

HOMOLOGICAL ACTION OF THE MODULAR GROUP ON SOME CUBIC MODULI SPACES

WILLIAM M. GOLDMAN AND WALTER D. NEUMANN

ABSTRACT. We describe the action of the automorphism group of the complex cubic $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - 2$ on the homology of its fibers. This action includes the action of the mapping class group of a punctured torus on the subvarieties of its $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ character variety given by fixing the trace of the peripheral element (so-called “relative character varieties”). This mapping class group is isomorphic to $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

We also describe the corresponding mapping class group action for the four-holed sphere and its relative $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ character varieties, which are fibers of deformations $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - 2 - Px - Qy - Rz$ of the above cubic. The 2-congruence subgroup $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)}$ still acts on these cubics and is the full automorphism group when P, Q, R are distinct.

INTRODUCTION

Several important moduli spaces are described by cubic surfaces in affine space. The symmetries of the original moduli problem determines a group of transformations of the moduli space which generates a dynamical system. For two bounded surfaces M — the one-holed torus and the four-holed sphere — the relative $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -character varieties are described by cubic surfaces \mathcal{M} in \mathbb{C}^3 of the form

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz = Px + Qy + Rz + S$$

upon which the mapping class group $\pi_0 \mathrm{Homeo}(M, \partial M)$ acts by polynomial automorphisms. For these surfaces $\pi_0 \mathrm{Homeo}(M, \partial M)$ is essentially the modular group $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. We compute the homology of \mathcal{M} and its intersection form, and the resulting action of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $H_*(\mathcal{M})$.

A *relative $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -character variety* of a compact bounded surface M classifies equivalence classes of representations

$$\rho : \pi_1(M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$$

with certain boundary conditions. Namely let $\partial_i M$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ denote the boundary components of M and choose $\delta_i \in \pi_1(M)$ corresponding to generators of $\pi_1(\partial_i M)$ respectively and $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then the relative $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -character variety with boundary data t is the moduli space of representations ρ where

$$\mathrm{tr}(\rho(\delta_i)) = t_i$$

for $i = 1, \dots, N$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57M05, 30F60, 20H10.

Research supported under NSF grant DMS-0103889.

Research supported under NSF grants DMS-0083097 and DMS-0206464.

Suppose first that M is a one-holed torus. Its fundamental group π is free of rank two, and admits a *redundant geometric* presentation

$$\langle X, Y, \delta_1 \mid \delta_1 = XYX^{-1}Y^{-1} \rangle$$

where δ_1 corresponds to a generator of $\pi_1(\partial M)$. Fricke [5] proved that the character variety is an affine space \mathbb{C}^3 with coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \text{tr}(\rho(X)) \\ y &= \text{tr}(\rho(Y)) \\ z &= \text{tr}(\rho(Z)), \quad Z = XY. \end{aligned}$$

The resulting $\pi_0 \text{Homeo}(M, \partial M)$ -action on this \mathbb{C}^3 factors through an action of $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Namely, by Dehn (unpublished) and Nielsen [13], $\pi_0 \text{Homeo}(M, \partial M) \cong \text{Out}(\pi) \cong \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ via the action on the abelianization \mathbb{Z}^2 of $\pi = \pi_1(M)$. The center $\{\pm I\}$ of $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is represented by the automorphism $X \mapsto X^{-1}, Y \mapsto Y^{-1}, Z \mapsto YZ^{-1}Y^{-1}$, which preserves traces and hence acts trivially on \mathbb{C}^3 , so the action can be considered as an action of $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I\} = \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

The relative character varieties result by fixing the trace of $\rho(\delta_1)$, which is given by the polynomial

$$\text{tr}(\rho(XYX^{-1}Y^{-1})) = \kappa(\text{tr}(\rho(X)), \text{tr}(\rho(Y)), \text{tr}(\rho(XY)))$$

where

$$\kappa(x, y, z) := x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - 2.$$

Thus, the action of $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on \mathbb{C}^3 preserves this polynomial $\kappa(x, y, z)$.

The full automorphism group $\Gamma = \text{Aut}(\kappa)$ of this polynomial κ is generated by $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and the ‘‘group of sign changes,’’ namely the Klein 4-group $\Sigma \cong C_2 \times C_2$ that acts on \mathbb{C}^3 by $(x, y, z) \mapsto (\pm x, \pm y, \pm z)$ with an even number of minus signs. In fact (see Horowitz [9]) Γ is the split extension:

$$\Gamma = \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \Sigma.$$

The dynamic behavior of the action of Γ on the real character variety $\mathbb{R}^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ was described in [7], but little is known about the full action on \mathbb{C}^3 . In this note we investigate the algebraic topology of this action of Γ (and hence of $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$) on the fibers of the polynomial κ .

The results can be summarized:

Theorem 1. *The fibers $V_t := \kappa^{-1}(t)$ of the polynomial $\kappa: \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ have reduced homology only in dimension 2. There are two special fibers: V_2 and V_{-2} with homology $H_2(V_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $H_2(V_{-2}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^4$, respectively. The generic fiber V_t with $t \neq \pm 2$ has homology*

$$H_2(V_t) = H_2(V_{-2}) \oplus H_2(V_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^5.$$

The automorphism group Γ acts on these homology groups via a homomorphism to $S_4 \times C_2$, with the symmetric group S_4 acting by $(\rho_4) \oplus 1$ on $\mathbb{Z}^4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, where ρ_4 is the standard permutation representation of S_4 on \mathbb{Z}^4 , and the cyclic group C_2 acting by multiplication by ± 1 on \mathbb{Z}^5 .

The mapping class group $\text{Out}(\pi)$, acting on \mathbb{C}^3 as the subgroup $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ of Γ , acts on the above homology groups as the subgroup $S_3 \times C_2$ of $S_4 \times C_2$, where the S_3 is the subgroup of S_4 that fixes one of the generators of \mathbb{Z}^4 .

The homomorphism of Γ to $S_4 \times C_2$ is as follows: The homomorphism to C_2 is the determinant map

$$\Gamma = \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\det} C_2$$

The homomorphism to S_4 arises via reduction modulo 2:

$$\Gamma = \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \Sigma \longrightarrow \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rtimes \Sigma = S_3 \rtimes \Sigma \cong S_4.$$

Here $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rtimes \Sigma \cong S_4$ because $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rtimes \Sigma$ is the group of affine automorphisms of an affine plane $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ and every permutation of the 4-element set \mathbb{A} is affine. The subgroup Σ is the group of translations, which acts simply transitively on \mathbb{A} . The group S_3 that fixes a chosen origin in \mathbb{A} and permutes the other three points is the group of linear automorphisms $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ (since $\mathbb{Z}/2$ contains only one nonzero scalar,

$$\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

In terms of the punctured torus M , \mathbb{A} can be identified with the set of spin structures on a trivial vector bundle; specifically its vector space of translations identifies with the cohomology group $H^1(M, \mathbb{Z}/2)$.

Note that the kernel of $\Gamma = \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \Sigma \longrightarrow \mathrm{PGL}(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2) \rtimes \Sigma = S_4$ is the congruence subgroup

$$\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \mid b \equiv c \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \right\}$$

of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. We have a commutative diagram with exact rows and columns

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 1 & & 1 & \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & & & \Sigma & \xrightarrow{=} & \Sigma & \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & S_4 \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & S_3 \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & 1 & & 1 \end{array}$$

The right-hand column identifies with the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aff}(2, \mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow 1$$

All four short exact sequences of the diagram are split exact. In particular,

$$(2) \quad \Gamma \cong \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)} \rtimes S_4.$$

We now describe the case of the four holed sphere N . The group Γ arises in a new context in this discussion, as the mapping class group $\pi_0 \mathrm{Homeo}(N, \partial N)$. The relative $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ character varieties for N are again certain cubic surfaces. We first discuss the automorphisms of these cubic surfaces.

Theorem 2. *For any $P, Q, R \in \mathbb{C}$, the action of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)}$ on \mathbb{C}^3 that preserves the polynomial κ deforms to an action that preserves the cubic polynomial*

$$\kappa_{P,Q,R}(x, y, z) := x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - Px - Qy - Rz - 2.$$

Each nonsingular fiber V of $\kappa_{P,Q,R}$ is diffeomorphic to a non-singular fiber of κ , so it still has homology $H_2(V) \cong \mathbb{Z}^5$, while a singular fiber has homology a quotient

of this. The action of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)}$ on the homology of fibers of $\kappa_{P,Q,R}$ is again by multiplication by ± 1 via the determinant homomorphism $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)} \rightarrow C_2 = \{\pm 1\}$.

$\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)}$ is the full automorphism group of $\kappa_{P,Q,R}$ if P, Q, R are distinct, while otherwise the full automorphism group is $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)}$ extended by an appropriate subgroup of S_4 (those permutations and sign changes of x, y, z that preserve $\kappa_{P,Q,R}$; — if at most one of P, Q, R is zero then there are only permutations).

Each relative character variety for N is a fiber of some cubic polynomial $\kappa_{P,Q,R}(x, y, z)$. The mapping class group that fixes all four boundary components satisfies

$$\pi_0 \mathrm{Homeo}(N, \partial_1 N, \dots, \partial_4 N) \cong \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)},$$

and its action on the relative character variety is by the action of the above theorem.

If the trace constraints at some boundary components of M are identical, then the relevant mapping class group is $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)} \rtimes K$ where $K \subset S_4$ is the group of permutations that preserve trace constraints. Its action on the relative character variety is by the quotient $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)} \rtimes \pi(K)$ where $\pi: S_4 \rightarrow S_3$. In particular, if the trace constraints at all four boundary components of N are equal, then the relevant mapping class group is a semidirect product $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)} \rtimes S_4$. In fact it is the same semidirect product as that of equation (2), so

$$\pi_0 \mathrm{Homeo}(N, \partial N) \cong \Gamma.$$

But its action on \mathbb{C}^3 in Theorem 2 is via its homomorphism to $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, with kernel Σ , while the action of Γ on \mathbb{C}^3 of Theorem 1 was faithful.

We also determine the intersection form on homology of fibers:

Proposition 3. *The intersection form on the homology $H_2(V_t)$ of a general fiber of any one of the cubics $\kappa_{P,Q,R}$ with respect to the basis used in the above theorems is*

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Acknowledgments. Goldman is grateful to J. Damon, L. Ein, H. King, A. Libgober and J. W. Wood for valuable conversations.

1. VANISHING CYCLES AND HOMOLOGY

It is known (e.g., [12]) that the homology of a general fiber of a polynomial map κ comes from vanishing cycles of the singularities and vanishing cycles “at infinity.”

Broughton [1] shows that a polynomial has no vanishing cycles at infinity if it is “tame”, that is, $|d\kappa|$ is bounded away from zero outside some compact set. Our polynomial κ is tame, in fact:

Lemma 1.1. *$|d\kappa|$ tends to infinity as $|(x, y, z)| \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof.

$$d\kappa = (2x - yz)dx + (2y - xz)dy + (2z - xy)dz =: adx + bdy + cdz$$

We shall show that $\|(a, b, c)\|$ bounded implies $\|(x, y, z)\|$ bounded. Consider a sequence of points (x, y, z) going to infinity for which (a, b, c) stays bounded. If

two coordinates, say x and y , stay bounded then $c = 2z - xy$ tends to infinity, a contradiction. So at least two coordinates, say y and z , must approach infinity in the sequence. But for $z \neq \pm 2$ the equations $2x = a + yz$ and $2y = b + xz$ imply

$$y = \frac{2b + az}{4 - z^2},$$

which approaches 0 as $z \rightarrow \infty$, another contradiction. \square

For a tame polynomial, the homology of the general fiber is given by the vanishing cycles of singularities, so we need to find the singularities of κ :

$$2x - yz = 2y - xz = 2z - xy = 0$$

implies the critical points of κ are:

$$(3) \quad (2, -2, -2), (-2, 2, -2), (-2, -2, 2), (2, 2, 2), (0, 0, 0).$$

The first four singular points are on the fiber V_2 while the singular point $(0, 0, 0)$ is on V_{-2} . For any one of the singular points $(2\epsilon_1, 2\epsilon_2, 2\epsilon_1\epsilon_2)$ with $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$ we introduce local coordinates

$$\hat{x} = \epsilon_1 x - 2, \quad \hat{y} = \epsilon_2 y - 2, \quad \hat{z} = \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 z - 2.$$

In these local coordinates we have

$$\kappa(x, y, z) = \hat{x}^2 + \hat{y}^2 + \hat{z}^2 - 2\hat{x}\hat{y} - 2\hat{x}\hat{z} - 2\hat{y}\hat{z} - \hat{x}\hat{y}\hat{z}.$$

The Hessian at $(0, 0, 0)$ is $2I$ and at each of the other four singular points (using the above local coordinates) it is

$$2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since these Hessians are non-singular, we have a quadratic singularity at each point, so each singular point contributes a single vanishing cycle to the homology of other fibers of κ . We hence have:

$$\begin{aligned} H_2(V_2) &\cong \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{generated by the vanishing cycle at } (0, 0, 0), \\ H_2(V_{-2}) &\cong \mathbb{Z}^4 \quad \text{generated by the vanishing cycles at } (\pm 2, \pm 2, \pm 2), \\ H_2(V_i) &\cong \mathbb{Z}^5 \quad \text{otherwise, generated by all five vanishing cycles.} \end{aligned}$$

The vanishing cycle at a quadratic singularity is only defined up to sign; a self-isomorphism of the singularity whose derivative has negative determinant multiplies the cycle by -1 . We will choose a basis of $\mathbb{Z}^5 = \mathbb{Z}^4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ by choosing vanishing cycles at $(2, -2, -2)$ and $(0, 0, 0)$ and using the identity map between our chosen local coordinates $\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}$ at the four singular points $(\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1)$ to choose the vanishing cycles at the other three singular points. We will order our basis according to the ordering of singular points in (3) above.

2. ACTION OF Γ

The Klein 4-group Σ of sign-changes permutes the first four singular points (3) of κ by the regular permutation representation. It acts as the identity map in the local coordinates that we introduced above. It therefore simply permutes the four vanishing cycles corresponding to these points. Moreover, it fixes the singular point $(0, 0, 0)$ and acts with positive determinant in local coordinates there, so it fixes the

corresponding vanishing cycle. It hence acts on \mathbb{Z}^5 by the 4-group whose non-trivial elements are:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The group $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and the involution $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. We first discuss the group $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. It is the free product of cyclic groups of orders 2 and 3 generated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively. These are represented by automorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha: \quad X &\mapsto Y, \quad Y \mapsto X^{-1} \\ \beta: \quad X &\mapsto XY, \quad Y \mapsto X^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that an automorphism γ of π acts on characters by $(\gamma\chi)(g) = \chi \circ \gamma^{-1}(g)$, the action of the above two automorphisms on characters are:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_*: \quad (x, y, z) &\mapsto (y, x, xy - z) \\ \beta_*: \quad (x, y, z) &\mapsto (y, z, x) \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

The map α_* exchanges the first two singular points and fixes the other three. Its derivative acts with determinant 1 in the local coordinates at the singular points. It thus acts on the homology \mathbb{Z}^5 of a general fiber by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The map β_* of \mathbb{C}^3 permutes the first three singular points of (3) above and fixes the other two. It also acts with determinant 1 in the local coordinates at the singular points. It thus acts on the homology \mathbb{Z}^5 of a general fiber by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The Klein 4-group Σ and the elements α and β generate the permutation representation of S_4 on the first four coordinates of \mathbb{Z}^5 , as promised in the theorem of the Introduction.

Finally we discuss the involution

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}).$$

The automorphism of π defined by

$$\gamma: X \mapsto X^{-1}, Y \mapsto Y$$

represents this involution, and induces the map

$$\gamma_*: (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, xy - z)$$

on the character variety \mathbb{C}^3 . This fixes all the singular points of κ and its derivative acts with determinant -1 in the local coordinates at each point. It thus reverses the signs of all the vanishing cycles, so it acts on \mathbb{Z}^5 by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

3. INTERSECTION FORM

Consider a general fiber V_t (that is, $t \neq \pm 2$). It is well known that the vanishing cycle of a quadratic singularity has self-intersection number -2 . The vanishing cycles arising from the four singularities on V_2 are clearly disjoint. Thus the intersection form on $H_2(V_t)$ with respect to our basis is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_1 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & a_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & a_4 \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & -2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where a_1, \dots, a_4 are still to be determined. Since an index 2 subgroup of Γ permutes the first four basis elements, $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4$. Call this common value a . We must show $a = \pm 1$ (the sign depends on how we have oriented the vanishing cycles over $t = 2$ and $t = -2$ with respect to each other, and is thus indeterminate).

The intersection matrix has determinant $32(1 - a^2)$. Let \hat{V}_t be the intersection of V_t with a very large ball around the origin and $M^3 = \partial\hat{V}_t$ the ‘‘link at infinity’’ of V_t . Then V_t retracts to \hat{V}_t , so they have the same homology and intersection form. The homology exact sequence

$$H_2(\hat{V}_t) \rightarrow H_2(\hat{V}_t, M^3) \rightarrow H_1(M^3) \rightarrow 0$$

and Poincaré-Lefschetz duality

$$H_2(\hat{V}_t, M^3) \cong H^2(\hat{V}_t) \cong H_2(\hat{V}_t)^*$$

yield a standard long exact sequence

$$H_2(\hat{V}_t) \rightarrow H_2(\hat{V}_t)^* \rightarrow H_1(M^3) \rightarrow 0$$

where the first arrow is intersection form. Thus $H_1(M^3)$ is the cokernel of the intersection matrix, so $a = \pm 1$ if and only if $H_1(M^3)$ is infinite (and otherwise $|H_1(M^3)|$ would equal $32|1 - a^2|$). It thus remains to prove that $H_1(M^3)$ is indeed infinite.

Consider M^3 as a regular neighborhood boundary of the divisor at infinity of the closure \bar{V}_t of V_t in $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^3 \cup \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$. Since the highest order term of κ is xyz , \bar{V}_t intersects the projective plane at infinity in the union of the three lines $x = 0$,

$y = 0$, and $z = 0$ (these are three of the 27 lines on a cubic surface; we will meet the other 24 lines on V_t in the next section). It is easy to check in local coordinates that \bar{V}_t is non-singular at infinity (and hence globally non-singular except when $t = \pm 2$). The three lines at infinity of V_t intersect in a cyclic configuration, so their neighborhood boundary certainly has infinite first homology, as desired.

In fact (see [11]), the neighborhood boundary M^3 of any cyclic configuration of rational curves in a non-singular complex surface is a T^2 bundle over S^1 whose monodromy can be computed from the self-intersection numbers e_i of the curves as

$$A = \prod_i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -e_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

In our case it is not hard to calculate that each of the three curves has self-intersection -1 (this is true for all 27 lines on a cubic surface) so the monodromy is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^3 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence

$$H_1(M^3) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2.$$

One can check that this agrees with the cokernel of the intersection matrix of the proposition.

4. TOPOLOGY OF FIBERS VIA THE 27 LINES ON A CUBIC

An alternative way of computing the homology of the fibers of κ and the action of Γ involves the projection to a coordinate line, and provides further insight into the topology. We sketch it briefly, hopefully with sufficient explanation that the reader can fill in the details. It is, in fact, the way we first obtained the results.

Suppose $t \neq \pm 2$ and consider the projection $\pi: V_t \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by the z -coordinate. The general fiber $\pi^{-1}(s)$ of this projection is given by $x^2 + y^2 - xys = t + 2 - s^2$, which we can write as

$$(x - \lambda y)(x - \lambda' y) = t + 2 - s^2$$

with $\lambda, \lambda' = \frac{1}{2}(s \pm \sqrt{s^2 - 4})$. Thus $\pi^{-1}(s) \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ by the map $(x, y) \mapsto x - \lambda y$ unless $\lambda = \lambda'$ or $t + 2 - s^2 = 0$. The general fiber of π is thus \mathbb{C}^* , while the special fibers occur for $s = \pm 2$ and $s = \pm\sqrt{t+2}$. These special fibers are:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{-1}(2) &= L_1 \cup L_2; & L_1 &= \{x = y + \sqrt{t-2}\}, \\ & & L_2 &= \{x = y - \sqrt{t-2}\} \\ \pi^{-1}(\sqrt{t+2}) &= L_3 \cup L_4; & L_3 &= \left\{ \frac{x}{y} = \frac{\sqrt{t+2} + \sqrt{t-2}}{2} \right\}, \\ & & L_4 &= \left\{ \frac{x}{y} = \frac{\sqrt{t+2} - \sqrt{t-2}}{2} \right\} \\ \pi^{-1}(-\sqrt{t+2}) &= L_5 \cup L_6; & L_5 &= \left\{ \frac{x}{y} = -\frac{\sqrt{t+2} + \sqrt{t-2}}{2} \right\}, \\ & & L_6 &= \left\{ \frac{x}{y} = -\frac{\sqrt{t+2} - \sqrt{t-2}}{2} \right\} \\ \pi^{-1}(-2) &= L_7 \cup L_8; & L_7 &= \{x = -y + \sqrt{t-2}\}, \\ & & L_8 &= \{x = -y - \sqrt{t-2}\} \end{aligned}$$

These 8 lines L_1, \dots, L_8 and the analogous lines coming from the projections to the x and y coordinates give 24 lines, which with the 3 lines at infinity give the full 27 lines on the projective cubic \bar{V}_t .

Choose small disks in \mathbb{C}

$$D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4 \text{ around } z = 2, \sqrt{t+2}, -\sqrt{t+2}, -2,$$

and paths:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 & \text{ from } 2 \text{ to } \sqrt{t+2}, \\ \gamma_2 & \text{ from } \sqrt{t+2} \text{ to } -\sqrt{t+2}, \\ \gamma_3 & \text{ from } -\sqrt{t+2} \text{ to } -2, \end{aligned}$$

so that these paths do not intersect each other except at endpoints.

Then $X := \pi^{-1}(D_1 \cup \gamma_1 \cup D_2 \cup \gamma_2 \cup D_3 \cup \gamma_3 \cup D_4)$ is a deformation retract of V_t . Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{-1}(D_1) & \simeq S^2 \simeq \pi^{-1}(D_4) & (\text{see below}) \\ \pi^{-1}(D_2) & \simeq * \simeq \pi^{-1}(D_3) & (\text{since } \pi^{-1}(\pm\sqrt{t+2}) \simeq *), \end{aligned}$$

so a simple Mayer-Vietoris calculation of homology of X gives

$$\begin{aligned} H_2(V_t) & \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{generators: } & \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_3 \quad \alpha_4 \quad \alpha_5 \end{aligned}$$


where:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 & \text{ is a generator of } H_2(\pi^{-1}(D_1)), \\ \alpha_5 & \text{ is a generator of } H_2(\pi^{-1}(D_4)), \\ \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 & \text{ are supported over } \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3. \end{aligned}$$

We shall see that the intersection form for this basis is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -4 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$$

To describe the α_i more explicitly we draw the special fibers and $\pi^{-1}(D_i)$ in the projective completion \bar{V}_t of V_t :



Each line in the diagram has self-intersection number -1 . We first describe the classes $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$.

For α_2 , choose an arc γ in the line L_0 at infinity from the point

$$L_0 \cap L_2 = [1 : 1]$$


to the point

$$L_0 \cap L_3 = \left[\frac{\sqrt{t+2} + \sqrt{t-2}}{2} : 1 \right].$$

We can form the connected sum of L_2 and $-L_3$ by connecting them by a tube along this arc (the tube is $s^{-1}(\gamma)$ where $s: \partial NL_0 \rightarrow L_0$ is the projection of the boundary of a tubular neighborhood of L_0). This connected sum $L_2\# -L_3$ lies in V_t and represents the class α_2 .

We construct α_3 and α_4 similarly as $L_4\# -L_5$ and $L_5\# -L_7$. We shall see later that the choices of the tubes at infinity to form these connected sums does not affect the homology classes.

The classes α_1 and α_5 are constructed similarly as $L_1\# -L_2$ and $L_7\# -L_8$, but it is not so clear how the tubes are chosen. To clarify this blow up the point $L_0 \cap L_1$;



then $\pi^{-1}(D_1)$ can be seen as the union of a portion N_E in a neighborhood of the exceptional curve E and portions N_1 and N_2 in neighborhoods of L_1 , L_2 . N_E is a $(D^2 - \{0\})$ -bundle over $E - (E \cap L_0)$, hence homotopy equivalent to a circle. Adding N_1 and N_2 to this just adds 2-handles along parallel copies of the circle, so $\pi^{-1}(D_1) \simeq S^2$, as claimed earlier. The S^2 can be seen as $L_1\# -L_2$ with the connected sum formed along a tube over an arc in E . Its homology class α_1 thus has self-intersection number

$$\alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_1 = L_1 \cdot L_1 + L_2 \cdot L_2 = -2 + (-2) = -4$$

(since blowing up on L_1 and L_2 has decreased their self-intersection numbers by 1). The next paragraph gives an alternative argument.

We can see mutual intersection numbers of all our elements as follows. In the projective cubic \bar{V}_t an element such as $\alpha_2 = L_2\# -L_3$ is homologous to the sum $L_2 + (-L_3)$, since the tube used to perform connected sum bounds a solid tube. Since the inclusion $V_t \rightarrow \bar{V}_t$ respects intersection number, we can simply compute intersection numbers in \bar{V}_t . Thus, for instance, α_2 clearly has self-intersection number

$$L_2 \cdot L_2 + L_3 \cdot L_3 = -2.$$

The claimed intersection matrix for the basis $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5$ is now easy to verify.

To see that the choices of the “tubes at infinity” do not affect the homology classes $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$, note that if we move the path in E_0 defining such a tube past an intersection point $E_0 \cap E_i$ then we change the homology class by the class of a torus $s^{-1}(\delta)$, where δ is a small loop in E_0 around this point. Such a torus is supported entirely in $\pi^{-1}(D_i)$ and has self-intersection number 0, so its homology class is zero.

One can verify that the ordered basis of section 1, corresponding to the vanishing cycles at

$$(2, -2, -2), \quad (-2, 2, -2), \quad (-2, -2, 2), \quad (2, 2, 2), \quad (0, 0, 0) ,$$

is

$$-(\alpha_4 + \alpha_5), \quad -\alpha_4, \quad \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \quad \alpha_2, \quad -\alpha_3 .$$

In terms of our lines these are:

$$L_8 - L_5, \quad L_7 - L_5, \quad L_1 - L_3, \quad L_2 - L_3, \quad L_5 - L_4.$$

Recall that we only determined the basis of section 1 up to an overall sign. If we replace each L_i by the other line in the same fiber of π , so $L_8 - L_5$ becomes $L_7 - L_6$ for example, each element of the basis is replaced by its negative.

The group Γ acts on the projective cubic \bar{V}_t by rational maps. It has a linear subgroup isomorphic to S_4 (this subgroup is generated by the group of sign changes and the elements $\alpha_*\gamma_*$ and β_* of section 2). This linear subgroup acts on \bar{V}_t by morphisms (biholomorphic maps) and therefore permutes the 27 lines. The whole of Γ is generated by this linear subgroup and the involution γ_* . This latter involution acts on \bar{V}_t by the rational map which blows up the intersection point of the lines $x = 0$ and $y = 0$ at infinity and blows down the line $z = 0$ at infinity. It is not hard to derive the action on homology also from this point of view.

5. THE FOUR-HOLED SPHERE

Suppose N is a four-holed sphere. Its fundamental group π is freely generated by three peripheral elements $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3$. The generator δ_4 of the fourth boundary component satisfies the relation

$$\delta_1\delta_2\delta_3\delta_4 = 1$$

and we consider the redundant geometric presentation

$$\langle X, Y, Z, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4 \mid \delta_1\delta_2\delta_3\delta_4 = 1, X = \delta_1\delta_2, Y = \delta_2\delta_3, Z = \delta_3\delta_1 \rangle.$$

Let $t = (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4$. The relative character variety results by fixing the trace

$$\text{tr } \rho(\delta_i) = t_i$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. In that case (see Goldman [6, 5], Benedetto-Goldman [4], which is based on Magnus [10]), the relative character variety is given by the cubic hypersurface in \mathbb{C}^3 defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + xyz = & (t_1t_2 + t_3t_4)x + (t_1t_4 + t_2t_3)y + (t_1t_3 + t_2t_4)z \\ & + (4 - t_1^2 - t_2^2 - t_3^2 - t_4^2 - t_1t_2t_3t_4). \end{aligned}$$

Using the change of coordinates that reverses the signs of x, y and z puts this in the form:

$$\kappa_{P,Q,R}(x, y, z) = S$$

where

$$\kappa_{P,Q,R}(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - Px - Qy - Rz - 2$$

as in the Introduction, and $P = -(t_1 t_2 + t_3 t_4)$, $Q = -(t_1 t_4 + t_2 t_3)$, $R = -(t_1 t_3 + t_2 t_4)$, and $S = (2 - t_1^2 - t_2^2 - t_3^2 - t_4^2 - t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4)$.

We first discuss the the group $\text{Aut}(\kappa_{P,Q,R})$ of polynomial automorphisms of the polynomial $\kappa_{P,Q,R}$.

Any affine automorphism $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3)$ of \mathbb{C}^3 that preserves $\kappa_{P,Q,R}$ extends to $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{C})$ and must therefore preserve the intersection of the closure \bar{V}_t of the fiber $V_t = \kappa_{P,Q,R}^{-1}(t)$ of $\kappa_{P,Q,R}$ with the plane at infinity. This intersection consists of the three coordinate lines. It follows that ϕ must permute the coordinates x, y, z up to multiplication by scalars. Inspecting $\kappa_{P,Q,R}$ we see that the only non-trivial multiplication by scalars that can occur is multiplying two coordinates by -1 if the corresponding two of P, Q, R are zero. We thus see:

Lemma 5.1. *The group $L_{P,Q,R} = \text{Aut}(\kappa_{P,Q,R}) \cap \text{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ is generated by permutation and sign-change automorphisms and is one of*

$$\{1\}, \quad C_2, \quad C_2 \times C_2, \quad S_3, \quad S_4,$$

according as no two of P, Q, R are equal; just two of them are equal but nonzero; just two of them are equal to zero; all three equal and nonzero; all three zero. \square

Direct substitution shows that the following three involutions are in $\text{Aut}(\kappa_{P,Q,R})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 &: (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, xy - z + R) \\ \tau_2 &: (x, y, z) \mapsto (yz - x + P, y, z) \\ \tau_3 &: (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, xz - y + Q, z). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.2. *$\text{Aut}(\kappa_{P,Q,R})$ is generated by the above three involutions and $L_{P,Q,R}$. The three involutions generate a normal subgroup which is the free product $C_2 * C_2 * C_2 = \langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \mid \tau_1^2 = \tau_2^2 = \tau_3^2 = 1 \rangle$ and*

$$\text{Aut}(\kappa_{P,Q,R}) = (C_2 * C_2 * C_2) \rtimes L_{P,Q,R}.$$

(We identify this $C_2 * C_2 * C_2$ with $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)}$ in Theorem 5.4.)

Proof. The proof follows Horowitz's proof [9] for the case $P = Q = R = 0$. The group generated by the τ_i is normalized by $L_{P,Q,R}$. Let $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3) \in \text{Aut}(\kappa_{P,Q,R})$ have degree > 1 . We claim there is a unique one of the three involutions τ_i for which $\tau_i \circ \phi$ has lower degree than ϕ . It then follows that there is a unique reduced word ω in the τ_i such that $\omega \circ \phi \in L_{P,Q,R}$. This implies the theorem.

To prove the claim, assume for convenience that $\deg \phi_1 \leq \deg \phi_2 \leq \deg \phi_3$ and $\deg \phi_3 > 1$. The highest order terms in the equation

$$\phi_1^2 + \phi_2^2 + \phi_3^2 - \phi_1 \phi_2 \phi_3 - P \phi_1 - Q \phi_2 - R \phi_3 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - Px - Qy - Rz$$

imply that $\deg \phi_3 = \deg \phi_1 + \deg \phi_2$ and that the highest order terms in $\phi_1 \phi_2 - \phi_3$ must cancel. It follows that $\tau_1 \circ \phi$ has lower degree than ϕ . Clearly, neither of the other τ_i has this property. \square

We now discuss the mapping class group $\pi_0 \text{Homeo}(N, \partial_1 N, \dots, \partial_4 N)$ which fixes the boundary components of the four-holed sphere N .

We can array the punctures of N in order around the equator of a 2-sphere and place our basepoint on the part of the equator joining the first two punctures. Then

the involution T_1 that reflects north-south across the equator takes the elements $X = \delta_1\delta_2$, $Y = \delta_2\delta_3$, and $Z = \delta_3\delta_1$ of $\pi_1 N$ to $\delta_1^{-1}\delta_2^{-1} = \delta_1^{-1}X^{-1}\delta_1$, $\delta_3^{-1}\delta_2^{-1} = Y^{-1}$, and $\delta_2\delta_4$. The action on traces is $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, xy - z + R)$. Similarly, by arraying the four punctures in the orders 1324 and 1243 around the equator we get two more involutions T_2, T_3 ; the actions on \mathbb{C}^3 of these three involutions are the three involutions τ_1, τ_2, τ_3 above.

It is not hard to see that the pairwise products T_3T_1 , T_1T_2 , and T_2T_3 of these involutions are the Dehn twists T_X, T_Y, T_Z , on the three separating simple closed curves that represent the elements X, Y, Z of $\pi_1 N$. It is well-known that any two of these Dehn twists freely generate $\pi_0 \text{Homeo}^+(N, \partial_1 N, \dots, \partial_4 N)$. It follows that T_1, T_2, T_3 generate $\pi_0 \text{Homeo}(N, \partial_1 N, \dots, \partial_4 N)$. Moreover, they generate it as a free product $C_2 * C_2 * C_2$ since their action on \mathbb{C}^3 is as this free product. Summarizing:

Theorem 5.3. *The mapping class group $\pi_0 \text{Homeo}(N, \partial_1 N, \dots, \partial_4 N)$ is the free product $C_2 * C_2 * C_2$ generated by the three involutions T_1, T_2, T_3 above, and it acts on the relative character varieties via the action of $C_2 * C_2 * C_2$ of the previous theorem. \square*

Note that our computation implies that the Dehn twists T_X and T_Y act on the relative character varieties by the following actions on \mathbb{C}^3

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_X : (x, y, z) &\mapsto (x, x^2y - xz + Rx - y + Q, xy - z + R) \\ \tau_Y : (x, y, z) &\mapsto (yz - x + P, y, y^2z - xy + Py - z + R). \end{aligned}$$

This agrees with the calculation in [6] (except for sign differences because we changed coordinates to reverse the signs of x, y, z). But it should not agree, since our Dehn twists are actually the inverses of the Dehn twists of [6]. The discrepancy is because automorphisms γ of $\pi_1 N$ act on characters χ by $(\gamma, \chi) \mapsto \gamma\chi := \chi \circ \gamma^{-1}$, but [6] uses $(\gamma, \chi) \mapsto \chi \circ \gamma$, which gives a right-action.

Theorem 5.4. *There are natural geometric isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0 \text{Homeo}(N, \partial_1 N, \partial N - \partial_1 N) &\cong \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \pi_0 \text{Homeo}(N, \partial_1 N, \dots, \partial_4 N) &\cong \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)} \\ \pi_0 \text{Homeo}(N, \partial_N) &\cong \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)} \rtimes S_4 \\ &\cong \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \Sigma \cong \Gamma \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is mostly well-known, so we describe it briefly. $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ acts on $T^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ by linear maps, giving an isomorphism of $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ with the mapping class group of T^2 . The central element $-I \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ acts as an involution fixing the four half-integer points

$$(0, 0), \quad \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right), \quad \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

so the quotient $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I\}$ acts on the orbit space, which is S^2 , and permutes the four branch points. This action fixes the first branch point and permutes the other three via the quotient $\text{PGL}(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2)$ (isomorphic to S_3). Thus the kernel

$$\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)} = \text{Ker}(\text{PGL}(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \rightarrow \text{PGL}(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2))$$

acts on the 2-sphere fixing all four branch-points. By replacing each branch point by the circle of tangent directions at the point, we get actions of $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)}$ on the four-holed sphere N . Since a mapping class of the four-holed

sphere gives a mapping class of the four-pointed sphere, which then lifts, up to C_2 ambiguity, to a mapping class on the torus and thence to an action on its homology, this gives the first two isomorphisms of the theorem.

Finally, the mapping class group $\pi_0 \text{Homeo}(N, \partial N)$ acts on the set of boundary components, giving a homomorphism to S_4 , determining it as an S_4 extension of $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)} = \pi_0 \text{Homeo}(N, \partial_1 N, \dots, \partial_4 N)$:

$$1 \rightarrow \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)} \rightarrow \pi_0 \text{Homeo}(N, \partial N) \rightarrow S_4 \rightarrow 1$$

This is a split extension, since we can map S_4 to $\pi_0 \text{Homeo}(N, \partial N)$ as follows: Construct N from the boundary of a regular tetrahedron by removing neighborhoods of the vertices; the tetrahedral group S_4 then acts by rigid motions on N . The Klein four-group $\Sigma \subset S_4 \subset \pi_0 \text{Homeo}(N, \partial N)$ is the kernel of the action of $\pi_0 \text{Homeo}(N, \partial N)$ on homology of the torus T_2 discussed above: each element of the Klein four-group comes from a translation of $T^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ by an element of the half-integer lattice, and these act trivially on homology. Summarizing, we see that $\pi_0 \text{Homeo}(N, \partial N)$ fits in place of Γ in a diagram identical to diagram (1) of the Introduction. In fact, both diagrams represent the group in the middle as the pullback for the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & S_4 & \\ & \downarrow & \\ \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & S_3 \end{array}$$

so they are isomorphic, and $\pi_0 \text{Homeo}(N, \partial N) \cong \Gamma$. \square

By explicitly lifting the above generating involutions T_1, T_2, T_3 to the torus and computing action on homology one finds that they correspond under this isomorphism to the elements

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively. The three Dehn twists $T_X = T_3 T_1$, $T_Y = T_1 T_2$, $T_Z = T_2 T_3$, therefore correspond to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 2 & -3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now return to the topology of the fibers of the polynomials $\kappa_{P,Q,R}$.

Theorem 5.5. *Each fiber V_t of $\kappa_{P,Q,R}$ has at most isolated singularities. It has reduced homology only in dimension 2, and $H_2(V_t) = H_2(V)/I(V_t)$, where V is a typical non-singular fiber and $I(V_t)$ is the “subgroup of vanishing cycles” for the singularities of V_t , which is non-trivial if and only if V_t is singular. Moreover, $H_2(V) \cong \mathbb{Z}^5$, and it is the direct sum of the groups of vanishing cycles $I(V_t)$, summed over the singular fibers of $\kappa_{P,Q,R}$ (in particular, there are at most five singular fibers).*

Proof. Since the gradient $d\kappa_{P,Q,R}$ differs from the gradient $d\kappa$ by the constant vector (P, Q, R) , Lemma 1.1 applies to $\kappa_{P,Q,R}$, so $\kappa_{P,Q,R}$ is tame in Broughton’s sense. Broughton [1] (see also [12]) proves that tameness implies the statements of the theorem, except, of course, for the fact that $H_2(V) \cong \mathbb{Z}^5$. We will see this below, where we show that each non-singular fiber V of $\kappa_{P,Q,R}$ has the same topology as the non-singular fibers of κ . \square

That $\kappa_{P,Q,R}$ has at most five singular fibers is also easy to see by direct computation, and moreover, that for generic P, Q, R it has exactly five singular fibers, each with a single quadratic singularity. However, more complex singularities can occur. Benedetto and Goldman discuss this topology in [4], and describe, in particular, under what conditions a relative character variety for N can be a singular fiber of the relevant cubic $\kappa_{P,Q,R}$.

In any case, we restrict now to a nonsingular fiber $V = \kappa_{P,Q,R}^{-1}(t)$ of some $\kappa_{P,Q,R}$. Denote by \bar{V} the closure of V in $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{C})$. As discussed in sections 3 and 4 for the case $P = Q = R = 0$, \bar{V} is a nonsingular projective cubic which intersects the projective plane at infinity in the three lines $x = 0$, $y = 0$, $z = 0$, and these are three of the 27 lines on the cubic surface \bar{V} , the others arising through singular fibers of the projection of V to the three coordinate axes. The computations are essentially the same as the case $P = Q = R = 0$. Thus the topology of the nonsingular cubic V does not change as the parameters P, Q, R, t that define it change. The action of the automorphism group of this cubic on homology, which is always a subgroup of the group Γ , will therefore also not change, so it is as described in the Introduction.

REFERENCES

- [1] Broughton, S. A., Milnor number and the topology of polynomial hypersurfaces, *Inv. Math.* **92** (1988), 217–241. [4](#), [14](#)
- [2] Cappell, S., Lee, R., Miller, E.Y., The Torelli Group Action on Representation Spaces, *Cont. Math.* **258** (2000), 47–70
- [3] ———, The action of the Torelli group on the homology of representation spaces is nontrivial, *Topology* **39** (2000), 851–871.
- [4] Benedetto, R. and Goldman, W., The topology of the relative character variety of the quadruply-punctured sphere, *Experimental Math.* **8** (1999), 85 - 103. [11](#), [15](#)
- [5] Fricke, R., Über die Theorie der automorphen Modulgruppen, *Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen* (1896), 91–101. [2](#), [11](#)
- [6] Goldman, W.M., Ergodic Theory on Moduli Spaces, *Ann. Math.* 146 (1997), 1-33 [11](#), [13](#)
- [7] ——— Action of the modular group on real $SL(2)$ -characters of a one-holed torus, *Topology and Geometry* (to appear). [2](#)
- [8] ———, An exposition of results of Fricke, (in preparation)
- [9] Horowitz, R.D. Induced automorphisms on Fricke characters of free groups, *Trans. A.M.S.* **208** (1975), 41–50 [2](#), [12](#)
- [10] Magnus, W., *Rings of Fricke characters and automorphism groups of free groups*, *Math. Zeit.* **170** (1980), 91–103. [11](#)
- [11] Neumann, W.D., A calculus for plumbing applied to the topology of complex surface singularities and degenerating complex curves, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **268** (1981), 299–343. [8](#)
- [12] ——— and Norbury, P., Unfolding singularities at infinity, *Math. Annalen* **318** (2000), 149–180. [4](#), [14](#)
- [13] Nielsen, J., Die Isomorphismen der allgemeinen unendlichen Gruppe mit zwei Erzeugenden, *Math. Ann.* **71** (1918), 385–397. [2](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742
E-mail address: wmg@math.umd.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BARNARD COLLEGE, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, NEW YORK, NY 10027
E-mail address: neumann@math.columbia.edu