the Theorem of zeros of Hilbert  $I_\pi = S_{r-1}$ . In particular, there is  $W$  such that

$$\Xi(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) = 1.$$

This implies the Theorem.

REMARK 1: The proof in [JPSS81a] is correct if  $L(s, \pi)$  is identically 1. In general, the proof there only shows that the elements of  $I_\pi$  cannot all vanish on a coordinate hyperplane  $X_i = x$ .

REMARK 2 : Consider an induced representation  $\pi$  of the form

$$\pi = I(\sigma_1 \otimes \alpha^{s_1}, \sigma_2 \otimes \alpha^{s_2}, \dots, \sigma_k \otimes \alpha^{s_k})$$

where the representations  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k$  are tempered and  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s+k$  are real numbers such that

$$s_1 > s_2 > \dots > s_k.$$

The representation  $\pi$  may fail to be irreducible. But, in any case, it has a Whittaker model ([JS83]) and Theorem 1 is valid for the representation  $\pi$ .

REMARK 3: The proof of Matringe uses the theory of derivatives of a representation. The present proof appears simple only because we use Lemma 3, the proof of which is quite elaborate (and can be obtained from the theory of derivatives).

## References

- [BZ77] I. N. Bernstein and A. V. Zelevinsky. Induced representations of reductive  $p$ -adic groups. I. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 10(4):441–472, 1977.
- [CPS11] J.W. Cogdell and I.I. Piatetski-Shapiro. Derivatives and  $L$ -functions for  $GL(n)$ , 2011.
- [GJ72] Roger Godement and Hervé Jacquet. *Zeta functions of simple algebras*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 260. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
- [JPSS81a] H. Jacquet, I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, and J. Shalika. Conducteur des représentations du groupe linéaire. *Math. Ann.*, 256(2):199–214, 1981.
- [JPSS81b] Hervé Jacquet, Ilja Piatetski-Shapiro, and Joseph Shalika. Conducteur des représentations génériques du groupe linéaire. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 292(13):611–616, 1981.
- [JPSS83] H. Jacquet, I. I. Piatetskii-Shapiro, and J. A. Shalika. Rankin-Selberg convolutions. *Amer. J. Math.*, 105(2):367–464, 1983.
- [JS83] Hervé Jacquet and Joseph Shalika. The Whittaker models of induced representations. *Pacific J. Math.*, 109(1):107–120, 1983.
- [JS85] Hervé Jacquet and Joseph Shalika. A lemma on highly ramified  $\epsilon$ -factors. *Math. Ann.*, 271(3):319–332, 1985.

- [Mat11] Nadir Matringe. Essential whittaker functions for  $GL(n)$  over a  $p$ -adic field, 2011.
- [Sil78] Allan J. Silberger. The Langlands quotient theorem for  $p$ -adic groups. *Math. Ann.*, 236(2):95–104, 1978.
- [Wal88] Nolan R. Wallach. *Real reductive groups. I*, volume 132 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.
- [Zel80] A. V. Zelevinsky. Induced representations of reductive  $p$ -adic groups. II. On irreducible representations of  $GL(n)$ . *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 13(2):165–210, 1980.