FORMULAS FOR ALGEBRAIC CURVES

HENRY C. PINKHAM

Here is a compilation of many of the formulas we have come across so far. We assume throughout that we are over \mathbb{C} . We see some of the purely algebraic results reappearing topologically. The last section contains some exercises about rational curves.

1. Families of Plane Curves

Counting the monomials of total degree d in 3 variables, we have:

Formula 1.1. The projective space V(d) of all plane curves of degree d has dimension d(d+3)/2.

Let $V(d; r_1P_1, \ldots, r_nP_n)$ denote the linear subspace of V(d) of curves with at least multiplicity r_i at n given points P_i in the plane.

Formula 1.2. $V(d; r_1P_1, \ldots, r_nP_n)$ has codimension in V(d) at most

$$c = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{r_i(r_i - 1)}{2}$$

It is therefore non-empty when $d(d+3)/2 \ge c$.

Formula 1.3. If $d \ge (\sum_i r_i) - 1$, then c is precisely the codimension.

See Fulton [2], Theorem 1, p. 110. On the other hand:

Formula 1.4. Given two curves C and D of degrees d and e with no common components and multiplicities r_i and s_i at their points of intersection P_i , $1 \le i \le n$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i s_i \le de.$$

See Walker [5], Theorem 3.3 of Chapter III, p. 61. This result is used to prove:

Formula 1.5. A plane curve of degree d without multiple components with singular points P_i of multiplicity r_i , $1 \le i \le n$, satisfies

$$d(d-1) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i-1).$$

Note that this represents a strengthening of Formula 1.2: just divide by 2 and compare. Furthermore, if the hypothesis of Formula 1.3 is satisfied, then the inequality in Formula 1.5 holds. Indeed the curve made up of d concurrent lines does the trick: it has one singular point of multiplicity d, so Formula 1.5 is sharp for all d.

Date: March 8, 2012.

Formula 1.6. An irreducible plane curve C of degree d with multiplicity r_i at P_i , $1 \le i \le n$, satisfies

$$(d-1)(d-2) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i-1).$$

See Walker [5], Theorems 4.3 and 4.4 of Chapter III, p. 65. The proof of Formula 1.6 in Walker uses Formula 1.2 to show that there is a curve C' of degree d-1 passing through the points P_i with multiplicity $r_i - 1$, and also passing through another m non-singular points of C. How big can we make m? As big as the codimension given by Formula 1.2. Then just apply Formula 1.4 to C and C', which is permissible because we know C is irreducible, so it has no common components with a curve of lower degree.

Example 1.7. Walker gives an example showing that Formula 1.6 is sharp for all d: the irreducible curve C with equation $x^d - y^{d-1}z = 0$. It has one singular point of multiplicity d-1. The singularity is not an ordinary multiple point, but a higher order cusp. C is a rational curve with parametrization $x = t^{d-1}s$, $y = t^d$, $z = s^d$: a projection of the rational normal curve of degree d discussed below.

2. Coverings of Projective Curves

Suppose you have a projective non-singular algebraic curve C and a finite surjective algebraic map ϕ to another projective non-singular algebraic curve D. Let d be the degree of the covering, and $\nu_{\phi}(P)$ be the ramification index at a point $P \in C$. For all but a finite number of points Q of D, ϕ is a covering map, so that the ramification index at all P such that $\phi(P) = Q$ is 1. For all Q, $\sum_{\phi(P)=Q} \nu_{\phi}(P) = d$.

Let $\chi(C)$ be the Euler characteristic of C, and $\chi(D)$ be the Euler characteristic of D. Let g be the genus, so $g = \frac{2-\chi}{2}$.

Formula 2.1.

$$\chi(C) = d\chi(D) - \sum (\nu_{\phi}(P) - 1),$$

so

$$g(C) = dg(D) - d + 1 + \sum \frac{(\nu_{\phi}(P) - 1)}{2}$$

where the sums are over all the ramification points.

The proof follows, as in Kirwan [3], by taking a triangulation of D with a vertex at each point $Q \in D$ where there is ramification, and perhaps other vertices. Then lift the triangulation to C. The proof goes through even though D is not \mathbb{P}^1 and C is not in \mathbb{P}^2 .

3. RAMIFICATION OF NON-SINGULAR PLANE CURVES

If we now assume that C is a non-singular plane curve, and D is \mathbb{P}^1 , so that its Euler characteristic is 2. We take for ϕ a suitable projection of C from a point of \mathbb{P}^2 not on C, and use the discriminant of the defining equation of C to compute the ramification:

Formula 3.1. Choose a center of projection that does not lie on C or any of the inflectionary tangents of D. Then there are d(d-1) ramification points, and the ramification index is 2 at each ramification point.

This is Kirwan [3] Lemma 4.7.

Formula 3.2. For any non-singular plane curve C of degree d,

$$\chi(C) = 2d - d(d - 1) = 3d - d^2$$

and

$$g(C) = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}.$$

This follows immediately from 2.1 and 3.1.

4. RAMIFICATION OF PLANE CURVES WITH ORDINARY SINGULARITIES

Now assume that the plane curve C is irreducible with only ordinary singularities P_i of multiplicities r_1 . We make a projection from a point that is not on C, not on any of the inflectionary tangent lines, and not on any of the tangents to to the singular points. Since we have excluded a finite number of lines, such a point can be found. We need to know that C has only a finite number of inflection points: this follows from the proof of Lemma 3.22 of Kirwan.

Compute the discriminant of C in the direction of projection.

Formula 4.1. An ordinary r-fold point contributes exactly r(r-1) to the discrim*inant*.

Proof. This is a new computation. Because of the choice of direction, each one of the r non-singular branches intersects the derivative term (of degree r-1) transversely, so with multiplicity r - 1.

Now let \tilde{C} be the resolution of all these ordinary singularities, so that \tilde{C} is nonsingular. \tilde{C} is just C with the ordinary multiple points pulled apart. So if P is an r-fold point, it is replaced on \tilde{C} by r points. We can apply Formula 2.1, since we can compute the total ramification by simply subtracting the contribution that would have come from the ordinary multiple points.

Formula 4.2. The Euler characteristic of the resolution \tilde{C} of a curve C in \mathbb{P}^2 with n ordinary multiple points R_i of multiplicity r_i is

$$\chi(\tilde{C}) = 3d - d^2 + \sum r_i(r_i - 1).$$

so the genus is

$$g(\tilde{C}) = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{r_i(r_i-1)}{2}.$$

Happily, Formula 1.6 tells us that $g(\tilde{C})$ is non-negative, as it must be.

5. RATIONAL CURVES

We can map \mathbb{P}^1 into \mathbb{P}^d , for any $d \geq 2$ as a non-singular curve of degree d by using all d + 1 monomials of degree d in the homogeneous coordinates x and y of \mathbb{P}^1 , so that the mapping is given by

$$z_i = x^i y^{d-i}$$

to the homogeneous coordinates z_i , $0 \le i \le d$ of \mathbb{P}^d . The image of \mathbb{P}^1 is called the rational normal curve of degree d. It does not lie in a hyperplane in \mathbb{P}^d : indeed it intersects each hyperplane in d points counted with multiplicity.

Exercise 5.1. Consider the degree 4 plane curve $x^4 - 2x^2yz + y^2z^2 - y^3z = 0$. Show it is irreducible and rational, and find its singular points.

By taking a suitable projection of \mathbb{P}^2 as explained in class, we get an irreducible curve C with only ordinary singular points.

By Formula 4.2 we get:

Formula 5.1. A rational curve of degree d in the plane with only ordinary singular points P_i of multiplicity r_i , $1 \le i \le n$, satisfies

$$\frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{r_i(r_i-1)}{2}.$$

In particular, if it only has ordinary double points, there are exactly $\frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}$ of them.

Example 5.2. In the very first lecture of this class, we consider the nodal cubic, and we parametrized it. In our current notation, we get

$$z_0 = y(x^2 - y^2),$$

$$z_1 = x(x^2 - y^2),$$

$$z_2 = y^3.$$

This shows that the node occurs at the parameter values $x/y = \pm 1$, so when $z_0 = z_1 = 0$. The equation of the curve is $z_0^3 + z_0^2 z_2 - z_1^2 z_2 = 0$.

Exercise 5.2. Using the same method as in the above example, write the parametrization of a rational quartic with three ordinary double points. Also write its equation. Do you see how this could be extended (in principle) to all degrees?

Formula 5.3. If C has genus 0, then D also has genus 0.

Exercise 5.3. Prove Formula 5.3 using the notation and hypotheses of Formula 2.1.

This proves a special case of a theorem in field theory:

Theorem 5.4 (Lüroth's Theorem). Let k be any field, and let k(x) be a purely transcendental extension of k. Then any subfield of k(x) containing k and strictly bigger than k is purely transcendental, so can be written k(t). Since $t \in k(x)$ is a rational function in x, it can be written as f(x)/g(x), where f(x) and g(x) are polynomials with no common factors. Then the degree of the field extension k(x)over k(t) is the max of the degrees of f and g in x. In particular all the generators of k(x) are of the form

$$\frac{ax+b}{cx+d}, \quad ad-bc \neq 0.$$

This theorem is proved algebraically in van der Waerden, [4], Volume 1, §63. It is also proved in Walker [5], Chapter V, Theorem 7.2. It is stated in Dummit and Foote, [1] in §14.9 and partly proved in Exercise 18 of §13.2.

The transformation $x \to \frac{ax+b}{cx+d}$ is a fractional linear transformation.

Exercise 5.4. The rational curve C is a finite cover of the rational curve D, as above. The field of rational functions of C is the purely transcendental extension k(x), and that of D is the subfield k(t). Assume that this field extension is Galois, so that there is a finite group G acting on k(x), with the subfield of invariants being k(t).

- Show that every element of G sends x to another generator of k(x), so that by Lüroth's Theorem, G is a finite subgroup of the group of fractional linear transformations. Indeed this exercise requires the description of all these finite subgroups.
- Show that G permutes the points of \mathbb{P}^1 .
- Because G acts trivially on k(t), show that this implies that G permutes the points on C above a given point of D. Show that the ramification index of all the points above a given point of D must be the same.
- Find all possible combinations of ramification indices using the genus formula for the covering. Hint: first show that you cannot get more than three branch points.
- Write t explicitly in terms of x in all cases. Verify that the expression you find is a rational function f(x)/g(x) in reduced form, with the max of the degrees of f and g equal to the degree of the Galois group G. Hint: Read van der Waerden [4], §63, and note the exercise p.200.

References

- David S. Dummit, Richard M. Foote, Abstract Algebra, Second Edition, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, 1999.
- [2] William Fulton, Algebraic Curves, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [3] Frances Kirwan, Complex Algebraic Curves, Cambridge U. P., Cambridge, 1992.
- [4] B. L. van der Waerden, Modern Algebra, Frederick Ungar, New York, 1949.
- [5] Robert J. Walker, Algebraic Curves, Dover, New York, 1962.