

## REALIZING $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{G_m^\#}$ -COMODULES EXPLICITLY

We show the following theorem [BL22a, Theorem 3.5.8] by mimic the argument of [BL22b, Proposition 2.4.4].

**Theorem 0.1.** *There is an equivalence of categories*

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathrm{WCart}^{\mathrm{HT}}) \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{(\Theta^p - \Theta) - \mathrm{nil}}(\mathbb{Z}_p[\Theta])$$

*In particular, the Cartier dual of  $\mathbb{G}_m^\#$  is the formal completion of  $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\Theta])$  along  $V(p, \Theta^p - \Theta)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\eta : \mathrm{Spf}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{WCart}^{\mathrm{HT}}$  be the faithfully flat cover. Identifying  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{G_m^\#}$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[t^{-1}, (t-1)^n/n!]^\wedge$  and a simple computation we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{G_m^\#} \xrightarrow{\frac{d}{d \log(t)}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{G_m^\#} \rightarrow 0.$$

By faithfully flatness of  $\eta$ , this gives a fiber sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{WCart}^{\mathrm{HT}}} \rightarrow \eta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spf}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}_p}} \eta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spf}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}$$

where  $\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$  denote the Sen operator for  $\eta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spf}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}$ . Since  $\eta_*$  and  $\eta^*$  both commutes with filtered colimits, it follows from the projection formula and the above fibre sequence that  $R\Gamma(\mathrm{WCart}^{\mathrm{HT}}, -)$  also commutes with filtered colimits. Hence for any  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{WCart}^{\mathrm{HT}})$ , we have  $\mathcal{E}_\eta \simeq R\Gamma(\mathrm{WCart}^{\mathrm{HT}}, \eta_* \mathcal{E}_\eta) \simeq R\Gamma(\mathrm{WCart}^{\mathrm{HT}}, \eta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spf}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \otimes \mathcal{E})$  carrying an endomorphism  $\Theta_{\mathcal{E}}$  (given by  $\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{E}}$ ). Since  $\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^p - \Theta_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$  is locally nilpotent mod  $p$ , so is  $\Theta_{\mathcal{E}}$  by using  $R\Gamma(\mathrm{WCart}^{\mathrm{HT}}, -)$  commuting with filtered colimits. In conclusion, we get a functor

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathrm{WCart}^{\mathrm{HT}}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{(\Theta^p - \Theta) - \mathrm{nil}}(\mathbb{Z}_p[\Theta])$$

by  $\mathcal{E} \mapsto (\mathcal{E}_\eta, \Theta_{\mathcal{E}})$ . And the above fiber sequence shows that this functor is fully faithful.

As for essential surjectivity, suppose  $M \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{(\Theta^p - \Theta) - \mathrm{nil}}(\mathbb{Z}_p[\Theta])$ , i.e.  $p$ -adically complete  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module  $M$  with a  $\Theta$ -action which  $\Theta^p - \Theta$  is locally nilpotent (mod  $p$ ). We endow  $M$  a  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{G_m^\#}$  comodule structure by the following function  $t^\Theta : M \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{G_m^\#} \otimes M$ :

$$t^\Theta(m) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t-1)^n}{n!} \otimes (\Theta(\Theta-1) \cdots (\Theta-n+1)(m)).$$

It is well defined by the natural of  $\Theta^p - \Theta \equiv \prod_{0 \leq n < p} (\Theta - n) \pmod{p}$  being locally nilpotent. It is then not hard to verify that this realizes  $M$  as a  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{G_m^\#}$ -comodule and it is an inverse.  $\square$

**Remark 0.2.** Heuristically, one can think

$$\begin{aligned} t^\Theta(m) &= \exp(\log(t)\Theta)(m) \\ &:= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\log(t))^n}{n!} \otimes \Theta^n(m) \end{aligned}$$

which agrees with the formula in [BL22a, Proposition 3.7.1]. However, it is not very clear that the infinite sum converges here.

In particular, one obtains the following result in [BL22a, Proposition 3.5.11].

**Proposition 0.3.** *Let  $\eta : \mathrm{Spf}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{WCart}^{\mathrm{HT}}$  be the faithfully flat cover. Then for any  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{WCart}^{\mathrm{HT}})$ , we have a canonical fibre sequence:*

$$R\Gamma(\mathrm{WCart}^{\mathrm{HT}}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\eta \xrightarrow{\Theta_\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}_\eta$$

where  $\Theta_\mathcal{E}$  is the Sen operator.

*Proof.* By the Theorem above we have

$$R\Gamma(\mathrm{WCart}^{\mathrm{HT}}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\eta \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{E}_\eta}} \mathcal{E}_\eta$$

It suffices to show that  $\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}_\mathcal{E}$  is isomorphic to  $\Theta_\mathcal{E}$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}_\eta = R\Gamma(\eta_* \mathcal{E}_\eta)$  is the fibre of

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{G_m^\#} \otimes \mathcal{E}_\eta \xrightarrow{\frac{d}{d\log(t)} \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{E}_\eta}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{G_m^\#} \otimes \mathcal{E}_\eta$$

it suffices to show we have the following commutative diagram with vertical arrows isomorphic

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{G_m^\#} \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\frac{d}{d\log(t)} \otimes \mathrm{Id}} & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{G_m^\#} \otimes M \\ \uparrow \simeq & & \uparrow \simeq \\ \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{G_m^\#} \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\frac{d}{d\log(t)} \otimes \mathrm{Id} + \mathrm{Id} \otimes \Theta_M} & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{G_m^\#} \otimes M \end{array}$$

We then win by the usual trick of trivializing Hopf algebra's comodules and the above Theorem.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [BL22a] Bhargav Bhatt and Jacob Lurie, *Absolute prismatic cohomology*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.06120 (2022).
- [BL22b] ———, *Prismatic  $f$ -gauges*, Lecture notes available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/~bhatt/teaching/mat549f22/lectures.pdf> (2022).