## Mathematics V1207x Honors Mathematics A

## Answers to Practice Midterm October 28, 2015

- **1.** For  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  nonempty and bounded above, let  $s = \sup S$ ; then for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $x \in S$  such that  $x > s \epsilon$ .
- **2.** For any s and  $s' \in S$ , suppose that  $g \circ f(s) = g \circ f(s')$ . Then g(f(s)) = g(f(s')) by definition of composition. Since g is injective, f(s) = f(s'). Then since f is injective, s = s'. Hence  $g \circ f$  is injective.
- **3.** Proof by induction on *n*. For n = 0, clearly  $2^{0+1} = 2 \ge 2 = 2\dot{0} + 2$ . Now assume that for a given  $n, 2^{n+1} \ge 2n+2$ . Then  $2^{n+2} = 2 \cdot 2^{n+1} = 2^{n+1} + 2^{n+1} \ge 2n+2+2n+2 = 2(n+1)+2+n \ge 2(n+1)+2$  where the last inequality is because  $n \ge 0$  for all natural *n*.
- 4. (a) f(x) = [x]; (b) f(x) = x. (c): If  $x \le y$ , then  $S \cap [0, x] \subset S \cap [0, y]$ , so any upper bound (such as  $\sup S \cap [0, y]$ ) for the latter is an upper bound for the former, so the least upper bound must satisfy  $\sup S \cap [0, x] \le \sup S \cap [0, y]$ , so  $f(x) \le f(y)$ . Hence f is monotonic, hence integrable on [0, 10].
- **5.** Proof 1: For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|g(x)| \leq 1$ , so  $-1 \leq g(x) \leq 1$ , so by the comparison theorem for integrals,  $-(b-a) = \int_a^b -1 \, dx \leq \int_a^b g(x) \, dx \leq \int_a^b 1 \, dx = b-a$ , so  $|\int_a^b g(x) \, dx| \leq b-a$ . Proof 2: By an assigned problem (A5#6 in fact) g integrable implies |g| integrable, and by another assigned problem (A4#5) and the comparison theorem for integrals,  $|\int_a^b g(x) \, dx| \leq \int_a^b |g(x)| \, dx \leq \int_a^b 1 \, dx = b-a$ . (Proof 1 is perhaps better than Proof 2 since it doesn't use g integrable implies |g|

(Proof 1 is perhaps better than Proof 2 since it doesn't use g integrable implies |g| integrable, which is relatively hard to prove.)

6. Proof 1: If there were a limit, say  $K \in \mathbb{R}$ , then by the definition of limit, for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $0 < |x| < \delta$  implies  $|1/x - K| < \epsilon$ , that is,  $-\epsilon < 1/x - K < \epsilon$ . For any such  $\epsilon$  and  $\delta$ , by the Archimedean property there exists an integer  $n > \max(1/\delta, \epsilon + K)$ , that is,  $n > 1/\delta$  and  $n > \epsilon + K$ . Then x = 1/n satisfies  $0 < |x| < \delta$  but  $1/x - K > \epsilon$ , contradiction.

Proof 2: If there were a limit, say  $K \in \mathbb{R}$ , then basic limit theorem 1c implies  $1 = \lim_{x\to 0} x_x^1 = (\lim_{x\to 0} x)(\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{1}{x}) = 0$ , contradiction.

7. Proof 1: since all terms in the inequalities are nonnegative,  $|fg(x)| = |f(x)| |g(x)| \le |x| \cdot 1 = |x|$ , so  $-|x| \le fg(x) \le |x|$ . Since  $\lim_{x\to 0} -|x| = \lim_{x\to 0} |x| = 0$ , by the squeezing theorem  $\lim_{x\to 0} fg(x) = 0$ . But  $|f(0)| \le |0|$ , so f(0) = 0 and fg(0) = 0g(0) = 0. Hence  $\lim_{x\to 0} fg(x) = fg(0)$ . Proof 2: Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , take  $\delta = \epsilon$ . Then  $0 < |x-0| < \delta$  implies  $|fg(x)| = |f(x)| |g(x)| \le |x| \cdot 1 < \delta = \epsilon$ , so  $\lim_{x\to 0} fg(x) = 0$ . But  $|f(0)| \le |0|$ , so f(0) = 0 and fg(0) = 0g(0) = 0. Hence  $\lim_{x\to 0} fg(x) = 0$ . But  $|f(0)| \le |0|$ , so f(0) = 0 and fg(0) = 0g(0) = 0. Hence  $\lim_{x\to 0} fg(x) = fg(0)$ .