Fluctuations of interacting particle systems

Ivan Corwin (Columbia University)



Interacting particle systems & ASEP

In one dimension these model mass transport, traffic, growth...



Some key considerations and questions:

- Invariant measures and expectations;
- LLN / PDE (hydrodynamic) limits;
- Large deviation principals;
- Fluctuation and stochastic PDEs limits.

We'll focus ASEP, which predicts behavior of the full class.



TASEP (q=O ASEP)

Solvable due to connections with Schur polynomials, free Fermions, determinantal point processes, biorthogonal ensembles...

[Johansson '99, Prahofer-Spohn '02]: In long time, TASEP with step initial data has height fluctuations which grow like time 1/3 with correlations in the time $\frac{2}{3}$ transversal scale and Airy process multipoint distributions.



Work since has extended to general initial data and developed the full space time limit of TASEP (called the KPZ fixed point). Universality is out of reach, but we can test on other solvable models.

ASEP (q<p), KPZ equation

ASEP is solvable via Bethe ansatz and Hall-Littlewood polynomials. [Tracy-Widom '09]: In long time, ASEP with step initial data has height fluctuations exponent 1/3 and limiting GUE one-point distribution. [C-Dimitrov '17]: ASEP has transversal scaling exponent 2/3 with a limiting spatial process which is absolutely continuous w.r.t Brownian motion.

$$\partial_t h(t,x) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx} h(t,x) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_x h(t,x))^2 + \xi(t,x)$$

- Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) SPDE: [Amir-C-Quastel '11] proved 1/3 exponent and GUE limit; [C-Hammond '13] proved 2/3 exponent and Brownian abs. cont.
- Another ASEP limit is to Brownian motions with skew reflection. ASEP methods should survive that limit ([Sasamoto-Spohn '15] prove 1/3; 2/3 not yet proved).



Integrable probability in a nutshell

Study scaling and statistics of complex random systems through exactly solvable examples which predict larger universality class.

These special systems come from algebraic structures:



Connecting these two sides yields new tools in studying models such as tilings, stochastic six vertex model and ASEP.

Tiling

Μ

We consider a measure on plane partitions (equivalently rhombus tilings, dimers, or 3d Young diagrams) determined by ζ and t as:

- $\operatorname{Prob}(\pi) = \zeta^{\operatorname{diag}(\pi)} A_{\pi}(t)$
- where $diag(\pi) = \sum_{i} \pi_{i,i}$ and
- $A_{\pi}(t) = \prod_{(i,j)\in \operatorname{supp}(\pi)} (1 t^{\operatorname{level}}).$

We associate an ensemble of noncrossing level lines which we call the Hall-Littlewood line ensemble.

Generalizes Schur process / tiling of [Okounkov-Reshetikhin '01].





Hall-Littlewood Gibbs property

The Hall-Littlewood line ensemble enjoys a Gibbs resampling property.



Given curve above and below, the law of middle curve is (uniform) x (weight depending locally on the derivative of height differences).





Tightness



[C-Dimitrov '17] (building on [C-Hammond '11,'13]) show that one point tightness of the top curve (base of the tiling) implies spatial tightness for the full edge ensemble under diffusive scaling.

Caution: HL Gibbs property does not enjoy monotone coupling (like non-intersecting random walks / BM) so we had to develop weaker forms of monotonicity.

Tiling limit shape?

Taking M, N large seems to yields a limit shape -- what is it? We prove edge fluctuation exponent 1/3, transversal exponent 2/3.



S6V

Stochastic six vertex model [Gwa-Spohn '93], [Borodin-C-Gorin '15] (Gauge-transform of the a,b,c model where weights sum for fixed input to 1.)



arrows at or to the right of a given location.

Stochastic weights

 $1 - b_1$



Tiling <--> SGV

[Borodin-Bufetov-Wheeler '17] relate these two models so that



Proved by relating tiling to a vertex model and using Yang-Baxter.

SGV -> ASEP

Taking $b_1 = \epsilon q$, $b_2 = \epsilon p$, $N = \epsilon^{-1}T$, $x = \epsilon^{-1}T + \tilde{x}$, and ϵ to O the S6V height function converges to that of ASEP.



This is just like how the a,b,c 6 vertex model goes to XXZ spin chain



Overview of connections



It remains for us to prove time^1/3 edge fluctuation, and tiling<-->S6V relation

time^1/3 proof (via Macdonald processes)

Recast tiling measure as Hall-Littlewood process on sequences of interlacing partitions $\bar{\lambda} = \emptyset \prec \lambda^{(1)} \prec \lambda^{(2)} \cdots \prec \lambda^{(M)} \succ \cdots \succ \lambda^{(M+N)} \succ \emptyset$:

$$\mathbb{P}(\bar{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i=1}^{M} P_{\lambda^{(i)}/\lambda^{(i-1)}}(a) \prod_{i=M}^{M+N} Q_{\lambda^{(i)}/\lambda^{(i+1)}}(b)$$

where $ab = \zeta$. The one variable skew Hall-Littlewood polynomials are

$$P_{\lambda/\mu}(a) := a^{|\lambda| - |\mu|} \mathbf{1}_{\lambda \succ \mu} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \mathbf{1}_{\Delta(\mu, j) - \Delta(\lambda, j)} \right)$$

with $\Delta(\mu, j) = \mu'_j - \mu'_{j+1}$ and $Q_{\lambda/\mu}$ defined similarly.

The quantity of interest is the length of $\lambda^{(M)}$ (or first row of its transpose).



 $t^{\Delta(\mu,j)}$

time^1/3 proof (via Macdonald processes)

The marginal distribution of $\lambda^{(M)}$ is a Hall-Littlewood measure $\mathbb{P}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{Z(\vec{a}, \vec{b})} P_{\lambda}(\vec{a}) Q_{\lambda}(\vec{b})$

where the Hall-Littlewood symmetric polynomials are defined via

Hall-Littlewood polynomials $P_{\lambda}(\vec{a}; 0, t)$ are special cases of the Macdonald polynomials $P_{\lambda}(\vec{a};q,t)$ (and generalize Schur $P_{\lambda}(\vec{a};t,t) = s_{\lambda}(\vec{a})$).



 $(M-1)(a_M)$

Macdonald processes



Hall-Littlewood expectations via Schur processes

The Macdonald Cauchy identity yields the normalizing constant

$$Z(\vec{a}, \vec{b}; q, t) = \sum_{\lambda} P_{\lambda}(\vec{a}; q, t) Q_{\lambda}(\vec{a}; q, t) = \prod_{i,j} \frac{(ta_i b_j; q)_{\infty}}{(a_i b_j; q)_{\infty}}$$

Macdonald difference operators act diagonally on the polynomials: $D_n^u P_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod \left(1 - uq^{\lambda_i t^{n-i}} \right) P_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ i=1 $D_n^u = \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} (-u)^{|I|} t^{|I|(|I|-1)/2} \prod_{i \in I, j \notin I} \frac{tx_i - x_j}{x_i - x_j} \prod_{i \in I} T_{q, x_i}$

Recipe to compute expectations: $\frac{D_n^u Z(\vec{a}, \vec{b}; q, t)}{Z(\vec{a}, \vec{b}; q, t)} = \mathbb{E}\Big[\prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 - uq^{\lambda_i} t^{n-i}\right)\Big]$

Easy to see the LHS is q-independent (since $\frac{Z(a, \vec{b}; q, t)}{Z(a, \vec{b}; q, t)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1 - ab_j}{1 - tab_j}$) hence

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathrm{Schur}}\Big[\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(1 - ut^{\lambda_i + n - i}\right)\Big] = (u; t)_{\infty} \mathbb{E}^{\mathrm{HL}}\Big[\frac{1}{(ut^{n - \lambda_1'}; t)_{\infty}}\Big]$$

reducing our problem to well-known Schur asymptotics.

 $(a;q)_{\infty} = \prod (1-q^k a)$



t-Boson vertex model



Plane partition (tiling) a formed by increasing, then decreasing interlacing partitions. t-Boson weights induce a measure on such a sequence. Setting $a_i b_j = \zeta$ we get back our original measure.



Stat Phys Page 18



Yang-Baxter equation



The sum is over all internal vertices and on the right is a vertex from the S6V model (rotated 45 degrees) with weights:



Follows single vertex t-Boson YBE by tensoring and taking a limit.

Yang-Baxter equation

Using the YBE to switch the red and grey rows





In half space case, have to additionally use "reflection equations".

Summary

- \diamond Relate S6V height function to "Hall-Littlewood" tiling base. The tiling is a special case of Macdonald processes at q=0.
- Using properties of Macdonald / Hall-Littlewood / Schur
 symmetric functions we compute certain expectations explicitly and perform one-point asymptotics.
- \diamond Using the tiling's Gibbs property, we can extend the one-point 1/3 exponent tightness to the transversal 2/3 exponent.
- \diamond Both models admit limits to ASEP and the KPZ equation and hence this provides a means to study those models too.
- Some questions: Tiling limit shape? Asymptotics for more general boundary rates? Two-sided open ASEP? Higher spin models?