

# Recent progress on Beilinson–Bloch–Kato conjecture

Yifeng Liu

Department of Mathematics  
Yale University

1st JNT Biennial Conference  
Grand Hotel San Michele, Cetraro, Italy

# B-SD conjecture

# B-SD conjecture

Let  $F$  be a number field.

# B-SD conjecture

Let  $F$  be a number field.

Let  $A$  be an elliptic curve over  $F$ . We have three invariants:

# B-SD conjecture

Let  $F$  be a number field.

Let  $A$  be an elliptic curve over  $F$ . We have three invariants:

- the Mordell–Weil group  $A(F)$ , which is a finitely generated abelian group,

# B-SD conjecture

Let  $F$  be a number field.

Let  $A$  be an elliptic curve over  $F$ . We have three invariants:

- the Mordell–Weil group  $A(F)$ , which is a finitely generated abelian group,
- the (complete) Hasse–Weil  $L$ -function  $L(s, A)$ , which is absolutely convergent for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{3}{2}$ ,

# B-SD conjecture

Let  $F$  be a number field.

Let  $A$  be an elliptic curve over  $F$ . We have three invariants:

- the Mordell–Weil group  $A(F)$ , which is a finitely generated abelian group,
- the (complete) Hasse–Weil  $L$ -function  $L(s, A)$ , which is absolutely convergent for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{3}{2}$ ,
- the  $(\mathbb{Q}_\ell\text{-})$ Selmer group

$$\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(A) := \left( \varprojlim_n \operatorname{Sel}_{\ell^n}(A) \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$$

for every rational prime  $\ell$ , which is a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -vector space.

# B-SD conjecture

Let  $F$  be a number field.

Let  $A$  be an elliptic curve over  $F$ . We have three invariants:

- the Mordell–Weil group  $A(F)$ , which is a finitely generated abelian group,
- the (complete) Hasse–Weil  $L$ -function  $L(s, A)$ , which is absolutely convergent for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{3}{2}$ ,
- the  $(\mathbb{Q}_\ell\text{-})$ Selmer group

$$\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(A) := \left( \varprojlim_n \operatorname{Sel}_{\ell^n}(A) \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$$

for every rational prime  $\ell$ , which is a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -vector space.

Conjecturally,  $L(s, A)$  has an analytic continuation to the entire complex plane and satisfies a functional equation with center  $s = 1$ . This is known in many cases when  $F$  is totally real.



# B-SD conjecture

# B-SD conjecture

## Conjecture (Birch–Swinnerton–Dyer)

We have

$$\text{rank } A(F) = \text{ord}_{s=1} L(s, A) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \text{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(E)$$

for every prime number  $\ell$ .

# B-SD conjecture

## Conjecture (Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer)

*We have*

$$\text{rank } A(F) = \text{ord}_{s=1} L(s, A) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \text{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(E)$$

*for every prime number  $\ell$ .*

## Theorem

*Suppose  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ .*

# B-SD conjecture

## Conjecture (Birch–Swinnerton–Dyer)

We have

$$\text{rank } A(F) = \text{ord}_{s=1} L(s, A) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \text{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(E)$$

for every prime number  $\ell$ .

## Theorem

Suppose  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ .

- (Coates–Wiles, Gross–Zagier, Kolyvagin) If  $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(s, A) \leq 1$ , then the above conjecture holds.

# B-SD conjecture

## Conjecture (Birch–Swinnerton–Dyer)

We have

$$\text{rank } A(F) = \text{ord}_{s=1} L(s, A) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \text{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(E)$$

for every prime number  $\ell$ .

## Theorem

Suppose  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ .

- (Coates–Wiles, Gross–Zagier, Kolyvagin) If  $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(s, A) \leq 1$ , then the above conjecture holds.
- (Rubin, Skinner–Urban, Skinner, W. Zhang, Burungale–Skinner–Tian) If  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \text{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(A) \leq 1$  for some  $\ell$ , then the above conjecture holds.

# B-B-K conjecture

# B-B-K conjecture

Again let  $F$  be a number field, with an algebraic closure  $\overline{F}$ . Let  $E$  be another number field serving as the coefficient field.

# B-B-K conjecture

Again let  $F$  be a number field, with an algebraic closure  $\overline{F}$ . Let  $E$  be another number field serving as the coefficient field.

Let  $M$  be a motive over  $F$  with coefficient  $E$ , together with a polarization  $M \times M \rightarrow E(1)$ .



# B-B-K conjecture

Again let  $F$  be a number field, with an algebraic closure  $\overline{F}$ . Let  $E$  be another number field serving as the coefficient field.

Let  $M$  be a motive over  $F$  with coefficient  $E$ , together with a polarization  $M \times M \rightarrow E(1)$ .

It gives rise to a compatible system of Galois representations  $M_\lambda$  of  $F$  with coefficient  $E_\lambda$  for every prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$ , together with a Galois equivariant pairing  $M_\lambda \times M_\lambda \rightarrow E_\lambda(1)$ .

# B-B-K conjecture

Again let  $F$  be a number field, with an algebraic closure  $\overline{F}$ . Let  $E$  be another number field serving as the coefficient field.

Let  $M$  be a motive over  $F$  with coefficient  $E$ , together with a polarization  $M \times M \rightarrow E(1)$ .

It gives rise to a compatible system of Galois representations  $M_\lambda$  of  $F$  with coefficient  $E_\lambda$  for every prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$ , together with a Galois equivariant pairing  $M_\lambda \times M_\lambda \rightarrow E_\lambda(1)$ .

For such a motive  $M$ , we can define

# B-B-K conjecture

Again let  $F$  be a number field, with an algebraic closure  $\overline{F}$ . Let  $E$  be another number field serving as the coefficient field.

Let  $M$  be a motive over  $F$  with coefficient  $E$ , together with a polarization  $M \times M \rightarrow E(1)$ .

It gives rise to a compatible system of Galois representations  $M_\lambda$  of  $F$  with coefficient  $E_\lambda$  for every prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$ , together with a Galois equivariant pairing  $M_\lambda \times M_\lambda \rightarrow E_\lambda(1)$ .

For such a motive  $M$ , we can define

- an  $L$ -function  $L(s, M)$ , which is conjectured to have a meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane and satisfy certain functional equation with center  $s = 0$ ,

# B-B-K conjecture

Again let  $F$  be a number field, with an algebraic closure  $\overline{F}$ . Let  $E$  be another number field serving as the coefficient field.

Let  $M$  be a motive over  $F$  with coefficient  $E$ , together with a polarization  $M \times M \rightarrow E(1)$ .

It gives rise to a compatible system of Galois representations  $M_\lambda$  of  $F$  with coefficient  $E_\lambda$  for every prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$ , together with a Galois equivariant pairing  $M_\lambda \times M_\lambda \rightarrow E_\lambda(1)$ .

For such a motive  $M$ , we can define

- an  $L$ -function  $L(s, M)$ , which is conjectured to have a meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane and satisfy certain functional equation with center  $s = 0$ ,
- a “Selmer group”  $H_{\mathbb{f}}^1(F, M_\lambda)$ , which is a finite dimensional vector space over  $E_\lambda$  for every prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$ , known as the **Bloch–Kato Selmer group**.

# B-B-K conjecture

Again let  $F$  be a number field, with an algebraic closure  $\bar{F}$ . Let  $E$  be another number field serving as the coefficient field.

Let  $M$  be a motive over  $F$  with coefficient  $E$ , together with a polarization  $M \times M \rightarrow E(1)$ .

It gives rise to a compatible system of Galois representations  $M_\lambda$  of  $F$  with coefficient  $E_\lambda$  for every prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$ , together with a Galois equivariant pairing  $M_\lambda \times M_\lambda \rightarrow E_\lambda(1)$ .

For such a motive  $M$ , we can define

- an  $L$ -function  $L(s, M)$ , which is conjectured to have a meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane and satisfy certain functional equation with center  $s = 0$ ,
- a “Selmer group”  $H_{\mathbb{F}}^1(F, M_\lambda)$ , which is a finite dimensional vector space over  $E_\lambda$  for every prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$ , known as the **Bloch–Kato Selmer group**.

If we take  $M = h^1(A)(1)$  with the canonical polarization from Poincaré duality, then we have  $L(s, M) = L(s + 1, A)$  and  $H_{\mathbb{F}}^1(F, M_\ell) = \text{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(A)$ .

# B-B-K conjecture

# B-B-K conjecture

More precisely,  $H_f^1(F, M_\lambda)$  is the subspace of  $H^1(F, M_\lambda)$  consisting of elements  $\alpha$  satisfying

# B-B-K conjecture

More precisely,  $H_{\mathfrak{f}}^1(F, M_\lambda)$  is the subspace of  $H^1(F, M_\lambda)$  consisting of elements  $\alpha$  satisfying

- $\text{loc}_v(\alpha) \in \ker(H^1(F_v, M_\lambda) \rightarrow H^1(I_v, M_\lambda))$  for every prime  $v$  of  $F$  of different residue characteristic with  $\lambda$ ;



# B-B-K conjecture

More precisely,  $H_f^1(F, M_\lambda)$  is the subspace of  $H^1(F, M_\lambda)$  consisting of elements  $\alpha$  satisfying

- $\text{loc}_v(\alpha) \in \ker(H^1(F_v, M_\lambda) \rightarrow H^1(I_v, M_\lambda))$  for every prime  $v$  of  $F$  of different residue characteristic with  $\lambda$ ;
- $\text{loc}_v(\alpha) \in \ker(H^1(F_v, M_\lambda) \rightarrow H^1(F_v, M_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{B}_\lambda^{\text{cris}}))$  for every prime  $v$  of  $F$  of same residue characteristic with  $\lambda$ .

# B-B-K conjecture

More precisely,  $H_f^1(F, M_\lambda)$  is the subspace of  $H^1(F, M_\lambda)$  consisting of elements  $\alpha$  satisfying

- $\text{loc}_v(\alpha) \in \ker(H^1(F_v, M_\lambda) \rightarrow H^1(I_v, M_\lambda))$  for every prime  $v$  of  $F$  of different residue characteristic with  $\lambda$ ;
- $\text{loc}_v(\alpha) \in \ker(H^1(F_v, M_\lambda) \rightarrow H^1(F_v, M_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{B}_\lambda^{\text{cris}}))$  for every prime  $v$  of  $F$  of same residue characteristic with  $\lambda$ .

## Conjecture (Beilinson–Bloch, Bloch–Kato)

Let  $M$  be a polarized motive as above. Then we have

$$\text{ord}_{s=0} L(s, M) = \dim_{E_\lambda} H_f^1(F, M_\lambda) - \dim_{E_\lambda} H^0(F, M_\lambda)$$

for every prime  $\ell$  of  $E$ .

# B-B-K conjecture

More precisely,  $H_f^1(F, M_\lambda)$  is the subspace of  $H^1(F, M_\lambda)$  consisting of elements  $\alpha$  satisfying

- $\text{loc}_v(\alpha) \in \ker(H^1(F_v, M_\lambda) \rightarrow H^1(I_v, M_\lambda))$  for every prime  $v$  of  $F$  of different residue characteristic with  $\lambda$ ;
- $\text{loc}_v(\alpha) \in \ker(H^1(F_v, M_\lambda) \rightarrow H^1(F_v, M_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{B}_\lambda^{\text{cris}}))$  for every prime  $v$  of  $F$  of same residue characteristic with  $\lambda$ .

## Conjecture (Beilinson–Bloch, Bloch–Kato)

Let  $M$  be a polarized motive as above. Then we have

$$\text{ord}_{s=0} L(s, M) = \dim_{E_\lambda} H_f^1(F, M_\lambda) - \dim_{E_\lambda} H^0(F, M_\lambda)$$

for every prime  $\ell$  of  $E$ .

If we take  $M = h^1(A)(1)$  with the canonical polarization (and  $E = \mathbb{Q}$ ), then we recover the B-SD conjecture for  $A$ .

# Automorphic motives

# Automorphic motives

From now on, we assume that  $F$  is CM. Denote by  $F^+$  the maximal totally real subfield contained in  $F$ , and  $c \in \text{Gal}(F/F^+)$  the Galois involution.

# Automorphic motives

From now on, we assume that  $F$  is CM. Denote by  $F^+$  the maximal totally real subfield contained in  $F$ , and  $c \in \text{Gal}(F/F^+)$  the Galois involution.

Let  $N \geq 1$  be an integer. We say that a (complex) representation  $\Pi$  of  $\text{GL}_N(\mathbb{A}_F)$  is **relevant** if:

# Automorphic motives

From now on, we assume that  $F$  is CM. Denote by  $F^+$  the maximal totally real subfield contained in  $F$ , and  $c \in \text{Gal}(F/F^+)$  the Galois involution.

Let  $N \geq 1$  be an integer. We say that a (complex) representation  $\Pi$  of  $\text{GL}_N(\mathbb{A}_F)$  is **relevant** if:

- $\Pi$  is admissible and irreducible,

# Automorphic motives

From now on, we assume that  $F$  is CM. Denote by  $F^+$  the maximal totally real subfield contained in  $F$ , and  $c \in \text{Gal}(F/F^+)$  the Galois involution.

Let  $N \geq 1$  be an integer. We say that a (complex) representation  $\Pi$  of  $\text{GL}_N(\mathbb{A}_F)$  is **relevant** if:

- $\Pi$  is admissible and irreducible,
- $\Pi$  is cuspidal automorphic,



# Automorphic motives

From now on, we assume that  $F$  is CM. Denote by  $F^+$  the maximal totally real subfield contained in  $F$ , and  $c \in \text{Gal}(F/F^+)$  the Galois involution.

Let  $N \geq 1$  be an integer. We say that a (complex) representation  $\Pi$  of  $\text{GL}_N(\mathbb{A}_F)$  is **relevant** if:

- $\Pi$  is admissible and irreducible,
- $\Pi$  is cuspidal automorphic,
- $\Pi \circ c \simeq \Pi^\vee$ ,

# Automorphic motives

From now on, we assume that  $F$  is CM. Denote by  $F^+$  the maximal totally real subfield contained in  $F$ , and  $c \in \text{Gal}(F/F^+)$  the Galois involution.

Let  $N \geq 1$  be an integer. We say that a (complex) representation  $\Pi$  of  $\text{GL}_N(\mathbb{A}_F)$  is **relevant** if:

- $\Pi$  is admissible and irreducible,
- $\Pi$  is cuspidal automorphic,
- $\Pi \circ c \simeq \Pi^\vee$ ,
- for every archimedean place  $v$  of  $F$ ,  $\Pi_v$ , which is a representation of  $\text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$ , is isomorphic to the (irreducible) principal series

$$I(\arg^{N-1}, \arg^{N-3}, \dots, \arg^{3-N}, \arg^{1-N}).$$

Here,  $\arg: \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  is the argument character  $z \mapsto \frac{z}{\sqrt{z\bar{z}}}$ .

# Automorphic motives

From now on, we assume that  $F$  is CM. Denote by  $F^+$  the maximal totally real subfield contained in  $F$ , and  $c \in \text{Gal}(F/F^+)$  the Galois involution.

Let  $N \geq 1$  be an integer. We say that a (complex) representation  $\Pi$  of  $\text{GL}_N(\mathbb{A}_F)$  is **relevant** if:

- $\Pi$  is admissible and irreducible,
- $\Pi$  is cuspidal automorphic,
- $\Pi \circ c \simeq \Pi^\vee$ ,
- for every archimedean place  $v$  of  $F$ ,  $\Pi_v$ , which is a representation of  $\text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$ , is isomorphic to the (irreducible) principal series

$$I(\arg^{N-1}, \arg^{N-3}, \dots, \arg^{3-N}, \arg^{1-N}).$$

Here,  $\arg: \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  is the argument character  $z \mapsto \frac{z}{\sqrt{z\bar{z}}}$ .

For example, when  $N = 1$ ,  $\Pi_v$  is the trivial character; when  $N = 2$ ,  $\Pi_v$  is the base change of the discrete series of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  of weight 2.

# Automorphic motives

# Automorphic motives

Let  $\Pi$  be a relevant representation of  $GL_N(\mathbb{A}_F)$ . It is known that  $\Pi$  is defined over a subfield  $E$  that is a number field.

# Automorphic motives

Let  $\Pi$  be a relevant representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{A}_F)$ . It is known that  $\Pi$  is defined over a subfield  $E$  that is a number field.

By a series of work of Harris–Taylor, Taylor–Yoshida, Shin, Caraiani, Chenevier–Harris, et al, one can construct a Galois representation

$$\rho_{\Pi, \lambda}: \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(E_\lambda)$$

for every prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$  satisfying

# Automorphic motives

Let  $\Pi$  be a relevant representation of  $GL_N(\mathbb{A}_F)$ . It is known that  $\Pi$  is defined over a subfield  $E$  that is a number field.

By a series of work of Harris–Taylor, Taylor–Yoshida, Shin, Caraiani, Chenevier–Harris, et al, one can construct a Galois representation

$$\rho_{\Pi,\lambda}: \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \rightarrow GL_N(E_\lambda)$$

for every prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$  satisfying

- $\rho_{\Pi,\lambda}^{\vee} \simeq \rho_{\Pi,\lambda}^c(N-1)$ ,

# Automorphic motives

Let  $\Pi$  be a relevant representation of  $GL_N(\mathbb{A}_F)$ . It is known that  $\Pi$  is defined over a subfield  $E$  that is a number field.

By a series of work of Harris–Taylor, Taylor–Yoshida, Shin, Caraiani, Chenevier–Harris, et al, one can construct a Galois representation

$$\rho_{\Pi, \lambda}: \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \rightarrow GL_N(E_\lambda)$$

for every prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$  satisfying

- $\rho_{\Pi, \lambda}^{\vee} \simeq \rho_{\Pi, \lambda}^c(N-1)$ ,
- for every finite place  $v$  of  $F$ ,  $\rho_{\Pi, \lambda}|_{D_v}$  corresponds to  $\Pi_v$  under local Langlands correspondence up to a twist compatible with the above.



# Automorphic motives

Let  $\Pi$  be a relevant representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{A}_F)$ . It is known that  $\Pi$  is defined over a subfield  $E$  that is a number field.

By a series of work of Harris–Taylor, Taylor–Yoshida, Shin, Caraiani, Chenevier–Harris, et al, one can construct a Galois representation

$$\rho_{\Pi, \lambda}: \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(E_\lambda)$$

for every prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$  satisfying

- $\rho_{\Pi, \lambda}^{\vee} \simeq \rho_{\Pi, \lambda}^c(N-1)$ ,
- for every finite place  $v$  of  $F$ ,  $\rho_{\Pi, \lambda}|_{D_v}$  corresponds to  $\Pi_v$  under local Langlands correspondence up to a twist compatible with the above.

We will regard

$$M_{\Pi} := \{\rho_{\Pi, \lambda}\}_{\lambda}$$

as an automorphic motive over  $F$ , with coefficient  $E$ .

# Automorphic motives

# Automorphic motives

Now we consider two consecutive integers  $n$  and  $n + 1$  with  $n \geq 1$ .

# Automorphic motives

Now we consider two consecutive integers  $n$  and  $n + 1$  with  $n \geq 1$ . Take

- a relevant representation  $\Pi_n$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ ,

# Automorphic motives

Now we consider two consecutive integers  $n$  and  $n + 1$  with  $n \geq 1$ . Take

- a relevant representation  $\Pi_n$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ ,
- a relevant representation  $\Pi_{n+1}$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ ,

# Automorphic motives

Now we consider two consecutive integers  $n$  and  $n + 1$  with  $n \geq 1$ . Take

- a relevant representation  $\Pi_n$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ ,
- a relevant representation  $\Pi_{n+1}$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ ,
- a number field  $E \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  over which both  $\Pi_n$  and  $\Pi_{n+1}$  are defined.

# Automorphic motives

Now we consider two consecutive integers  $n$  and  $n + 1$  with  $n \geq 1$ . Take

- a relevant representation  $\Pi_n$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ ,
- a relevant representation  $\Pi_{n+1}$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ ,
- a number field  $E \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  over which both  $\Pi_n$  and  $\Pi_{n+1}$  are defined.

We study the “Rankin–Selberg automorphic motive”

$$M := M_{\Pi_n} \otimes_E M_{\Pi_{n+1}}(n).$$

# Automorphic motives

Now we consider two consecutive integers  $n$  and  $n + 1$  with  $n \geq 1$ . Take

- a relevant representation  $\Pi_n$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ ,
- a relevant representation  $\Pi_{n+1}$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ ,
- a number field  $E \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  over which both  $\Pi_n$  and  $\Pi_{n+1}$  are defined.

We study the “Rankin–Selberg automorphic motive”

$$M := M_{\Pi_n} \otimes_E M_{\Pi_{n+1}}(n).$$

It is a motive of rank  $n(n + 1)$  over  $F$  with coefficient  $E$ , equipped with a polarization.



# Automorphic motives

Now we consider two consecutive integers  $n$  and  $n + 1$  with  $n \geq 1$ . Take

- a relevant representation  $\Pi_n$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ ,
- a relevant representation  $\Pi_{n+1}$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ ,
- a number field  $E \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  over which both  $\Pi_n$  and  $\Pi_{n+1}$  are defined.

We study the “Rankin–Selberg automorphic motive”

$$M := M_{\Pi_n} \otimes_E M_{\Pi_{n+1}}(n).$$

It is a motive of rank  $n(n + 1)$  over  $F$  with coefficient  $E$ , equipped with a polarization.

By construction, we have

- $L(s, M) = L(s + \frac{1}{2}, \Pi_n \times \Pi_{n+1})$ ,

# Automorphic motives

Now we consider two consecutive integers  $n$  and  $n + 1$  with  $n \geq 1$ . Take

- a relevant representation  $\Pi_n$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ ,
- a relevant representation  $\Pi_{n+1}$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ ,
- a number field  $E \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  over which both  $\Pi_n$  and  $\Pi_{n+1}$  are defined.

We study the “Rankin–Selberg automorphic motive”

$$M := M_{\Pi_n} \otimes_E M_{\Pi_{n+1}}(n).$$

It is a motive of rank  $n(n + 1)$  over  $F$  with coefficient  $E$ , equipped with a polarization.

By construction, we have

- $L(s, M) = L(s + \frac{1}{2}, \Pi_n \times \Pi_{n+1})$ ,
- $M_\lambda = \rho_{\Pi_n, \lambda} \otimes_{E_\lambda} \rho_{\Pi_{n+1}, \lambda}(n)$ .

# Main results

# Main results

Regarding the B-B-K conjecture for the Rankin–Selberg motive  $M$ , in a joint work (close to be done) with Yichao Tian (Strasbourg), Liang Xiao (Peking), Wei Zhang (MIT), and Xinwen Zhu (Caltech), we show the following theorem.

# Main results

Regarding the B-B-K conjecture for the Rankin–Selberg motive  $M$ , in a joint work (close to be done) with Yichao Tian (Strasbourg), Liang Xiao (Peking), Wei Zhang (MIT), and Xinwen Zhu (Caltech), we show the following theorem.

## Theorem (LTXZZ)

*Let  $F/F^+$  be a CM extension. Let  $\Pi_n$  and  $\Pi_{n+1}$  be relevant representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ , respectively. Assume  $F^+ \neq \mathbb{Q}$  if  $n > 2$ .*

# Main results

Regarding the B-B-K conjecture for the Rankin–Selberg motive  $M$ , in a joint work (close to be done) with Yichao Tian (Strasbourg), Liang Xiao (Peking), Wei Zhang (MIT), and Xinwen Zhu (Caltech), we show the following theorem.

## Theorem (LTXZZ)

*Let  $F/F^+$  be a CM extension. Let  $\Pi_n$  and  $\Pi_{n+1}$  be relevant representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ , respectively. Assume  $F^+ \neq \mathbb{Q}$  if  $n > 2$ . If  $L(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi_n \times \Pi_{n+1}) \neq 0$ , then we have*

$$H_f^1(F, \rho_{\Pi_n, \lambda} \otimes_{E_\lambda} \rho_{\Pi_{n+1}, \lambda}(n)) = 0$$

*for every admissible prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$ .*

# Main results

# Main results

## Remark

- For the Rankin–Selberg motive  $M$  and every prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$ , we have  $H^0(F, M_\lambda) = 0$ .



# Main results

## Remark

- For the Rankin–Selberg motive  $M$  and every prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$ , we have  $H^0(F, M_\lambda) = 0$ .

Moreover, we have  $H_{\mathfrak{f}}^1(F_v, M_\lambda) = 0$  if  $v$  has different residue characteristic with  $\lambda$ , which is a consequence of the purity property. Thus,  $H_{\mathfrak{f}}^1(F, M_\lambda)$  is the subspace of  $H^1(F, M_\lambda)$  consisting of elements  $\alpha$  satisfying

$$\text{loc}_v(\alpha) \in \ker (H^1(F_v, M_\lambda) \rightarrow H^1(F_v, M_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{B}_\lambda^{\text{cris}}))$$

for every prime  $v$  of  $F$  of same residue characteristic with  $\lambda$ .

# Main results

## Remark

- For the Rankin–Selberg motive  $M$  and every prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$ , we have  $H^0(F, M_\lambda) = 0$ .

Moreover, we have  $H_f^1(F_\nu, M_\lambda) = 0$  if  $\nu$  has different residue characteristic with  $\lambda$ , which is a consequence of the purity property. Thus,  $H_f^1(F, M_\lambda)$  is the subspace of  $H^1(F, M_\lambda)$  consisting of elements  $\alpha$  satisfying

$$\text{loc}_\nu(\alpha) \in \ker (H^1(F_\nu, M_\lambda) \rightarrow H^1(F_\nu, M_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{B}_\lambda^{\text{cris}}))$$

for every prime  $\nu$  of  $F$  of same residue characteristic with  $\lambda$ .

- Heuristically, it is believed that for “generic”  $\Pi_n$  and  $\Pi_{n+1}$ , all but finitely prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$  should be admissible. However, due to the lack of knowledge on the Galois image of Rankin–Selberg automorphic motives, we do not even know the existence of a single admissible prime, except for the situation in the following theorem.

# Main results

# Main results

## Theorem (LTXZZ)

*Let  $F/F^+$  be a CM extension and  $n \geq 1$  an integer. Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be two modular elliptic curves over  $F^+$  geometrically without complex multiplication, and geometrically non-isogenous. Assume both  $\text{Sym}^{n-1} A_1$  and  $\text{Sym}^n A_2$  are modular. Assume  $F^+ \neq \mathbb{Q}$  if  $n > 2$ .*

# Main results

## Theorem (LTXZZ)

Let  $F/F^+$  be a CM extension and  $n \geq 1$  an integer. Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be two modular elliptic curves over  $F^+$  geometrically without complex multiplication, and geometrically non-isogenous. Assume both  $\text{Sym}^{n-1} A_1$  and  $\text{Sym}^n A_2$  are modular.

Assume  $F^+ \neq \mathbb{Q}$  if  $n > 2$ .

If (the central critical value)

$$L(n, \text{Sym}^{n-1} A_{1,F} \times \text{Sym}^n A_{2,F}) \neq 0,$$

then we have

$$H_f^1(F, \text{Sym}^{n-1} V_\ell(A_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \text{Sym}^n V_\ell(A_2)(1-n)) = 0$$

for all but finitely many rational prime  $\ell$ .

# Main results

# Main results

## Remark

About the modularity of symmetric powers, take a modular elliptic curve  $A$  over a number field  $\mathbb{K}$  without complex multiplication. Then

# Main results

## Remark

About the modularity of symmetric powers, take a modular elliptic curve  $A$  over a number field  $\mathbb{K}$  without complex multiplication. Then

- $\text{Sym}^2 A$  is modular (Gelbart–Jacquet, 1976),
- $\text{Sym}^3 A$  is modular (Kim–Shahidi, 2002),
- $\text{Sym}^4 A$  is modular (Kim, 2002),



# Main results

## Remark

About the modularity of symmetric powers, take a modular elliptic curve  $A$  over a number field  $\mathbb{K}$  without complex multiplication. Then

- $\text{Sym}^2 A$  is modular (Gelbart–Jacquet, 1976),
- $\text{Sym}^3 A$  is modular (Kim–Shahidi, 2002),
- $\text{Sym}^4 A$  is modular (Kim, 2002),
- $\text{Sym}^5 A$  and  $\text{Sym}^6 A$  are modular if  $\mathbb{K}$  is totally real and linearly disjoint from  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (Clozel–Thorne, 2015),
- $\text{Sym}^7 A$  is modular if  $\mathbb{K}$  is totally real and linearly disjoint from  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{35})$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (Clozel–Thorne, 2015),
- $\text{Sym}^8 A$  is modular if  $\mathbb{K}$  is totally real and linearly disjoint from  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (Clozel–Thorne, 2015).

# Step 0

# Step 0

The step 0 of the proof would be the following result originally predicted by Gan–Gross–Prasad.

# Step 0

The step 0 of the proof would be the following result originally predicted by Gan–Gross–Prasad.

Let  $F/F^+$  be a CM extension. Let  $\Pi_n$  and  $\Pi_{n+1}$  be relevant representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ , respectively, such that  $L(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi_n \times \Pi_{n+1}) \neq 0$ .

# Step 0

The step 0 of the proof would be the following result originally predicted by Gan–Gross–Prasad.

Let  $F/F^+$  be a CM extension. Let  $\Pi_n$  and  $\Pi_{n+1}$  be relevant representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ , respectively, such that  $L(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi_n \times \Pi_{n+1}) \neq 0$ .

Then there exist

- a totally positive definite hermitian space  $V_n$  over  $F$  of rank  $n$ ,

# Step 0

The step 0 of the proof would be the following result originally predicted by Gan–Gross–Prasad.

Let  $F/F^+$  be a CM extension. Let  $\Pi_n$  and  $\Pi_{n+1}$  be relevant representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ , respectively, such that  $L(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi_n \times \Pi_{n+1}) \neq 0$ .

Then there exist

- a totally positive definite hermitian space  $V_n$  over  $F$  of rank  $n$ ,  
before continuing, we introduce  $V_{n+1} := V_n \oplus F.e$  with  $(e, e) = 1$ , and put  $G_n := \mathrm{U}(V_n)$  and  $G_{n+1} := \mathrm{U}(V_{n+1})$ ,

# Step 0

The step 0 of the proof would be the following result originally predicted by Gan–Gross–Prasad.

Let  $F/F^+$  be a CM extension. Let  $\Pi_n$  and  $\Pi_{n+1}$  be relevant representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ , respectively, such that  $L(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi_n \times \Pi_{n+1}) \neq 0$ .

Then there exist

- a totally positive definite hermitian space  $V_n$  over  $F$  of rank  $n$ , before continuing, we introduce  $V_{n+1} := V_n \oplus F.e$  with  $(e, e) = 1$ , and put  $G_n := \mathrm{U}(V_n)$  and  $G_{n+1} := \mathrm{U}(V_{n+1})$ ,
- an irreducible subrepresentation  $\pi_n$  of  $G_n(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$  contained in  $C^\infty(G_n(F^+) \backslash G_n(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty))$  whose weak global base change is  $\Pi_n$ ,

# Step 0

The step 0 of the proof would be the following result originally predicted by Gan–Gross–Prasad.

Let  $F/F^+$  be a CM extension. Let  $\Pi_n$  and  $\Pi_{n+1}$  be relevant representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ , respectively, such that  $L(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi_n \times \Pi_{n+1}) \neq 0$ .

Then there exist

- a totally positive definite hermitian space  $V_n$  over  $F$  of rank  $n$ , before continuing, we introduce  $V_{n+1} := V_n \oplus F.e$  with  $(e, e) = 1$ , and put  $G_n := \mathrm{U}(V_n)$  and  $G_{n+1} := \mathrm{U}(V_{n+1})$ ,
- an irreducible subrepresentation  $\pi_n$  of  $G_n(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$  contained in  $C^\infty(G_n(F^+) \backslash G_n(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty))$  whose weak global base change is  $\Pi_n$ ,
- an irreducible subrepresentation  $\pi_{n+1}$  of  $G_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$  contained in  $C^\infty(G_{n+1}(F^+) \backslash G_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty))$  whose weak global base change is  $\Pi_{n+1}$ ,



# Step 0

The step 0 of the proof would be the following result originally predicted by Gan–Gross–Prasad.

Let  $F/F^+$  be a CM extension. Let  $\Pi_n$  and  $\Pi_{n+1}$  be relevant representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ , respectively, such that  $L(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi_n \times \Pi_{n+1}) \neq 0$ .

Then there exist

- a totally positive definite hermitian space  $V_n$  over  $F$  of rank  $n$ , before continuing, we introduce  $V_{n+1} := V_n \oplus F.e$  with  $(e, e) = 1$ , and put  $G_n := \mathrm{U}(V_n)$  and  $G_{n+1} := \mathrm{U}(V_{n+1})$ ,
- an irreducible subrepresentation  $\pi_n$  of  $G_n(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$  contained in  $C^\infty(G_n(F^+) \backslash G_n(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty))$  whose weak global base change is  $\Pi_n$ ,
- an irreducible subrepresentation  $\pi_{n+1}$  of  $G_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$  contained in  $C^\infty(G_{n+1}(F^+) \backslash G_{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty))$  whose weak global base change is  $\Pi_{n+1}$ ,

such that the functional

$$\mathcal{P}(f_n, f_{n+1}) := \int_{G_n(F^+) \backslash G_n(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty)} f_n(g) f_{n+1}(g) dg$$

on  $f_n \in \pi_n$  and  $f_{n+1} \in \pi_{n+1}$  is nonzero. Here, we regard  $G_n$  as a subgroup of  $G_{n+1}$  in the natural way.

# Step 1

# Step 1

The step 1 of the proof would be to create geometry that encodes the functional-theoretical input obtained from G-G-P.

# Step 1

The step 1 of the proof would be to create geometry that encodes the functional-theoretical input obtained from G-G-P.

We take  $N \in \{n, n + 1\}$ , and the data  $(V_N, \pi_N)$  from the previous step. Fix an embedding  $\iota: F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Fix a sufficiently small open compact subgroup  $K = K_S K^S$  of  $G_N(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty)$  such that  $K^S$  is hyperspecial maximal.

# Step 1

The step 1 of the proof would be to create geometry that encodes the functional-theoretical input obtained from G-G-P.

We take  $N \in \{n, n + 1\}$ , and the data  $(V_N, \pi_N)$  from the previous step. Fix an embedding  $\iota: F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Fix a sufficiently small open compact subgroup  $K = K_S K^S$  of  $G_N(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty)$  such that  $K^S$  is hyperspecial maximal.

Now we choose a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $F^+$  not in  $S$  that is of degree 1 over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and inert in  $F$ .

# Step 1

The step 1 of the proof would be to create geometry that encodes the functional-theoretical input obtained from G-G-P.

We take  $N \in \{n, n+1\}$ , and the data  $(V_N, \pi_N)$  from the previous step. Fix an embedding  $\iota: F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Fix a sufficiently small open compact subgroup  $K = K_S K^S$  of  $G_N(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty)$  such that  $K^S$  is hyperspecial maximal.

Now we choose a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $F^+$  not in  $S$  that is of degree 1 over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and inert in  $F$ . Let  $V'_N$  be the unique (up to isomorphism) hermitian space over  $F$  such that

- $V'_{N,v} \simeq V_{N,v}$  if  $v$  is not  $\iota$  or  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,
- $V'_{N,\iota}$  has signature  $(N-1, 1)$ ,
- $V'_{N,\mathfrak{p}}$  does not admit a self-dual lattice.

# Step 1

The step 1 of the proof would be to create geometry that encodes the functional-theoretical input obtained from G-G-P.

We take  $N \in \{n, n+1\}$ , and the data  $(V_N, \pi_N)$  from the previous step. Fix an embedding  $\iota: F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Fix a sufficiently small open compact subgroup  $K = K_S K^S$  of  $G_N(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty)$  such that  $K^S$  is hyperspecial maximal.

Now we choose a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $F^+$  not in  $S$  that is of degree 1 over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and inert in  $F$ . Let  $V'_N$  be the unique (up to isomorphism) hermitian space over  $F$  such that

- $V'_{N,v} \simeq V_{N,v}$  if  $v$  is not  $\iota$  or  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,
- $V'_{N,\iota}$  has signature  $(N-1, 1)$ ,
- $V'_{N,\mathfrak{p}}$  does not admit a self-dual lattice.

Put  $G'_N := \mathrm{U}(V'_N)$ . We also obtain an open compact subgroup  $K'$  of  $G'_N(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty)$  from  $K$  by changing (the hyperspecial subgroup)  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$  to the stabilizer  $K'_{\mathfrak{p}}$  of a nearly self-dual lattice, which is a special maximal subgroup.

# Step 1

The step 1 of the proof would be to create geometry that encodes the functional-theoretical input obtained from G-G-P.

We take  $N \in \{n, n+1\}$ , and the data  $(V_N, \pi_N)$  from the previous step. Fix an embedding  $\iota: F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Fix a sufficiently small open compact subgroup  $K = K_S K^S$  of  $G_N(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty)$  such that  $K^S$  is hyperspecial maximal.

Now we choose a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $F^+$  not in  $S$  that is of degree 1 over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and inert in  $F$ . Let  $V'_N$  be the unique (up to isomorphism) hermitian space over  $F$  such that

- $V'_{N,v} \simeq V_{N,v}$  if  $v$  is not  $\iota$  or  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,
- $V'_{N,\iota}$  has signature  $(N-1, 1)$ ,
- $V'_{N,\mathfrak{p}}$  does not admit a self-dual lattice.

Put  $G'_N := \mathrm{U}(V'_N)$ . We also obtain an open compact subgroup  $K'$  of  $G'_N(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty)$  from  $K$  by changing (the hyperspecial subgroup)  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$  to the stabilizer  $K'_{\mathfrak{p}}$  of a nearly self-dual lattice, which is a special maximal subgroup.

We obtain a natural integral model  $\mathcal{X}$  of the Shimura variety  $\mathrm{Sh}(G'_N, K'_N)$  (with the reflex field  $F$ ) over  $O_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ .



# Step 1

# Step 1

We show that  $\mathcal{X}$  is semistable over  $O_{F_p}$ , whose special fiber can be written as

$$\mathcal{X} \otimes_{O_{F_p}} \mathbb{F}_{p^2} = X \cup X'$$

in which

# Step 1

We show that  $\mathcal{X}$  is semistable over  $O_{F_p}$ , whose special fiber can be written as

$$\mathcal{X} \otimes_{O_{F_p}} \mathbb{F}_{p^2} = X \cup X'$$

in which

- $X$  is geometrically isomorphic to a  $\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$ -fibration over the set

$$G_N(F^+) \backslash G_N(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / K_N,$$

and is entirely contained in the so-called basic locus.

# Step 1

We show that  $\mathcal{X}$  is semistable over  $O_{F_p}$ , whose special fiber can be written as

$$\mathcal{X} \otimes_{O_{F_p}} \mathbb{F}_{p^2} = X \cup X'$$

in which

- $X$  is geometrically isomorphic to a  $\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$ -fibration over the set

$$G_N(F^+) \backslash G_N(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / K_N,$$

and is entirely contained in the so-called basic locus.

- the intersection  $X \cap X'$  in every fiber  $\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$  is a Fermat hypersurface of degree  $p + 1$ .

# Step 1

We show that  $\mathcal{X}$  is semistable over  $O_{F_p}$ , whose special fiber can be written as

$$\mathcal{X} \otimes_{O_{F_p}} \mathbb{F}_{p^2} = X \cup X'$$

in which

- $X$  is geometrically isomorphic to a  $\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$ -fibration over the set

$$G_N(F^+) \backslash G_N(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / K_N,$$

and is entirely contained in the so-called basic locus.

- the intersection  $X \cap X'$  in every fiber  $\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$  is a Fermat hypersurface of degree  $p + 1$ .
- $X'$  is smooth; and if denote by  $Y'$  the normalization of the Zariski closure of the basic locus of  $X' \setminus X$  in  $X'$ , then  $Y'$  is geometrically isomorphic to a “Deligne–Lusztig variety”-fibration over the set

$$G_N(F^+) \backslash G_N(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / K'_N.$$

But what is  $K'_N$ ?

# Step 1

We show that  $\mathcal{X}$  is semistable over  $O_{F_p}$ , whose special fiber can be written as

$$\mathcal{X} \otimes_{O_{F_p}} \mathbb{F}_{p^2} = X \cup X'$$

in which

- $X$  is geometrically isomorphic to a  $\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$ -fibration over the set

$$G_N(F^+) \backslash G_N(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / K_N,$$

and is entirely contained in the so-called basic locus.

- the intersection  $X \cap X'$  in every fiber  $\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$  is a Fermat hypersurface of degree  $p + 1$ .
- $X'$  is smooth; and if denote by  $Y'$  the normalization of the Zariski closure of the basic locus of  $X' \setminus X$  in  $X'$ , then  $Y'$  is geometrically isomorphic to a “Deligne–Lusztig variety”-fibration over the set

$$G_N(F^+) \backslash G_N(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / K'_N.$$

But what is  $K'_N$ ? It is same as  $K_N$  away from  $\mathfrak{p}$ ; but at  $\mathfrak{p}$ , it is the “other” special maximal subgroup of  $G_N(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^+)$ .

# Step 2

## Step 2

Now we suppose  $N$  even. Take a prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$  with residue field  $\kappa$ , such that  $p^2 - 1 \neq 0$  in  $\kappa$ .



## Step 2

Now we suppose  $N$  even. Take a prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$  with residue field  $\kappa$ , such that  $p^2 - 1 \neq 0$  in  $\kappa$ .

The representation  $\pi_N$  determines a homomorphism  $\mathbb{T}_N^{S,p} \rightarrow \kappa$ , whose kernel we denote by  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Here,  $\mathbb{T}_N^{S,p}$  is the (abstract) spherical Hecke algebra of  $G_N$  (or  $G'_N$ ) away from  $S$  and  $p$ -adic places, which acts on  $\mathcal{X}$  via Hecke correspondences.

## Step 2

Now we suppose  $N$  even. Take a prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$  with residue field  $\kappa$ , such that  $p^2 - 1 \neq 0$  in  $\kappa$ .

The representation  $\pi_N$  determines a homomorphism  $\mathbb{T}_N^{S,p} \rightarrow \kappa$ , whose kernel we denote by  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Here,  $\mathbb{T}_N^{S,p}$  is the (abstract) spherical Hecke algebra of  $G_N$  (or  $G'_N$ ) away from  $S$  and  $p$ -adic places, which acts on  $\mathcal{X}$  via Hecke correspondences. We show the following level raising result:

## Step 2

Now we suppose  $N$  even. Take a prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$  with residue field  $\kappa$ , such that  $p^2 - 1 \neq 0$  in  $\kappa$ .

The representation  $\pi_N$  determines a homomorphism  $\mathbb{T}_N^{S,p} \rightarrow \kappa$ , whose kernel we denote by  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Here,  $\mathbb{T}_N^{S,p}$  is the (abstract) spherical Hecke algebra of  $G_N$  (or  $G'_N$ ) away from  $S$  and  $p$ -adic places, which acts on  $\mathcal{X}$  via Hecke correspondences.

We show the following level raising result:

Suppose

- the mod- $\lambda$  Satake parameters of  $\pi_{N,p}$  does not contain  $-1$ , but contains the pair  $\{p, p^{-1}\}$  exactly once,

## Step 2

Now we suppose  $N$  even. Take a prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$  with residue field  $\kappa$ , such that  $p^2 - 1 \neq 0$  in  $\kappa$ .

The representation  $\pi_N$  determines a homomorphism  $\mathbb{T}_N^{S,p} \rightarrow \kappa$ , whose kernel we denote by  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Here,  $\mathbb{T}_N^{S,p}$  is the (abstract) spherical Hecke algebra of  $G_N$  (or  $G'_N$ ) away from  $S$  and  $p$ -adic places, which acts on  $\mathcal{X}$  via Hecke correspondences.

We show the following level raising result:

Suppose

- the mod- $\lambda$  Satake parameters of  $\pi_{N,p}$  does not contain  $-1$ , but contains the pair  $\{p, p^{-1}\}$  exactly once,
- $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F_p}} \overline{F_p}, \kappa)_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$  for  $i \neq N - 1$ ,

## Step 2

Now we suppose  $N$  even. Take a prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$  with residue field  $\kappa$ , such that  $p^2 - 1 \neq 0$  in  $\kappa$ .

The representation  $\pi_N$  determines a homomorphism  $\mathbb{T}_N^{S,p} \rightarrow \kappa$ , whose kernel we denote by  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Here,  $\mathbb{T}_N^{S,p}$  is the (abstract) spherical Hecke algebra of  $G_N$  (or  $G'_N$ ) away from  $S$  and  $p$ -adic places, which acts on  $\mathcal{X}$  via Hecke correspondences.

We show the following level raising result:

Suppose

- the mod- $\lambda$  Satake parameters of  $\pi_{N,p}$  does not contain  $-1$ , but contains the pair  $\{p, p^{-1}\}$  exactly once,
- $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F_p}} \overline{F_p}, \kappa)_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$  for  $i \neq N - 1$ ,
- $F^+ \neq \mathbb{Q}$  if  $N > 2$ .

## Step 2

Now we suppose  $N$  even. Take a prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$  with residue field  $\kappa$ , such that  $p^2 - 1 \neq 0$  in  $\kappa$ .

The representation  $\pi_N$  determines a homomorphism  $\mathbb{T}_N^{S,p} \rightarrow \kappa$ , whose kernel we denote by  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Here,  $\mathbb{T}_N^{S,p}$  is the (abstract) spherical Hecke algebra of  $G_N$  (or  $G'_N$ ) away from  $S$  and  $p$ -adic places, which acts on  $\mathcal{X}$  via Hecke correspondences.

We show the following level raising result:

Suppose

- the mod- $\lambda$  Satake parameters of  $\pi_{N,p}$  does not contain  $-1$ , but contains the pair  $\{p, p^{-1}\}$  exactly once,
- $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\mathcal{X} \otimes_{O_{F_p}} \overline{F_p}, \kappa)_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$  for  $i \neq N - 1$ ,
- $F^+ \neq \mathbb{Q}$  if  $N > 2$ .

Then we have

$$H_{\text{ét}}^{N-1}(\mathcal{X} \otimes_{O_{F_p}} \overline{F_p}, \kappa)_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0.$$

## Step 2

Now we suppose  $N$  even. Take a prime  $\lambda$  of  $E$  with residue field  $\kappa$ , such that  $p^2 - 1 \neq 0$  in  $\kappa$ .

The representation  $\pi_N$  determines a homomorphism  $\mathbb{T}_N^{S,p} \rightarrow \kappa$ , whose kernel we denote by  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Here,  $\mathbb{T}_N^{S,p}$  is the (abstract) spherical Hecke algebra of  $G_N$  (or  $G'_N$ ) away from  $S$  and  $p$ -adic places, which acts on  $\mathcal{X}$  via Hecke correspondences.

We show the following level raising result:

Suppose

- the mod- $\lambda$  Satake parameters of  $\pi_{N,p}$  does not contain  $-1$ , but contains the pair  $\{p, p^{-1}\}$  exactly once,
- $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\mathcal{X} \otimes_{O_{F_p}} \overline{F_p}, \kappa)_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$  for  $i \neq N - 1$ ,
- $F^+ \neq \mathbb{Q}$  if  $N > 2$ .

Then we have

$$H_{\text{ét}}^{N-1}(\mathcal{X} \otimes_{O_{F_p}} \overline{F_p}, \kappa)_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0.$$

In other words, there exists an automorphic representation  $\pi'_N$  of  $G'_N(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$  appearing in the middle cohomology that is congruent to  $\pi_N$  modulo  $\lambda$ . Moreover,  $\pi'_N$  is not unramified at  $p$ .

Thank you!