

Proposition: The categorification of the virtual braid group (Thiel 2009) can be emulated for all virtual Artin groups (as defined by Bellingeri-Paris-Thiel, 2021)

Proof: the only new relation which is nontrivial to check is:

$$(v3) \text{ Prod}_R(\tau_s, \tau_t, m_{s,t} - 1) \sigma_s = \sigma_t \text{ Prod}_R(\tau_s, \tau_t, m_{s,t} - 1), \text{ where } r = s \text{ if } m_{s,t} \text{ is even and } r = t \text{ if } m_{s,t} \text{ is odd, for } s, t \in S, s \neq t \text{ and } m_{s,t} \neq \infty.$$

$$\underline{m \text{ odd}} : \underbrace{\tau_s \tau_t \dots \tau_s \tau_t}_{m-1} \sigma_s = \underbrace{\sigma_t \tau_s \tau_t \dots \tau_s \tau_t}_{m-1}$$

$$F(\tau_s \tau_t \dots \tau_t \sigma_s) = R_{st\dots t} (2) \rightarrow \underbrace{R_{st\dots t} \otimes_R B_s}_{1 \mapsto 1 \otimes \alpha_s + st\dots t(\alpha_s) \otimes 1} = R_{st\dots t} \otimes_{R^s} R$$

$$F(\sigma_t \tau_s \tau_t \dots \tau_t) = R_{st\dots t} (2) \rightarrow \underbrace{B_t \otimes_R R_{st\dots t}}_{1 \mapsto 1 \otimes \alpha_t + \alpha_t \otimes 1} = R \otimes_{R^t} R_{st\dots t}$$

Lemma 3.2: (in Thiel 2009) $\Psi: R_{st\dots t} \otimes_R B_s \xrightarrow{\cong} R \otimes_{R \underbrace{st\dots tsts\dots s}_{2m-1}} R_{sts\dots s} = R \otimes_{R^t} R_{sts\dots s}$

Claim: we have an isomorphism of complexes given by:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_{st\dots t} (2) & \xrightarrow{d} & R_{st\dots t} \otimes_{R^s} R \\ \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \Psi \\ R_{sts\dots t} (2) & \xrightarrow{d'} & R \otimes_{R^t} R_{st\dots t} \end{array}$$

$$1 \xrightarrow{d} \frac{1}{2} (1 \otimes \alpha_s + st\dots t \alpha_s \otimes 1)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\Psi} \frac{1}{2} (1 \otimes st\dots t \alpha_s + st\dots t \alpha_s \otimes 1)$$

$$1 \xrightarrow{d'} \frac{1}{2} (1 \otimes \alpha_t + \alpha_t \otimes 1)$$

equal since $\underbrace{st\dots st}_{m-1} \alpha_s = \alpha_t$

m even: $\underbrace{T_s T_t \dots T_t T_s}_{m-1} \sigma_s = \sigma_s \underbrace{T_s T_t \dots T_t T_s}_{m-1}$

$$F(T_s T_t \dots T_s \sigma_s) = R_{st\dots s} (2) \rightarrow \underline{R_{st\dots s} \otimes_R B_s}$$

$$1 \mapsto 1 \otimes \alpha_s + st\dots s(\alpha_s) \otimes 1$$

$$F(\sigma_s T_s T_t \dots T_s) = R_{st\dots s} (2) \rightarrow \underline{B_s \otimes_R R_{st\dots s}}$$

$$1 \mapsto 1 \otimes \alpha_s + \alpha_s \otimes 1$$

Lemma 32: $\Psi: R_{st\dots s} \otimes_R B_s \xrightarrow{\cong} R \otimes_{R^{st\dots tssts\dots s}} R_{st\dots s} = R \otimes_{R^{tst}} R_{st\dots s}$

$a \otimes b \mapsto a \otimes st\dots t(b)$

↳ Problem: we want the map to land in $R \otimes_{R^s} R_{st\dots s}$

New lemma: $\varphi_0: R \otimes_{R^{tst}} R \xrightarrow{\cong} R \otimes_{R^s} R$

$$a \otimes b \mapsto t(a) \otimes t(b)$$

Well defined: $a \otimes b \mapsto t(a) t(c) \otimes t(b) = t(a) \otimes t(c) t(b)$ if $tstc = c$ then $st(c) = t(c)$

$$a \otimes cb \mapsto t(a) \otimes t(c) t(b)$$

Let $\Psi = (\varphi_0) \otimes R_{st\dots s}$ PS: φ_0 is not a bimodule homomorphism. I'm still unsure about this case.

Claim: we have an isomorphism of complexes given by:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_{st\dots s} (2) & \xrightarrow{d} & R_{st\dots s} \otimes_R R \\ \downarrow -id & & \downarrow \varphi_0 \otimes \varphi \\ R_{sts\dots s} (2) & \xrightarrow{d'} & R \otimes_{R^t} R_{st\dots s} \\ 1 \xrightarrow{d} & \frac{1}{2} (1 \otimes \alpha_s + st\dots s \alpha_s \otimes 1) & \\ \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \frac{1}{2} (1 \otimes st\dots s \alpha_s + st\dots s \alpha_s \otimes 1) & \\ \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \frac{1}{2} (1 \otimes ts\dots ts \alpha_s + tst\dots ts \alpha_s \otimes 1) & \\ 1 \xrightarrow{d'} & -\frac{1}{2} (1 \otimes \alpha_s + \alpha_s \otimes 1) & \end{array}$$

equal since $\underbrace{ts\dots ts}_m \alpha_s = -\alpha_s$

□