

Lecture 3

Saturday, August 6, 2022 6:17 PM

§1. Gross-Zagier formula on Shimura curves

Fix k/\mathbb{Q} in qual. Let $N = N^+ N^-$ where

$$p \mid N^+ \Rightarrow p \text{ split in } k.$$

$$p \mid N^- \Rightarrow p \text{ inert in } k$$

Assume N^- is square-free, then

$$\varepsilon(E_k) = -(-1)^{\#\{p \mid N^-\}}.$$

$$\text{so } \varepsilon(E_k) = \begin{cases} +1 & \#\{p \mid N^-\} \text{ odd.} \\ -1 & \#\{p \mid N^-\} \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

(Heegner hypothesis $\Rightarrow N^- = 1 \Rightarrow \varepsilon(E_k) = -1$).

Def. k satisfies generalized Heegner hypothesis if

N^- is a square-free product of even number of primes. ($\Rightarrow \varepsilon(E_k) = -1$).

Next: construct $y_k \in E(k)$ for k satisfies generalized Heegner hypothesis (Hey^*) for short.

Since $\#\{p \mid N^-\}$ is even, we can make a quaternion alg $/\mathbb{Q}$:

Def. Let $B = B_{N^-}$ be the quaternion algebra $/\mathbb{Q}$.

ramified at exactly $p \mid N^-$. So:

$$B_v \cong \begin{cases} M_2(\mathbb{Q}_v) & v \nmid N^- \\ \dots & \end{cases}$$

$$uv = \begin{cases} \text{quat alg} & u \in N^+ \\ u \bar{v} & u \in N^- \end{cases}$$

Ex Consider $\mathbb{Q}(1, i, j, ij)$

$$B(a, b) : i^2 = a, j^2 = b, ij = -ji, a, b \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$$

If $a = 1$, then $B(1, b) \cong M_2(\mathbb{Q})$.

$$i \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$j \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$ij \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $a = p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $b = q$ s.t. $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \neq 1$. Then

$B(p, q)$ is ramified exactly at p, q .

(e.g. $a = 5, b = 11$).

Def. An Eichler order of level N^+ is a subring $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_B$ (a maximal order of B) s.t.

$$\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \cong \begin{cases} M_2(N^+)_{\bar{p}} & p \nmid N^- \\ \mathcal{O}_{B_p} & p \mid N^- \end{cases}$$

$$\text{where } M_2(N^+) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : N^+ \mid c \right\} \subseteq M_2(\mathbb{Z})$$

Def. $B_1^\times := \{x \in B^\times : x \bar{x} = 1\}$. (has 1 elements)

Def. Define $\Gamma(N^+, N^-) := \mathcal{O}_1^\times \subseteq B_1^\times(\mathbb{Q})$.

Via $\Gamma(N^+, N^-) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ it acts on H .

Def we define the Shimura curve

$$X(N^+, N^-) := \Gamma(N^+, N^-) \backslash H^*$$

$$\text{Rem. When } N^- = 1, \quad \Gamma(N^+, N^-) = \Gamma_0(N)$$

and

$$X(N^+, N^-) = X_0(N)$$

Rem. Shimura curve is the simplest example of a Shimura variety

One can write it adelically as follows. Consider open compact

subgps $K_p = (\cup \cup \mathbb{Z}_p^\times)^x$

$$= \begin{cases} \Gamma_0(p^{\frac{ord_{N^+}}{2}}) \leq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow N^- \\ \cup_{B_p}^\times & p \mid N^- \end{cases}$$

Then $K = \prod_p K_p$ is an open compact subgroup $\subseteq B^*(A_f)$.

and $K \cap B_1^*(\mathbb{Q}) = \Gamma(N^+, N^-)$.

$$X(N^+, N^-) = \Gamma(N^+, N^-) \backslash \mathcal{H}^+$$

$$(\det K \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times) = B_1^*(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash B^*(A_f) \times \mathcal{H}^+ / K \cup \{\text{cusp}\}$$

As in the modular curve case, it has a moduli interpretation (in terms of abelian surfaces with \mathcal{O}_B -action)
+ level structure

$$X(1, N^-)(\mathbb{C}) = \left\{ A/\mathbb{C} \text{ abelian surface} + \mathcal{O}_B \hookrightarrow \text{End}(A) \right\}.$$

$$\tau \in \mathcal{H} \mapsto A_\tau = \frac{\mathbb{C}^2}{\mathcal{O}_B(\tau)} \text{ where } \mathcal{O}_B \hookrightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C})$$

$$X(N^+, N^-)(\mathbb{C}) = \left\{ A \rightarrow A' \text{ cyclic } \mathcal{O}_B\text{-isogeny} + \right. \\ \left. \text{dyssec } (N^+)^2 \right\}.$$

Again one can define Heegner pts

$x_K = (A \rightarrow A') \in X(N^+, N^-)(H_K)$.

s.t. $A \sim E^2$, $A' \sim E'^2$, $\text{End}(E) = \text{End}(E') = O_K$.

and using a modular parametrization (suitably normalized using Hodge divisor)

$$\varphi : X(N^+, N^-) \rightarrow E$$

to define $y_K \in E(K)$. (Heegner pt from Shimura curve)

Thm (Yuan-Zhang-Zhang) Assume K satisfies (Heeg $^+$).

$$L'(E_K, 1) = \frac{(f, f)}{|d_K|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \frac{y_K}{\zeta_{21}} \right|^2} \frac{\langle y_K, y_K \rangle}{\deg \varphi}.$$

$$\left(= \frac{\|\omega\|^2}{|d_K|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \frac{y_K}{\zeta_{21}} \right|^2} \frac{\deg \varphi_{x_0(w)}}{\deg \varphi} \langle y_K, y_K \rangle \right)$$

§ 2. Waldspurger formula

Now let us look at the case $\varepsilon(E_K) = +1$. This happens if

(Wald). N^- = square-free product of odd of primes.

We would like to construct an analogue of $X(N^+, N^-)$ and y_K s.t

$$y_K \iff L(E_K, 1).$$

Since $\#\{p | N^-\}$ is odd, we can no longer make a quaternion alg ramified exactly at N^- . But we can consider

$$\{p | N^-\} \cup \{\infty\}$$
 of even cardinality!

Def. Let B_{N^∞} be the quaternion ramified exactly

Def. Let B_{N^∞} be the quaternion ramified exactly at N and ∞ . So in particular:

$$B_{N^\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{H} \quad (\text{Hamilton's quaternion } i^2 = j^2 = -1).$$

Rew. B/\mathbb{Q} is called *indefinite* if $B(\mathbb{R}) \cong M_2(\mathbb{R})$
definite if $B(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{H}$.

(as the quadratic form given by reduced norm

$$(u + vj + wj) \mapsto -au^2 - bv^2 + cw^2$$

is indefinite/definite).

Since $B_1^X(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{H}_1^X \cong \mathrm{SL}_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ \bar{y} & \bar{x} \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) : |x|^2 + |y|^2 \right\}$
no longer acts on $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{L}$, we can no longer constraint
a Shimura curve. Nevertheless, $B_1^X(\mathbb{R})$ itself
is compact, and the quotient

$$B^X(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash B^X(\mathbb{A}_f) / K.$$

is already finite. (A 0-dim'l Shimura variety).

Def. Let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{B_{N^\infty}}$ be an Eichler order of
level N^+ . We define the Shimura set

$$X(N^+, N^-) = B^X(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash B^X(\mathbb{A}_f) / \prod_p \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p^\times.$$

Rew. Like $F^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_{F,f}^\times / \prod_p \mathcal{O}_{F,p}^\times \cong \mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{O}_F)$

Ren. Like $F^\times \backslash A_{F,f} / \prod_p \mathcal{O}_{F,p}^\times \cong Cl(\mathcal{O}_F)$ for a number field F .

$$X(N^+, N^-) \cong Cl(\mathcal{O})$$

= (right ideal classes of \mathcal{O}).

Def. Fix an embedding $K \hookrightarrow B = B_{N^+ \infty, \mathbb{R}_+$. Then $K \cap \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_K$.

we have an map

$$Cl(\mathcal{O}_K) = K^\times \backslash A_{K,f}^\times / \prod_p \mathcal{O}_{K,p}^\times \rightarrow X(N^+, N^-).$$

$$I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \longmapsto \underline{I}\mathcal{O}.$$

A point $x_K \in Cl(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is called a CM point (or Gross point)

(like the modular curve case, $Cl(\mathcal{O}_K)$ permutes X_K)

Def. Let $f = f \in S_{\text{new}}(N)$. Jacquet-Langlands gives a transfer f to a function on the finite set.

$$\varphi : X(N^+, N^-) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

so the Hecke eigenvalues of φ matches with f .

(this is analogue of the modular parametrization

$$\varphi : X(N^+, N^-) \rightarrow E \text{ under } (\text{Heeg}^*)$$

We define $y_K = \sum_{x_K \in Cl(\mathcal{O}_K)} \varphi(x_K) \cdot |A_{N^+}(x_K)| \in \mathbb{C}$

(Known as Waldspurger's toric period)

$$\deg \varphi := \sum | \varphi(x) |^2 \cdot |A_{N^+}(x)|.$$

$$\deg \varphi := \sum_{x \in X(N^+, N^-)} |\varphi(x)|^2 \cdot |\text{Aut}(x)|.$$

Here $\text{Aut}(x) := \left\{ r \in \mathbb{B}^\times : rx = x \right\} / \{\pm 1\}$.

Thm (Waldspurger, Gross) Assume \mathbf{k} satisfies (Wald).

$$L(E_{k,1}) = \frac{(f, f)}{|d_k|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \frac{y_k}{1+1} \right|^2} \cdot \frac{|y_k|^2}{\deg \varphi}.$$

Cor $r_m(E_k) = 0 \iff y_k \neq 0$.

Rem Waldspurger's formula gives an accessible way to study $L(E_{k,1})$: y_k is a finite sum of fractions on CM points!

Rem Using Waldspurger formula and its p -adic variant:

Thm (Kriz-L.)

$$r_m(E_d : y^2 = x^3 + d) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for at least } \frac{1}{6} \text{ d's.} \\ 1 & \text{for at least } \frac{1}{6} \text{ d's.} \end{cases}$$

§3. Examples for Waldspurger formula

Ex. $E = X_0(11) : y^2 + y = x^3 - 2x^2 - 10x - 20$.

$$\begin{aligned} f = f_E &= q \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)^2 (1 - q^{11n})^{-2} \\ &= q - 2q^2 - q^3 + 2q^4 + q^5 \dots \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-f})$ satisfies (Wald) (11 inert in \mathbf{k})

$$N^+ = 1, \quad N^- = 11.$$

$$B = \mathbb{B}_{11}, \quad G = \mathcal{O}_n$$

$$B = B_{11, \infty}, \quad \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_B.$$

If $N = \text{prime}$, Eichler's mass formula says

$$\sum_{x \in Cl(\mathcal{O}_B)} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(x)|} = \frac{N-1}{12}.$$

$$\text{so when } N=11, \quad \frac{N-1}{12} = \frac{5}{6} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}.$$

$$X_{N^+, N^-} = Cl(\mathcal{O}_B) = \mathbb{Z}/2, \quad x_1, \quad x_2$$

$$Cl(\mathcal{O}_K) = \mathbb{Z}/2 = \{x_1, x_2\}$$

$\psi : x_1 \rightarrow -1$ has the correct Hecke eigenvalues.
 $x_2 \rightarrow 1$.

$$\text{Then } |y_K|^2 = 1, \deg \psi = 5.$$

$$\Rightarrow L(E_K, 1) = \frac{(f, f)}{\sqrt{20}} \cdot \frac{1}{5} = \frac{(f, f)}{10\sqrt{5}}$$

$$r_{an}(E_K) = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Indeed } L(E_K, 1) &= L(E, 1) \cdot L(E^{(-5)}, 1) \\ &= (0.253841869 \dots) \cdot (0.65240264 \dots) \end{aligned}$$

$$(f, f) = 3.70309 \dots$$

$$\frac{L(E_K, 1)}{(f, f)} = 0.044721 \dots = \frac{1}{10\sqrt{5}}.$$

Q. How does $|y_K|$ change when K varies?

A. They fit into another modular form of wt $\frac{3}{2}$!

Def: Let $Cl(\mathcal{O}) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. (right ideals of \mathcal{O})

For each i , define $R_i = \{y \in B : b x_i \leq y\}$
 (left order of x_i)

define $S_i = \{y \in \mathbb{Z} + 2R_i : Tr(y) = 0\}$

(rank 3 quadratic space / \mathbb{Z}).

Define a weight $\frac{3}{2}$ Θ -series :

$$g_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in S_i} q^{Nv}$$

($|d_K|$ -th coefficient encode $x_K \mapsto x_i \in X(N^+, N^-)$)

Let $g = \sum_{x_i \in C_0(\mathfrak{o})} \varphi(x_i) g_i$ weight $\frac{3}{2}$ modular form.

$$= \sum b_n q^n.$$

Then $b_{|d_K|} = y_K$. and thus

$$\text{Thm } L(E_K, 1) = \frac{(f, f)}{|d_K| \prod_{i=1}^r |b_i|} \frac{|b_{|d_K|}|^2}{\deg P}$$

Ex $E = x_0(11)$, $n = 2$

$$g_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \equiv y \pmod{2}} q^{\frac{x^2 + 11y^2 + 1}{4}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} + q^4 + q^{11} + 2q^{12} + 2q^{15} + q^{16} + 2q^{20} + 4q^{23} + 2q^{27} + 4q^{31} + 3q^{36} + 2q^{44} + 6q^{47} + 4q^{48} + 2q^{55} + 4q^{56} + 4q^{59} + 6q^{60} + 5q^{64} + 8q^{71} + 2q^{75} + 8q^{80} + 2q^{88} + 4q^{91} + 6q^{92} + q^{99} + 3q^{100} + \dots$$

$(x, y, z) = (3, 1, 0), (3, 0, 1)$

$$g_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{x \equiv y \pmod{3} \\ q \equiv z \pmod{2}}} q^{\frac{x^2 + 11y^2 + 13z^2}{12}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & - x \equiv y \pmod{3} \\ & y \equiv z \pmod{2} \\ & (x, y, z) = (7, 1, 0), (2, 2, 0) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} + q^3 + q^{12} + 3q^{15} + 3q^{16} + \boxed{3q^{20}} + 3q^{23} + q^{27} + 3q^{31} \quad (4, 1, 1). \\ + 3q^{36} + 3q^{44} + 6q^{47} + 4q^{48} + 3q^{55} + 6q^{56} + 3q^{59} + 3q^{60} \\ + 3q^{64} + 3q^{67} + 9q^{71} + 4q^{75} + 6q^{80} + 9q^{92} + 3q^{99} \\ + 3q^{100} + \dots$$

$$g = g_2 - g_1$$

$$q^3 - q^4 - q^{11} - q^{12} + q^{15} + 2q^{16} + \boxed{q^{20}} - q^{23} - q^{27} - q^{31} \\ + q^{44} + q^{55} + 2q^{56} - q^{59} - 3q^{60} - 2q^{64} + \boxed{3q^{67}} + q^{71} \\ + 2q^{75} - 2q^{80} - 2q^{88} - 4q^{91} + 3q^{92} + 2q^{99} + \dots$$

BSD formula for $E_K \Leftrightarrow$

$$|\text{III}(E/K)| \stackrel{?}{=} b_{\text{tors}}^2$$

Exercise Prove (as predicted by BSD)

$$\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})) = 0.$$

$$\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})) = (2/3)^2 !$$

Rem By studying the Fourier coefficients of

$$g = \sum b_n q^n$$

one can deduce strong nonvanishing results about $L(E^{(K)}, s)$.

§4 Proof idea of Gross-Zagier formula

$$\text{GZ: } L'(E_K, s) \stackrel{?}{=} (f_E, f_E) \cdot \langle y_K, y_K \rangle_{NT}.$$

$$(f_E, Z_{an}) \quad (f_E, Z_{gen})$$

Idea: realize both sides as an integral against kernel function

$$\text{Analytic side: } L(E_K, s) = L(f_E \times \Theta_K, s)$$

Analytic side : $L(E_K, s) = L(f_E \times \Theta_K, s)$

weight 1 Θ -series

Rankin $\equiv \left(f_E(z), \Theta_K(z) E(z, s) \right)$

weight 1 Eisenstein series

$$\Rightarrow Z_{an} = \Theta_K(z) \cdot \text{holomorphic projection}(E'(z, 1))$$

Geometric side : define a generating series (assume $h_K = K$)

$$Z_{geo} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \langle x_K - \infty, T_n(x_K - \infty) \rangle_{NT} q^n$$

$$\Rightarrow (f_E, Z_{geo}) \doteq (f_E, f) \langle y_K, y_K \rangle_{NT}.$$

Comparison prove $Z_{an} \doteq Z_{geo}$

This is done by computing the Fourier coefficients of both sides completely explicitly !

(advantage : both sides are independent of E)

Rem Explicit computation can be simplified/conceptualized nowadays using more sophisticated tools from analysis/arithmetic geometry / representation theory.