

①

Kuznetsov components and some examples of fractional Calabi-Yau categories.

Everything in this talk, unless otherwise mentioned, is taken from Alexander Kuznetsov's article: "Calabi-Yau and fractional Calabi-Yau categories".

Recall that if X is a smooth projective variety of dimension n , then X has a Serre functor, namely: $S_X = \omega_X[n] \otimes _$ where ω_X is the canonical bundle.

In particular, if X is Calabi-Yau then $S_X = [\dim X]$. This motivates the following definitions:

Def: let \mathcal{C} be a triangulated category.

1) \mathcal{C} is a n -Calabi-Yau category if it has a Serre functor $S_{\mathcal{C}}$ and if there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $S_{\mathcal{C}} = [n]$.

Such a n is called the Calabi-Yau dimension of \mathcal{C} .

2) \mathcal{C} is a fractional Calabi-Yau category if \mathcal{C} has a Serre functor $S_{\mathcal{C}}$ and there exists $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ s.t. $S_{\mathcal{C}}^q \cong [p]$.

The main theorem of Kuznetsov's article gives several examples of CY-categories and fractional CY-categories, which will be pieces in the semi-orthogonal decomposition of some varieties.

Why are we interested in such categories?

- If X is a variety with a semi-orthogonal component which is a 2-CY-category, then any moduli space of coherent sheaves on X has a closed 2-form. In some cases this gives interesting hyper-Kähler varieties.

- More generally, some geometric properties of X can be deduced from the existence of a fractional CY-component in its semi-orthogonal decomposition.

② Notations and formulas:

- Let ϕ be a functor. We will denote by ϕ^* and $\phi^!$ its left, respectively right adjoints, if they exist. Then we will denote:

$$\eta_{\phi, \phi^*} : \text{id} \longrightarrow \phi \circ \phi^* \qquad \varepsilon_{\phi^*, \phi} : \phi^* \circ \phi \longrightarrow \text{id}.$$

Moreover $(\phi \circ \varepsilon_{\phi^*, \phi}) \circ (\eta_{\phi, \phi^*} \circ \phi) = \text{id} \quad (1)$

$$(\varepsilon_{\phi^*, \phi} \circ \phi^*) \circ (\phi^* \circ \eta_{\phi, \phi^*}) = \text{id} \quad (2)$$

ϕ is fully faithful iff $\varepsilon_{\phi^*, \phi}$ is an isomorphism, iff η_{ϕ, ϕ^*} is an isomorphism ("Fourier-Mukai transforms in algebraic geometry", D. Huybrechts p8).

- $\Psi = (\eta_{\phi^!, \phi \circ \phi^*}) + (\phi^! \circ \eta_{\phi, \phi^*}) : \phi^* \oplus \phi^! \longrightarrow \phi^! \circ \phi \circ \phi^*$

$$\Gamma = (\phi^* \circ \varepsilon_{\phi, \phi^!}) + (\varepsilon_{\phi^*, \phi} \circ \phi^!) : \phi^* \circ \phi \circ \phi^! \longrightarrow \phi^* \oplus \phi^!$$

- We have the distinguished triangles:

$$(3) \quad T_Y \rightarrow \text{id} \xrightarrow{\eta_{\phi, \phi^*}} \phi \circ \phi^*$$

$$(4) \quad \phi \circ \phi^! \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\phi, \phi^!}} \text{id} \rightarrow T_Y^!$$

$$(5) \quad \phi^* \circ \phi \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\phi^*, \phi}} \text{id} \rightarrow T_X$$

$$(6) \quad T_X^! \rightarrow \text{id} \xrightarrow{\eta_{\phi^!, \phi}} \phi^! \circ \phi$$

where $\phi: \mathcal{D}^b(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(Y)$ is spherical.

- $\phi \circ T_X \simeq T_Y \circ \phi \quad (7)$ $\phi^* \circ T_Y \simeq T_X \circ \phi^* \quad (8)$

- $\rho = T_X \circ \mathcal{L}_X^d$ $\sigma = S_X \circ T_X \circ \mathcal{L}_X^m$

- $T_\pi(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^i) = \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-d} \quad (9)$

- $\mathcal{L}_\pi \circ \phi \simeq \phi \circ \mathcal{L}_X \quad (10)$

- $T_X \circ \mathcal{L}_X = \mathcal{L}_X \circ T_X \quad (11)$

- $S_{A_X}^{d/c} = e^{-m/c} \circ \sigma^{d/c} \quad (12)$

- $\mathcal{A}_X = \{F \in \mathcal{D}^b(X), \phi(F) \in \langle \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-d}, \dots, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-1} \rangle\} \quad (13)$

③ 1. Spherical functors

Let X and Y be smooth projective varieties.

Def let $\phi: \mathcal{D}^b(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(Y)$ be a Fourier-Mukai functor.

In particular, ϕ has then a left and a right adjoint.

Then ϕ is called spherical if:

$$1) \Psi := (\eta_{\phi!}; \phi \circ \phi^*) + (\phi^! \circ \eta_{\phi, \phi^*}): [\phi^* \oplus \phi^!](A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \phi^! \circ \phi \circ \phi^*(A)$$

is an isomorphism for all $A \in \mathcal{D}^b(Y)$.

$$2) \Gamma := (\phi^* \circ \varepsilon_{\phi, \phi^!}) + (\varepsilon_{\phi^*, \phi} \circ \phi^!): \phi^* \circ \phi \circ \phi^!(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\phi^* \oplus \phi^!)(A)$$

is an isomorphism for all $A \in \mathcal{D}^b(Y)$.

Def let $\phi: \mathcal{D}^b(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(Y)$ be a spherical functor. Then we can define

the functors T_X, T_X', T_Y, T_Y' as follows:

we have the following distinguished triangles, where we identify a Fourier-Mukai functor to its kernel (i.e. we will write ϕ_P for Γ), since $id = \phi_{\mathcal{O}_\Delta}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_Y \rightarrow id \xrightarrow{\eta_{\phi, \phi^*}} \phi \circ \phi^* & \phi \circ \phi^! \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\phi, \phi^!}} id \rightarrow T_Y' \\ \phi^* \circ \phi \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\phi^*, \phi}} id \rightarrow T_X & T_X' \rightarrow id \xrightarrow{\eta_{\phi^!, \phi}} \phi^! \circ \phi \end{array}$$

Then T_X and T_Y are called spherical twists.

Prop: With the same notations:

T_X, T_X' and T_Y, T_Y' are mutually inverse autoequivalences of $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$, respectively $\mathcal{D}^b(Y)$.

Proof: let $\delta: \phi^! \circ \phi \rightarrow T_X'[\cdot]$. Composing (6) with ϕ^* on the right, one gets the distinguished triangle (ϕ^* is exact since it is a FM transform):

$$\phi^* \rightarrow \phi^! \circ \phi \circ \phi^* \xrightarrow{\delta \circ \phi^*} T_X'[\cdot] \circ \phi^*$$

$\eta_{\phi^!, \phi \circ \phi^*}$

This implies that $(\delta \circ \phi^*) \circ (\eta_{\phi^!, \phi \circ \phi^*}) = 0$ (*)

Let $\varphi = (\delta \circ \phi^*) \circ (\phi^! \circ \eta_{\phi, \phi^*})$. Then:

$$(4) \quad \phi^* \longrightarrow \phi^* \oplus \phi^! \longrightarrow \phi^! \quad (a)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{id} \downarrow \circlearrowleft & & \psi \downarrow \circlearrowleft & & \downarrow \psi \\ \phi^* & \longrightarrow & \phi^! \circ \phi \circ \phi^* & \longrightarrow & T_X'[\Gamma] \circ \phi^* \\ \eta_{\phi^!, \phi} \circ \phi^* & & \delta \circ \phi^* & & \end{array} \quad (b)$$

The right square commutes because of (a) and by definition of ψ .

The left square commutes by definition of ψ .

Moreover, (a) and (b) are distinguished triangles and id and ψ are isomorphisms, thus ϕ is an isomorphism.

Moreover, one can get the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \phi^! \circ \phi & \xrightarrow{\phi^! \circ \eta_{\phi^!, \phi^*} \circ \phi} & \phi^! \circ \phi \circ \phi^* \circ \phi & \xrightarrow{\delta \circ \phi^* \circ \phi} & T_X'[\Gamma] \circ \phi^* \circ \phi \\ & \searrow \gamma & \downarrow \phi^! \circ \phi \circ \epsilon_{\phi^*, \phi} & & \downarrow T_X'[\Gamma] \circ \epsilon_{\phi^*, \phi} \\ & & \phi^! \circ \phi & \xrightarrow{\delta} & T_X'[\Gamma] \end{array}$$

The square commutes since we have $\underbrace{\phi^! \circ \phi \circ \phi^* \circ \phi}_{\delta \circ \phi^* \circ \phi}$, and $\gamma = \text{id}$

since $\gamma = \phi^! [\phi \circ \epsilon_{\phi^*, \phi} \circ \eta_{\phi^!, \phi^*} \circ \phi] = \phi^! \circ \phi$ by (1).

As a result, $\delta = \delta \circ \text{id} = T_X'[\Gamma] \circ \epsilon_{\phi^*, \phi} \circ \underbrace{(\delta \circ \phi^* \circ \phi \circ \phi^! \circ \eta_{\phi^!, \phi^*} \circ \phi)}_{=: \nu}$.

Therefore the right square in the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{id} \xrightarrow{\eta_{\phi^!, \phi}} & \phi^! \circ \phi & \xrightarrow{\delta} & T_X'[\Gamma] & (c) \\ \psi \downarrow \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \nu & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \text{id} & \\ T_X' \circ T_X & \longrightarrow & T_X'[\Gamma] \circ \phi^* \circ \phi & \longrightarrow & T_X'[\Gamma] & (d) \\ & & T_X'[\Gamma] \circ \epsilon_{\phi^*, \phi} & & \end{array}$$

Moreover $\nu = \psi \circ \phi$ is an isomorphism since ψ is an isomorphism.

⑤ The triangles (c) and (d) are distinguished, by definition for (c) and for (d) because it is (5) composed with $T_X'[-1]$ on the left.

Hence, there exists a map $\nu: \text{id} \rightarrow T_X' \circ T_X$ such that the left square commutes. Finally, since id and ν are isomorphisms, so is ν .

Similarly, $T_Y' \circ T_Y \simeq \text{id}$. Using Γ instead of ψ and a similar reasoning, one also gets $T_X \circ T_X' = \text{id}$ and $T_Y \circ T_Y' = \text{id}$. \square

Rem: In "Spherical DG-functors" Rina Anno and Timothy Logvinenko give a different definition of spherical functor:

- * T_X and T_X' are quasi-inverse autoequivalences
- * T_Y and T_Y' are quasi-inverse autoequivalences
- * $\phi^* \circ T_Y'[-1] \rightarrow \phi^* \circ \phi \circ \phi' \rightarrow \phi'$ is an isomorphism
- * $\phi' \rightarrow \phi' \circ \phi \circ \phi^* \rightarrow T_X' \phi^*[1]$ is an isomorphism.

This definition is equivalent to the one with ψ and Γ .

Prop With the same notations, $\phi \circ T_X \simeq T_Y \circ \phi[2]$ and $T_X \circ \phi^* \simeq \phi^* \circ T_Y[2]$.

Proof: Combining $\phi' \xrightarrow[\psi]{} T_X'[-1] \circ \phi^*$ and $T_X \circ T_X' = \text{id}$ one gets:

$$T_X \circ \phi' \simeq \phi^*[1] \quad (**)$$

Similarly the triangle $\text{id} \xrightarrow{\eta_{\phi, \phi^*}} \phi \circ \phi^* \xrightarrow{\tau} T_Y[1]$ is distinguished.

Composing with ϕ' on the left, one gets the distinguished triangle:

$$\phi' \xrightarrow{\phi' \circ \eta_{\phi, \phi^*}} \phi' \circ \phi \circ \phi^* \xrightarrow{\phi' \circ \tau} \phi' \circ T_Y[1].$$

In particular, $(\phi' \circ \tau) \circ (\phi' \circ \eta_{\phi, \phi^*}) = 0$. (***)

$$\text{let } \varphi' = \phi' \circ \tau \circ \eta_{\phi, \phi^*}$$

Then

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \phi' & \longrightarrow & \phi' \oplus \phi^* & \longrightarrow & \phi^* \\ \downarrow \text{id} \wr & & \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \varphi' \\ \phi' & \longrightarrow & \phi' \circ \phi \circ \phi^* & \xrightarrow[\phi' \circ \tau]{} & \phi' \circ T_Y[1] \\ & & \downarrow \phi' \circ \eta_{\phi, \phi^*} & & \end{array}$$

commutes by (***) and the definition of ψ . Hence φ' is an isomorphism and $\phi^* \simeq \phi' \circ T_Y[1]$. (***)

⑥ Finally, $\phi^* \circ T_Y^{-1}[-1] \underset{(\ast\ast\ast)}{\simeq} \phi^! \underset{(\ast)}{\simeq} T_X^{-1} \circ \phi^* [1] \quad (\ast\ast\ast\ast)$

Composing with T_X on the left and $T_Y [1]$ on the right gives:

$$T_X \circ \phi^* \simeq \phi^* \circ T_Y [2].$$

By Yoneda's lemma a right adjoint is unique upto isomorphism, thus taking right adjoints on both sides, one gets:

$$T_Y \circ \phi [1] \simeq \phi \circ T_X [-1] \Rightarrow T_Y \circ \phi [2] \simeq \phi \circ T_X \quad \blacksquare$$

2. Kuznetsov's theorem

Let X be a smooth projective variety. Let π be a smooth projective variety with a rectangular Lefschetz decomposition of length m with respect to \mathcal{L}_π .

Let $\phi: \mathcal{D}^b(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\pi)$ be a spherical functor.

Finally let us define $e = T_X \circ \mathcal{L}_X^d$ with \mathcal{L}_X and d as in the following theorem, and $\sigma = S_X \circ T_X \circ \mathcal{L}_X^m$.

Theorem (Kuznetsov) With the same notations.

Assume $\mathcal{D}^b(\pi) = \langle \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi, \dots, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{m-1} \rangle$ and:

1) $\exists 1 \leq d < m$ s.t. $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z} \quad T_\pi(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^i) = \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-d}$

2) $\exists \mathcal{L}_X$ a line bundle on X s.t. $\mathcal{L}_\pi \circ \phi \simeq \phi \circ \mathcal{L}_X$ where \mathcal{L}_π here

means the functor "tensoring with \mathcal{L}_π ".

3) $T_X \circ \mathcal{L}_X = \mathcal{L}_X \circ T_X$

Then $\phi^*: \mathcal{D}^b(\pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ is fully faithful on \mathcal{B} and induces a semi-orthogonal decomposition:

$$\mathcal{D}^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{A}_X, \mathcal{B}_X, \mathcal{B}_X \otimes \mathcal{L}_X, \dots, \mathcal{B}_X \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^{m-d-1} \rangle$$

with $\mathcal{B}_X = \phi^*(\mathcal{B})$ $\mathcal{A}_X = \langle \mathcal{B}_X, \dots, \mathcal{B}_X \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^{m-d-1} \rangle^\perp$

\mathcal{A}_X is called the Kuznetsov component.

If $c = \gcd(d, m)$ then \mathcal{A}_X has a Serre functor s.t. $S_{\mathcal{A}_X} \simeq e^{-m/c} \circ \sigma^{d/c}$

In particular if some powers of σ and e are shifts then \mathcal{A}_X is a

⑦ fractional Calabi-Yau category.

Rem if $d = m$ then ϕ^* is not fully faithful but if $A_X = \mathbb{D}^b(X)$ then $S_{A_X} = S_X = e^{-1} \circ \phi$.

3. Examples of (fractional) CY-categories given by this theorem

3.1) let X, π be smooth projective varieties.

let $f: X \rightarrow \pi$ be a divisorial embedding s.t. $|f(X)| \in |\mathcal{L}_\pi^d|$, $1 \leq d \leq m$.

Then $\phi = Rf_*$ is spherical.

Pf We will denote f_* for Rf_* .

Rf_* is a FT transform of kernel $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{f}}$.

Then f^* is a left adjoint of f_* and $f^! = \omega_X \otimes \omega_\pi^*|_X[-1] \otimes f^*(-)$ is a right adjoint of f_* (this comes from Grothendieck-Verdier duality, see Huybrechts' "FT transforms in algebraic geometry" p 187, 87).

Now the adjunction formula gives $\omega_X = f^*(\omega_\pi \otimes \mathcal{O}(X)) \simeq f^*(\omega_\pi \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^d)$.

let us set $\mathcal{L}_X = f^*(\mathcal{L}_\pi)$. Then $\omega_X \otimes \omega_\pi^*|_X = f^*(\omega_\pi^*) \otimes f^*(\omega_\pi) \otimes f^*(\mathcal{L}_\pi^d) = \mathcal{L}_X^d$.

Hence $f^! = f^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^d[-1]$. Moreover $f^!(f_*(f^*(F))) \simeq f^!(F \otimes f_* \mathcal{O}_X)$.

But there is a resolution (the Koszul resolution) of $f_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$:

$$\mathcal{O}(-X) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{O}_\pi \rightarrow f_*(\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow 0$$

\downarrow
 \mathcal{L}_π^{-d}

Therefore $f^!(f_* \mathcal{O}_X \otimes F) = f^!(F \otimes (\mathcal{L}_\pi^{-d} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{O}_\pi))$

$$= f^*(F) \otimes f^*(\mathcal{L}_\pi^{-d} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{O}_\pi) \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^d[-1] = (*)$$

But $\varphi|_X = 0$, hence $f^*(\mathcal{L}_\pi^{-d} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{O}_\pi) = \mathcal{L}_X^{-d} \xrightarrow{0} \mathcal{O}_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{L}_X^{-d}[1]$

\uparrow
 $\text{in } \mathbb{D}^b(X)$

$$\Rightarrow (*) = f^*(F) \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^d \otimes (\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{L}_X^{-d}[1])[-1]$$

$$\textcircled{8} = (f^*(F) \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^d[-1]) \oplus f^*(F) = f^!(F) \oplus f^*(F).$$

Thus ψ is an isomorphism.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Similarly, } f^* \circ f_* \circ f^!(F) &= f^* \circ f_* (f^*(F) \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^{+d}[-1]) \\ &= f^*(F \otimes f_*(\mathcal{L}_X^d))[-1] \\ &= f^*(F \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^d \otimes f_*(\mathcal{O}_X))[-1] \\ &= f^*(F) \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^d \otimes (\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{L}_X^{-d}[1])[-1] \\ &= f^*(F) \oplus f^!(F) \end{aligned}$$

And Γ is an isomorphism. \square

We would like to apply the theorem, for this we need:

Prop: With the same notations and assumptions as above:

- 1) T_X commutes with \mathcal{L}_X
- 2) $\exists p$ s.t. e^p is a shift
- 3) if $w_\pi = \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-m}$ then $\exists q$ s.t. σ^q is a shift.

Proof: There is a distinguished triangle $\mathcal{L}_\pi^{-d} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\pi \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_X$
 $\Rightarrow \forall F \in \mathcal{D}^b(\pi) \quad F \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-d} \rightarrow F \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_X \otimes F$ is distinguished
 \parallel
 $f_*(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes f^*F) = f_* f^*F$

The triangle $F \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^{-d}[1] \rightarrow f^* f_*(F) \rightarrow F$ is also distinguished for all $F \in \mathcal{D}^b(\pi)$.

By definition of T_π and T_X this implies $T_\pi = \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-d}$ and $T_X = \mathcal{L}_X^{-d}[2]$.
 Thus T_X commutes with \mathcal{L}_X and (ii) is satisfied.

$$e = T_X \circ \mathcal{L}_X^d = [2]$$

$$\sigma = S_X \circ T_X \circ \mathcal{L}_X^m = \omega_X[\dim X] \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^{-d}[2] \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^m$$

$$\omega_X = f^*(\omega_\pi \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^d) = f^*(\mathcal{L}_\pi^{d-m}) = \mathcal{L}_X^{d-m}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma = [\dim X + 2] = [\dim \pi + 1]. \quad \square$$

⑨ To apply the theorem we still need to check (10) and (9).

Here $\phi = f_*$ and $f_*(\mathcal{L}_X \otimes F) = f_*(f^*(\mathcal{L}_\pi) \otimes F) = \mathcal{L}_\pi \otimes f_*(F)$

Hence (10) holds.

$T_\pi = \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-d} \Rightarrow T_\pi(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^i) = \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-d}$ and (9) holds.

In the end in such a case we need to check:

- that the decomposition of π exists

- that $\omega_\pi = \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-m}$

Examples: 1) $X \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ a smooth hypersurface of degree $d \leq m$. Then:

* $f: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ and $|f(x)| \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1)|^d = |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(d)|$

* $\omega_{\mathbb{P}^m} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(-m-1) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1)^{-(m+1)}$

* $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbb{P}^m) = \langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}, \dots, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(m) \rangle$

* $\mathcal{B}_X = \langle \mathcal{O}_X \rangle$ $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m} \rangle$

$T_\pi(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^i) = T_\pi(\mathcal{L}_\pi^i) = \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-d}$

$\mathcal{L}_\pi = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1)$

$\mathcal{L}_X = \mathcal{O}_X(1) = f^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1))$

Hence $\mathcal{D}^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{A}_X, \mathcal{O}_X, \dots, \mathcal{O}_X(m-d) \rangle$

$c = \gcd(d, m+1)$ $S_{\mathcal{A}_X}^{d/c} = \left[-2 \frac{(m+1)}{c} + (m+1) \frac{d}{c} \right] = \left[\left(\frac{m+1}{c} \right) (d-2) \right]$

If $d | m+1$ then $c = d$ and $S_{\mathcal{A}_X} = \left[\frac{(m+1)(d-2)}{d} \right]$.

Hypersurfaces of degree 3 in \mathbb{P}^5 is a particular case. d

2) $X \subset \mathbb{P}(w_0, \dots, w_m) =: \pi$ a smooth hypersurface of degree $d < w := \sum_{i=0}^m w_i$ in a weighted projective space.

* $\mathcal{D}^b(\pi) = \langle \mathcal{O}, \dots, \mathcal{O}(w-1) \rangle$ $m = w$

* $f: X \hookrightarrow \pi$ and $|f(x)| \in |\mathcal{O}(1)|^d$

* $\omega_\pi = \mathcal{O}(1)^{-w}$

* $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$, $\mathcal{L}_\pi = \mathcal{O}(1)$ so it is as in the example 1)

Therefore $\mathcal{D}^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{A}_X, \mathcal{O}_X, \dots, \mathcal{O}_X(w-d-1) \rangle$

10) and if $c = \gcd(d, w)$ then $S_{A_x}^{d/c} = \left[-2 \frac{w}{c} + \frac{d}{c} (m+1) \right]$

If $d|w$ then $c=d$ and $S_{A_x} = \left[-2 \frac{w}{d} + m+1 \right]$

3) Let Q be a smooth quadric of dimension $m = 4s+2$. Then

$$D^b(Q) = \langle \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}(2s+1) \rangle \quad m=2$$

where $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1), \dots, \mathcal{O}(2s), S(2s) \rangle$ and S is a spinor bundle.

Let $X \subset Q$ be a hypersurface of degree $2s+1$.

$$\star \mathcal{L}_X = \mathcal{O}(2s+1) \quad |X| \in |\mathcal{O}(2s+1)| \Rightarrow d=1$$

$$\star \omega_Q = \mathcal{O}(2s+1)^{-2} = \mathcal{O}(-4s-2) \quad c = \gcd(1, 2) = 1$$

As a result, $D^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{A}_X, \mathcal{B}_X \rangle$ since $m-d-1=0$, with

$$\mathcal{B}_X = \langle \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X(1), \dots, \mathcal{O}_X(2s), S(2s)|_X \rangle.$$

$$S_{A_x} = \left[-2 \times 2 + 1 \times (4s+3) \right] = \left[4s-1 \right]$$

4) Assume $\gcd(k, m)=1$, let $X \subset \text{Gr}(k, m)$ be a hypersurface of degree

$$d < m. \quad \star D^b(\text{Gr}(k, m)) = \langle \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}(1), \dots, \mathcal{B}(m-1) \rangle \quad m=m$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \langle \Sigma^\alpha U^\vee \mid \alpha_1 < (m-k)(k-1)/k, \alpha_2 < (m-k)(k-2)/k, \dots, \alpha_{k-1} < (m-k)/k \rangle$$

$$\star \mathcal{L}_\pi = \mathcal{O}(1) \quad |X| \in |\mathcal{O}(1)^d|$$

$$c = \gcd(m, d)$$

$$\star \omega_{\text{Gr}(k, m)} = \mathcal{O}(1)^{-m}$$

Thus $D^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{A}_X, \mathcal{B}_X, \dots, \mathcal{B}_X(m-d-1) \rangle$

$$S_{A_x}^{d/c} = \left[-2 \frac{m}{c} + \frac{d}{c} (k(m-k)+1) \right]$$

If $d|m$ then $c=d$ and $S_{A_x} = \left[-2 \frac{m}{d} + k(m-k)+1 \right]$

3.2 | Let $f: X \rightarrow \pi$ be a double covering branched in $D \in |\mathcal{L}_\pi^2|$, $1 \leq d \leq m$.

Proposition: Rf_* is spherical.

② Proof: $f^!(F) = f^*(F) \otimes \omega_X \otimes f^*(\omega_\pi^*)$

$$\omega_X = f^*(\omega_\pi \otimes \mathcal{O}(X)) = f^*(\omega_\pi) \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^d \quad \text{with } \mathcal{L}_X = f^*(\mathcal{L}_\pi)$$

$$\Rightarrow f^!(F) = f^*(F) \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^d$$

$$\Rightarrow f^!(f_*(f^*(F))) = f^!(f_* \mathcal{O}_X \otimes F)$$

but since f is a covering $f_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_\pi \oplus \mathcal{O}(-X) = \mathcal{O}_\pi \oplus \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-d}$

$$\text{thus } f^!(f_*(f^*(F))) = f^!(F \otimes (\mathcal{O}_\pi \oplus \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-d}))$$

$$= \mathcal{L}_X^d \otimes f^*(F) \otimes (\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{L}_X^{-d})$$

$$= f^*(F) \oplus f^*(F) \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^d = f^*(F) \oplus f^!(F)$$

and ψ is an isomorphism. Similarly, Γ is an isomorphism. \square

Prop: 1) T_X commutes with \mathcal{L}_X

2) some power of e is a shift

3) if $\omega_\pi = \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-m}$ there is a power of e which is a shift.

Proof: There is a distinguished triangle:

$$\mathcal{O}_\pi \rightarrow f_*(\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-d}$$

Therefore for all $F \in \mathcal{D}^b(\pi)$ one has a distinguished triangle:

$$F \rightarrow F \otimes f_*(\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow F \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-d}$$

$$\parallel$$

$$f_*(f^*F)$$

Moreover, for all $F \in \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ the following triangle is distinguished:

$$\tau^* F \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^{-d} \rightarrow f^* f_*(F) \rightarrow F$$

where τ is the involution of the covering f .

By definition of T_π and T_X this yields $T_\pi = \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-d}[-1]$ and

$$T_X = \tau \circ \mathcal{L}_X^{-d}[1].$$

Since $\tau(\mathcal{L}_X) \cong \mathcal{L}_X$ then $T_X = \tau \circ \mathcal{L}_X^{-d}[1]$ commutes with \mathcal{L}_X and (ii) holds.

$$e = \tau \circ \mathcal{L}_X^{-d}[1] \circ \mathcal{L}_X^d = \tau[1] \Rightarrow e^2 = [2].$$

$$(12) \quad \sigma = \omega_X [\dim X] \circ \tau \circ \mathcal{L}_X^{-d} [1] \circ \mathcal{L}_X^m$$

$$\omega_X = f^*(\omega_\pi \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^d) = f^*(\mathcal{L}_\pi^{d-m}) = \mathcal{L}_X^{d-m}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma = \tau [\dim X + 1] \quad \sigma^2 = [2(\dim X + 1)] = [2(\dim \pi + 1)]$$

As in the previous case, we would like to use the theorem and for this we still need to check (9) and (10). Again:

$$* \quad f_*(\mathcal{L}_X \otimes F) = f_*(f^*\mathcal{L}_\pi \otimes F) = \mathcal{L}_\pi \otimes f_*(F) \text{ and (10) holds.}$$

$$* \quad T_\pi = \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-d} [-1] \Rightarrow T_\pi(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^i) = \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-d} [-1]$$

since \mathcal{B} is stable under shifts, (9) holds.

So as before we need to check that $\omega_\pi = \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-m}$ and that the decomposition of π exists.

Examples: 1) Let $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ be a double covering ramified in a smooth hypersurface of degree $2d$, $1 \leq d \leq m$.

We have already checked that $\mathcal{O}(1)^{-m} = \omega_\pi$ in this case, and we have seen the semi-orthogonal decomposition of $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbb{P}^m)$ in 3.1.

Thus $\mathcal{D}^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{A}_X, \mathcal{O}_X, \dots, \mathcal{O}_X(m-d) \rangle$ and if $c = \gcd(m+1, d)$ then

$$S_{\mathcal{A}_X}^{d/c} = \tau^{(d-(m+1))/c} \left[-\frac{(m+1)}{c} + \frac{d}{c}(m+1) \right] = \tau^{\frac{(d-(m+1))}{c}} \left[\frac{(m+1)(d-1)}{c} \right]$$

$$\text{If } d \mid m+1 \text{ and } (m+1)/d \text{ is odd then } S_{\mathcal{A}_X} = \left[(m+1) \frac{(d-1)}{d} \right]$$

2) Assume $\gcd(k, m) = 1$ and $X \rightarrow \text{Gr}(k, m)$ is a double covering ramified in a smooth hypersurface of degree $2d$, $d < m$.

As in 3.1 we can apply the theorem and:

$$\mathcal{D}^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{A}_X, \mathcal{B}_X, \dots, \mathcal{B}_X(m-d-1) \rangle \quad c = \gcd(m, d)$$

$$S_{\mathcal{A}_X}^{d/c} = \tau^{(d-m)/c} \left[-\frac{m}{c} + (k(m-k)+1) \frac{d}{c} \right]$$

$$\text{If } d \mid m \text{ and } m/d \text{ is odd then } S_{\mathcal{A}_X} = \left[-\frac{m}{d} + k(m-k)+1 \right]$$

(13)

3.3 / Gushel-Tukai manifolds

This part comes from "Lectures on non-commutative K3 surfaces, Bridgeland stability and moduli spaces", E. Macri, P. Stellari.

Def: let $\text{Cone}(\text{Gr}(2,5)) \subset \mathbb{P}^{10}$ be the cone over $\text{Gr}(2,5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ (where the inclusion is the Plücker embedding).

let $\mathbb{P}^{m+4} \subset \mathbb{P}^{10}$ be a linear subspace and $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{m+4}$ be a quadric hypersurface. let $X = \text{Cone}(\text{Gr}(2,5)) \cap \mathbb{P}^{m+4} \cap Q$ $2 \leq m \leq 6$

If X is smooth of dimension n then X is a Gushel-Tukai manifold.

Since X is smooth it doesn't contain the vertex of the cone. Therefore one can consider the projection $f: X \rightarrow \text{Gr}(2,5)$ called the Gushel map.

We will consider only the cases $m=4, 6$.

There are two possibilities:

- 1) f is an embedding, its image is a quadric section of a smooth linear section of $\text{Gr}(2,5)$. In this case X is called ordinary.
- 2) f is a double covering onto a smooth linear section of $\text{Gr}(2,5)$ ramified along a quadric section. In this case X is called special and we will denote by τ the involution of the covering.

In both cases we will denote by Π_X the smooth linear section.

Lemma: let $i: \Pi \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}(2,5)$ be a smooth linear section of $\dim N \geq 3$

then Π has a rectangular Lefschetz decomposition with respect to

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Pi}(1) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Gr}(2,5)}|_{\Pi}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^b(\Pi) = \langle \mathcal{B}_{\Pi}, \mathcal{B}_{\Pi}(1), \dots, \mathcal{B}_{\Pi}(N-2) \rangle$$

with $\mathcal{B}_{\Pi} = \{ \mathcal{O}_{\Pi}, \mathcal{U}_{\Pi}^{\vee} \}$ $\mathcal{U} =$ natural rank 2 subbundle.

Idea of proof: by inverse induction on N . $\dim \text{Gr}(2,5) = 2 \times 3 = 6$

If $N=5$ then we can apply example 3.1.4): $d=1=c$

(Bbis) $D^b(\pi) = \langle A_X, B_X, B_X(1), \dots, B_X(\underbrace{5-1-1}_3) \rangle$
 $3 = 5 - 2$

$$S_{A_X} = [2(5-2) + 1 - 2 \times 5] = [-3]$$

But $* \mathcal{H}_*(\pi)$ is concentrated in degree 0

$* A_X$ is a -3 CY-category $\Rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-3} A_X \neq 0$

$* \text{if } \mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_r \rangle \text{ then } \mathcal{H}_i(\mathcal{D}) \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \mathcal{H}_i(\mathcal{D}_j)$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-3}(D^b(\pi)) \simeq \mathcal{H}_{-3} A_X \oplus \dots$$

$\Rightarrow A_X$ has to be zero.

$$\Rightarrow D^b(\pi) = \langle B_X, B_X(1), \dots, B_X(3) \rangle.$$

Now let $\pi' \subset \pi$ be a linear section.

Again we can apply example 3.1): $d=1=c$

$$D^b(\pi') = \langle A'_X, B_{\pi'}, \dots, B_{\pi'}(\underbrace{4-1-1}_2) \rangle$$

$$4 - 2 = 2$$

$$S_{A'_X} = [-2 \times \frac{4}{1} + 6 \times 1] = [-2] \text{ and as before } A'_X = 0, \text{ etc.}$$

$* \text{let us first consider the case } n=6. \text{ Then } f \text{ is a double covering, branched in a quartic section. Thus the branched locus is in } \mathcal{O}(1)^2 \text{ and } d=1. \text{ We can apply example 3.2.2).}$

$$D^b(X_6) = \langle A_X, B_X, \dots, B_X(\underbrace{5-1-1}_3) \rangle$$

$$c=1 \quad S_{A_X} = \tau^{5-1} [(2 \times 3 + 1) \times 1 - 5] = [2].$$

$* \text{let us now consider the case } n=4. \text{ There are two possibilities:}$

1) f is an embedding. There is a quartic Q' s.t.

$$X_4 = \Pi_X \cap Q' \hookrightarrow \Pi_X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2(2,5)$$

$$\text{by the lemma } D^b(\Pi_X) = \langle B_{\Pi_X}, \dots, B_{\Pi_X}(3) \rangle$$

We can use the following lemma:

13 ker lemma: $f_*: D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(\pi_X)$ is spherical

$$T_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-2)[2] \quad T_{\pi_X} = \mathcal{O}_{\pi_X}(-2) \quad \text{if } X \text{ ordinary}$$

$$T_X = \tilde{\tau} \circ \mathcal{O}_X(-1)[1] \quad T_{\pi_X} = \mathcal{O}_{\pi_X}(-1)[-1] \quad \text{if } X \text{ special.}$$

} This is
ex. 3.1
end
3.2.

We can now apply the example 3.1.

$d=2$ since X_4 is a hypersurface of degree 2 in π_X

$$m=4, \quad c=2$$

$$D^b(X_4) = \langle \mathcal{A}_X, B_{X_1}, \dots, B_{X_4} \underbrace{(4-2-1)}_1 \rangle$$

$$S_{\mathcal{A}_X} = [-2 \times \frac{4}{2} + 6] = [2]$$

2) f is a double covering branched in $Q \subset \pi_X$ a quadric.

$$|Q| \in |\mathcal{O}(1)|^2 \Rightarrow d=1 \quad c=1 \quad m=3 \quad D^b(\pi_X) = \langle B_{\pi_X}, \dots, B_{\pi_X}(2) \rangle$$

by the lemma.

Applying example 3.2) gives:

$$D^b(X_4) = \langle \mathcal{A}_X, B_{X_1}, \dots, B_{X_4} \underbrace{(3-1-1)}_1 \rangle$$

$$S_{\mathcal{A}_X} = \tau^{1-3} [-3+5] = \tau^2 [2] \quad S_{\mathcal{A}_X} = [2].$$

Since the Debarre-Voisin varieties are hyperplane sections $X_{20} \subset \text{Gr}(3,10)$ with the example 3.1 one gets:

$$d=1 \quad c=1$$

$$D^b(X_{20}) = \langle \mathcal{A}_X, B_{X_1}, \dots, B_{X_8} \underbrace{(10-1-1)}_8 \rangle$$

$$S_{\mathcal{A}_X} = [-2 \times 10 + (3 \times 7 + 1) \times 1] = [-20 + 22] = [2].$$

14) 4. Idea of the proof of the theorem

4.1) The semi-orthogonal decomposition

With the same notations as in the theorem.

Let $\beta_\pi : \mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\pi)$. \mathcal{B} is admissible because it is a piece of the orthogonal decomposition of $\mathcal{D}^b(\pi)$. Hence, β_π has a right adjoint $\beta_\pi^!$. Then, $\beta_\pi^! \circ \phi$ is a right adjoint to $\phi^* \circ \beta_\pi$.

To show that ϕ^* is fully faithful on \mathcal{B} it is enough to show that

$$\phi \circ \phi^*|_{\mathcal{B}} \cong \text{id}, \text{ that is to say } \beta_\pi^! \circ \phi \circ \phi^* \circ \beta_\pi \cong \text{id}.$$

Composing the triangle (3) by $\beta_\pi^!$ on the left and β_π on the right, one gets the following distinguished triangle:

$$\beta_\pi^! \circ \tau_\pi \circ \beta_\pi(A) \rightarrow \beta_\pi^! \circ \beta_\pi(A) \rightarrow \beta_\pi^! \circ \phi \circ \phi^* \circ \beta_\pi(A)$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{B}$ since β_π is exact and thus $\beta_\pi^!$ too.

But $\beta_\pi^! \circ \beta_\pi \cong \text{id}$ since β_π is fully faithful, therefore it is enough to show that $\beta_\pi^! \circ \tau_\pi \circ \beta_\pi = 0$.

Let us show that $\ker \beta_\pi^! = \mathcal{B}^\perp$.

$$A \in \ker \beta_\pi^! \Leftrightarrow \text{Hom}(B, \beta_\pi^! A) = 0 \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{B}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{Hom}(\beta_\pi B, A) = 0 \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{B}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A \in \mathcal{B}^\perp$$

Thus it is enough to show that $\text{Im}(\tau_\pi \circ \beta_\pi) \subset \mathcal{B}^\perp$.

By hypothesis, $\tau_\pi(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathcal{L}_\pi}^{\mathcal{Y}^{-d}}$

let $B, B_1 \in \mathcal{B}$ then: $\text{Hom}(B, B_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{L}_\pi}^{\mathcal{Y}^{-d}}) \cong \text{Hom}(B \otimes_{\mathcal{L}_\pi}^{\mathcal{Y}^d}, B_1) = 0$

since $1 \leq d \leq m-1$ and by definition of the semi-orthogonal decomposition of $\mathcal{D}^b(\pi)$.

As a result, $\tau_\pi(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{B}^\perp$ and $\phi^*|_{\mathcal{B}}$ is fully faithful.

* Now we need to show that $\mathcal{B}_x, \dots, \mathcal{B}_x \otimes_{\mathcal{L}_x}^{\mathcal{Y}^{m-d-1}}$ is semi-orthogonal.

(15) Let $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_X$ $0 \leq i < j \leq m-d-1$.

$$\mathcal{B}_X = \phi^*(\mathcal{B}) \text{ so } B_1 = \phi^* B_3 \quad B_2 = \phi^* B_4$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(B_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^j, B_2 \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^i) &\simeq \text{Hom}(B_1, B_2 \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^{i-j}) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}(B_3, \phi \phi^*(B_4 \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-j})) \\ &= \text{Hom}(B_3, \phi \circ \mathcal{L}_X^{i-j} \circ \phi^*(B_4)) \end{aligned}$$

Hence it is enough to show $\beta_\pi^! \circ \phi \circ \mathcal{L}_X^{i-j} \circ \phi^* \circ \beta_\pi = 0 \quad \forall 1+d-m \leq i-j < 0$.

By assumption $\phi \circ \mathcal{L}_X = \mathcal{L}_\pi \circ \phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_X \circ \phi^* = \phi^* \circ \mathcal{L}_\pi$ taking left adjoints

$$\Rightarrow \beta_\pi^! \circ \phi \circ \mathcal{L}_X^{i-j} \circ \phi^* \circ \beta_\pi = \beta_\pi^! \circ \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-j} \circ \phi \circ \phi^* \circ \beta_\pi$$

Composing (3) with $\beta_\pi^! \circ \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-j}$ on the left, β_π on the right, one gets the distinguished triangle:

$$\beta_\pi^! \circ \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-j} \circ \tau_\pi \circ \beta_\pi \rightarrow \beta_\pi^! \circ \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-j} \circ \beta_\pi \rightarrow \beta_\pi^! \circ \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-j} \circ \phi \circ \phi^* \circ \beta_\pi \quad (*)$$

$$\text{Im}(\mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-j} \circ \beta_\pi) = \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-j}$$

$$\text{Im}(\mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-j} \circ \tau_\pi \circ \beta_\pi) = \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-j} \otimes \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-d} = \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-j-d}$$

\Rightarrow these two images are in $\mathcal{B}^\perp = \ker \beta^!$

\Rightarrow the triangle (*) is in fact $0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \beta_\pi^! \circ \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-j} \circ \phi \circ \phi^* \circ \beta_\pi$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_\pi^! \circ \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-j} \circ \phi \circ \phi^* \circ \beta_\pi = 0.$$

Finally, $\mathcal{B}_X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ has a right adjoint, namely $\beta_\pi^! \circ \phi$.

$$(\mathcal{B}_X = \phi^* \circ \beta_\pi(\mathcal{B}), \beta_\pi^! \circ \phi(\mathcal{B}_X) \cong \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\phi^*} \mathcal{B}_X \text{ fully faithful on } \mathcal{B})$$

Hence \mathcal{B}_X is admissible, and so is $\langle \mathcal{B}_X, \dots, \mathcal{B}_X \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^{m-d-1} \rangle$.

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{A}_X, \mathcal{B}_X, \dots, \mathcal{B}_X \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^{m-d-1} \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{A}_X = \langle \mathcal{B}_X, \dots, \mathcal{B}_X \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^{m-d-1} \rangle^\perp$$

■

① 4.2 | let us denote $\beta_x = \phi^* \circ \beta_{\pi} \quad \beta'_x = \beta'_{\pi} \circ \phi$.

We have now to compute $S_{\mathcal{A}_x}$ (and show it exists).

A. Mutation functors

Def let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{D}^b(\pi)$ be admissible, let $\beta: \mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\pi)$.

The left mutation functor associated to \mathcal{B} , $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the functor defined

by the distinguished triangle:

$$\beta\beta' \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \text{id} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}}$$

(where as before each functor is a FT transform and the triangle given by their kernels is distinguished).

The right mutation functor is given by the distinguished triangle:

$$\mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \text{id} \xrightarrow{\eta} \beta\beta'^*$$

Here are some properties of $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}}$ which can be found in "Homological projective duality", A. Kuznetsov, p45. (for the proof he refers to "Representation of associative algebras and coherent sheaves" A. Bondal).

Prop: * $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}} = i_{\mathcal{B}^\perp} \circ i_{\mathcal{B}^\perp}^*$

* $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B}) = 0 \quad \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}}: \mathcal{B}^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\perp$ is an equivalence

* if $\langle \mathcal{A}_0, \dots, \mathcal{A}_m \rangle$ is semi-orthogonal then

$$\mathbb{L}_{\langle \mathcal{A}_0, \dots, \mathcal{A}_m \rangle} = \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \circ \dots \circ \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{A}_m}$$

lemma: let $\mathcal{C} = \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \rangle$ be a semi-orthogonal decomposition of a triangulated category \mathcal{C} . In particular, \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are admissible. If \mathcal{C} has a Serre functor then so do \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} . Moreover

$$S_{\mathcal{B}} = \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{A}} \circ S_{\mathcal{C}} \quad S_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} = \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}} \circ S_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}$$

Idea of proof: $\forall A, B \in \mathcal{C} \quad \text{Hom}(A, B) \simeq \text{Hom}(B, S_{\mathcal{C}}(A))^*$

$\Rightarrow \text{Hom}(S_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}(A), B) \simeq \text{Hom}(B, A)$ since $S_{\mathcal{C}}$ is an equivalence.

(17) Let $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $\beta\beta^!A \rightarrow A \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_B A$ is distinguished, there is a long exact sequence:

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\beta\beta^!A[-1], B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{L}_B A, B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\beta\beta^!A, B)$$

$$\text{but } B \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \beta\beta^!A \in \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\beta\beta^!A[i], B) = 0 \quad i = -1, 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{L}_B A, B) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(A, B).$$

Since S_∞ is an equivalence we can write $A \simeq S_\infty^{-1}C$. Hence

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{L}_B A, B) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{L}_B \circ S_\infty^{-1}C, B)$$

$$\simeq \mathrm{Hom}(S_\infty^{-1}C, B) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(B, C).$$

In the situation of the theorem this gives that \mathcal{A}_X has a Serre functor

$$\text{and } S_{\mathcal{A}_X}^{-1} = \mathbb{L}_{\langle \mathcal{B}_X, \dots, \mathcal{B}_X \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^{m-d-1} \rangle} \circ S_X^{-1}.$$

lemma: $\mathcal{A}_X = \{F \in \mathcal{D}^b(X) \mid \phi(F) \in \langle \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-d}, \dots, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-1} \rangle\}$

Proof: $\mathcal{A}_X = \{F \in \mathcal{D}^b(X) \mid \mathrm{Hom}(\phi^*(\mathcal{B}), F) = \dots = \mathrm{Hom}(\phi^*(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{m-d-1}), F) = 0\}$

Since by (10) and since ϕ^* is fully faithful on \mathcal{B} one gets:

$$\phi^* \circ \phi \simeq \mathrm{id} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^* \circ \mathcal{L}_\pi \circ \phi(\mathcal{B}_X) \simeq \phi^* \circ \phi \circ \mathcal{L}_X(\mathcal{B}_X)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{is} & & \text{is} \\ \phi^*(\mathcal{L}_\pi \otimes \phi(\mathcal{B}_X)) & & \mathcal{B}_X \otimes \mathcal{L}_X \end{array}$$

$$\text{is} \quad \phi^*(\mathcal{L}_\pi) \otimes \mathcal{B}_X \simeq \phi^*(\mathcal{L}_\pi) \otimes \phi^*(\mathcal{B})$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X = \{F \in \mathcal{D}^b(X) \mid \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \phi(F)) = \dots = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{m-d-1}, \phi(F)) = 0\}$$

$$= \{F \in \mathcal{D}^b(X) \mid \phi(F) \in \langle \mathcal{B}, \dots, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{m-d-1} \rangle^\perp\}$$

$$= \{F \in \mathcal{D}^b(X) \mid \phi(F) \in \langle \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-d}, \dots, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-1} \rangle\}$$

Since by tensoring the semi-orthogonal decomposition of $\mathcal{D}^b(\pi)$ by \mathcal{L}_π^{-d} one gets a new semi-orthogonal decomposition:

$$\mathcal{D}^b(\pi) = \langle \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-d}, \dots, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{m-d-1} \rangle$$

(18) lemma: $\rho \circ \phi^* \simeq \phi^* \circ T_\pi \circ \alpha_\pi^d [2]$

$$\sigma \circ \phi^* = \phi^* \circ \alpha_\pi^m \circ S_\pi [1]$$

In particular ρ and σ preserve each piece of $\langle \mathcal{B}_x, \dots, \mathcal{B}_x \otimes \alpha_x^{m-d-1} \rangle = \mathcal{C}$.

Proof: $\rho \circ \phi^* = T_x \circ \alpha_x^d \circ \phi^*$

$$= T_x \circ \phi^* \circ \alpha_\pi^d \quad \text{by taking left adjoint in (10)}$$

$$= \phi^* \circ T_\pi \circ \alpha_\pi^d [2] \quad \text{by (8)}$$

$$\sigma \circ \phi^* = S_x \circ T_x \circ \alpha_x^m \circ \phi^* = S_x \circ T_x \circ \phi^* \circ \alpha_\pi^m$$

$$= S_x \circ \phi^* \circ T_\pi \circ \alpha_\pi^m [2] \quad \text{by (8)}$$

Moreover if $\alpha: \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$ is a functor between triangulated categories with Serre functors, then if α^* and α' exists one has:

$$\alpha' \circ S_{\mathcal{C}_2} = S_{\mathcal{C}_1} \circ \alpha^* \quad (*)$$

Applying (*) to $T_\pi \circ \alpha_\pi^m$ one gets:

$$\alpha_\pi^{-m} \circ T_\pi^{-1} \circ S_\pi = S_\pi \circ \alpha_\pi^{-m} \circ T_\pi^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow S_\pi^{-1} \circ T_\pi \circ \alpha_\pi^m = T_\pi \circ \alpha_\pi^m \circ S_\pi^{-1} \Rightarrow T_\pi \circ \alpha_\pi^m = S_\pi^{-1} \circ T_\pi \circ \alpha_\pi^m \circ S_\pi$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma \circ \phi^* = S_x \circ \phi^* \circ T_\pi \circ \alpha_\pi^m [2] = S_x \circ \phi^* \circ S_\pi^{-1} \circ T_\pi \circ \alpha_\pi^m \circ S_\pi [2]$$

$$= \phi^* \circ T_\pi \circ \alpha_\pi^m \circ S_\pi [2] \quad \text{by (*) applied to } \phi$$

$$= \phi^* \circ T_\pi^{-1} \circ T_\pi \circ \alpha_\pi^m \circ S_\pi [1] \quad \text{by (****) p. 6}$$

$$= \phi^* \circ \alpha_\pi^m \circ S_\pi [1]$$

Then $\rho(\mathcal{B}_x) = \rho \circ \phi^*(\mathcal{B}) = (\phi^* \circ T_\pi \circ \alpha_\pi^d)(\mathcal{B})$ since \mathcal{B} is invariant under shifts

$$= \phi^*(T_\pi(\mathcal{B} \otimes \alpha_\pi^d))$$

$$= \phi^*(\mathcal{B}) \quad \text{by (9)}$$

$$= \mathcal{B}_x$$

So ρ preserves \mathcal{B}_x , and it commutes with α_x by (11) thus it preserves each

(19) piece of \mathcal{C} .

Similarly, using that $S_\pi(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^i) = \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-m}$ (one can write

$$\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^i = \perp \langle \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-m+1}, \dots, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-1} \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow S_\pi(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^i) = \langle \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-m+1}, \dots, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-1} \rangle^\perp = \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-m} \text{ one gets:}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\mathcal{B}_X) &= \sigma(\phi^*(\mathcal{B})) = \phi^* \circ \mathcal{L}_\pi^m \circ S_\pi(\mathcal{B}) \\ &= \phi^*(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{m-m}) = \mathcal{B}_X \end{aligned}$$

Finally σ commutes with \mathcal{L}_X by (11) and (*) applied to S_X , therefore it preserves each piece of \mathcal{C} . ■

B. Rotation functors

let Y be a smooth projective variety with rectangular Lefschetz decomposition $\mathcal{D}^b(Y) = \langle \mathcal{B}_Y, \mathcal{B}_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}_Y, \dots, \mathcal{B}_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}_Y^{s-1} \rangle$.

$$\text{Then } \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}} = \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \mathcal{L}_Y$$

Lemma: $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}^i = \mathbb{L} \langle \mathcal{B}, \dots, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_Y^{i-1} \rangle \circ \mathcal{L}_Y^i \quad \forall 0 \leq i \leq s.$

Proof:
$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}^i &= (\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \mathcal{L}_Y) \circ (\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \mathcal{L}_Y) \circ \dots \circ (\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \mathcal{L}_Y) \\ &= \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}} \circ (\mathcal{L}_Y \circ \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \mathcal{L}_Y^{-1}) \circ (\mathcal{L}_Y^2 \circ \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \mathcal{L}_Y^{-2}) \circ \dots \circ (\mathcal{L}_Y^{i-1} \circ \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \mathcal{L}_Y^{i-1}) \circ \mathcal{L}_Y^i \\ &= \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_Y} \circ \dots \circ \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_Y^{i-1}} \circ \mathcal{L}_Y^i = \mathbb{L} \langle \mathcal{B}, \dots, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_Y^{i-1} \rangle \circ \mathcal{L}_Y^i \end{aligned}$$

since for any subequivalence T of $\mathcal{D}^b(Y)$, $T \circ \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}} \circ T^{-1} = \mathbb{L}_{T(\mathcal{B})}$:

if $\beta: \mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(Y)$ then $T \circ \beta: T(\mathcal{B}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(Y)$ and $(T \circ \beta)^! = \beta^! \circ T^{-1}$

$$\Rightarrow T \circ \beta \circ \beta^! \circ T^{-1} \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{T(\mathcal{B})}$$

On the other hand $T(\beta \circ \beta^! \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}}) T^{-1} = T \circ \beta \circ \beta^! \circ T^{-1} \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow T \circ \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}} \circ T^{-1}$

Corollary: $\mathcal{O}_\pi^i(\langle \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-1}, \dots, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-1} \rangle) = 0$, $\mathcal{O}_\pi^m = 0$, where $\mathcal{O}_\pi = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}$. ■

Proof: $\mathcal{L}^i \otimes (\langle \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-1}, \dots, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-1} \rangle) = \langle \mathcal{B}, \dots, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-1} \rangle$

and $\mathbb{L}_{\langle \mathcal{B}, \dots, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-1} \rangle} (\langle \mathcal{B}, \dots, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{i-1} \rangle) = 0$. \square

We will denote $\mathcal{O}_\pi = \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{O}_x = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}_x}$.

Lemma: \mathcal{O}_x commutes with ρ and σ .

Proof: We have already seen that ρ and σ commute with \mathcal{L}_x , so we only have to show they commute with $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}_x}$.

We have seen that ρ preserves \mathcal{B}_x , hence $\rho \circ \beta' \circ \rho = \rho \circ \beta \circ \beta'$. Thus:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho \circ \beta' \circ \rho & \rightarrow & \rho & \rightarrow & \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \rho \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \vdots \\ \rho \circ \beta \circ \beta' & \rightarrow & \rho & \rightarrow & \rho \circ \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}} \end{array}$$

and ρ commutes with $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}}$. Similarly σ commutes with $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{B}}$. \square

Lemma: For all $0 \leq i \leq d-1$ there is a morphism $\phi^* \circ \mathcal{O}_\pi^i \xrightarrow{\gamma_i} \mathcal{O}_x^i \circ \phi^*$ such that $\gamma_i |_{\langle \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{d-m}, \dots, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{d-i-1} \rangle}$ is an isomorphism.

Proposition: For all $0 \leq i \leq d$ there is a distinguished triangle:

$$\phi^* \circ \mathcal{O}_\pi^i \circ \phi \xrightarrow{\rho \phi^* \phi \circ \gamma^i} \mathcal{O}_x^i \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_x \circ \mathcal{L}_x^i$$

Corollary: $\mathcal{O}_x^d |_{\mathcal{A}_x} \cong \rho |_{\mathcal{A}_x}$.

Proof: We have the distinguished triangle:

$$\phi^* \circ \mathcal{O}_\pi^d \circ \phi |_{\mathcal{A}_x} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_x^d |_{\mathcal{A}_x} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_x \circ \mathcal{L}_x^d |_{\mathcal{A}_x} \quad (*)$$

Since $\mathcal{A}_x = \{ F, \phi(F) \in \langle \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-d}, \dots, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-1} \rangle \}$ then

$\phi^* \circ \mathcal{O}_\pi^d \circ \phi(\mathcal{A}_x) = \phi^* \circ \mathcal{O}_\pi^d (\langle \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-d}, \dots, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\pi^{-1} \rangle) = 0$ by the property of relation functors we have seen.

Thus (*) is in fact $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_x^d |_{\mathcal{A}_x} \rightarrow \rho |_{\mathcal{A}_x}$ and $\mathcal{O}_x^d |_{\mathcal{A}_x} \cong \rho |_{\mathcal{A}_x}$. \square

(21) Lemma: $S_{A_x}^{-1} \simeq \mathcal{O}_x^{m-d} \circ \rho \circ \sigma^{-1}$.

Proof: We have seen $S_{A_x}^{-1} = \mathbb{L}_{\langle \mathcal{B}_x, \dots, \mathcal{B}_x \otimes \mathcal{L}_x^{m-d-1} \rangle} \circ S_x^{-1}$.

But by definition of σ , $S_x^{-1} = \mathcal{L}_x^m \circ T_x \circ \sigma^{-1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow S_{A_x}^{-1} &= \mathbb{L}_{\langle \mathcal{B}_x, \dots, \mathcal{B}_x \otimes \mathcal{L}_x^{m-d-1} \rangle} \circ \mathcal{L}_x^m \circ T_x \circ \sigma^{-1} \\ &\simeq \mathbb{L}_{\langle \mathcal{B}_x, \dots, \mathcal{B}_x \otimes \mathcal{L}_x^{m-d-1} \circ \mathcal{L}_x^{m-d} \circ \mathcal{L}_x^d \circ T_x \circ \sigma^{-1} \rangle} \\ &= \mathcal{O}_x^{m-d} \circ \rho \circ \sigma^{-1} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary: $S_{A_x}^{-d/c} = e^{m/c} \circ \sigma^{-d/c}$ and $S_{A_x}^{d/c} = e^{-m/c} \circ \sigma^{d/c}$

Proof: First, ρ and σ commute, since \mathcal{L}_x and T_x commute, by (11) and S_x commutes with any autoequivalence of $D^b(X)$ by (*).

We have also seen that ρ and σ commute with \mathcal{O}_x .

Thus, if $c = \gcd(d, m)$ one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{A_x}^{-d/c} &= \mathcal{O}_x^{(m-d)d/c} \circ e^{+d/c} \circ \sigma^{-d/c} \\ &= e^{(m-d)/c} \circ e^{d/c} \circ \sigma^{-d/c} \quad \text{since } e|_{A_x} = \mathcal{O}_x^d|_{A_x} \\ &= e^{m/c} \circ \sigma^{-d/c} \end{aligned}$$

Since σ and ρ commute this yields $S_{A_x}^{d/c} = e^{-m/c} \circ \sigma^{d/c}$. □