## HW 7 Solutions

## April 1, 2020

1. If  $S_n$  has a subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_7 \times \mathbb{Z}_7$ , then by Lagrange's theorem, we must have

$$49 = \#(\mathbf{Z}_7 \times \mathbf{Z}_7) | \#S_n = n!$$

This happens only if  $n \ge 14$  (14! contains 7 and 14 as factors, but 13! only has one factor of 7). Conversely, we must show that  $\mathbf{Z}_7 \times \mathbf{Z}_7$  is a subgroup of  $S_n$  for  $n \ge 14$ . Let  $\sigma = (12...7), \tau = (8...14)$ . Let  $H = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle \subset S_n$ . This is  $\{\sigma^i \tau^j | i, j \in \mathbf{Z}\}$  since  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  commute. It is enough to define an injective homomorphism  $f : \mathbf{Z}_7 \times \mathbf{Z}_7 \to S_n$  with image H. For this, we set  $f([i], [j]) = \sigma^i \tau^j$ . This is:

Well-defined: If i' = i + 7m and j' = j + 7n, then  $\sigma^{i'} \tau^{j'} = \sigma^i \sigma^j$  since  $\sigma^7 = \tau^7 = e$ .

A homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} f([i_1] + [i_2], [j_1] + [j_2]) &= f([i_1 + i_2], [j_1 + j_2]) = \sigma^{i_1 + i_2} \tau^{j_1 + j_2} = \sigma^{i_1} \tau^{j_1} \sigma^{i_2} \tau^{j_2} \\ &= f([i_1], [j_1]) \cdot f([i_2], [j_2]), \end{aligned}$$

where we use that  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  commute as they are disjoint cycles. Injective: If some  $\sigma^i \tau^j = e$ , then  $\sigma^i = \tau^{-j} \in \langle \sigma \rangle \cap \langle \tau \rangle = \{e\}$ . Thus  $\sigma^i = \tau^j = e$ , which implies 7|i and 7|j, as needed.

- 2. (a) (14356) = (14)(43)(35)(56) is even.
  - (b) (156)(234) = (15)(56)(23)(34) is even.
  - (c) (1426)(142) = (14)(42)(26)(14)(42) is odd.
- 3. 8. (12345)(678) has order 15 and is in the alternating group since any cycle having odd length is in the alternating group. (Proof:  $(n_1 \dots n_k) = (n_1 n_2)(n_2 n_3)\dots(n_{k-1} n_k)$  is a product of k-1 transpositions, so a cycle of odd length is even and a cycle of even length is odd.)
  - 9.  $A_8$  has no element of order 26, in fact, neither does  $S_8$ , since 26 /8!.
- 4. 22. We are allowed to use Judson, Lemma 5.14. So, suppose  $\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_m = \sigma = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_n$  with  $\sigma_i$  and  $\tau_i$  transpositions. Then we can write  $\sigma^{-1} = \sigma_m \cdots \sigma_1$ , and so

$$e = \sigma^{-1}\sigma = \sigma_m \cdots \sigma_1 \tau_1 \cdots \tau_n$$

Then by Lemma 5.14, m + n is even. This is true iff  $m \equiv n \mod 2$ , as needed.

23. If  $\sigma = (n_1 \cdots n_{2k+1})$ , then

$$\sigma^2 = (n_1 n_3 n_5 \cdots n_{2k+1} n_2 n_4 \cdots n_{2k}).$$

24. See solution to problem 8. (abc) = (ab)(bc).

25. An element  $\sigma \in A_n$  is by definition the product  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{2k} = (\sigma_1 \sigma_2)(\sigma_3 \sigma_4) \cdots (\sigma_{2k-1} \sigma_{2k})$ . It suffices to show that each  $\sigma_{2i-1} \sigma_{2i}$  can be written as a product of 3-cycles. If  $\sigma_{2i-1} = \sigma_{2i}$  we may simply remove this term from the product above. Otherwise we have two cases.

Case 1.  $\sigma_{2i-1} = (ab)$  and  $\sigma_{2i} = (cd)$  are disjoint. Then we have

$$\sigma_{2i-1}\sigma_{2i} = (ab)(cd) = (abc)(bcd).$$

Case 2.  $\sigma_{2i-1} = (ab)$  and  $\sigma_{2i} = (bc)$  share one element in common. Then

$$(ab)(bc) = (abc).$$

26. (a) It suffices to show that every transposition (ij), 1 < i < j can be written as a product of transpositions of the form (1k). For this use

$$(ij) = (1i)(1j)(1i).$$

(b) It suffices by (a) to show that every (1i) can be written as a product of transpositions of the form  $(k \ k+1)$ . We prove this by induction on i. For the base case i = 2 there is nothing to prove. Assume we know it for (1i). Then

$$(1 \quad i+1) = (i \quad i+1)(1i)(i \quad i+1),$$

and using the inductive hypothesis to express (1i) as a product of (k + 1)'s, we are done.

(c) It suffices by (b) to show every  $(i \ i+1)$  can be written as a product of the elements (12), (12...n). We prove this by induction on i. For i = 1, there is nothing to prove. Assume we know it for i. Then

$$(i+1 \quad i+2) = (12 \dots n)(i \quad i+1)(12 \dots n)^{-1}$$

and using the inductive hypothesis and the fact that  $(12...n)^{-1} = (12...n)^{n-1}$ , we are done.