

Review of Last Week

allow
"/bad" place

$$1) \mathcal{W}_M(R) := \operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times, R)$$

$$\text{If } M = \mathbb{Z}, \quad \mathcal{W} := \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{Z}}$$

This is a rigid analytic space &

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_M(R) &\simeq (\mathbb{Z}/pM\mathbb{Z})^{\times, v}(R) \times B(1, 1)(R) \\ &\stackrel{\text{top}}{\hookrightarrow} \phi(ph)-\text{logics of } B(1, s) \end{aligned}$$

2) $\pi: \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow R^\times$ in $\mathcal{W}(R)$ is in $\mathbb{M}_c(R)$
(for some $c > 0$) $\rightsquigarrow \sigma(\pi)$

3) $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathcal{W}$ (& very \mathbb{Z} -dense)

4) \exists analytic function $\log_p^{[h]}(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{W}(R_p)$

5) $\Delta = \mathbb{Z}_p[\delta \mathbb{Z}_p^\times] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(R)$ (via eval.)

6) $S_0(p) \subset M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ($S_0(p) \cap S_{L_2}(\mathbb{Z}) = T_0(p)$)

Given $\pi \in \mathcal{W}(R)$ \rightsquigarrow define wgt π action

of $S_0(p)$ on $\mathcal{X}_\pi^{(+)}(R)$ & $\mathcal{D}_\pi^{(+)}(R)$

7) SES \rightsquigarrow L_p is exact on $\operatorname{Sym}^k(D_\pi^{(+)}(R))$

$\rightsquigarrow (-)^{\leq v}$ makes sense

3 Fund'l SES for DC. Mod. Symb.

Prop [Pollack-Stevens]

Let $h > 0$, L/\mathbb{Q}_p finite, $r > 0$. Then, there exists a Hecke SES

$$0 \rightarrow S_p(D_{-2-k}^+[\tau](L))(k+1) \rightarrow S_p(D_k^+[\tau](L)) \xrightarrow{\rho_k} S_p(D_k^+[\tau](L)) \rightarrow 0$$

where $(k+1) \equiv$ twist by $(\det)^{k+1}$ & ρ_k is res.

Moreover, if $r \in p$ & $r > 0$, then

$$0 \rightarrow (\)^{\leq r} \rightarrow (\)^{\leq r} \rightarrow (S_p(D_k^+[\tau](L)))^{\leq r} \rightarrow 0$$

as well ($8 \leq r$ too).

Proof For the 1st one, use LES of coh. w/ compact supp.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow S_p(D_{-2-k}^+[\tau](L))(k+1) \rightarrow S_p(D_k^+[\tau](L)) \\ &\rightarrow S_p(D_k^+[\tau](L)) \rightarrow H_c^2(P, D_{-2-k}^+[\tau](L)(k+1)) \\ &\text{& last term } \stackrel{P.D.}{\approx} H_0(P, D_{-2-k}^+[\tau](L)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

For 2nd one, we know $()^{\leq r}$ is left-exact.

Prove " $\Rightarrow 0$ " part by hand using 1st one. \square

§ Control THH

THH [Stevens] L/\mathbb{Q}_p finite

$$(i) \rho_k : S_p(D_k^+(L))^{<_{k+1}} \xrightarrow{\sim} S_p(D_k(L))^{<_{k+1}}$$

(ii) Given norm $\|\cdot\|_S$, ρ_k is isometry

Proof $Sym_p(D_{-2-k}(L))^{<_{k+1}}$
 $= Sym_p(D_{-2-k}(L))^{<0} = 0$

Also, given $\phi \in RHS$, can construct
a unique $\tilde{\phi} \in LHS$ s.t. $\rho_k(\tilde{\phi}) = \phi$

and $\|\tilde{\phi}\|_S = \|\phi\|_S$ (method of Vissik) ??

Mellin Transform

Recall that given $g: \mathbb{R}_+^{\times} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$ rapid decay near 0 & ∞ , we have

$$s \mapsto M(g)(s) = \int_0^\infty g(y) y^s \frac{dy}{y}$$

Rank 1) $L(f, s) = \frac{r(s)}{(2\pi)^s} M(f(iy))(s)$

2) g is essentially $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{\times}} g(y)(-) \frac{dy}{y}$

& $y \mapsto y^s$ is a char of \mathbb{R}_+^{\times}

3) $M(g)$ is analytic function on
the space of characters $\mathbb{R}_+^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$

Now, let $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{D}_p$ -v.sp of analytic fcts
on \mathbb{A} (a Fréchet space)

Given $\mu \in \mathcal{D}^+(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, we want $M(\mu) \in \mathcal{R}$

Easy: $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}(R)$ belongs to some $\mathcal{D}[r](R)$

$$\mu \in \mathcal{D}^+(\mathbb{Q}_p) \xrightarrow{\text{reg}} \mathcal{D}[r](\mathbb{Q}_p) \ni \mu \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{D}[r](R)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow M(\mu)(\sigma) &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}} \sigma(z) d\mu(z) \\ &= (\mu \otimes 1)(\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Def } M_R : \mathcal{D}^+(R) = \mathcal{D}^+(\mathbb{Q}_p) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R$$

Rank $\mathcal{R} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R$ is space of fcts on $\mathbb{A} \times \text{Sp } R$

that are analytic in 1st var & locally analytic in 2nd var.

These will be the 2 variables for
the input of our p -adic h-fct.

Def Let $U \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ open & define

$$\mathcal{D}_u^+(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \{ \mu \in \mathcal{D}^+(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid \mu|_u = \mu_u \}$$

where $\mu|_u(f) = \mu(f \cdot 1_u)$.

Def Let h be a function on $\mathbb{Z} = \text{union of } p-1 \text{ balls } B(1, 1)$.

We say $ord(h) \leq 2$ if on each ball, h can be written as

$$h(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n (x-1)^n \quad \text{w/ } |a_n| = O(n^\alpha)$$

THM $\mu \mapsto H(\mu)$ is an \mathbb{R} of Fréchet sp b/w

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}^+(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathbb{R}$$

Also, $ord(\mu) \leq 2 \iff ord(H(\mu)) \leq 2$

Prop Suppose $\mu' = \Theta_{k+1}^{\leftarrow}(\mu)$, then "differentiation"

$$H(\mu')(\sigma) = \log_p^{[k+1]}(\sigma) H(\mu)\left(\frac{\sigma}{z^{k+1}}\right)$$

Proof Suffices to do $k=0$. □

Applications for non-crit. slopes

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n z^n$, cusp form of wt $k+2$, level $\Gamma_1(N)$ & nebentype ε .

Let $\mathcal{H} = \langle T_p(l+N), U_p(l|N), \langle \alpha \rangle \rangle$

We care about Up-slope, so need to have $p|N$.

If not, f of level $\Gamma_1(N) \rightsquigarrow \Gamma_1(N) \wr P_0(\mathbb{P})$

Issue: T_p -eigenform \rightsquigarrow U_p -eigenform

Trick Let α, β be the roots of

$$X^2 - a_p X + p^{k+1} \varepsilon(p)$$

$\rightsquigarrow f_\alpha(z) := f(z) - \beta f(pz) \in \mathcal{H}$ both

$f_\beta(z) := f(z) - \alpha f(pz) \in$ Up-eigenf.

w/ eigenvalues α & β resp.

We have $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ actually integral,

so $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ and

$$w_p(\alpha), w_p(\beta) \geq 0, w_p(\alpha) + w_p(\beta) = k+1$$

and $w_p(\alpha_p) = \min(w_p(\alpha), w_p(\beta))$

$w/ =$ if $w_p(\alpha) \neq w_p(\beta)$.

We always assume $w_p(\alpha) \leq w_p(\beta)$

If $w_p(\alpha) = 0 \rightsquigarrow w_p(\alpha_p) = 0$ (p -ord.)

Then, we say f_α is the ordinary refinement
& f_β is the crit. slope

Using refinements if necessary,

we work w/ a normalized cuspidal eigenform g of weight $k+2$, level $\Gamma \supset \Gamma_0(p)$, nebentype ϵ & $U_p g = \alpha g$ w/ $v_p(\alpha) < k+1$.

$$\text{Step 1: } g \rightsquigarrow \frac{\phi_g^+}{\mathcal{D}_k^+} + \frac{\phi_g^-}{\mathcal{D}_k^-} \quad (\text{Ch. 5})$$

both in $\text{Sym}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathcal{D}_k(L))$,

where $L = \text{finite ext}^n$ of \mathbb{Q}_p gen'd by

$$K_p = \mathbb{Q}((\alpha_n(g))_n)$$

$$\left(\exists \text{ Hecke lin. map } \begin{matrix} \mathcal{D}_{k+2}(T, \chi) \hookrightarrow \text{Sym}_{\mathbb{P}}^{\pm}(\mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{C})) \\ f \mapsto \phi_f^{\pm} \end{matrix} \right)$$

Essentially, $f \mapsto (D \mapsto (P \mapsto \int_D f(z) P(z) dz))$

$$\text{Step 2: } U_p(\phi_g^{\pm}) = \alpha \phi_g^{\pm}, \text{ so}$$

$$\frac{\phi_g^{\pm}}{\mathcal{D}_k^{\pm}} \in \text{Sym}_{\mathbb{P}}^{\pm}(\mathcal{D}_k(L))^{< k+1}$$

lifts to unique $\tilde{\phi}_g^{\pm} \in \text{Sym}_{\mathbb{P}}^{\pm}(\mathcal{D}_k^{\pm}(L))$

$$\text{Step 3: } \mu_g^{\pm} := \tilde{\phi}_g^{\pm} / (\{0\} - \{0\}) \in \mathcal{D}_k^{\pm}(L)$$

$$\text{Step 4: } M_g^{\pm} := M(\mu_g^{\pm}) \quad \left(\text{CHECK: } M_g^{\pm}(0) = 0 \right. \\ \left. \text{if } \sigma(-1) \neq \pm 1 \right)$$

$$\text{Def } L_p^+(g, \sigma) = M_g^+(\sigma), \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{D}^+(A_p)$$

$$L_p^-(g, \sigma) = M_g^-(\sigma), \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{D}^-(A_p)$$

$$1) \mu_g^{\pm}(z^j) = \frac{P(j+1)}{(2\pi)^{j+1} \Delta_g^{\pm}} L(f, j+1) \text{ or } 0$$

for $0 \leq j \leq k$

2) More generally, if $\chi(-1)(-1)^j = \pm 1$ & χ has cond p^n , then

$$L_p^{\pm}(g, \chi z^j) = \left(\frac{p^n}{-2\pi i}\right)^{j+1} \frac{\zeta'}{\alpha^n \chi(\chi^{-1})} \cdot \frac{L(g, \chi, j+1)}{\Delta_g^{\pm}}$$

THM For g as above & a choice of sign \pm ,

$\exists! L_p^{\pm}(g, -)$ on \mathbb{W} s.t.

1) $\chi: \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_p^{\times}$ of cond p^n , $0 \leq j \leq k$

s.t. $\chi(-1)(-1)^j = \pm 1$, then

$$L_p^{\pm}(g, \chi z^j) = e_p(g, \chi, j) \cdot (*) \cdot L(g, \chi, j+1)$$

w/ $e_p(g, \chi, j) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \chi \neq 1$

2) $\text{ord}(L_p^{\pm}(g, -)) = v_p(\alpha)$

(Can formulate this in terms of f and f_{α} too)