

A pathological faithfully flat morphism

Andres Fernandez Herrero

October 2022

In this note I would like to record my favorite pathological example of a faithfully flat morphism. This arose when discussing with Andres Ibanez Nunez about descent. It shows why we can't expect certain properties to be truly flat-local, but instead we need to impose some local quasicompactness (as in the class of fpqc morphisms).

Construction 0.1. *Let k be an algebraically closed field, and let C be a smooth separated connected curve of finite type over k . We denote by η the generic point of C . For every closed point $p \in C(k)$, we set $C_p = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{C,p})$ to be the spectrum of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{C,p}$ at p . Every C_p contains as an open subscheme the generic point η . We denote by \tilde{C} the union of all C_p glued at the generic point η . This is a scheme, since we are just gluing in the Zariski topology. There is a morphism $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$.*

We note that each $C_p \subset \tilde{C}$ is an affine open subscheme of \tilde{C} . Any open subscheme $U \subset \tilde{C}$ is of the form

$$U = U_\Sigma := \bigcup_{\Sigma} C_p$$

where $\Sigma \subset C(k)$ is a subset of closed points of C . The subscheme U_Σ is quasicompact if and only if Σ is a finite set of closed points.

Proposition 0.2. *The morphism $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ is flat and surjective.*

Proof. Surjectivity is clear. For flatness, it is sufficient to check on the open cover $\tilde{C} = \bigcup_{p \in C(k)} C_p$. Each morphism $C_p \rightarrow C$ is flat, as it is locally given by localizing at the prime ideal corresponding to p . \square

It follows readily from the description of the topology of \tilde{C} that the morphism $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ is not fpqc.

Proposition 0.3. *$\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ is a flat monomorphism.*

Proof. It suffices to show that for all k -algebras A , the induced morphism on A -points $\tilde{C}(A) \rightarrow C(A)$ is an isomorphism. Suppose that $x, y \in \tilde{C}(A)$ are two distinct A -points of \tilde{C} . We want to show that their images in $C(A)$ are distinct. Since $\text{Spec}(A)$ is quasicompact, the two corresponding morphisms $x, y : \text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ factor through a quasicompact open subset $U_\Sigma \subset \tilde{C}$ with $\Sigma \subset C(k)$ finite. Therefore, it is sufficient to show that $U_\Sigma \rightarrow C$ is a monomorphism. This is clear, as it is locally given by a localization with multiplicative set the complement of the union of the finitely many primes corresponding to the closed points $\Sigma \subset C(k)$. \square

This example shows that the property of being an isomorphism cannot be checked after base-change to a faithfully flat morphism. Indeed, consider the morphism $f : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$. This is plainly not an isomorphism, as \tilde{C} is not quasicompact. However, when we take the base-change with the same morphism $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ we get the following Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{C} & \xrightarrow{id} & \tilde{C} \\ \downarrow id & & \downarrow f \\ \tilde{C} & \xrightarrow{f} & C \end{array}$$

The fact that this diagram is Cartesian follows because f is a monomorphism. Even though the bottom horizontal morphism f is not an isomorphism, when we base-change via the faithfully flat morphism $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ we get an isomorphism in the top horizontal arrow.