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METHOD OF AVERAGING FOR TWO-DIMENSIONAL "INTEGRABLE" EQUATIONS
I. M. Krichever

UDC 517.93

The Whitham method of averaging (or, as it is also called, the nonlinear WKB method) is a generalization to the case of partial differential equations of the classical BogolyubovKrylov method of averaging. This method is applicable to nonlinear equations which have a set of exact solutions of the form $u(U x+W t+\zeta \mid I)$. Here $u\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{g} \mid I\right)$ is a function with unit periods with respect to the $z_{i} ; U=\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{g}\right), W=\left(W_{1}, \ldots, W_{g}\right)$ are vectors which, like $u$ itself, depend on the parameters $I=\left(I_{1}, \ldots, I_{N}\right), U=U(I), W=W(I)$. These solutions serve as a basis for the construction of asymptotic solutions, whose leading terms have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(\varepsilon^{-1} S(X, T)+\zeta(X, T) \mid I(X, T)\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $I_{k}$ depend on the "slow" variables $X=\varepsilon X$ and $T=\varepsilon t$, where $\varepsilon$ is a small parameter, and the vector-valued function $S(X, T)$ is defined by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{X} S=U(I(X, T))=U(X, T) ; \quad \partial_{T} S=W(I(X, T))=W(X, T) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations which describe the "slow" modulation of the parameters $I_{k}(X, T)$ are called Whitham equations. They can be obtained by requiring that the following terms of the asymptotic series have a uniform bound of lower order than that of the leading term. (For details, see [1, 2], where a larger bibliography concerning this problem can be found.)

If the parameters $I_{k}$ are integrals of the original equations with local densities, i.e., $I_{k}=\int P_{k}\left(u, u^{\prime}, \ldots\right) d x, \partial_{t} P_{\mathrm{k}}=\partial_{\mathrm{k}} Q_{\mathrm{k}}$, where $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime}, \ldots\right)$ are differential polynomials in $u$, then it is possible to obtain a closed system of equations for the $I_{k}$ (see [3]) by averaging the last equality with respect to the "fast" variables $x$ and $t$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{T} I_{k}=\partial_{X} J_{k}, \quad J_{k}=\int Q_{k}\left(u, u^{\prime}, \ldots\right) d x . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We must note that Eq. (3) is very often postulated as a first principle without further analysis, and without a precise statement of the connection of the averaged system with the problem of constructing the solutions of the original equation.

The Hamiltonian theory of the averaged equations (3) has been constructed in [4], where a classification of nonsingular general Hamiltonian systems of "hydrodynamic" type was also obtained: $\partial_{T} I_{k}=v_{k}^{i} \partial I_{i}$ [here $v_{k}^{i}(I)$ depends on $I$ and does not depend on the derivatives]. These results served as a starting point for [5], in which a scheme is proposed for the construction of solutions in general position for "diagonalizable" Hamiltonian systems of hydrodynamic type, i.e., systems for which there exist Riemann invariants - variables $r_{i}(I)$ in terms of which the matrix $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{i}}$ becomes diagonal.

The presence of single-phase ( $\mathrm{g}=1$ ) periodic solutions is characteristic of many nonlinear equations, and the existence of multiphase periodic solutions is the exception. Those equations to which we apply the inverse-problem method constitute the largest class of such equations. In particular, these are equations which admit a Lax representation $\dot{L}=[A, L]$, where $L$ and $A$ are differential operators in $x$ whose coefficients depend on $x$ and $t$. The Korteweg-de Vries equation, the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, and the sine-Gordon equation are among them. Using the methods of algebraic geometry, S. P. Novikov, B. A. Dubrovin, V. B. Matveev, and A. R. Its have constructed multiphase periodic solutions, called finitezone solutions, of these and a number of other evolution equations with one spatial dimension. These results are summarized in [6, 7]. Some later portions of them were obtained in [8, 9].

[^0]The main purpose of the present article is the generalization of the Whitham method to the case of "integrable" equations with two spatial dimensions, for which an analog of the Lax representation has been proposed in [10] in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\partial_{y}-L, \partial_{t}-A\right]=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ and $A$ are the differential operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\sum_{i=0}^{n} u_{i}(x, y, t) \partial_{x}^{i}, \quad A=\sum_{j=0}^{m} v_{j}(x, y, t) \partial_{x}^{j} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with scalar or $\ell \times \ell$ matrix coefficients. In what follows we assume that the leading coefficients of $L$ and $A$ are constant diagonal matrices $u_{n}^{\alpha \beta}=u_{n}^{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha \beta}, v_{m}^{\alpha \beta}=v_{m}^{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha \beta}$ with distinct elements on the diagonal. In this case, using a diagonal matrix $g(x)$, it is possible, by means of the adjoints $L^{\prime}=\mathrm{gLg}^{-1}$ and $A^{\prime}=\mathrm{gAg}^{-1}$, to achieve the result that $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}-1}^{\alpha \alpha}=0$.

A general scheme for constructing finite-zone solutions of such equations was proposed in [11] (see also [12]; further steps in the development of the theory of finite-zone integration are described in the summaries [13-17]). These solutions are given explicitly in terms of the Riemann theta-function. Diagonal matrices $a=a(I), b=b(I), c=c(I)$, and $\Phi$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=g \hat{L} g^{-1}, \quad A=g \hat{A} g^{-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

are found for the respective operators $L$ and $A$, where $g=\exp (a x+b y+c t+\Phi)$, and the coefficients $\hat{u}_{i}$ and $\hat{v}_{j}$ of the operators $\hat{L}$ and $\hat{A}$ have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{u}_{i}=\hat{u}_{i}(U x+V y+W t+\zeta \mid I), \quad \hat{v}_{j}=\hat{v}_{j}(U x+V y+W t+\zeta \mid I) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\hat{u}_{i}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{2 g} \mid I\right)$ and $\hat{\delta}_{j}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{2 g} \mid I\right)$ are functions with unit periods in the $z_{\ell}$ which depend analytically on the parameters $I=\left(I_{1}, \ldots, I_{N}\right)$. The vectors $U=U(I), V=V(I)$, and $W=W(I)$ are real, and like $a, b$, and $c$, depend on $I$. The matrix $\Phi$ and the real vector $\zeta$ in (7) and (8) are arbitrary.

The proposed method for constructing the Whitham equations is based only on the internal self-consistency of the choice of the leading term of the asymptotic series in such a way that for the respective operators we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}=G \hat{\mathrm{~L}}_{0} G^{-1}, \quad A_{0}=G \hat{A}_{0} G^{-1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G=\exp \left(\varepsilon^{-1} S_{0}(X, Y, T)+\Phi(X, Y, T)\right)$, and the coefficients of $\hat{L}_{0}$ and $\hat{A}_{0}$ have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{u}_{i}\left(\varepsilon^{-1} S(X, Y, T)+\zeta(X, Y, T) \mid I(X, Y, T)\right),  \tag{9}\\
& \hat{v}_{j}\left(\varepsilon^{-1} S(X, Y, T)+\zeta(X, Y, T) \mid I(X, Y, T)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The vector-valued function $S(X, Y, T)$ and the diagonal matrix $S_{0}(X, Y, T)$ must satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{X} S & =U(X, Y, T), \quad \partial_{Y} S=V(X, Y, T), \quad \partial_{T} S=W(X, Y, T)  \tag{10}\\
\partial_{X} S_{0} & =a(X, Y, T), \quad \partial_{Y} S_{0}=b(X, Y, T), \quad \partial_{T} S_{0}=c(X, Y, T),
\end{align*}
$$

which are analogous to (2). Here $Y=\varepsilon y$ (just as for $X$ and $T$ ) is a "slow" variable.
The Whitham equations obtained in Sec. 2 are necessary conditions for the existence of an asymptotic solution of Eqs. (4) with leading term of the form (8), (9), such that the remaining terms of the asymptotic series admit a uniform bound of lower degree than that of the leading term. In Sec. 1 we present material which is necessary for the subsequent development concerning the construction of finite-zone solutions of equations which admit the commutator representation (4).

In Sec. 3 we propose a scheme for constructing solutions of the Whitham equations for the case of two spatial dimensions. In the special case of equations with one spatial dimension, it gives a more effective formulation of the construction in [5]. In addition, this section contains solutions obtained in [18] which describe shock waves in the Korteweg de Vries equation.

We must note explicitly here that in the simplest case of "zero-zone" solutions, the proposed construction allows us to obtain solutions of the Whitham equations which in this particular case are none other than the quasiclassical limit of the original equations. For systems with one spatial dimension, our construction becomes a scheme for constructing solutions of quasiclassical limit equations of Lax type, which were proposed in a number of ex-
amples by V. A. Geogdzhaev, which, in turn, were developments of the results of V. E. Zakharov, who proved the integrability of these equations for the first time.

As an example, we construct solutions of the well-known Khokhlov-Zabolotskii equation in the nonlinear theory of sound beams,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3}{4} \sigma^{2} u_{y y}+\left(u_{t}-\frac{3}{2} u u_{x}\right)_{x}=0 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (11) is the Whitham equation (38) for "zero-zone" solutions of the KadomtsevPetviashvili equation. According to the construction in Sec. 3, it is possible to obtain its solution by giving an arbitrary contour $\mathscr{L}$ in the complex $k$-plane and a differential $\mathrm{dh}(\tau)$ on it.

We define the function $\mathscr{F}$ by

$$
\mathscr{F}\left(k, k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=\oint_{\mathscr{\sim}} \frac{d h(\tau)}{k-\xi(\tau)}, \quad \tau \approx \mathscr{L}
$$

where $\xi(\tau)$ is determined by means of the equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\xi^{4}+2 u \xi^{2}+\frac{4}{3} u \xi-\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)^{4}-2 u\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{4}{3} w\left(k_{1}+k_{:}\right)=\tau^{4} \\
u=k_{1} k_{2}-\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)^{2} \\
w=3 k_{1} k_{2}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are arbitrary parameters. As a consequence of Theorem 2, if these parameters are determined by the system of equations

$$
\widetilde{F}\left(k_{j}, k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=x+2 \frac{i}{\sigma} k_{j} y+\left(3 k_{j}^{2}+\frac{3}{2} u\right) t, \quad j=1,2,
$$

which determines $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ implicitly as functions of $x, y$, and $t$, then the function

$$
u(x, y, t)=k_{1} k_{2}-\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)^{2}
$$

will satisfy (11).
A detailed discussion of the construction of solutions of other equations which are quasiclassical limits of integrable equations in two spatial dimensions and an anlysis of the physical applications of these solutions will be the subjects of a separate article.

If the periodic problem for the original equation is integrable, then the Whitham equations obtained in this article are sufficient for the construction of the entire asymptotic series. The integrability of this problem for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili-2 equation was proved in a recent article by the present author; this allows us to prove that, in the case of this equation, the Whitham equations are not only necessary but also sufficient. Unfortunately, the limitations of a single article do not permit a complete exposition of this question. A separate article will be devoted to it.

## 1. NECESSARY MATERIAL FROM THE THEORY OF FINITE-ZONE INTEGRATION

The initial object in the construction of the finite-zone solutions of Eqs. (4) is a nonsingular algebraic curve $\Gamma$ of genus $g$ with distinguished points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{l}$, in a neighborhood of which are fixed the local parameters $k_{\alpha}^{-1}(P), k_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)=0, \alpha=1, \ldots, l$. In addition, we fix a set of polynomials $Q_{\alpha}(k)$ of degree $n, R_{\alpha}(k)$ of degree $m$, and $\sigma_{i \alpha}(k)$ of arbitrary degree, $i=1, \ldots, 2 g$.

For any set of points $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{q+l-1}$ in general position there exists a unique function $\psi_{\alpha}(x, y, t, \zeta, P), P \in \Gamma, \zeta=\left(\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{2 g}\right)$, which:
$1^{\circ}$ ) is meromorphic outside of the points $P_{\beta}$ and has poles at the points $\gamma_{j}$;
$2^{\circ}$ ) is representable in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\alpha}=\exp \left(k_{\beta} x+Q_{\beta}\left(k_{\beta}\right) y+R_{\beta}\left(k_{\beta}\right) t+\sum_{i} \sigma_{i \beta}\left(k_{\beta}\right) \zeta_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \xi_{s}(x, y, t, \zeta) k_{\beta}^{-s}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

 (Functions of a similar type are called Baker-Akhiezer functions.)
We denote the column vector with components $\psi_{\alpha}, \alpha=1, \ldots, \ell$ by $\psi(x, y, t, \zeta, P)$. As is shown in [12], there exist unique operators $L$ and $A$ of the form (5) with $\ell \times \ell$ matrix coef-
ficients (which depend on the $\zeta_{i}$ as well as on the parameters) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{y}-L\right) \psi(x, y, t, \zeta, P)=0, \quad\left(\partial_{t}-A\right) \psi(x, y, t, \zeta, P)=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (13) is satisfied identically in $P$, the operators $L$ and $A$ satisfy (4) for all. $\zeta$.
Let the function $\psi_{0}(\zeta, P)$ be determined by the equality $\psi_{0}=\sum_{\alpha} \exp \left(\Phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}-\Phi_{\alpha}\right) \psi_{\alpha}(0,0,0$, $\zeta, \mathrm{P})$. Then the functions $\widetilde{\psi}_{\alpha}(x, y, t, P)=\psi_{\alpha}(x, y, t, \zeta, P) \psi_{0}^{-1}(\zeta, P)$ are the Baker-Akhiezer functions corresponding to the values of the parameters $\Phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ and $\zeta_{i}=0$ and to the set of poles $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{g+l-1}$, which coincide with the zeros of $\psi_{0}$. Since the vector-valued function $\tilde{\psi}$ with components $\tilde{\psi}_{\alpha}$ satisfies the same equalities (13) as $\psi$, it follows that the variation of the parameters $\zeta$ and $\Phi$ is equivalent to the variation of the set of poles $\gamma_{S}$. Ordinarily the $\gamma_{S}$ are chosen as the independent parameters which determine the finite-zone operators $L$ and A, putting $\Phi_{\alpha}=0$ and $\zeta_{i}=0$ (see [12]). If we fix any set $\gamma_{1}^{0}, \ldots, \gamma_{g+l-1}^{0}$ on $\Gamma$, then it is possible as independent parameters to take $\Phi_{1}, \ldots, \Phi_{\ell-1}$ (in what follows, we will always suppose that $\Phi_{\ell}=0$ ) and $\zeta_{i}$, which are real. We will confine ourselves to this parametrization.

The operator equation (4) is a system of nonlinear equations for the coefficients $u_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ of the operators $L$ and $A$. It turns out that if $n \leqslant m$, then this system reduces to a pencil of systems only for the coefficients of $A$, parametrized by the constants $h_{\alpha i}, i=0, \ldots, n$; $\alpha=1, \ldots, \ell$ (see the details in [12]). In order to express the coefficients of $L$ in terms of the $v j$, it suffices to use the fact, which follows from (4), that the operator [L, A] must have degree $m-1$, and the diagonal elements of the leading coefficient must be equal to zero. If we equate the coefficients of [L, A] to zero for $\partial_{x}^{m-1+i}$, $i=n, n-1, \ldots, 1$ in turn, we find $\partial_{x} u_{i}^{\alpha \alpha}$ and $u_{i-1}^{\alpha \beta}, \alpha \neq \beta$ (the $h_{\alpha i}$ are constants of integration). As is shown in [12], the matrix elements $u_{i}$ are differential polynomials in $v_{j}^{\alpha \beta}$ and $h_{\alpha i_{1}}, j \leqslant i, i_{1} \leqslant i$.

If we put $R_{\alpha}=v_{m}^{\alpha} k^{m}$ and $Q_{\alpha}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} h_{\alpha i} k^{i}$, then the above construction gives solutions of the reduced system corresponding to the set of constants $h_{\alpha i}$. Thus the polynomials $Q_{\alpha}$ parametrize the nonlinear equations, and, in general, the remaining parameters parametrize the solutions of the corresponding equation.

In what follows, we will describe a choice of the polynomials $\sigma_{i \alpha}$ such that under changes of the local parameter $k^{\prime}=k^{\prime}(k)$, the corresponding polynomials satisfy the condition $\sigma_{i \alpha}\left(k^{\prime}\right)$ $-\sigma_{i \alpha}(k)=O\left(k^{-1}\right)$. In this case, it follows from the definition of the Baker-Akhiezer function that, under changes of the local parameter such that $\mathrm{k}_{\alpha}^{\prime}=k_{\alpha}+O\left(k_{\alpha}^{-m}\right)$, two local parameters related to each other in the above way will be called equivalent, and the set of equivalence classes, called m-germs of local parameters, will be denoted by $\left[\mathrm{k}_{\alpha}^{-1}\right]_{\mathrm{m}}$.

Thus the manifold of solutions corresponding to curves of genus $g$ is parametrized by the data

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Gamma, P_{1},\left[k_{1}^{-1}\right]_{m}, \ldots, P_{l},\left[k_{i}^{-1}\right]_{m}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the quantities $\Phi_{1}, \ldots, \Phi_{l-1}$, and $\zeta_{i}$.
The complex dimension of the space of moduli of curves of genus $g$ is equal to $3 \mathrm{~g}-3$. Therefore the dimension of the manifold of data (14), which we will henceforth denote by $M_{g}$, is equal to $N=3 g-3+\ell(m+2)$. It is possible to introduce a complex-analytic structure on $M_{g}$. Let $I=\left(I_{1}, \ldots, I_{N}\right)$ be an arbitrary local system of coordinates on $M_{g}$. The dependence of all quantities on $I_{k}$ in subsequent expressions is complex-analytic.

In order to establish the statement made in the introduction concerning the form of the coefficients of $L$ and $A$, it suffices to reduce the expression for the Baker-Akhiezer function in terms of the Riemann theta-function to a form which is slightly different from the standard one [12].

On $\Gamma$, we fix a canonical basis of cycles $a_{i}, b_{j}$ with intersection matrices $a_{i} \circ a_{j}=b_{i} \circ b_{j}=$ $0, a_{i} \circ b_{j}=\delta_{i j}$. We define in the standard way (see [12] or [20]) a basis for the normalized holomorphic differentials $\omega_{k}$, the vectors $B_{k}=\left(B_{k i}\right)$ of their $b$-periods and the corresponding Riemann theta-function - an entire function $g$ of the complex variables which is transformed under shifts of the arguments by the unit basis vectors $e_{k}$ in $C^{g}$ and by the vectors $B_{k}$ in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(\tau+e_{k}\right)=\theta(\tau), \quad \theta\left(\tau+B_{k}\right)=e^{-\tau i B_{k i}-2 \pi i \tau_{k}} \theta(\tau) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $q$ be an arbitrary point of $\Gamma$. A correspondence under which the vector $A(P)$ with coordinates $A_{k}=\int_{q}^{P} \omega_{k}$ is associated with a point $P$ is called an Abel transformation. For any set $g$ of points $\tilde{\gamma}_{S}$ in general position the function $\theta(A(P)+Z)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=-A\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{1}\right)-\ldots-A\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{g}\right)+K \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $K$ is the vector of Riemann constants), has precisely $g$ zeros, which coincide with the $\tilde{\gamma}_{S}$.
Let $\gamma_{1}^{0}$, ..., $\gamma_{g+l-1}^{0}$ be some fixed set of points on $\Gamma$. According to the Riemann-Roch theorem there exists a unique function $h_{\alpha}$ which has poles at the points $\gamma_{S}^{0}$ and satisfies the normalization condition $h_{\alpha}\left(P_{\beta}\right)=\delta_{\alpha \beta}$.

We define the function $\varphi_{\alpha}(z, P), z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{2 g}\right)$ by means of the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\alpha}=h_{\alpha}(P) \exp \left(2 \pi i \sum_{k=1}^{g}\left(A_{\mathfrak{k}}(P)-A_{k}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)\right) z_{k+g}\right) \frac{\theta\left(A(P)+Z_{\alpha}+\sum_{k=1}^{g}\left(z_{k} l_{k}+z_{k+g} B_{k}\right)\right) \theta\left(A\left(P_{\alpha}\right)+z_{\alpha}\right)}{\theta\left(A(P)+Z_{\alpha)}\right) \theta\left(A\left(P_{\alpha}\right)+Z_{\alpha}+\sum_{k=1}^{g}\left(z_{k} l_{k}+z_{k+g} B_{k}\right)\right)}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\alpha}=K+\sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} A\left(P_{\beta}\right)-Z_{0}, \quad Z_{0}=\sum_{s} A\left(\gamma_{s}^{0}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (16) it follows that $\varphi \alpha$ has unit periods in all of the variables $z_{i}$.
We define the differentials $d p, d E$, and $d \Omega$ as meromorphic differentials on $\Gamma$ with singularities at the $P_{\alpha}$ of the form $d k_{\alpha}, d Q_{\alpha}\left(k_{\alpha}\right)$, and $d R_{\alpha}\left(k_{\alpha}\right)$, respectively, uniquely normalized by the requirement that their periods in every cycle are imaginary. Let $U$ be the real vector with coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{k}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\alpha_{k}} d p, \quad U_{k+g}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{b_{k}} d p, \quad k=1, \ldots, g . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $2 g$-dimensional vectors $V$ and $W$ are defined analogously with respect to $d E$ and $d \Omega$.
Cutting $\Gamma$ along the cycles $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$, we can choose a unique branch of the integrals $p(P), E(P)$, and $\Omega(P)$ of the corresponding differentials. In a neighborhood of $P_{\alpha}$ they have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=k_{\alpha}-a_{\alpha}+O\left(k_{\alpha}^{-1}\right), \quad E=Q_{\alpha}\left(k_{\alpha}\right)-b_{\alpha}+O\left(k_{\alpha}^{-1}\right), \quad \Omega=R_{\alpha}\left(k_{\alpha}\right)-c_{\alpha}+O\left(k_{\alpha}^{-1}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is possible to define $p, E$, and $\Omega$ uniquely if we require that $a_{j}=b_{j}=c_{l}=0$.
We let $d \sigma_{j}$ and $d \sigma_{j}+g$ denote arbitrary differentials with singularities at the $P_{\alpha}$ and which have identical nonzero periods $\pm 2 \pi i, j=1, \ldots, g$, in the cycles $a_{j}$ and $b_{j}$, respectively. Their primitives will be denoted by $\sigma_{j}(P), j=1, \ldots, 2 g$.

LEMMA 1. The Baker-Akhiezer vector-valued function having poles in the separate set $\gamma_{S}^{0}$ is representable in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=e^{a x+b y+c t+\Phi_{\varphi}}(U x+V y+W t+\zeta, P) e^{p x+E y+\Omega t+\sum_{i=1}^{2 g} \sigma_{i} \xi_{i}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi$ is a vector with coordinates determined by (17); $a, b, c$, and $\Phi$ are diagonal matrices with elements $a_{\alpha}, \mathrm{b}_{\alpha}, \mathrm{c}_{\alpha}$, and $\Phi_{\alpha}$ on the diagonals (by virtue of the assumptions we have made, $\left.a_{l}=b_{l}=c_{l}=\Phi_{l}=0\right) ; p=p(P), E=E(P), \Omega=\Omega(P)$, and $\sigma_{i}=\sigma_{i}(P)$.

The proof of the lemma consists in a direct verification of the fact that all of the coordinates of the vector on the right-hand side of (21) are correctly determined by the functions $P$ with the required analytic properties.

As follows from the proof of (13) (see [12]), the coefficients of the operators $\hat{L}$ and $\hat{A}$ related to $L$ and $A$ by (6) are differential polynomials in the matrices $\tilde{\xi}_{S}^{\alpha \beta}$, whose elements are coefficients in the expansion of $\varphi_{\alpha}$ in a neighborhood of $P_{\beta}$. Since $\varphi(z, P)$ is periodic in the $z_{j}$, the relations (7) are proved.

Following (21), we define the concept of the dual Baker-Akhiezer vector function. For any set $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{g+l-1}$ in general position, there exists an Abel differential $\hat{\omega}$ of the second kind with second-order poles at the $P_{\beta}$ which vanishes at all of the points $\gamma$ and which is
unique to within proportionality. The set of points $\gamma_{i}^{+}, \ldots, \gamma_{s+l-1}^{+}$consisting of the remaining zeros of $\hat{\omega}$ is called the dual. From this definition it follows that the vectors $Z$ and $Z^{+}$ which correspond to the divisors $\left\{\gamma_{S}\right\}$ and $\left\{\gamma_{S}^{+}\right\}$under an Abel transformation are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z+Z^{+}=\mathscr{K}+2 \sum_{\alpha} A\left(P_{\alpha}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dual Baker-Akhiezer vector function is defined to be the row vector with coordinates $\psi_{\alpha}^{+}(x, y, t, \zeta, P)$, which are meromorphic outside of the $P_{\beta}$ and have poles at $\gamma_{S}^{+}$; in a neighborhood of $P_{\beta}$ they are representable in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\alpha}^{+}=e^{-k_{\beta} x-Q_{\beta}\left(k_{\beta}\right) y-R_{\beta}\left(k_{\beta}\right) t-\sum_{i} \sigma_{i \beta}\left(k_{\beta}\right) \xi_{i}}\left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \xi_{s}^{+\alpha \beta \beta}(x, y, t, \zeta) k_{\beta}^{-s}\right), \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{0}^{+\alpha \beta}=e^{-\Phi_{\alpha \delta_{\alpha \beta}}}$.
The dual Baker-Akhiezer vector function has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{+}=e^{-p x-E y-\Omega t-\sum_{i=1}^{2 g} \sigma_{i} \xi_{i}} \varphi^{+}(-U x-V y-W t-\zeta, P) e^{-a x-b y-c t-\Phi}, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the components $\varphi_{\alpha}^{+}(z, P)$ are the row vectors of $\varphi^{+}(z, P)$ given by (17), in which the vectors $Z_{\alpha}$ must be replaced by $Z_{\alpha}^{+}=Z_{\alpha}+Z_{0}-Z_{0}^{+}$. In addition, it is necessary to replace $h_{\alpha}$ by $h_{\alpha}^{+}$, which has poles at the $\gamma_{S}^{0+}$ and is such that $h_{\alpha}^{+}\left(P_{\beta}\right)=\delta_{\alpha \beta}$.

In [21] it is shown that $\psi^{+}$satisfies the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{+}(x, y, t, \zeta, P)\left(\partial_{y}-L\right)=0, \quad \psi^{+}(x, y, t, \zeta, P)\left(\partial_{t}-A\right)=0, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operators $L$ and $A$ are the same as in (13).
In these expressions, as in what follows, the right action of any operator $D=\sum_{i=0}^{k} w_{i} \partial_{x}^{i}$ on
the row vector $\mathrm{f}^{+}$is equal to the action of the formal adjoint operator, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{+} D=\sum_{i=0}^{k}\left(-\partial_{x}\right)^{i}\left(f^{+} w_{i}\right) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In concluding this section, for any differential operator $D$ with respect to $x$ of order $k$ we give the definition of the operators $D(j), j=0, \ldots, k$, of order $k-j$ "associated" with it. They are uniquely defined by the requirement that, for any row vector $f_{1}^{+}$and column vector $f_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{1}^{+} D\right) f_{2}=\sum_{j=0}^{k} \partial_{x}^{j}\left(f_{i}^{+}\left(D^{(j)} f_{2}\right)\right) . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence it follows immediately that $\mathrm{D}^{(0)}=\mathrm{D}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{(1)}=-\sum_{i=1}^{k} i w_{i} \partial_{x}^{i-1}, \quad D^{(2)}=\sum_{i=2}^{k} \frac{i(i-1)}{2} w_{i} \partial_{x}^{i-2} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so forth. It is convenient to define $D^{(j)}$ be the equal to zero for $j>k$.

## 2. THE WHITHAM EQUATIONS

As stated above, we consider (4) (for $n \leqslant m$ ) as a system of nonlinear equations for the coefficients of $A$, parametrized by a set of constants. In addition, the coefficients of L can be expressed in terms of the coefficients of $A$ and the $h_{\text {di }}$, which we write in the convential form $\mathrm{L}=\mathscr{L}\left(A, h_{\alpha_{i}}\right)$. Once more we note that if we fix these expressions, then for any operator with the same leading coefficient as A, the operator $L^{\prime}=\mathscr{L}\left(A^{\prime}, h_{\alpha i,}\right)$ has the same property that $\left[L^{\prime}, A^{\prime}\right]$ is an operator of order $m-1$ with zero diagonal elements in the leading coefficient.

We consider the problem of the construction of asymptotic solutions in a more general setting than in the introduction. Let $K(A)$ be a differential operator of order $m-1$ with zero diagonal elements in the leading coefficient. Its coefficients are differential polynomials in the coefficients of the operator $A$. The only requirement that these polynomials must satisfy is that if $A$ has the form (6), (7), then $K(A)$ must also have this form (in the case of scalar operators, this condition is automatically satisfied).

We consider the problem of constructing asymptotic solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{A}=A_{0}+\varepsilon A_{1}+\ldots ; \tilde{L}=\mathscr{L}\left(\tilde{A}, h_{\alpha i}\right)=L_{0}+\varepsilon L_{1}+\ldots \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $A_{i}$ are differential operators of order $m-1$ with zero diagonal elements in the leading coefficients) for the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} L-\partial_{y} A+[L, A]-\varepsilon K(A)=0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

which for $k \neq 0$ are weak perturbations of the original equation (4).
We let $\Delta^{m-1}=\Delta^{m-1}(a, b, c, U, V, W)$ denote the space of differential (with respect to $x$ )
 coefficients of $\hat{D}$ are quasiperiodic functions with vector periods $U, V$, and $W$ in the corresponding variables, i.e., $\hat{w}_{i}=\hat{w}_{i}(U x+V y+W t)$, where $\hat{w}_{i}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{2} g\right)$ is a function with unit period in $z_{i}$. For any operator $D \subset \Delta^{m-1}$, we define the operator $D \sum^{2}$ to be $\exp \left(\varepsilon^{-1} S_{0}\right) \hat{D}^{S} \exp$ $\left(\varepsilon^{-1} S_{0}\right)$, where the coefficients of the operator $\hat{D}^{S}$ are $\hat{W}_{i}\left(\varepsilon^{-1} S(X, Y, T)\right.$ ). Here $S_{0}(X, Y, T)$ is a diagonal matrix, $S$ is a vector, and $\Sigma=\left(S_{0}, S\right)$. In this notation, the operators $L_{0}$ and $A_{0}$ given by (8) and (9) are $L_{0}=L^{\Sigma}$ and $A_{0}=A^{\Sigma}$.

Suppose that $S_{0}$ and $S$ satisfy the conditions (10). Then the operators $\tilde{L}$ and $\tilde{A}$, the principal parts of which are $L_{0}$ and $A_{0}$, satisfy ( 30 ) to within $O(\varepsilon)$. In order to write the equations which define $L_{1}$ and $A_{1}$ we must introduce the following definition.

Suppose that the quantities $I, \zeta$, and $\Phi$ which parametrize the finite-zone operators $L$ and $A$ depend on some parameter $\tau$. Then the operators $\hat{\partial}_{\tau} L$ and $\hat{\partial}_{\tau} A$ obtained by differentiating (6) and (7), in which it has been assumed formally that the vectors $U, V$, and $W$ and the matrices $a, b$, and $c$ are constants, are called the "truncated derivatives" of the operators $L(\tau)$ and $A(\tau)$ along $\tau$. From this definition it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\tau} A=\hat{\partial}_{\tau} A+\left[\partial_{\tau} a \cdot x+y \partial_{\tau} b+t \partial_{\tau} c, A\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{2 \dot{1}}\left(x \partial_{\tau} U+y \partial_{\tau} V+t \partial_{\tau} W\right) \frac{\partial A}{\partial \varsigma_{i}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the same equality holds for $\hat{\partial}_{r} L$ ).
If the parameters $L$ and $A$ depend on $X, Y$, and $T$, then we define $F=F(L, A)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
F=\hat{\partial}_{T} L-\hat{\partial}_{Y} A+\{L, A\}  \tag{32}\\
\{L, A\}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} u_{i} \sum_{k=0}^{i} k C_{i}^{k} \partial_{x}^{k-1}\left(\hat{\partial}_{X} v_{j}\right) \partial_{x}^{i+j-k}-\sum_{j=0}^{m} v_{j} \sum_{k=0}^{j} k C_{j}^{k} \partial_{x}^{k-1}\left(\hat{\partial}_{X} u_{i}\right) \partial_{x}^{i+j-k} \tag{33}
\end{gather*}
$$

We obtain the operator $\{L, A\}$ from [L, A] by replacing $\partial_{x}$ by $\partial_{x}+\varepsilon \hat{\partial}_{x}$ in all of the differential expressions in the coefficients of the latter and taking the terms of the first degree in $\varepsilon$. Hence it follows that $F$ has degree $m-1$ with zero diagonal elements in the leading coefficient. From the definition of truncated derivatives we have that $F \in \Delta_{0}^{m-1}$ (here and in what follows $\Delta_{0}^{m-1} \subset \Delta^{m-1}$ is the subspace of operators with the above leading coefficients).

Substituting (29) into (30) and setting the coefficient of equal to zero, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1 t}-A_{1 y}+\left[L_{0}, A_{1}\right]+\left[L_{1}, A_{0}\right]+F^{\Sigma}-K^{\Sigma}=O(\varepsilon) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $\psi$ and $\psi^{+}$are the Baker-Akhiezer functions corresponding to $L$ and A. Then the functions $\psi_{0}$ and $\psi_{0}^{+}$obtained by replacing $U x+V y+W t$ by $\varepsilon^{-1} S(X, Y, T)$, the diagonal matrix $a x+b y+c t$ by $\varepsilon^{-1} S_{0}(X, Y, T)$, and $\mathrm{px}+\mathrm{Ey}+\Omega \mathrm{t}$ by $\varepsilon^{-1} \sum_{i} \sigma_{i} S_{i}(X, Y, T)$ (the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are the components of $S$ ) in (21) and (24) satisfy (13) and (25), in which $L$ and $A$ have been replaced by $L_{0}$ and $A_{0}$, to within $O(\varepsilon)$.

Using the resulting equality and (34), we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t}\left(\psi_{0}^{+}\left(L_{1} \psi_{0}\right)\right)-\partial_{y}\left(\psi_{0}^{+}\left(A_{1} \psi_{0}\right)\right)+\sum_{j \geqslant 1} \partial_{x}^{j}\left(\psi_{0}^{+}\left(\left(L_{0}^{(j)} A_{1}-A_{0}^{(j)} L_{1}\right) \psi_{0}\right)\right)+O(\varepsilon)=-\left(\psi_{0}^{+}\left(\left(F^{\Sigma}-K^{\Sigma}\right) \psi_{0}\right)\right. \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, if (34) has a uniformly bounded solution, the mean with respect to $x$, $y$, and $t$ (in what follows, we will denote it by $\langle\cdot\rangle_{0}$ ) of the right-hand side of ( 35 ) must be equal to zero. Hence it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\psi^{+} F \psi\right\rangle_{0}-\left\langle\psi^{+} K \psi\right\rangle_{0}=0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation must be satified identically in P. From the Riemann-Roch theorem it follows immediately that, among the equations (36), for various P , not more than $N_{1}=g+l m-1$ are independent. Indeed, the left-hand side of (36) is a meromorphic function on $\Gamma$ which has poles at the poles of $\psi$ and $\psi^{+}$and poles of multiplicity $m-2$ at the points $P_{\alpha}$. According to the Riemann-Roch theorem, the dimension of the linear space of such functions is $N_{1}$.

In order to obtain a complete system which describes the dynamics with respect to $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$, and $T$ of the parameters I of the finite-zone solutions, we must adjoin to (36) the conditions of compatibility with Eq. (10), which define $S_{0}$ and $S$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\partial_{Y} U=\partial_{X} V, \quad \partial_{T} U=\partial_{X} W, \quad \partial_{T} V=\partial_{Y} W, \\
\partial_{Y} a=\partial_{X} b, \quad \partial_{T} a=\partial_{X} c, \quad \partial_{T} b=\partial_{Y} c . \tag{37}
\end{array}
$$

We consider the manifold $\hat{M}_{g}$ of pairs ( $P, \mu$ ), where $\mu$ is the set of data (14) and $P$ is a point of $\Gamma$ in this set. This manifold is naturally stratified over $M_{g}$. Let ( $\lambda, I_{1}, \ldots, I_{N}$ ) be a local system of coordinates on $\hat{M}_{g}$ such that, for fixed $I_{k}, \lambda(P)$ parametrizes some region $\Gamma=\Gamma(I)$. We will call any such system of coordinates a connection of the stratification $\hat{M}_{\mathrm{g}} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{g}}$ since, for every path $I(\tau)$ in $\hat{\mathrm{M}}_{\mathrm{g}}$ and any point $P_{0} \in \Gamma\left(I\left(\tau_{0}\right)\right)$, it is possible to define the concept of this path in $M_{g}$ by defining $P(\tau)$ by the condition $\lambda(P(\tau))=\lambda\left(P_{0}\right)$.

The multivalued functions $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{E}$, and $\Omega$, defined on every curve, are multivalued functions on $\hat{M}_{g}$, i.e., $p=p(\lambda, I), E=E(\lambda, I)$, and $\Omega=\Omega(\lambda, I)$.

THEOREM 1. The system of equations (36), (37) is equivalent to the following equation in $p(\overline{\lambda, X}, Y, T), E(\lambda, X, Y, T)$, and $\Omega(\lambda, X, Y, T)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p}{\partial \lambda}\left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial Y}-\frac{i \Omega}{\partial Y}\right)-\frac{\partial E}{\partial \lambda}\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial T}-\frac{i \Omega}{\partial X}\right)+\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda}\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial Y}-\frac{\partial E}{\partial X}\right)=\frac{\left\langle\psi^{\dagger} K \psi\right\rangle_{0}}{\left\langle\psi^{\dagger} \psi\right\rangle_{0}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \lambda} . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $P(\tau)$ and $I(\tau)$ be an arbitrary curve in $\hat{M}_{g}$. Then along this curve, $p=p(\tau)$, $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}(\tau)$, and $\Omega=\Omega(\tau)$. If $\zeta$ and $\Phi$ also depend on $\tau$, then the corresponding finite-zone operators also depend on $\tau$ : $L=L(\tau)$ and $A=A(\tau)$.

LEMMA 2. The following relations hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{\tau} \Omega\left\langle\psi^{+} \psi\right\rangle_{x t}+\left\langle\psi^{+} \partial_{\tau} \tau \psi\right\rangle_{x t} & \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{2 g}\left\langle\varphi^{+} \partial_{\tau} W_{i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \zeta_{i}}\right\rangle_{x t}=-\partial_{\tau} p\left\langle\psi^{+}\left(A^{(1)} \psi\right)\right\rangle_{x t}\right)-\left\langle\psi^{+}\left(A^{(1)} \partial_{\tau} a \psi\right\rangle_{x t}\right)- \\
- & \left.\sum_{i=1}^{2 g}\left\langle\varphi^{+}\left(\tilde{A}^{(1)} \partial_{\tau} U_{i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \zeta_{i}}\right\rangle_{x t}\right) \div\left\langle\psi^{+}\left(\hat{\partial}_{\tau} A \psi\right)\right\rangle_{x t}\right),  \tag{39}\\
& \left\langle\psi^{+}\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial \zeta_{i}} \psi\right)\right\rangle_{\alpha t}=\left\langle\psi^{+}\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial \Phi_{\alpha}} \psi\right\rangle_{x t}=0 .\right. \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{+}$are the multipliers of the exponentials in (21) and (24); the operator $A^{(1)}$ is defined in terms of $A$ in (27), $\hat{A}^{(1)}=g^{-1} A^{(1)} g, g=\exp (a x+b y+c t)$, and $\langle\cdot\rangle_{x t}$ is the mean with respect to x and t .

Proof. Let $\psi^{+}=\psi^{+}(x, y, t, P(\tau))$ and let $\psi_{1}=\psi\left(x, y, t, P\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right)$. From (13) and (25) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t}\left(\psi^{+} \psi_{1}\right)=-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \partial_{x}^{j}\left(\psi^{+}\left(A^{(j)} \psi\right)\right)-\left(\psi^{+}\left(\left(A_{1}-A\right) \psi\right)\right), \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{1}=A\left(\tau_{1}\right)$ and $A=A(\tau)$. Differentiating (41) with respect to $\tau_{1}$ and putting $\tau_{1}=\tau$, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\partial_{\tau} \Omega\left(\varphi^{+} \varphi\right)+\left(\varphi^{+} \partial_{\tau} c \varphi\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{2 g}\left(\varphi^{+} \partial_{\tau} W_{i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \tilde{\zeta}_{i}}\right)=-\partial_{\tau} p\left(\varphi^{+}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)}\right) \varphi\right)\right)-\left(\varphi^{+}\left(\tilde{A}^{(1)} \partial_{r} a \varphi\right)\right)- \\
-\sum_{i=1}^{2 g}\left(\varphi^{+}\left(\tilde{A}^{(1)} \partial_{\tau} U_{i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \sigma_{\xi_{i}}}\right)\right)+\left(\psi^{+}\left(\left(\partial_{\tau} A\right) \psi\right)\right)+\boldsymbol{R} . \tag{42}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here the remainder term $R$ is a sum of terms of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\sum_{s}\left(q_{s}^{0}+q_{s}^{1} x+q_{s}^{2} y+q_{s}^{3} t\right)\left(q_{s}^{4} \partial_{x} \widetilde{w}_{s}\left(U x+V y+W t+s+q_{s}^{5} \partial_{t} \widetilde{w}_{s}(U x-\Gamma y+W t+\zeta)\right),\right. \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $q_{S}^{i}$ are constants, and the $\tilde{w}_{s}$ are periodic in $z_{i}$.
The vectors $U$ and $W$ define the rectilinear windings on $T^{2 g}$. We let $T_{1}(\zeta)$ denote the closure of the winding $U x+W t+\zeta$. It is a $k$-dimensional subtorus in $T^{2} g$. For any function of the form $w(U x+W t+\zeta)$, we may consider the mean over the subtorus $T_{1}$, which is denoted by $\langle w\rangle_{T_{1}}$. It coincides with the mean with respect to $x$ and $t$, i.e., $\langle w\rangle_{T_{1}}=\langle w\rangle_{x t}$.

We average (42) over $T_{1}(\zeta+V y)$ [we note that it is impossible to take the mean with respect to $x$ and $t$ since the part of the coefficients in (43) depends linearly on $x$ and $t$. From (43) it follows that $\langle\mathbb{R}\rangle_{T_{1}}=0$.

We examine the averaged equality (42) for variations under which $P$ and $I$ do not change, and consequently $\zeta_{i}$ and $\Phi_{\alpha}$ change. For these variations, all of the terms except the next to the last are equal to zero. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\psi^{+}\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial \zeta_{i}} \psi\right)\right\rangle_{T_{1}}=\left\langle\psi^{+}\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial \Phi_{\alpha}} \psi\right)\right\rangle_{T_{i}}=0 \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since in (44) the average over $T_{1}$ coincides with the average with respect to $x$ and $t$, (40) is fulfilled.

For any constant diagonal matrix $r$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\psi ^ { + } \left([r, A \mid \psi)_{T_{1}}=\left\langle\psi^{+}([r, A] \psi)\right\rangle_{x t}=\left\langle\partial_{t}\left(\psi^{+} r \psi\right)\right\rangle_{x t}=0 .\right.\right. \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence it also follows from (31) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\psi^{+}\left(\partial_{\tau} A \psi\right)\right\rangle_{T_{1}}=\left\langle\psi^{+}\left(\hat{\partial}_{\tau} A \psi\right)\right\rangle_{x t} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the averaged equality (42) goes into (39).
COROLLARY 1. The differentials dp and $\mathrm{d} \Omega$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \Omega\left\langle\psi^{+} \psi\right\rangle_{x t}+d p\left\langle\psi^{+}\left(A^{(1)} \psi\right)\right\rangle_{x t}=0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We consider a variation $P$ in $\Gamma$ with constant $I, \zeta$, and $\Phi$. Then all of the terms except the first two on both sides of (39) are equal to zero. Hence (47) follows. (A similar equality for the case where $A$ is a Sturm-Liouville operator was obtained for the first time in [22].)

From (47) it follows that in the case of general position, when the zeros of $d p$ and $d \Omega$ do not intersect, the zeros of the functions $\left\langle\psi^{+} \psi\right\rangle_{x t}$ and $\left\langle\psi^{+} A^{(1)} \psi\right\rangle_{x t}$, which are meromorphic on $\Gamma$, coincide with the zeros of these differentials. Because of the analytic dependence of all of these zeros on $I_{k}$, this last statement holds for any curve $\Gamma$. We note that it follows from this that the mean in (47) does not depend on $y$.

Equalities completely analogous to (39) and (40) also hold for $L$ (we omit them for the sake of brevity). From these relations it follows, in particular, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d E\left\langle\psi^{+} \psi\right\rangle_{x y}+d p\left\langle\psi^{+}\left(L^{(1)} \psi\right)\right\rangle_{x y}=0 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note. If we choose one-half of the zeros of $d p$ as the distinguished set $\gamma{ }_{s}^{0}$ of poles of the Baker-Akhiezer function, then such a function satisfies the relation $\left\langle\psi^{+} \psi\right\rangle_{x t}=\left\langle\psi^{+} \psi\right\rangle_{x y}=$ 1 (as follows from what we have proved).

From (27) it follows that, for the operators $L^{(j)}$ and $A(j)$ associated with $L$ and $A$ satisfying (4), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{t}^{(j)}-A_{y}^{(j)}+\sum_{k=0}^{j}\left[L^{(k)}, A^{(j-k)}\right]=0 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using these relations and the equations which $\psi^{+}=\psi^{+}(x, y, t, P(\tau))$ and $\psi_{1}=\psi(x, y, t$, $P\left(\tau_{1}\right)$ ) satisfy, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{m} \partial_{x}^{j-1}\left\{\partial_{t}\left(\psi^{+}\left(L^{(j)} \psi_{1}\right)\right)-\partial_{y}\left(\psi^{+}\left(A^{(j)} \psi_{1}\right)\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \partial_{x}^{j-1}\left[\left(\psi^{+}\left(L^{(j)}\left(A_{1}-A\right) \psi_{1}\right)\right)-\left(\psi^{+}\left(A^{(j)}\left(L_{1}-L\right) \psi_{1}\right)\right)\right.\right. \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L=L(\tau), L_{1}{ }^{\tau}=L\left(\tau_{1}\right), A=A(\tau), A_{1}=A\left(\tau_{1}\right)$.
We differentiate (50) with respect to $\tau_{1}$ and put $\tau_{1}=\tau$. We average the resulting equation over the subtorus $T_{0}$ corresponding to the winding $U x+V y+W t+\zeta$. The mean of all of the terms in (50) except those corresponding to $j=1$ is equal to zero. In a way analogous to the proof of Lemma 2, we can show that the averaged equation (50) reduces to (51).

LEMMA 3. We have the equalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{\tau} \Omega\left\langle\psi^{+}\left(L^{(1)} \psi\right)\right\rangle_{0}-\partial_{\tau} E\left\langle\psi^{+}\left(A^{(1)} \psi\right)\right\rangle_{0}+\left\langle\psi^{+} L^{(1)} \partial_{\tau} \tau \psi\right\rangle_{0}-\left\langle\psi^{+} A^{(1)} \partial_{\tau} b \psi\right\rangle_{0}+ \\
& \quad+\sum_{i=1}^{2 g}\left\langle\varphi^{+}\left(L^{(1)} \partial_{\tau} W_{i}-A^{(1)} \partial_{\tau} V_{i}\right) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \varsigma_{i}}\right\rangle_{0}=\left\langle\psi^{+}\left(\left(L^{(1)} \hat{\partial}_{\tau} A-A^{(1)} \hat{\partial}_{\tau} L\right) \psi\right)\right\rangle_{0} \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

It is possible to verify directly that, for any two operators $L$ and $A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{L, A\}=L^{(1)} \hat{\partial}_{X} A-A^{(1)} \hat{\partial}_{X} L \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the assertion in Lemma 3 allows us to find the mean $\left\langle\psi^{+}(\{L, A\} \psi)\right\rangle_{0}$. From (39) we can find an expression for $\left\langle\psi^{+} \hat{\partial}_{Y} A \psi\right\rangle_{0}$ for $\tau=Y$. Putting $\tau=T$ into the analogous equality for L, we find $\left\langle\psi^{+} \hat{\partial}_{T} L \psi\right\rangle_{0}$.

Summing the resulting expression and using (47) and (48), we get that $\frac{\partial p}{\partial \lambda} \frac{\left\langle\psi^{+} F \psi\right\rangle_{0}}{\left\langle\psi^{+} \psi \psi_{0}\right.}$ is equal to the left-hand side of (38) plus a sum of terms each of which is zero by virtue of (37). [These additional terms have the form $\left\langle\psi^{+} L^{(1)}\left(\partial_{T} a-\partial_{X} c\right) \psi\right\rangle_{\theta}$, etc.] This proves the theorem.

The description of the construction of solutions of (4) in Sec. 1 also contains as a special case the construction of solutions of the Lax equation $L_{t}=[A, L]$. We consider the submanifold of data (14), $\mathrm{Mg}_{\mathrm{g}}^{0} \subset \mathrm{Mg}$, for which the corresponding differential dE is exact, i.e., the function $E(P)$ is single-valued on $\Gamma \subset M g$. In this case, the coefficients of $L$ and A do not depend on $y$, and (4) becomes a lax equation. It is possible to use the function $E(P)$ to parametrize neighborhoods of all points of the corresponding curves except a finite number. In addition, $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ and $\Omega=\Omega(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$, and (38) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{X} \Omega-\partial_{T} p=\frac{\left\langle\psi^{\dagger} K \psi\right\rangle_{0}}{\left\langle\psi^{\dagger} \psi, \theta\right.} \frac{d p}{d E} . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $K \equiv 0$ (53) coincides with $\partial_{T} p=\partial X^{\Omega}$, first obtained in the special case of the Kortewegde Vries equation in [3]. It is necessary to note that this equation was obtained in [3] as a consequence of averaged conservation laws, i.e., a consequence of the equations (3), which were postulated a priori. The derivation of (3) as necessary conditions for the boundedness of the correction term of an asymptotic series was given in [1] in the csae of the Korteweg de Vries equation.

## 3. CONSTRUCTION OF SOLUTIONS OF THE AVERAGED EQUATIONS

Let $n_{\alpha} \geqslant m$ be an integer, and let $\sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha}=g+l(m+1)$. For any curve $\Gamma$ of genus $g$ with distinguished points $P_{\alpha}$ in general position and with local parameters $k_{\alpha}^{-1}$ there exists a function $\lambda(P)$ which is unique to within an additive constant, which has poles only at $P_{\alpha}$ (of multiplicity $\mathbf{n}_{\alpha}$ ), and such that $P_{\alpha} \lambda^{1 / n_{\alpha}}(P)=k_{\alpha}+O\left(k_{\alpha}^{-m}\right)$ in a neighborhood of $\mathrm{P}_{\alpha}$. In the case of general position, it is possible to assume that the zeros of $d \lambda$ are simple, $d \lambda\left(q_{i}\right)=0$, $\mathrm{i}=0, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}=3 \mathrm{~g}-3+\ell(\mathrm{m}+2)$. It is possible to normalize $\lambda(\mathrm{P})$ uniquely by putting $\lambda\left(q_{0}\right)=0$. Then the quantities $\lambda_{i}=\lambda\left(q_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, N$, are local coordinates on $M_{g}$. (In the case of Lax equations similar coordinates on $\mathrm{Mg}_{\mathrm{g}}^{0}$ are Riemann invariants). The sets ( $\lambda(\mathrm{P})$, $\lambda_{i}$ ) constitute local coordinates on $\hat{M}_{g}$ everywhere except in a neighborhood of $q_{j}$. (The connections on $\hat{M}_{g}$ given in this way will be called canonical.)

On an arbitrary curve in general position $\Gamma_{0}$ we fix a piecewise smooth contour $\mathscr{L}$ (consisting of a finite number of closed or open curves with a finite number of intersections) and sets of points $t_{\nu}$ and $\tilde{P}_{\mu}$ on and outside of this contour, respectively. Using a canonical connection, it is possible to define a corresponding contour and corresponding points on any curve $\Gamma$ sufficiently close to $\Gamma_{0}$. (For example, it suffices to put the point $t^{\prime} \subset \mathscr{L}^{\prime} \subset \Gamma$ which is determined by the condition $\lambda\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\lambda(t)$ into correspondence with the point $t \subset \mathscr{L} \subset$ $\Gamma_{0}$ 。

LEMMA 4. For any differential dh on $\mathscr{L}$, which is H-continuous (Hölder continuous) everywhere except at the points $t_{v}$ and is such that in a neighborhood of $t_{v}$ the differential $\left(\lambda-\lambda\left(t_{\nu}\right)\right)^{s_{v}}$ dh is bounded, there exists a unique differential d $\Lambda$ which satisfies the conditions:
$1^{\circ}$. $\mathrm{d} \Lambda$ is meromorphic to $\Gamma$ outside of $\mathscr{L}$, and has a simple pole in $q_{0}$ there and poles at $\mathrm{P}_{\mu}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \Lambda=d \lambda\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\varkappa_{\mu}} \tilde{r}_{\mu i}\left(\lambda-\lambda\left(\widetilde{P}_{\mu}\right)\right)^{-i}+O(1)\right) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

$2^{\circ}$. The limiting values $\mathrm{d} \Lambda^{ \pm}$on $\mathscr{L}$ are $H$-continuous outside of $t_{v}$ and satisfy the "jump relation"

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \Lambda^{+}-d \Lambda^{-}=d h \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, $\left(\lambda-\lambda\left(t_{v}\right)\right)^{s} d \Lambda$ is bounded in a neighborhood of $t_{\nu}$.
$3^{\circ}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint\left(\lambda-\lambda\left(t_{v}\right)\right)^{i} d \Lambda=r_{v i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, s_{v} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the integral is taken over a small neighborhood on $\Gamma$ containing $t_{\mu}$ ).
Here $\tilde{r}_{\mu i}, i=1, \ldots, x_{\mu}$, and $r_{v i}, i=1, \ldots, s_{v}$, are arbitrary sets of numbers.
$4^{\circ}$. d $\Lambda$ has imaginary periods with respect to all cycles on $\Gamma$.
The assertion in the lemma is a standard one in the theory of boundary value problems. We give a brief sketch of the proof. Let $d \tilde{n}$ be the differential defined by the Cauchy integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \tilde{\Lambda}=\frac{d \lambda}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathcal{Z}} A(\lambda, t) \operatorname{dh}(t) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A(\lambda, q) d \lambda$, the meromorphic analog of the Cauchy kernel, is a function which is meromorphic in $q$ with zeros of multiplicity $s_{v}$ at $t_{v}$, and is a differential in $\lambda$ with poles at $t_{\nu}$ of multiplicity $s_{v}$ and simple poles at $q$ and $q_{0}$. In a neighborhood of $q$ it has the form $d \lambda\left(\frac{1}{i-q}+O(1)\right)$. It is possible to give such a differential by (2.5) of [20], where one can find further details on boundary value problems on Riemann surfaces. The limiting values $\mathrm{d} \tilde{\Lambda}^{ \pm}$on $\mathscr{L}$ satisfy (55). Therefore $\mathrm{d} \Lambda=d \tilde{\Lambda}_{\tilde{\mathrm{L}}}+\mathrm{d} \tilde{\text { w }}$, where $\mathrm{d} \tilde{\mathrm{w}}$ is a meromorphic differential with poles of multiplicity $s_{v}$ and $x_{\mu}$ at $t_{v}$ and $\tilde{P}_{\mu}$, respectively, and a simple pole at $q_{0}$. The dimension of the space of such differentials is $g+\sum_{v} s_{v}+\sum_{u} x_{\mu}$, and therefore the conditions (54), (56), and $4^{\circ}$ allow us to fix dw univalently.

THEOREM 2. Suppose that $\lambda_{i}=\lambda\left(q_{i}\right)$ depends on $X, Y$, and $T$ is such a way that, for any $i=1, \ldots, N$, one of the two conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda-\lambda_{i}}}(d \Lambda+X d p+Y d E+T d \Omega)=0 \quad \text { or } \quad \lambda_{i}=\mathrm{const} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

is fulfilled. Then $p=p(\lambda, X, Y, T), E=E(\lambda, X, Y, T), \Omega=\Omega(\lambda, X, Y, T)$ satisfy the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{T} p=\partial_{X} \Omega, \quad \partial_{Y} p=\partial_{X} E, \quad \partial_{Y} \Omega=\partial_{T} E . \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral in (58) is taken over a small contour containing $q_{i}$. If $q_{i}$ does not lie on $\mathscr{L}$, then the first of the conditions (58) means that the differential in the parentheses vanishes at $q_{i}$.

Proof. We consider the differential $\partial \mathrm{X} d \hat{S}$, where $d \hat{S}=d \Lambda+X d p+Y d E+T d \Omega$. From the constancy of the "jump" in dS on $\mathscr{L}$ it follows that $\partial \mathrm{XdS}$ is meromorphic on T . From (54) and (56) it follows that this differential is holomorphic at $t_{\nu}$ and $\hat{P}_{\mu}$. Besides $P_{\alpha}$ and $q_{0}$, the only points where it could have poles are the points $q_{i}$, where the connection has singularities. The differential d $\hat{S}$ has no singularities at $q_{i}$; therefore for any $j=0,1,2, \ldots$ the first of the equalities in (60) holds. The second is a consequence of it.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varphi}\left(\sqrt{\lambda-\lambda_{i}}\right)^{j} d \hat{s}=0 \Rightarrow \oint\left(\lambda-\lambda_{i}\right)^{j / 2} \partial_{X} d \bar{s}-j \frac{\lambda_{i X}}{2} \oint\left(\lambda-\lambda_{i}\right)^{j / 2-1} d \hat{s}=0 . \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we have that, for all $j$, the first of the terms in (60) is equal to zero [for $j \neq 1$, this follows from the first equality, and for $j=1$ we must use (58)]. Consequently $\partial \mathrm{Xd} \hat{\mathrm{S}}$ is holomorphic outside of the points $P_{\alpha}$ and $q_{0}$. At the points $P_{\alpha}$, it has the same singularity as dp. This means, in particular, that its residues at these points are zero. From the fact that the sum of all of the residues of any meromorphic differential is equal to zero, it follows that its residue at $q_{0}$ is also zero. Hence $\partial_{X} \mathrm{dS}$ - dp is a holomorphic differential on $\Gamma$. By virtue of condition $4^{\circ}$ and the normalization conditions on dp , dE , and $\mathrm{d} \Omega$, this holomorphic differential must have imaginary periods with respect to all cycles. Consequently it is equal to zero. In a similar way, we can prove that $\partial_{Y} d \hat{S}=d E$ and $\partial \mathrm{Y} d \hat{S}=d \Omega$. The equalities (59) are a consequence of the fact that the mixed derivatives of $\hat{\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{P})=$ $\int_{0}^{\mathrm{P}} d \bar{s}$ are equal.

Remark 1. As follows from the proof of the theorem, the vector of periods of the differential dS and the matrix $S_{0}$ with diagonal elements which are equal to the coefficients of the zero powers of $k_{\alpha}$ in the expansions of $S(P)$ in powers of $k_{\alpha}^{-1}$ in neighborhoods of $P_{\alpha}$ satisfy the conditions (10).

The quantities $v_{i}^{1}=\frac{d E}{d p}\left(q_{i}\right), v_{i}^{2}=\frac{d \Omega}{d p}\left(q_{i}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}=\left(\oint \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda-\lambda_{i}}} d \Lambda\right)\left(\oint \frac{d p}{\sqrt{\lambda-\lambda_{i}}}\right)^{-1} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

depend on $\lambda_{j}$ and determine the functions $v_{i}^{1,2}=v_{i}^{1,2}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}\right), u_{i}=w_{i}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}\right)$. In this notation, (58) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}+X+Y v_{i}^{1}+T v_{i}^{2}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad \lambda_{i}=\text { const. } \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

For given $X, Y$, and $T$, (62) give a system of $N$ equations in the $N$ unknowns $\lambda_{j}$. Their solutions $\lambda_{j}(X, Y, T)$ determine particular solutions of the Whitham equations for the unperturbed equations (4), i.e., in the case $K \equiv 0$.

These solutions depend on the choice of canonical connection and on the parameters in the definition of $\mathrm{d} \Lambda$, i.e., $\mathscr{L}, d h, t_{v}, r_{v i}, \widetilde{P}_{\mu}$, and $\tilde{\mathrm{r}}_{\mu \mathrm{i}}$. It is possible to extend the method of choosing the canonical connection described in the first paragraph.

Let $\because \subset M_{s}$ be a submanifold of $M$ (possibly equal to it). We will say that an admissible connection is defined on the stratification $\widehat{M} \rightarrow$, which is a restriction of the stratification $\hat{M}_{g}$ on $\mathfrak{M}$, if on each curve $\Gamma$ in the set of data which define a point of $\mathfrak{M}$ there is defined ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ function $\lambda(\mathrm{P})$ such that, for any number $\lambda_{0}$ belonging to a small neighborhood of $\lambda\left(P_{\alpha}\right)$, the quantities $k_{\alpha}^{i}(P), i=1, \ldots, m$, where $P$ is determined by the conditions $\lambda(P)=\lambda_{0}$, are well-defined functions of $\lambda_{0}$, i.e., do not depend on the curve $\Gamma$. We note that canonical connections are admissible. The points $q_{i}$ at which $d \lambda$ vanishes are singularities of the connection.

THEOREM 2'. Suppose $\left(\Gamma, P_{\alpha},\left[k_{\alpha}^{-1}\right]_{m}\right) \subset \mathfrak{M}$ depends on $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$, and T in such a way that at all singularitis of an admissible connection on $\Gamma$ one of the conditions (58) is fulfilled. Then the corresponding Abelian integrals $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{E}$, and $\Omega$ satisfy (59).

In the special case of the submanifold of data $\mathfrak{M}=M_{z}^{0}$, which determine solutions of Lax equations, the connection given by the function $E(P)$, which connection exists on each curve in the data sets in $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{g}}^{0}$, is an admissible connection. Moreover, if all $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}} \neq$ const, Eqs. (62) go into equations proposed in [5]. It must be noted, however, that [5] lacks an effective construction of the functions $w_{i}$ except in the case where the $w_{i}$ are produced by averaged polynomial laws of conservation of the original Lax equation. The corresponding solutions are called "averaged n-zone." In our framework, the constructions of such solutions correspond to the case $\mathrm{dH}=0$, and all of the points $\tilde{\mathrm{P}}_{\mu}$ coincide with the $\mathrm{P}_{\alpha}$. From this interpretation of averaged $n$-zone solutions follows their similarity, a property omitted in [5]. More precisely, we consider the Whitham equations corresponding to the Korteweg-de Vries equation.

COROLLARY. Let $\mathrm{d} \Omega_{\mathrm{n}}$ be a differential which is holomorphic everywhere except $\mathrm{E}=\infty$ on the hyperelliptic curve $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{g}}$ given by the equation $y^{2}=\prod_{j=1}^{2 \mathrm{~S}+1}\left(E-E_{j}\right)$. In a neighborhood of $\mathrm{E}=\infty$, it has a singularity of the form $d \Omega_{n}=d\left(E^{n / 2}\right)+O$ (1). Then for $w_{i}=\frac{d \Omega_{n}}{d p}\left(E_{i}\right)$, (62) defines solutions of the Whitham equations with similarity index $\gamma=2 /(n-3)$.

The Whitham equations for the Korteweg-de Vries equation have similar solutions of the form $E_{i}=t^{\nu} E_{i}\left(\frac{x}{t^{1+\gamma}}\right)$ with arbitrary index $\gamma$. To construct such solutions, it suffices to take as the contour $\mathscr{L}$ a cut on $\Gamma_{g}$ along the entire real axis and to put $d h=\alpha_{i} d\left(\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{n} / 2}\right)$, where $\mathrm{n}=3+2 / \gamma$ and the constants $\alpha_{i}$ may be different on different banks of the cut. We note that, if we analyze the corollary in more detail, we can show that the similar solution with index $\gamma=1 / 2$ used in [18] is an averaged 7-zone.

An important problem is the definition of "equivalent" sets of construction parameters $(\mathscr{L}, d h, \ldots)$, i.e., sets which reduce to the same solution of the Whitham equations. The problem of the effective solution of the Cauchy problem for Whitham equations in the case of equations in one spatial dimension is also closely related to this problem.

Supplement. In [22] a nontrivial generalization of the Lax equations to the case of systems in two spatial dimensions, different from that of [4], was proposed. The greatest interest in such equations centers on the Novikov-Veselov equation [23].

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\partial^{3} u+\bar{\partial}^{3} u+\partial(v u)+\bar{\partial}(\bar{\nu} u), \quad 3 \partial u=\bar{\partial} u, \quad \partial=\partial / \partial z, \bar{\partial}=\partial / \overline{\partial z}, \quad z=x+i y \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

It turns out that, although the commutator representation for this equation differs from (4), the Whitham equation has the form (38) in this case. More precisely: the parameters which determine the finite-zone solutions of (63) are the curve $\Gamma$, on which there is a holomorphic involution $\sigma$ with two fixed points $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, and an antiholomorphic involution $\tau$ which commutes with $\sigma$, $\tau \sigma=\sigma \tau, \tau\left(P_{1}\right)=P_{2}$. In addition, in neighborhoods of $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are fixed the germs $\left[k_{1}\right]_{3}$ and $\left[k_{2}\right]_{3}$ of the local parameters $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ such that $\sigma * k_{i}=-k_{i}$, and $\tau * k_{1}=k_{2}$. On such curves, we define differentials $d p_{x}, d p_{y}$, and $d \Omega$ which have the forms $d p_{x} \approx i d k$, $d p_{y} \approx \pm d k$, and $d \Omega \approx i d^{3}$ in neighborhoods of $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ and are normalized by the condition that their periods with respect to all cycles are real.

THEOREM 3. The Whitham equation for the Novikov-Veselov equation has the form (38), where $\mathrm{dp}=\mathrm{dp}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{dE}=\mathrm{dp}_{\mathrm{y}}$, and $\mathrm{d} \Omega=\mathrm{d} \Omega$.
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## ASYMPTOTIC OF THE SPECTRAL FUNCTION OF A POSITIVE ELLIPTIC OPERATOR WITHOUT THE NONTRAP CONDITION

Yu. G. Safarov
UDC 517.944

In this article we investigate the asymptotic with respect to the spectral parameter of the spectral function of a scalar (pseudo) differential operator on a smooth manifold (with or without boundary). A large number of works have been devoted to this problem; we indicate [1-13] without pretention of completeness. Till now, in the general situation a one-term asymptotic formula with a sharp estimate of the remainder for the trace of the spectral function on the diagonal and an order-sharp estimate of the spectral function off the diagonal have been obtained. It is established that these formulas are uniform on compacta that lie outside a small neighborhood of the boundary (and sometimes also up to the boundary; see [8, 12]). In this article, under certain (quite weak) conditions we find the subsequent (with respect to order) term of the asymptotic.

In $[5,6,11,13]$ the complete asymptotic expansion of the spectral function was obtained for the operators in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ (in [5, 6], also for the operators in the exterior of a bounded domain) under a series of additional conditions. The nontrap condition (see, e.g., [11, 13]) is the most fundamental of these conditions. In the present article, in place of the nontrap condition we introduce the substantially weaker nonfocality condition (see Theorems 3.2 and 3.3). At the nonfocal points the two leading terms of the asymptotic have the same form as for the problems with the nontrap condition (these terms vanish off the diagonal); we will call these asymptotics the Weyl asymptotics. On the boundaryless manifold the Weyl asymptotic is uniform on compacta that do not contain focal points.

The behavior of the spectral function at the focal points depends on the properties of certain partially isometric operators, generated by a Hamiltonian flow and acting in the spaces $L_{2}$ on the unit cotangent spheres (see Sec. 4). Without the nonfocality condition a two-sided asymptotic inequality (Theorem 4.3) is obtained for the trace of the spectral function on the diagonal. A similar formula is established in [14, 15] for the distribution function of eigenvalues. In the "regular" cases the Weyl asymptotic, and in a somewhat more general situation the "quasi-Wey1" asymptotic (the coefficient of the second term is a bounded uniformly continuous function of the spectral parameter), is obtained from these formulas. Moreover, in analogy with works on the distribution function of eigenvalues the notion of a cluster asymptotic is introduced (see Sec. 5).

In this article we use the method of hyperbolic equation. In the main body of the article, for simplicity we consider an operator that acts in the space of half-densities on a boundaryless manifold. All the results are easily carried over to an operator that acts in a function space (then for the formulation of the problem it is necessary to fix a positive smooth density on the manifold). With certain stipulations, the results are carried over to manifolds with boundary (see Sec. 6). In this case the obtained formulas are valid
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