

# Isomorphism theorems

Week of March 9, 2020

GU4041

Columbia University

October 25, 2023

# Outline

- 1 The Isomorphism Theorems
- 2 Classification of finite abelian groups

# Product of two subgroups

There are *three* isomorphism theorems, known by their numbers. First we need to define the notion of a *product of subgroups*.

## Lemma

Let  $J, N \subseteq G$  be two subgroups, with  $N$  normal in  $G$  (we write  $N \trianglelefteq G$ ). Then the set

$$J \cdot N = \{j \cdot n, j \in J, n \in N\}$$

is a subgroup of  $G$ .

## Proof.

It suffices to show that  $J \cdot N$  is closed under multiplication and inverses. If  $j \cdot n \in JN$ , then

$$(jn)^{-1} = n^{-1}j^{-1} = j^{-1} \cdot (jnj^{-1}) \in J \cdot N$$

## Product of two subgroups

There are *three* isomorphism theorems, known by their numbers. First we need to define the notion of a *product of subgroups*.

### Lemma

Let  $J, N \subseteq G$  be two subgroups, with  $N$  normal in  $G$  (we write  $N \trianglelefteq G$ ). Then the set

$$J \cdot N = \{j \cdot n, j \in J, n \in N\}$$

is a subgroup of  $G$ .

### Proof.

It suffices to show that  $J \cdot N$  is closed under multiplication and inverses. If  $j \cdot n \in JN$ , then

$$(jn)^{-1} = n^{-1}j^{-1} = j^{-1} \cdot (jnj^{-1}) \in J \cdot N$$

## Product of two subgroups

There are *three* isomorphism theorems, known by their numbers. First we need to define the notion of a *product of subgroups*.

### Lemma

Let  $J, N \subseteq G$  be two subgroups, with  $N$  normal in  $G$  (we write  $N \trianglelefteq G$ ). Then the set

$$J \cdot N = \{j \cdot n, j \in J, n \in N\}$$

is a subgroup of  $G$ .

### Proof.

It suffices to show that  $J \cdot N$  is closed under multiplication and inverses. If  $j \cdot n \in JN$ , then

$$(jn)^{-1} = n^{-1}j^{-1} = j^{-1} \cdot (jnj^{-1}) \in J \cdot N$$

## Product of two subgroups

There are *three* isomorphism theorems, known by their numbers. First we need to define the notion of a *product of subgroups*.

### Lemma

Let  $J, N \subseteq G$  be two subgroups, with  $N$  normal in  $G$  (we write  $N \trianglelefteq G$ ). Then the set

$$J \cdot N = \{j \cdot n, j \in J, n \in N\}$$

is a subgroup of  $G$ .

### Proof.

It suffices to show that  $J \cdot N$  is closed under multiplication and inverses. If  $j \cdot n \in JN$ , then

$$(jn)^{-1} = n^{-1}j^{-1} = j^{-1} \cdot (jnj^{-1}) \in J \cdot N$$

**Proof.**

Next, if  $j_1, j_2 \in J$ ,  $n_1, n_2 \in N$ , then

$$(j_1 \cdot n_1)(j_2 \cdot n_2) = j_1 j_2 \cdot (j_2^{-1} n_1 j_2) n_2 \in J \cdot N,$$

again because  $N$  is normal. This completes the proof. □

# First isomorphism theorem

## Theorem

Let  $f : G \rightarrow H$  be a homomorphism with kernel  $K$ .

Then there is an isomorphism

$$G/K = G/\text{Ker}(f) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Image}(f).$$

If  $G$  and  $H$  are vector spaces and  $f$  is a linear transformation, this can be compared to the formula

$$\dim G - \dim \ker(f) = \dim \text{Image}(f).$$

# First isomorphism theorem

## Theorem

Let  $f : G \rightarrow H$  be a homomorphism with kernel  $K$ . .  
Then there is an isomorphism

$$G/K = G/\text{Ker}(f) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Image}(f).$$

If  $G$  and  $H$  are vector spaces and  $f$  is a linear transformation, this can be compared to the formula

$$\dim G - \dim \ker(f) = \dim \text{Image}(f).$$

# First isomorphism theorem

## Theorem

Let  $f : G \rightarrow H$  be a homomorphism with kernel  $K$ . .  
Then there is an isomorphism

$$G/K = G/\text{Ker}(f) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Image}(f).$$

If  $G$  and  $H$  are vector spaces and  $f$  is a linear transformation, this can be compared to the formula

$$\dim G - \dim \ker(f) = \dim \text{Image}(f).$$

## Second Isomorphism theorem

### Theorem

Let  $G$  be a group,  $H \subseteq G$  a subgroup,  $N \trianglelefteq G$  a normal subgroup.  
Then the inclusion of  $H$  in  $H \cdot N$  determines an isomorphism

$$H/H \cap N \xrightarrow{\sim} H \cdot N/N$$

## Second Isomorphism theorem

### Theorem

Let  $G$  be a group,  $H \subseteq G$  a subgroup,  $N \trianglelefteq G$  a normal subgroup.  
Then the inclusion of  $H$  in  $H \cdot N$  determines an isomorphism

$$H/H \cap N \xrightarrow{\sim} H \cdot N/N$$

## Third isomorphism theorem

First recall that if  $N \trianglelefteq G$  is a normal subgroup, then there is a bijection between the set  $S$  of subgroups of the quotient  $G/N$  and the set  $T$  of subgroups of  $G$  containing  $N$ .

If  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/N$  is the quotient map, this correspondence is defined as follows: to each subgroup  $J \subset G/N$ , we associate the preimage  $\pi^{-1}(J) \subset G$ .

This defines a function from  $S$  to  $T$ . The inverse function takes a subgroup  $H \subset G$  containing  $N$  to its image  $\pi(H) \subset G/N$ .

## Third isomorphism theorem

First recall that if  $N \trianglelefteq G$  is a normal subgroup, then there is a bijection between the set  $S$  of subgroups of the quotient  $G/N$  and the set  $T$  of subgroups of  $G$  containing  $N$ .

If  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/N$  is the quotient map, this correspondence is defined as follows: to each subgroup  $J \subset G/N$ , we associate the preimage  $\pi^{-1}(J) \subset G$ .

This defines a function from  $S$  to  $T$ . The inverse function takes a subgroup  $H \subset G$  containing  $N$  to its image  $\pi(H) \subset G/N$ .

## Third isomorphism theorem

First recall that if  $N \trianglelefteq G$  is a normal subgroup, then there is a bijection between the set  $S$  of subgroups of the quotient  $G/N$  and the set  $T$  of subgroups of  $G$  containing  $N$ .

If  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/N$  is the quotient map, this correspondence is defined as follows: to each subgroup  $J \subset G/N$ , we associate the preimage  $\pi^{-1}(J) \subset G$ .

This defines a function from  $S$  to  $T$ . The inverse function takes a subgroup  $H \subset G$  containing  $N$  to its image  $\pi(H) \subset G/N$ .

# Third isomorphism theorem

## Theorem

Let  $G$  be a group,  $H \trianglelefteq G$ ,  $N \trianglelefteq G$  two normal subgroups, with  $N \subseteq H$ .  
Then the natural homomorphism  $G/N \rightarrow G/H$  induces an isomorphism

$$(G/N)/(H/N) \xrightarrow{\sim} G/H.$$

# Third isomorphism theorem

## Theorem

Let  $G$  be a group,  $H \trianglelefteq G$ ,  $N \trianglelefteq G$  two normal subgroups, with  $N \subseteq H$ . Then the natural homomorphism  $G/N \rightarrow G/H$  induces an isomorphism

$$(G/N)/(H/N) \xrightarrow{\sim} G/H.$$

## Proof of First Isomorphism Theorem

$$f : G \rightarrow H; \quad G/K = G/\text{Ker}(f) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Image}(f).$$

**Proof.**

Let  $J = \text{Image}(f) \subset H$ . Define  $\alpha : G/K \rightarrow J$  by setting  $\alpha(gK) = f(g)$ .

First,  $\alpha$  is *well-defined*; in other words, if  $gK = g'K$  then  $\alpha(gK) = \alpha(g'K)$ . Now if  $gK = g'K$  then  $\exists k \in K$  such that  $g' = gk$ . Then

$$\alpha(gK) = f(g) = f(g) \cdot f(k) = f(gk) = f(g') = \alpha(g'K),$$

where the second equality follows because  $f(k) = e$  for any  $k \in \ker(f)$ .



## Proof of First Isomorphism Theorem

$$f : G \rightarrow H; \quad G/K = G/\text{Ker}(f) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Image}(f).$$

## Proof.

Let  $J = \text{Image}(f) \subset H$ . Define  $\alpha : G/K \rightarrow J$  by setting  $\alpha(gK) = f(g)$ .

First,  $\alpha$  is *well-defined*; in other words, if  $gK = g'K$  then  $\alpha(gK) = \alpha(g'K)$ . Now if  $gK = g'K$  then  $\exists k \in K$  such that  $g' = gk$ . Then

$$\alpha(gK) = f(g) = f(g) \cdot f(k) = f(gk) = f(g') = \alpha(g'K),$$

where the second equality follows because  $f(k) = e$  for any  $k \in \ker(f)$ .



## Proof of First Isomorphism Theorem

$$f : G \rightarrow H; \quad G/K = G/\text{Ker}(f) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Image}(f).$$

## Proof.

Let  $J = \text{Image}(f) \subset H$ . Define  $\alpha : G/K \rightarrow J$  by setting  $\alpha(gK) = f(g)$ .

First,  $\alpha$  is *well-defined*; in other words, if  $gK = g'K$  then  $\alpha(gK) = \alpha(g'K)$ . Now if  $gK = g'K$  then  $\exists k \in K$  such that  $g' = gk$ . Then

$$\alpha(gK) = f(g) = f(g) \cdot f(k) = f(gk) = f(g') = \alpha(g'K),$$

where the second equality follows because  $f(k) = e$  for any  $k \in \ker(f)$ .



## Proof of First Isomorphism Theorem

$$f : G \rightarrow H; \quad G/K = G/\text{Ker}(f) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Image}(f).$$

## Proof.

Let  $J = \text{Image}(f) \subset H$ . Define  $\alpha : G/K \rightarrow J$  by setting  $\alpha(gK) = f(g)$ .

First,  $\alpha$  is *well-defined*; in other words, if  $gK = g'K$  then  $\alpha(gK) = \alpha(g'K)$ . Now if  $gK = g'K$  then  $\exists k \in K$  such that  $g' = gk$ . Then

$$\alpha(gK) = f(g) = f(g) \cdot f(k) = f(gk) = f(g') = \alpha(g'K),$$

where the second equality follows because  $f(k) = e$  for any  $k \in \ker(f)$ .



# Proof of First Isomorphism Theorem

## Proof.

Next, the image of  $\alpha$  (which a priori is in  $H$ ) is in fact contained in  $J$ . This is obvious by the definition of “image.”

Third,  $\alpha$  is surjective. Suppose  $j \in J = \text{Image}(f)$ . Thus there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $f(g) = j$ . It follows that  $\alpha(gK) = j$ .

Finally  $\alpha$  is injective. Suppose  $\alpha(gK) = e$ . Then  $f(g) = e$ , in other words  $g \in \ker(f) = K$ . So  $gK = K$  which is the identity element of  $G/K$ . Thus  $\alpha$  is injective.



# Proof of First Isomorphism Theorem

## Proof.

Next, the image of  $\alpha$  (which a priori is in  $H$ ) is in fact contained in  $J$ . This is obvious by the definition of “image.”

Third,  $\alpha$  is surjective. Suppose  $j \in J = \text{Image}(f)$ . Thus there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $f(g) = j$ . It follows that  $\alpha(gK) = j$ .

Finally  $\alpha$  is injective. Suppose  $\alpha(gK) = e$ . Then  $f(g) = e$ , in other words  $g \in \ker(f) = K$ . So  $gK = K$  which is the identity element of  $G/K$ . Thus  $\alpha$  is injective.



# Proof of First Isomorphism Theorem

## Proof.

Next, the image of  $\alpha$  (which a priori is in  $H$ ) is in fact contained in  $J$ . This is obvious by the definition of “image.”

Third,  $\alpha$  is surjective. Suppose  $j \in J = \text{Image}(f)$ . Thus there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $f(g) = j$ . It follows that  $\alpha(gK) = j$ .

Finally  $\alpha$  is injective. Suppose  $\alpha(gK) = e$ . Then  $f(g) = e$ , in other words  $g \in \ker(f) = K$ . So  $gK = K$  which is the identity element of  $G/K$ . Thus  $\alpha$  is injective.



## Proof of Second Isomorphism Theorem

**Proof.**

Consider the composition

$$H \hookrightarrow H \cdot N \rightarrow H \cdot N/N; \quad h \mapsto h \cdot e_N \mapsto (h \cdot e_N)N \in H \cdot N/N.$$

Call the composition  $\phi$ .

First,  $\phi$  is *surjective*. Indeed, the map  $\pi: H \cdot N \rightarrow H \cdot N/N$  is the surjective quotient map. Let  $j \in H \cdot N/N$  and suppose  $j = \pi(h \cdot n)$ . Since  $n \in N = \ker \pi$ ,

$$j = \pi(h \cdot n) = \pi(h) \cdot \pi(n) = \pi(h) = \pi(h \cdot e_N) = \phi(h).$$

Thus  $\phi$  is surjective. □

## Proof of Second Isomorphism Theorem

**Proof.**

Consider the composition

$$H \hookrightarrow H \cdot N \rightarrow H \cdot N/N; \quad h \mapsto h \cdot e_N \mapsto (h \cdot e_N)N \in H \cdot N/N.$$

Call the composition  $\phi$ .

First,  $\phi$  is *surjective*. Indeed, the map  $\pi: H \cdot N \rightarrow H \cdot N/N$  is the surjective quotient map. Let  $j \in H \cdot N/N$  and suppose  $j = \pi(h \cdot n)$ . Since  $n \in N = \ker \pi$ ,

$$j = \pi(h \cdot n) = \pi(h) \cdot \pi(n) = \pi(h) = \pi(h \cdot e_N) = \phi(h).$$

Thus  $\phi$  is surjective. □

# Proof of Second Isomorphism Theorem

**Proof.**

Consider the composition

$$H \hookrightarrow H \cdot N \rightarrow H \cdot N/N; \quad h \mapsto h \cdot e_N \mapsto (h \cdot e_N)N \in H \cdot N/N.$$

Call the composition  $\phi$ .

First,  $\phi$  is *surjective*. Indeed, the map  $\pi: H \cdot N \rightarrow H \cdot N/N$  is the surjective quotient map. Let  $j \in H \cdot N/N$  and suppose  $j = \pi(h \cdot n)$ . Since  $n \in N = \ker \pi$ ,

$$j = \pi(h \cdot n) = \pi(h) \cdot \pi(n) = \pi(h) = \pi(h \cdot e_N) = \phi(h).$$

Thus  $\phi$  is surjective. □

# Proof of Second Isomorphism Theorem

**Proof.**

Consider the composition

$$H \hookrightarrow H \cdot N \rightarrow H \cdot N/N; \quad h \mapsto h \cdot e_N \mapsto (h \cdot e_N)N \in H \cdot N/N.$$

Call the composition  $\phi$ .

First,  $\phi$  is *surjective*. Indeed, the map  $\pi: H \cdot N \rightarrow H \cdot N/N$  is the surjective quotient map. Let  $j \in H \cdot N/N$  and suppose  $j = \pi(h \cdot n)$ . Since  $n \in N = \ker \pi$ ,

$$j = \pi(h \cdot n) = \pi(h) \cdot \pi(n) = \pi(h) = \pi(h \cdot e_N) = \phi(h).$$

Thus  $\phi$  is surjective. □

# Proof of Second Isomorphism Theorem

Proof.

Next,

$$\ker(\phi) = \{h \mid h \cdot e_N \in \ker(\pi)\} = \{h \mid h \cdot e_N \in N\}.$$

But  $h \cdot e_N \in N$  if and only if  $h \in N$ . Since  $h \in H$ , it follows that  $\ker(\phi) = H \cap N$ .

But the First Isomorphism Theorem implies that

$$H / \ker(\phi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Image}(\phi).$$

We know  $\ker(\phi) = H \cap N$  and  $\text{Image}(\phi) = H \cdot N / N$  because  $\phi$  is surjective. Thus

$$H / H \cap N \xrightarrow{\sim} H \cdot N / N,$$

which is what we had to prove. □

# Proof of Second Isomorphism Theorem

**Proof.**

Next,

$$\ker(\phi) = \{h \mid h \cdot e_N \in \ker(\pi)\} = \{h \mid h \cdot e_N \in N\}.$$

But  $h \cdot e_N \in N$  if and only if  $h \in N$ . Since  $h \in H$ , it follows that  $\ker(\phi) = H \cap N$ .

But the First Isomorphism Theorem implies that

$$H / \ker(\phi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Image}(\phi).$$

We know  $\ker(\phi) = H \cap N$  and  $\text{Image}(\phi) = H \cdot N / N$  because  $\phi$  is surjective. Thus

$$H / H \cap N \xrightarrow{\sim} H \cdot N / N,$$

which is what we had to prove. □

# Proof of Second Isomorphism Theorem

Proof.

Next,

$$\ker(\phi) = \{h \mid h \cdot e_N \in \ker(\pi)\} = \{h \mid h \cdot e_N \in N\}.$$

But  $h \cdot e_N \in N$  if and only if  $h \in N$ . Since  $h \in H$ , it follows that  $\ker(\phi) = H \cap N$ .

But the First Isomorphism Theorem implies that

$$H / \ker(\phi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Image}(\phi).$$

We know  $\ker(\phi) = H \cap N$  and  $\text{Image}(\phi) = H \cdot N / N$  because  $\phi$  is surjective. Thus

$$H / H \cap N \xrightarrow{\sim} H \cdot N / N,$$

which is what we had to prove. □

## Proof of Second Isomorphism Theorem

Proof.

Next,

$$\ker(\phi) = \{h \mid h \cdot e_N \in \ker(\pi)\} = \{h \mid h \cdot e_N \in N\}.$$

But  $h \cdot e_N \in N$  if and only if  $h \in N$ . Since  $h \in H$ , it follows that  $\ker(\phi) = H \cap N$ .

But the First Isomorphism Theorem implies that

$$H / \ker(\phi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Image}(\phi).$$

We know  $\ker(\phi) = H \cap N$  and  $\text{Image}(\phi) = H \cdot N / N$  because  $\phi$  is surjective. Thus

$$H / H \cap N \xrightarrow{\sim} H \cdot N / N,$$

which is what we had to prove. □

# Proof of Third Isomorphism Theorem

**Proof.**

Let  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/N$  be the quotient map. We define a homomorphism

$$f : G/N \rightarrow G/H; gN \mapsto gH.$$

This is well-defined because  $N \subseteq H$ : if  $g'N = gN$  then  $g'H = gH$ .

And it is a homomorphism because if  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ ,

$$g_1g_2H = g_1H \cdot g_2H$$

because  $H$  is a normal subgroup. Moreover,  $f$  is surjective: if  $j \in G/H$  then  $j = gH$  for some  $g \in G$ , and then  $j = f(gN)$ .  $\square$

# Proof of Third Isomorphism Theorem

**Proof.**

Let  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/N$  be the quotient map. We define a homomorphism

$$f : G/N \rightarrow G/H; gN \mapsto gH.$$

This is well-defined because  $N \subseteq H$ : if  $g'N = gN$  then  $g'H = gH$ .  
And it is a homomorphism because if  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ ,

$$g_1g_2H = g_1H \cdot g_2H$$

because  $H$  is a normal subgroup. Moreover,  $f$  is surjective: if  $j \in G/H$  then  $j = gH$  for some  $g \in G$ , and then  $j = f(gN)$ .  $\square$

# Proof of Third Isomorphism Theorem

**Proof.**

Let  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/N$  be the quotient map. We define a homomorphism

$$f : G/N \rightarrow G/H; gN \mapsto gH.$$

This is well-defined because  $N \subseteq H$ : if  $g'N = gN$  then  $g'H = gH$ .  
And it is a homomorphism because if  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ ,

$$g_1g_2H = g_1H \cdot g_2H$$

because  $H$  is a normal subgroup. Moreover,  $f$  is surjective: if  $j \in G/H$  then  $j = gH$  for some  $g \in G$ , and then  $j = f(gN)$ .  $\square$

# Proof of Third Isomorphism Theorem

Proof.

Finally,

$$\ker(f) = \{gN \mid gH = H\} = \{gN \mid g \in H\}$$

which is just  $\pi(H)$ . But  $\pi(H) = H/N$  under the bijection between subgroups of  $G/N$  and subgroups of  $G$  containing  $N$ .

Thus  $\ker(f) = H/N$ . □

# Proof of Third Isomorphism Theorem

Proof.

Finally,

$$\ker(f) = \{gN \mid gH = H\} = \{gN \mid g \in H\}$$

which is just  $\pi(H)$ . But  $\pi(H) = H/N$  under the bijection between subgroups of  $G/N$  and subgroups of  $G$  containing  $N$ .

Thus  $\ker(f) = H/N$ . □

# An example

Let  $G = S_4$ ,  $H = A_4 \supseteq N = K_4$ . (We know  $N$  is normal in  $S_4$  by a homework exercise.)

Then  $H/N = A_4/K_4$  is a group of order 3, which must be the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ .

## Question

$G/N = 6$ . Is it isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  or  $S_3 = D_6$ ?

$\mathbb{Z}_6$  has an element of order 6. If  $G/N = \mathbb{Z}_6$ , then  $G$  must have an element of order at least 6. But  $S_4$  has no such element. Thus  $G/N = D_6$ .

Of course  $G/H = \mathbb{Z}_2$ ,  $H/N$  is the unique subgroup of order 3 in  $D_6$ , and  $(G/N)/(H/N)$  is also  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

There are more interesting examples for finite abelian groups.

# An example

Let  $G = S_4$ ,  $H = A_4 \supseteq N = K_4$ . (We know  $N$  is normal in  $S_4$  by a homework exercise.)

Then  $H/N = A_4/K_4$  is a group of order 3, which must be the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ .

## Question

$G/N = 6$ . Is it isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  or  $S_3 = D_6$ ?

$\mathbb{Z}_6$  has an element of order 6. If  $G/N = \mathbb{Z}_6$ , then  $G$  must have an element of order at least 6. But  $S_4$  has no such element. Thus  $G/N = D_6$ .

Of course  $G/H = \mathbb{Z}_2$ ,  $H/N$  is the unique subgroup of order 3 in  $D_6$ , and  $(G/N)/(H/N)$  is also  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

There are more interesting examples for finite abelian groups.

# An example

Let  $G = S_4$ ,  $H = A_4 \supseteq N = K_4$ . (We know  $N$  is normal in  $S_4$  by a homework exercise.)

Then  $H/N = A_4/K_4$  is a group of order 3, which must be the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ .

## Question

$G/N = 6$ . Is it isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  or  $S_3 = D_6$ ?

$\mathbb{Z}_6$  has an element of order 6. If  $G/N = \mathbb{Z}_6$ , then  $G$  must have an element of order at least 6. But  $S_4$  has no such element. Thus  $G/N = D_6$ .

Of course  $G/H = \mathbb{Z}_2$ ,  $H/N$  is the unique subgroup of order 3 in  $D_6$ , and  $(G/N)/(H/N)$  is also  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

There are more interesting examples for finite abelian groups.

# An example

Let  $G = S_4$ ,  $H = A_4 \supseteq N = K_4$ . (We know  $N$  is normal in  $S_4$  by a homework exercise.)

Then  $H/N = A_4/K_4$  is a group of order 3, which must be the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ .

## Question

$G/N = 6$ . Is it isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  or  $S_3 = D_6$ ?

$\mathbb{Z}_6$  has an element of order 6. If  $G/N = \mathbb{Z}_6$ , then  $G$  must have an element of order at least 6. But  $S_4$  has no such element. Thus  $G/N = D_6$ .

Of course  $G/H = \mathbb{Z}_2$ ,  $H/N$  is the unique subgroup of order 3 in  $D_6$ , and  $(G/N)/(H/N)$  is also  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

There are more interesting examples for finite abelian groups.

# The main theorem

## Theorem

Let  $A$  be a finite abelian group. There is a sequence of prime numbers

$$p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_n$$

(not necessarily all distinct) and a sequence of positive integers

$$a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$$

(in no particular order) such that  $A$  is isomorphic to the direct product

$$A \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_{p_1}^{a_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2}^{a_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_n}^{a_n}.$$

In particular

$$|A| = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{a_i}.$$

# The main theorem

## Theorem

Let  $A$  be a finite abelian group. There is a sequence of prime numbers

$$p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_n$$

(not necessarily all distinct) and a sequence of positive integers

$$a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$$

(in no particular order) such that  $A$  is isomorphic to the direct product

$$A \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_{p_1}^{a_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2}^{a_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_n}^{a_n}.$$

In particular

$$|A| = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{a_i}.$$

# The main theorem

## Theorem

Let  $A$  be a finite abelian group. There is a sequence of prime numbers

$$p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_n$$

(not necessarily all distinct) and a sequence of positive integers

$$a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$$

(in no particular order) such that  $A$  is isomorphic to the direct product

$$A \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_{p_1}^{a_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2}^{a_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_n}^{a_n}.$$

In particular

$$|A| = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{a_i}.$$

# The main theorem

## Theorem

Let  $A$  be a finite abelian group. There is a sequence of prime numbers

$$p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_n$$

(not necessarily all distinct) and a sequence of positive integers

$$a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$$

(in no particular order) such that  $A$  is isomorphic to the direct product

$$A \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_{p_1}^{a_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2}^{a_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_n}^{a_n}.$$

In particular

$$|A| = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{a_i}.$$

# Prime factors

This can be broken down into two theorems.

## Theorem (Theorem 1)

*Let  $A$  be a finite abelian group. Let  $q_1, \dots, q_r$  be the distinct primes dividing  $|A|$ , and say*

$$|A| = \prod_j q_j^{b_j}.$$

*Then there are subgroups  $A_j \subseteq A$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, r$ , with  $|A_j| = q_j^{b_j}$ , and an isomorphism*

$$A \xrightarrow{\sim} A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_r.$$

# Prime factors

This can be broken down into two theorems.

## Theorem (Theorem 1)

Let  $A$  be a finite abelian group. Let  $q_1, \dots, q_r$  be the distinct primes dividing  $|A|$ , and say

$$|A| = \prod_j q_j^{b_j}.$$

Then there are subgroups  $A_j \subseteq A$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, r$ , with  $|A_j| = q_j^{b_j}$ , and an isomorphism

$$A \xrightarrow{\sim} A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_r.$$

## Abelian groups of prime power order

## Theorem (Theorem 2)

Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $A$  be a finite abelian group of order  $p^N$  for some  $N > 1$ . Then there is a sequence of positive integers  $c_1 \leq c_2 \leq \dots \leq c_s$  and an isomorphism

$$A \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_{p^{c_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{c_2}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{c_s}}.$$

Theorem 1 is essentially a series of applications of the Chinese Remainder Theorem, and is not very hard.

Theorem 2 is a more complicated induction argument.

## Abelian groups of prime power order

## Theorem (Theorem 2)

Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $A$  be a finite abelian group of order  $p^N$  for some  $N > 1$ . Then there is a sequence of positive integers  $c_1 \leq c_2 \leq \dots \leq c_s$  and an isomorphism

$$A \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_{p^{c_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{c_2}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{c_s}}.$$

Theorem 1 is essentially a series of applications of the Chinese Remainder Theorem, and is not very hard.

Theorem 2 is a more complicated induction argument.

## Abelian groups of prime power order

## Theorem (Theorem 2)

Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $A$  be a finite abelian group of order  $p^N$  for some  $N > 1$ . Then there is a sequence of positive integers  $c_1 \leq c_2 \leq \dots \leq c_s$  and an isomorphism

$$A \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_{p^{c_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{c_2}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{c_s}}.$$

Theorem 1 is essentially a series of applications of the Chinese Remainder Theorem, and is not very hard.

Theorem 2 is a more complicated induction argument.

# Additive notation

We will use *additive notation* for the abelian group  $A$ . So instead of writing  $a \cdot b$  we write  $a + b$ , and instead of writing  $a^m$  we write  $ma$ , where  $m$  is any integer. We also write  $-a$  instead of  $a^{-1}$  and  $0$  instead of  $e$ . Because  $A$  is abelian, we know  $a + b = b + a$  for any  $a, b \in A$ .

## Lemma

*Let  $A$  be an abelian group. Then for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the function  $a \mapsto ma$  is a homomorphism.*

# Additive notation

We will use *additive notation* for the abelian group  $A$ . So instead of writing  $a \cdot b$  we write  $a + b$ , and instead of writing  $a^m$  we write  $ma$ , where  $m$  is any integer. We also write  $-a$  instead of  $a^{-1}$  and  $0$  instead of  $e$ . Because  $A$  is abelian, we know  $a + b = b + a$  for any  $a, b \in A$ .

## Lemma

*Let  $A$  be an abelian group. Then for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the function  $a \mapsto ma$  is a homomorphism.*

# Additive notation

We will use *additive notation* for the abelian group  $A$ . So instead of writing  $a \cdot b$  we write  $a + b$ , and instead of writing  $a^m$  we write  $ma$ , where  $m$  is any integer. We also write  $-a$  instead of  $a^{-1}$  and  $0$  instead of  $e$ . Because  $A$  is abelian, we know  $a + b = b + a$  for any  $a, b \in A$ .

## Lemma

Let  $A$  be an abelian group. Then for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the function  $a \mapsto ma$  is a homomorphism.

# Proof of the Lemma

**Proof.**

We need to show that, for all  $a, b \in A$ ,

$$m(a + b) = ma + mb.$$

We prove this for  $m > 0$  by induction; the case of  $m < 0$  is similar. For  $m = 1$  there is nothing to prove. Suppose we know the equality for  $m$ . Then

$$(m + 1)(a + b) = m(a + b) + (a + b) = (ma + mb) + (a + b)$$

by the induction hypothesis. But now by associativity

$$(ma + mb) + (a + b) = ma + (mb + a) + b = ma + (a + mb) + b$$

where the last equality is allowed because  $A$  is abelian. □

# Proof of the Lemma

**Proof.**

We need to show that, for all  $a, b \in A$ ,

$$m(a + b) = ma + mb.$$

We prove this for  $m > 0$  by induction; the case of  $m < 0$  is similar.

For  $m = 1$  there is nothing to prove. Suppose we know the equality for  $m$ . Then

$$(m + 1)(a + b) = m(a + b) + (a + b) = (ma + mb) + (a + b)$$

by the induction hypothesis. But now by associativity

$$(ma + mb) + (a + b) = ma + (mb + a) + b = ma + (a + mb) + b$$

where the last equality is allowed because  $A$  is abelian. □

# Proof of the Lemma

**Proof.**

We need to show that, for all  $a, b \in A$ ,

$$m(a + b) = ma + mb.$$

We prove this for  $m > 0$  by induction; the case of  $m < 0$  is similar. For  $m = 1$  there is nothing to prove. Suppose we know the equality for  $m$ . Then

$$(m + 1)(a + b) = m(a + b) + (a + b) = (ma + mb) + (a + b)$$

by the induction hypothesis. But now by associativity

$$(ma + mb) + (a + b) = ma + (mb + a) + b = ma + (a + mb) + b$$

where the last equality is allowed because  $A$  is abelian. □

## Proof of the Lemma, concluded

**Proof.**

So far we have

$$(m + 1)(a + b) = ma + (a + mb) + b.$$

Continuing by associativity

$$ma + (a + mb) + b = (ma + a) + (mb + b) = (m + 1)a + (m + 1)b$$

and we are done by induction.



## Proof of the Lemma, concluded

Proof.

So far we have

$$(m + 1)(a + b) = ma + (a + mb) + b.$$

Continuing by associativity

$$ma + (a + mb) + b = (ma + a) + (mb + b) = (m + 1)a + (m + 1)b$$

and we are done by induction.



# A Proposition

## Proposition

Suppose  $A$  is an abelian group of order  $mn$ , where  $(m, n) = 1$ . Then there are subgroups  $A_m, A_n \subseteq A$  such that  $|A_m| = m$ ,  $|A_n| = n$ , such that the inclusion defines an isomorphism

$$A_n \times A_m \xrightarrow{\sim} A.$$

Proof.

Define

$$mA = \{ma, a \in A\}; nA = \{na, a \in A\}.$$

Claim  $mA \cap nA = \{0\}$ . Suppose  $x \in mA \cap nA$ . Then there are  $a, b \in A$  such that

$$x = ma = nb.$$



# A Proposition

## Proposition

Suppose  $A$  is an abelian group of order  $mn$ , where  $(m, n) = 1$ . Then there are subgroups  $A_m, A_n \subseteq A$  such that  $|A_m| = m$ ,  $|A_n| = n$ , such that the inclusion defines an isomorphism

$$A_n \times A_m \xrightarrow{\sim} A.$$

Proof.

Define

$$mA = \{ma, a \in A\}; nA = \{na, a \in A\}.$$

Claim  $mA \cap nA = \{0\}$ . Suppose  $x \in mA \cap nA$ . Then there are  $a, b \in A$  such that

$$x = ma = nb.$$



# A Proposition

## Proposition

Suppose  $A$  is an abelian group of order  $mn$ , where  $(m, n) = 1$ . Then there are subgroups  $A_m, A_n \subseteq A$  such that  $|A_m| = m$ ,  $|A_n| = n$ , such that the inclusion defines an isomorphism

$$A_n \times A_m \xrightarrow{\sim} A.$$

## Proof.

Define

$$mA = \{ma, a \in A\}; nA = \{na, a \in A\}.$$

Claim  $mA \cap nA = \{0\}$ . Suppose  $x \in mA \cap nA$ . Then there are  $a, b \in A$  such that

$$x = ma = nb.$$



# A Proposition

## Proposition

Suppose  $A$  is an abelian group of order  $mn$ , where  $(m, n) = 1$ . Then there are subgroups  $A_m, A_n \subseteq A$  such that  $|A_m| = m$ ,  $|A_n| = n$ , such that the inclusion defines an isomorphism

$$A_n \times A_m \xrightarrow{\sim} A.$$

## Proof.

Define

$$mA = \{ma, a \in A\}; nA = \{na, a \in A\}.$$

Claim  $mA \cap nA = \{0\}$ . Suppose  $x \in mA \cap nA$ . Then there are  $a, b \in A$  such that

$$x = ma = nb.$$



## Proof of Proposition, continued

**Proof.**

Since  $x = ma = nb$ , we have

$$mx = m^2a = mnb = 0.$$

Similarly  $nx = 0$ .

But there are constants  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\alpha m + \beta n = 1$ . Thus

$$x = (\alpha m + \beta n)x = \alpha \cdot mx + \beta \cdot nx = 0.$$

So  $mA \cap nA = \{0\}$  as claimed.



## Proof of Proposition, continued

**Proof.**

Since  $x = ma = nb$ , we have

$$mx = m^2a = mnb = 0.$$

Similarly  $nx = 0$ .

But there are constants  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\alpha m + \beta n = 1$ . Thus

$$x = (\alpha m + \beta n)x = \alpha \cdot mx + \beta \cdot nx = 0.$$

So  $mA \cap nA = \{0\}$  as claimed.



## Proof of Proposition, continued

**Proof.**

Since  $x = ma = nb$ , we have

$$mx = m^2a = mnb = 0.$$

Similarly  $nx = 0$ .

But there are constants  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\alpha m + \beta n = 1$ . Thus

$$x = (\alpha m + \beta n)x = \alpha \cdot mx + \beta \cdot nx = 0.$$

So  $mA \cap nA = \{0\}$  as claimed.



## Proof of Proposition, continued

**Proof.**

Now define  $A_n = mA$ ,  $A_m = nA$  (careful!) Inclusion defines a homomorphism

$$f : A_n \times A_m \rightarrow A; \quad f((u, v)) = u - v.$$

Suppose  $(u, v) \in \ker f$ . Then  $u - v = 0$ , so  $u = v \in A_n \cap A_m = \{0\}$ . Thus  $f$  is injective.

On the other hand, if  $a \in A$ , let  $\alpha m + \beta n = 1$  as before. Write  $u = \alpha \cdot ma \in A_n$ ,  $v = -\beta \cdot na \in A_m$ . Then

$$f((u, v)) = \alpha \cdot ma - (-\beta \cdot na) = (\alpha m + \beta n)a = a,$$

so  $f$  is surjective as well. Thus  $f$  is an isomorphism. □

## Proof of Proposition, continued

**Proof.**

Now define  $A_n = mA$ ,  $A_m = nA$  (careful!) Inclusion defines a homomorphism

$$f : A_n \times A_m \rightarrow A; f((u, v)) = u - v.$$

Suppose  $(u, v) \in \ker f$ . Then  $u - v = 0$ , so  $u = v \in A_n \cap A_m = \{0\}$ . Thus  $f$  is injective.

On the other hand, if  $a \in A$ , let  $\alpha m + \beta n = 1$  as before. Write  $u = \alpha \cdot ma \in A_n$ ,  $v = -\beta \cdot na \in A_m$ . Then

$$f((u, v)) = \alpha \cdot ma - (-\beta \cdot na) = (\alpha m + \beta n)a = a,$$

so  $f$  is surjective as well. Thus  $f$  is an isomorphism. □

## Proof of Proposition, continued

**Proof.**

Now define  $A_n = mA$ ,  $A_m = nA$  (careful!) Inclusion defines a homomorphism

$$f : A_n \times A_m \rightarrow A; \quad f((u, v)) = u - v.$$

Suppose  $(u, v) \in \ker f$ . Then  $u - v = 0$ , so  $u = v \in A_n \cap A_m = \{0\}$ . Thus  $f$  is injective.

On the other hand, if  $a \in A$ , let  $\alpha m + \beta n = 1$  as before. Write  $u = \alpha \cdot ma \in A_n$ ,  $v = -\beta \cdot na \in A_m$ . Then

$$f((u, v)) = \alpha \cdot ma - (-\beta \cdot na) = (\alpha m + \beta n)a = a,$$

so  $f$  is surjective as well. Thus  $f$  is an isomorphism. □

## Proof of Proposition, continued

**Proof.**

Now define  $A_n = mA$ ,  $A_m = nA$  (careful!) Inclusion defines a homomorphism

$$f : A_n \times A_m \rightarrow A; \quad f((u, v)) = u - v.$$

Suppose  $(u, v) \in \ker f$ . Then  $u - v = 0$ , so  $u = v \in A_n \cap A_m = \{0\}$ . Thus  $f$  is injective.

On the other hand, if  $a \in A$ , let  $\alpha m + \beta n = 1$  as before. Write  $u = \alpha \cdot ma \in A_n$ ,  $v = -\beta \cdot na \in A_m$ . Then

$$f((u, v)) = \alpha \cdot ma - (-\beta \cdot na) = (\alpha m + \beta n)a = a,$$

so  $f$  is surjective as well. Thus  $f$  is an isomorphism. □

## Proof of Proposition, continued

**Proof.**

Now define  $A_n = mA$ ,  $A_m = nA$  (careful!) Inclusion defines a homomorphism

$$f : A_n \times A_m \rightarrow A; f((u, v)) = u - v.$$

Suppose  $(u, v) \in \ker f$ . Then  $u - v = 0$ , so  $u = v \in A_n \cap A_m = \{0\}$ . Thus  $f$  is injective.

On the other hand, if  $a \in A$ , let  $\alpha m + \beta n = 1$  as before. Write  $u = \alpha \cdot ma \in A_n$ ,  $v = -\beta \cdot na \in A_m$ . Then

$$f((u, v)) = \alpha \cdot ma - (-\beta \cdot na) = (\alpha m + \beta n)a = a,$$

so  $f$  is surjective as well. Thus  $f$  is an isomorphism. □

## Proof of Proposition, continued

**Proof.**

We see that

$$nm = |A| = |A_n| \cdot |A_m|.$$

But we still need to show that  $|A_n| = n$  and  $|A_m| = m$ . It suffices to show that  $|A_m|$  and  $n$  are relatively prime, because then  $n$  divides  $nm = |A_n| \cdot |A_m|$  implies  $n$  divides  $|A_n|$  by Gauss's Lemma; similarly  $m$  divides  $|A_m|$ , so we must have  $n = |A_n|$  and  $m = |A_m|$ .

Thus suppose  $p | \gcd(|A_m|, n)$ . Now we claim that  $v \mapsto nv$  is an automorphism of  $A_m$ . Indeed, for  $v = nb \in A_m$ ,  $mv = mnb = 0$ , so

$$\beta nv = \beta n(nb) = \alpha mv + \beta nv = v$$

so that  $v \mapsto \beta v$  is the inverse automorphism. Since  $p | n$ , it follows that for  $v \in A_m$ ,  $pv = 0$  only if  $v = 0$ . □

## Proof of Proposition, continued

**Proof.**

We see that

$$nm = |A| = |A_n| \cdot |A_m|.$$

But we still need to show that  $|A_n| = n$  and  $|A_m| = m$ . It suffices to show that  $|A_m|$  and  $n$  are relatively prime, because then  $n$  divides  $nm = |A_n| \cdot |A_m|$  implies  $n$  divides  $|A_n|$  by Gauss's Lemma; similarly  $m$  divides  $|A_m|$ , so we must have  $n = |A_n|$  and  $m = |A_m|$ .

Thus suppose  $p | \gcd(|A_m|, n)$ . Now we claim that  $v \mapsto nv$  is an automorphism of  $A_m$ . Indeed, for  $v = nb \in A_m$ ,  $mv = mnb = 0$ , so

$$\beta nv = \beta n(nb) = \alpha mv + \beta nv = v$$

so that  $v \mapsto \beta v$  is the inverse automorphism. Since  $p | n$ , it follows that for  $v \in A_m$ ,  $pv = 0$  only if  $v = 0$ . □

## Proof of Proposition, continued

**Proof.**

We see that

$$nm = |A| = |A_n| \cdot |A_m|.$$

But we still need to show that  $|A_n| = n$  and  $|A_m| = m$ . It suffices to show that  $|A_m|$  and  $n$  are relatively prime, because then  $n$  divides  $nm = |A_n| \cdot |A_m|$  implies  $n$  divides  $|A_n|$  by Gauss's Lemma; similarly  $m$  divides  $|A_m|$ , so we must have  $n = |A_n|$  and  $m = |A_m|$ .

Thus suppose  $p \mid \gcd(|A_m|, n)$ . Now we claim that  $v \mapsto nv$  is an automorphism of  $A_m$ . Indeed, for  $v = nb \in A_m$ ,  $mv = mnb = 0$ , so

$$\beta nv = \beta n(nb) = \alpha mv + \beta nv = v$$

so that  $v \mapsto \beta v$  is the inverse automorphism. Since  $p \mid n$ , it follows that for  $v \in A_m$ ,  $pv = 0$  only if  $v = 0$ . □

## Proof of Proposition, continued

**Proof.**

We see that

$$nm = |A| = |A_n| \cdot |A_m|.$$

But we still need to show that  $|A_n| = n$  and  $|A_m| = m$ . It suffices to show that  $|A_m|$  and  $n$  are relatively prime, because then  $n$  divides  $nm = |A_n| \cdot |A_m|$  implies  $n$  divides  $|A_n|$  by Gauss's Lemma; similarly  $m$  divides  $|A_m|$ , so we must have  $n = |A_n|$  and  $m = |A_m|$ .

Thus suppose  $p \mid \gcd(|A_m|, n)$ . Now we claim that  $v \mapsto nv$  is an automorphism of  $A_m$ . Indeed, for  $v = nb \in A_m$ ,  $mv = mnb = 0$ , so

$$\beta nv = \beta n(nb) = \alpha mv + \beta nv = v$$

so that  $v \mapsto \beta v$  is the inverse automorphism. Since  $p \mid n$ , it follows that for  $v \in A_m$ ,  $pv = 0$  only if  $v = 0$ . □

## A key lemma

So  $p$  is an automorphism of  $|A_m|$  but  $p$  divides the order of  $A_m$ . We pause for a key lemma:

### Lemma

*Let  $B$  be a finite abelian group of order divisible by  $p$ . Then  $B$  contains a non-zero element of order  $p$ .*

This Lemma contradicts the earlier conclusion that  $p\nu = 0 \Rightarrow \nu = 0$ . So the Lemma completes the proof of the Proposition.

## A key lemma

So  $p$  is an automorphism of  $|A_m|$  but  $p$  divides the order of  $A_m$ . We pause for a key lemma:

### Lemma

*Let  $B$  be a finite abelian group of order divisible by  $p$ . Then  $B$  contains a non-zero element of order  $p$ .*

This Lemma contradicts the earlier conclusion that  $p\nu = 0 \Rightarrow \nu = 0$ . So the Lemma completes the proof of the Proposition.

## A key lemma

So  $p$  is an automorphism of  $|A_m|$  but  $p$  divides the order of  $A_m$ . We pause for a key lemma:

### Lemma

*Let  $B$  be a finite abelian group of order divisible by  $p$ . Then  $B$  contains a non-zero element of order  $p$ .*

This Lemma contradicts the earlier conclusion that  $p\nu = 0 \Rightarrow \nu = 0$ . So the Lemma completes the proof of the Proposition.

# Proof of the key lemma

## Proof.

This is again an inductive proof. Say  $|B| = pN$ . If  $N = 1$  then  $B$  is cyclic of order  $p$  and we know the result. Suppose we know the result for all  $|B|$  of order  $pk$  with  $k < N$ . If  $B$  has no nontrivial proper subgroup, then  $B$  is cyclic of prime order; so  $B$  must have a proper subgroup  $H \subsetneq B$ ,  $|H| > 1$ . If  $p$  divides  $|H|$  then by induction  $H$  has a non-zero element of order  $p$ , and we are done. So assume  $p$  does not divide  $r = |H|$ . It follows that there is  $g \in B/H$  of order  $p$ .  $\square$

# Proof of the key lemma

## Proof.

This is again an inductive proof. Say  $|B| = pN$ . If  $N = 1$  then  $B$  is cyclic of order  $p$  and we know the result. Suppose we know the result for all  $|B|$  of order  $pk$  with  $k < N$ . If  $B$  has no nontrivial proper subgroup, then  $B$  is cyclic of prime order; so  $B$  must have a proper subgroup  $H \subsetneq B$ ,  $|H| > 1$ . If  $p$  divides  $|H|$  then by induction  $H$  has a non-zero element of order  $p$ , and we are done. So assume  $p$  does not divide  $r = |H|$ . It follows that there is  $g \in B/H$  of order  $p$ .  $\square$

## Proof of the key lemma

## Proof.

This is again an inductive proof. Say  $|B| = pN$ . If  $N = 1$  then  $B$  is cyclic of order  $p$  and we know the result. Suppose we know the result for all  $|B|$  of order  $pk$  with  $k < N$ . If  $B$  has no nontrivial proper subgroup, then  $B$  is cyclic of prime order; so  $B$  must have a proper subgroup  $H \subsetneq B$ ,  $|H| > 1$ . If  $p$  divides  $|H|$  then by induction  $H$  has a non-zero element of order  $p$ , and we are done. So assume  $p$  does not divide  $r = |H|$ . It follows that there is  $g \in B/H$  of order  $p$ .  $\square$

# Proof of the key lemma

## Proof.

Let  $\pi : B \rightarrow B/H$  be the quotient map,  $\pi(b) = g \in B/H$ . Thus  $b \notin H$  but  $\pi(pb) = pg = 0$ , so  $pb \in H$ , so  $rpb = 0$ . Let  $a = rb$ , so  $pa = 0$ . We suppose  $a \neq 0$  and derive a contradiction. Use Bezout's relation yet again. Since  $(p, r) = 1$  there are integers  $\gamma, \delta$  such that

$$b = (\gamma p + \delta r)b = \gamma pb + \delta a = \gamma pb + 0 \in H,$$

contradiction. □

## Proof of the key lemma

## Proof.

Let  $\pi : B \rightarrow B/H$  be the quotient map,  $\pi(b) = g \in B/H$ . Thus  $b \notin H$  but  $\pi(pb) = pg = 0$ , so  $pb \in H$ , so  $rpb = 0$ . Let  $a = rb$ , so  $pa = 0$ . We suppose  $a = 0$  and derive a contradiction. Use Bezout's relation yet again. Since  $(p, r) = 1$  there are integers  $\gamma, \delta$  such that

$$b = (\gamma p + \delta r)b = \gamma pb + \delta a = \gamma pb + 0 \in H,$$

contradiction. □

## Proof of the key lemma

## Proof.

Let  $\pi : B \rightarrow B/H$  be the quotient map,  $\pi(b) = g \in B/H$ . Thus  $b \notin H$  but  $\pi(pb) = pg = 0$ , so  $pb \in H$ , so  $rpb = 0$ . Let  $a = rb$ , so  $pa = 0$ . We suppose  $a \neq 0$  and derive a contradiction. Use Bezout's relation yet again. Since  $(p, r) = 1$  there are integers  $\gamma, \delta$  such that

$$b = (\gamma p + \delta r)b = \gamma pb + \delta a = \gamma pb + 0 \in H,$$

contradiction. □