

§ Adjoint p-adic L-function

As usual $p \nmid N$, $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(p) \cap \Gamma_1(N)$

$$H = \langle T_e(L \times N_p), U_p, \langle a \rangle (a \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^* \rangle$$

— o —

Let R be any Noetherian ring and
 T be any finite algebra.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Def } I &= \ker(m: T \otimes_R T \rightarrow T) \subset T \otimes_R T \\ &= \langle t \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes t \mid t \in T \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Def Given a $T \otimes T$ -module M , let

$$M[I] = \{m \in M \mid Im = 0\}$$

Note: $M[I]$ has 2 obvious T -mod struct.
They are the same.

Now, let $M, N = T$ -modules which
are finite flat / R

Let $b: M \times N \rightarrow R$ be an R -lin. pairing
which is T -equivariant.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Def } L_b &:= \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (M \otimes T)[I], (N \otimes T)[I] \subset T \\ &\subset T\text{-lin. ext'n of } b. \end{aligned}$$

Prop Assume $M \cong N \cong T^\vee$ as T -modules, then L_b is principal.

More precisely, $b: T^\vee \otimes T^\vee \rightarrow R \Leftrightarrow \tilde{b} \in T \otimes T$
 $\Rightarrow L_b = m(\tilde{b})T$

Proof $(M \otimes T)[I] \cong (T^\vee \otimes T)[I] = \text{Hom}_T(T, T) = T$

let $g = \text{gen of this module}$, $h = \text{same for } (M \otimes T)[I]$

Then, L_b is gen'd by $b_T(g, h)$

One can check directly that

$$b_T(g, h) = m(\tilde{b})$$

$$b_T(\sum e_i^\vee \otimes e_i, \sum e_i^\vee \otimes e_i) = m(\sum_{i,j} b(e_i^\vee, e_j^\vee) e_i \otimes e_j)$$

— o —

We will use this idea to construct an "L-adjoint" ideal sheaf on $\mathcal{C}^\circ$.

We work with $W = \text{Sp } R \langle \rangle$ which belongs to the covering $\mathcal{C}$ (so R & its residue ring $\tilde{R}$ are PID & W is very Zar-dense in W)

let $K: \mathbb{Z}_p^x \rightarrow R^x \Leftrightarrow W \in \mathcal{D}(R)$

let $\gamma \geq 0$ adapted to W & $0 < r < \min(r(W), p)$

We will construct an $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear pairing
 b on $\text{Symb}_p(\mathcal{D}_k[\mathbb{I}](R))^{\leq \nu}$ that interpolates
 a "classical" pairing on $\text{Symb}_p(\mathcal{V}_k[\mathbb{I}](Q_p))^{\leq \nu}$
 for $k \in \mathbb{N} \cap W(Q_p)$.

§3 Bilinear Pairing in Weight k

Fix $k \geq 0$, $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$ finite.

We have $\rho_k: \mathcal{D}_k[\mathbb{I}](L) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_k(L)$ and $i_k: \mathcal{P}_k(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_k[\mathbb{I}](L)$ such that

Def Given $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in \text{Symb}_p(\mathcal{D}_k[\mathbb{I}](L))$, let

$$[\Phi_1, \Phi_2]_k := [\rho_k(\Phi_1), \rho_k(\Phi_2)]$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]: \text{Symb}_p(\mathcal{V}_k(L)) \otimes \text{Symb}_p(\mathcal{V}_k(L)) \rightarrow L$
 is defined on classical mod. symbols in
 Chap 5.

Prop We can restrict $[\cdot, \cdot]_k$ to

$$\text{Symb}_p(\mathcal{D}_k[\mathbb{I}](L))^{\leq \nu} = \text{Symb}_p(\mathcal{D}_k[\mathbb{I}^r](L))^{\leq \nu}$$

where $0 < r < p$.

Lemma The operators in $\mathcal{H}$ are self-adjoint w.r.t. $[\cdot, \cdot]_k$.

Proof ρ_k is $\mathcal{H}$ -equivariant & this is true for the classical pairing. $\square$

Def Let $\Delta: \mathcal{D}_k[r](L) \times \mathcal{H}_k[r](L) \rightarrow L$ be the natural pairing. It extends to a pairing on $\text{Sym}_p(\dots)$.

Def Let $\Phi \in \text{Sym}_p(\mathcal{D}_k[r](L))$

we define $V_k(\Phi) \in \text{Sym}_p(\mathcal{H}_k[r](L))$ as

$$V_k(\Phi)(D) = (-1)^k \sum_{i \geq 0} (N_p)^i \binom{k}{i} \\ \times (\Phi(W_{N_p} \cdot D) (z^i (1 - N_p e z)^{k-i}) \\ \times (z - e)^i$$

This is its Taylor series for any $z, e \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ s.t. $|z - e| = r$.

Prop $[\Phi_1, \Phi_2]_k = \Delta(\Phi_1, V_k(\Phi_2))$

Def Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\binom{y}{i} := \begin{cases} \frac{y(y-1)\dots(y-i+1)}{i!}, & i > 0 \\ 1, & i = 0 \end{cases}$

(i) Given $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\binom{y}{i} := \begin{cases} \frac{y(y-1)\dots(y-i+1)}{i!}, & i > 0 \\ 1, & i = 0 \end{cases}$

(ii) Given $x \in W$, $\binom{x}{i} = \left(\log_p(z(x)) / \log_p(x) \right)_i$,

where γ is a generator of $1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$

Remark The formula for V_k makes sense w/ $k \rightsquigarrow x$

Def Given Φ, D, x, e & $r < r(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{x, \Phi, D, e}^{(z)} &:= z(-1) \sum (N_p)^i \binom{x}{i} \\ &\times \Phi(W_{N_p} \cdot D) (z^i (1 - N_p e z)^{-i} z(1 - N_p e z)) \\ &\times (z - e)^i \end{aligned}$$

Lemma If $r < \min(r(x), p^{-1/p-1}) =: m(x, p)$, then the series above converges on the closed ball $|z - e| \leq r$.

Prop $\alpha \in W$, $r = m(\alpha, p)$. Then,

(i) $T_{\alpha, \Phi, D, c}(z) \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}[\Gamma](R)$

(ii) Fix $\Phi \in \text{Sym}_p(\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}[\Gamma](L))$.

Define $V_{\alpha}(\Phi) \in \text{Hom}(\Delta_0, \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}[\Gamma](L))$ by sending $D \in \Delta_0$ to the element in (i).

Then $V_{\alpha}(\Phi) \in \text{Sym}_p(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}[\Gamma](L))$.

Def Let $L = \mathbb{Q}_p$ -affinoid algebra,

$\alpha \in \mathcal{W}(L)$, $r = m(\alpha, p)$, $\Phi_i \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}[\Gamma](L))$

$$[\Phi_1, \Phi_2]_{\alpha} := \Delta(\Phi_1, V_{\alpha}(\Phi_2))$$

THM (i) This scalar product comm.

w/ affinoid base change $L \mapsto L'$

(ii) When $L = \mathbb{Q}_p$ & $\alpha = k \in W$, $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\alpha} = [\cdot, \cdot]_k$

(iii) All $T \in \mathcal{H}$ are self-adj. for $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\alpha}$

Proof (i) & (ii) triv.

(iii) Let $W = \sum_p R \subset \mathcal{W}$ admissible in C .

Then, result is true $\forall k \in N \cup W$. By density, true for (R, K) . By (i), true for any (L, α) .

Thm Let $v \geq 0$ & L/Q_p finite.

The restriction $[\cdot, \cdot]_2$ to $H_1(P, \mathcal{D}_2[\cdot](L))^{\leq v}$ is perfect if $x \notin N$ or $x = k \in N$ & $v < k+1$.

"Proof" $[\Phi_1, \Phi_2] = 0$, $\forall \Phi_2 \in H_1(P, \mathcal{D}_2[\cdot](L))^{\leq v}$
 $\Rightarrow V_2(\Phi_1) = 0$

$\Rightarrow \forall D \in \Delta_0$ & $i \in N$, $\binom{x}{i} \Phi_1(D)(z^i) = 0$

If $x \notin N$, $\binom{x}{i} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \Phi_1 = 0$

If $x = k$ & $v < k+1$, $\binom{k}{i} \neq 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq k$

$\Rightarrow \Phi_1(D)(z^i) = 0$ for all D & $0 \leq i \leq k$

$\Rightarrow \rho_k(\Phi_1) = 0 \xRightarrow[\text{ctrl thm}]{\text{Stevens'}} \Phi_1 = 0.$

$\square$

§§ Cohomological Eigenvarieties

$$(M_w^\bullet) : 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k^{\leq -1}(R)[\Gamma]^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{BS}_p^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{D}_k(R)[\Gamma]) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_p^{\leq 1}(\dots) \rightarrow 0$$

This is a complex w/ $\neq 0$ coh. only in degree 1:

$$H^1(M_w^\bullet) = (H_1^1)^{\pm}(\gamma_p, \mathcal{D}_k[\Gamma](R))$$

Using section 3.4, we get coh. eigenvarieties $\mathcal{E}_1^+$ & $\mathcal{E}_1^-$.

By construction, the Hecke alg. acting on $H^1(M_w^\bullet)^{\leq \nu}$ is a quotient of the one for $\mathcal{S}_p^{\pm}(\mathcal{D}_k[\Gamma](R))^{\leq \nu} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1^{\pm}$ is a closed subvariety of $\mathcal{E}^{\pm}$.

Prop $\mathcal{E}_1^+$ & $\mathcal{E}_1^-$ are reduced & $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E}_1^+ = \mathcal{E}_1^-$

"Proof" $\mathcal{S}^{b+2}(\mathcal{O}_L)^{\leq k+1} = (H_1^{\pm})^+(\gamma_p, \mathcal{V}_k)^{\leq k+1} = (H_1^{\pm})(\gamma_p, \mathcal{V}_k)^{\leq d+1}$

from Ch. 5 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1^+$ & $\mathcal{E}_1^-$ all share the same classical structure. $\square$

Remark This allows us to work w/ $\mathcal{E}^0$ & overconvergent modular symbols at the same time.

If $x \in \mathcal{C}^0(L)$, $U = S_p \uparrow$ clean nbgh,

let $W = S_p R = \mathcal{K}(U)$, ($K: \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow R^\times$)

$$H^\pm = \varprojlim H_i^1(\mathcal{D}_K[r](R))^\pm \text{ (indep. of } r > 0 \text{ small)}$$

finite free $\mathbb{A}_R$

& natural Γ -module

as usual.

There's an obvious analogue of "good points" on $\mathcal{C}^0$.

Lemma $x \in \mathcal{C}^0(L)$, $\omega = \kappa(x) \in \mathcal{A}^\times$, TFAE:

(1) For both $\pm$, $H_i^1(\gamma_p, \mathcal{D}_\omega(L))_{(\omega)}^\pm$ is free of rank 1 $\mathbb{A}_\omega$

(2) For both $\pm$ & any clean U , $(H^\pm)^\vee$ is flat of rank 1 over Γ at x

(3) For both $\pm$ & small clean U , $(H^\pm)^\vee$ is free of rank 1 over Γ at x .

Again: $x \in \mathcal{C}^0$ smooth w/ $\kappa(x)(z) = z^k \varepsilon(z)$,
& new way from $p \Rightarrow x$ good.

Lemma Suppose $x \in \mathcal{C}^0(L)$ is good.

1) $H_1^1(\mathcal{D}_0(L))^{\mp}[x]$ has dim 1

2) If $\kappa(x) = k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\dim H_1^1(\mathcal{D}_k(L))^{\mp}[x] = \begin{cases} 1, & x \text{ is VC cusp.} \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

3) The same is true for $H_1^1(\mathcal{D}_k(L))_{(x)}^{\mp}$
 if $U_p(x)^2 \neq p^{k+1} \langle p \rangle(x)$

4) If $\kappa(x) = k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\rho_k: H_1^1(\mathcal{D}_k(L))^{\mp}[x] \rightarrow H_1^1(\mathcal{D}_k(L))^{\mp}[x]$$
 is an $\cong$ if κ is étale at x (& 0 o.w.)

5) If $\kappa(x) = k \in \mathbb{N}$ & $v_p(U_p(x)) < k+1$, then
 x is VC cuspidal & κ is étale at x .

§§ Adjoint L -ideal sheaf

Def $x \in \mathcal{C}^0(L)$, U clean nbgh,

$$\mathcal{L}_U^{\text{adj}} := L\text{-ideal of } [\cdot, \cdot]_K: M^+ \times M^- \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

Remk. M^+ & M^- are both quotients of the space $\text{Sym}_p(\mathcal{D}_K[\cdot](L))$ on which $[\cdot, \cdot]_K$ is defined. The pairing factors through.

- $\mathcal{L}_U^{\text{adj}}$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{I}$, we can glue them $(\forall x \in U)$ to get an ideal sheaf $\mathcal{L}^{\text{adj}}$ on $\mathcal{C}^0$.

THM The closed analytic subspace defined by $\mathcal{L}^{\text{adj}}$ is contained in the non-étale locus of $\mathcal{R}$

Prop If $x \in \mathcal{C}^0(L)$, $x \in U$ clean & small, then $\mathcal{L}_U^{\text{adj}} \subset \mathcal{I}$ is principal
 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_U^{\text{adj}} = (\mathcal{L}_U^{\text{adj}})$ (w.d. up to $\mathcal{I}^{\times}$)

Prop κ & ℓ as above.

For every $r > 0$ small, $\exists 0 < c < C$ s.t.
 $\forall y \in \ell$ with $\kappa(y) = \omega$,

$$c |[\Phi_y^+, \Phi_y^-]| \leq |L_p^{\text{adj}}(y)| \leq C |[\Phi_y^+, \Phi_y^-]|$$

where $\Phi_y^\pm$ are gen of $H^1(p, D_\omega[\pi](L))^\pm[y]$
 s.t. $\|\Phi_y^\pm\|_r = 1$.

THM $\kappa \in \mathcal{C}^0(L)$ good.

1) If $\kappa(x) \notin \mathbb{N}$ or κ is VC cuspidal, then

$$L^{\text{adj}}(x) = 0 \iff \kappa \text{ is not étale at } x$$

2) O.w. (if $\kappa(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ & κ is not VCL),

$$\text{then } L^{\text{adj}}(x) = 0$$

THM $\kappa \in \mathcal{C}^0(L)$ smooth good, $e(x) = \text{ramif.}$

index of κ at x , then $\kappa(x) \in \mathbb{N}$

(1) If $\kappa(x) \notin \mathbb{N}$ or κ is VC w/ slope $< k+1$,
 then

$$\text{ord}_x L^{\text{adj}}(x) = e(x) - 1$$

2) If $\kappa(x) = k \in \mathbb{N}$ & κ is VCC w/ slope $= k+1$,

$$\text{ord}_x L_p^{\text{adj}}(x) = 2e(x) - 2$$

3) If $\kappa(x) = k \in \mathbb{N}$ & κ is not VCL, $\text{ord}_x L^{\text{adj}}(x) \geq 2e(x) - 1$

§§ Hilbert's Formula

Def Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in S_{k+2}(\Gamma_1(M), \varepsilon, \mathbb{C})$
be a newform.

Write $f = \sum a_n q^n$, $a_1 = 1$ &

$$X^2 - a_\ell X + \ell^{k+1} \varepsilon(\ell) = (X - \alpha_\ell)(X - \beta_\ell)$$

$$\Rightarrow L^{\text{adj}}(f, s, \psi) = \prod_{\ell} (1 - \psi(\ell) \alpha_\ell^2 \ell^{-s}) \\ \times (1 - \psi(\ell) \alpha_\ell \beta_\ell \ell^{-s}) \\ \times (1 - \psi(\ell) \beta_\ell^2 \ell^{-s})$$

$$\underline{T(M) \cdot L^{\text{adj}}(f, k+2, \varepsilon^{-1})} = \frac{2^{2k+4} \pi^{k+3}}{(k+1)! \delta(M) \gamma(M) N(\varepsilon) \varphi\left(\frac{M}{N(\varepsilon)}\right)} (f, f)_{\Gamma_1(M)}$$

where $\delta(M) = \begin{cases} 2, & M=1, 2 \\ 1, & M \geq 3 \end{cases}$

& $N(\varepsilon) = \text{cond. of } \varepsilon$.

• $[\phi_{f,\alpha}^+, \phi_{f,\alpha}^-] = A \cdot B \cdot L^{\text{adj}}(f, k+2, \varepsilon)$

for explicit values of A & B

• If x, u as above & $y \in U \leadsto f_\alpha$, then

$$C|B| \left| \frac{L^{\text{adj}}(f, k+1)}{\pi^{k+3} \Omega_f^+ \Omega_f^-} \right| < |L_p^{\text{adj}}(y)| < C|B| \left| \frac{L^{\text{adj}}(f, k+1)}{\pi^{k+3} \Omega_f^+ \Omega_f^-} \right|$$