Semidirect products

GU4041

Columbia University

March 23, 2020

◆□▶ ◆舂▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶







2 Semidirect products

Image: A matched block

≺ 臣 ▶

Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ be a normal subgroup. For any $g \in G$, the conjugation map on N

$$n\mapsto r_g(n):=gng^{-1},\ n\in N$$

is an automorphism of N.

This is because if $n_1, n_2 \in N$

$$r_g(n_1 \cdot n_2) = gn_1 \cdot n_2 g^{-1} = gn_1 g^{-1} \cdot gn_2 g^{-1} = r_g(n_1) \cdot r_g(n_2).$$

The set Aut(N) of automorphisms of N is a group under composition.

Lemma

The map $g \mapsto r_g$ is a homomorphism of groups:

 $G \rightarrow Aut(N).$

Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ be a normal subgroup. For any $g \in G$, the conjugation map on N

$$n\mapsto r_g(n):=gng^{-1},\ n\in N$$

is an automorphism of N. This is because if $n_1, n_2 \in N$

$$r_g(n_1 \cdot n_2) = gn_1 \cdot n_2 g^{-1} = gn_1 g^{-1} \cdot gn_2 g^{-1} = r_g(n_1) \cdot r_g(n_2).$$

The set Aut(N) of automorphisms of N is a group under composition.

Lemma

The map $g \mapsto r_g$ is a homomorphism of groups:

 $G \rightarrow Aut(N).$

Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ be a normal subgroup. For any $g \in G$, the conjugation map on N

$$n\mapsto r_g(n):=gng^{-1},\ n\in N$$

is an automorphism of N. This is because if $n_1, n_2 \in N$

$$r_g(n_1 \cdot n_2) = gn_1 \cdot n_2 g^{-1} = gn_1 g^{-1} \cdot gn_2 g^{-1} = r_g(n_1) \cdot r_g(n_2).$$

The set Aut(N) of automorphisms of N is a group under composition.

Lemma

The map $g \mapsto r_g$ *is a homomorphism of groups:*

$$G \rightarrow Aut(N)$$
.

Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ be a normal subgroup. For any $g \in G$, the conjugation map on N

$$n\mapsto r_g(n):=gng^{-1},\ n\in N$$

is an automorphism of N. This is because if $n_1, n_2 \in N$

$$r_g(n_1 \cdot n_2) = gn_1 \cdot n_2 g^{-1} = gn_1 g^{-1} \cdot gn_2 g^{-1} = r_g(n_1) \cdot r_g(n_2).$$

The set Aut(N) of automorphisms of N is a group under composition.

Lemma

The map $g \mapsto r_g$ *is a homomorphism of groups:*

$$G \rightarrow Aut(N)$$
.

Proof of the lemma

Proof.

We need to show that if $g, h \in G$, then

$$r_{gh} = r_g \circ r_h.$$

That is, for all $n \in N$,

$$r_{gh}(n) = r_g \circ r_h(n) = r_g(r_h(n)).$$

We check:

$$r_g(r_h(n)) = r_g(hnh^{-1}) = g(hnh^{-1})g^{-1} = (gh)n(gh)^{-1} = r_{gh}(n).$$

A B > A
 B > A
 B
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A
 C > A

Proof of the lemma

Proof.

We need to show that if $g, h \in G$, then

$$r_{gh} = r_g \circ r_h.$$

That is, for all $n \in N$,

$$r_{gh}(n) = r_g \circ r_h(n) = r_g(r_h(n)).$$

We check:

$$r_g(r_h(n)) = r_g(hnh^{-1}) = g(hnh^{-1})g^{-1} = (gh)n(gh)^{-1} = r_{gh}(n).$$

Proof of the lemma

Proof.

We need to show that if $g, h \in G$, then

$$r_{gh} = r_g \circ r_h.$$

That is, for all $n \in N$,

$$r_{gh}(n) = r_g \circ r_h(n) = r_g(r_h(n)).$$

We check:

$$r_g(r_h(n)) = r_g(hnh^{-1}) = g(hnh^{-1})g^{-1} = (gh)n(gh)^{-1} = r_{gh}(n).$$

Proposition

The only groups of order 6 *are* \mathbb{Z}_6 *and* D_6 *.*

Proof.

Let G be a group of order 6. If G has an element of order 6 then it is cyclic.

Proposition

The only groups of order 6 *are* \mathbb{Z}_6 *and* D_6 *.*

Proof.

Let *G* be a group of order 6. If *G* has an element of order 6 then it is cyclic.

Proposition

The only groups of order 6 *are* \mathbb{Z}_6 *and* D_6 *.*

Proof.

Let *G* be a group of order 6. If *G* has an element of order 6 then it is cyclic.

Proposition

The only groups of order 6 *are* \mathbb{Z}_6 *and* D_6 *.*

Proof.

Let *G* be a group of order 6. If *G* has an element of order 6 then it is cyclic.

Proof.

Finally, if *G* has no element of order 3, then it has only elements of order 2. By a homework problem, *G* is abelian, but then by classification it must be \mathbb{Z}_6 again.

Now suppose N and H are groups and

 $r: H \to Aut(N)$

is a homomorphism. We construct a new group $N \rtimes H$ as follows: The elements of $N \rtimes H$ are ordered pairs $(n, h), n \in N, h \in H$. Multiplication is given by

$$(n_1, h_1)(n_2, h_2) = (n_1 \cdot r(h_1)(n_2), h_1 \cdot h_2).$$

We can remove the parentheses if we take care:

$$(n_1 \cdot h_1)(n_2 \cdot h_2) = n_1(h_1 \cdot n_2)h_2$$

and use the *commutation rule*

$$h_1 \cdot n_2 = h_1 n_2 h_1^{-1} h_1 = r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot h_1.$$

$$(n_1 \cdot h_1)(n_2 \cdot h_2) = n_1(h_1 \cdot n_2)h_2 = n_1r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot h_1h_2$$

Now suppose N and H are groups and

$$r: H \to Aut(N)$$

is a homomorphism. We construct a new group $N \rtimes H$ as follows: The elements of $N \rtimes H$ are ordered pairs $(n, h), n \in N, h \in H$. Mutliplication is given by

$$(n_1, h_1)(n_2, h_2) = (n_1 \cdot r(h_1)(n_2), h_1 \cdot h_2).$$

We can remove the parentheses if we take care:

$$(n_1 \cdot h_1)(n_2 \cdot h_2) = n_1(h_1 \cdot n_2)h_2$$

and use the *commutation rule*

$$h_1 \cdot n_2 = h_1 n_2 h_1^{-1} h_1 = r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot h_1.$$

$$(n_1 \cdot h_1)(n_2 \cdot h_2) = n_1(h_1 \cdot n_2)h_2 = n_1r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot h_1h_2$$

Now suppose N and H are groups and

$$r: H \to Aut(N)$$

is a homomorphism. We construct a new group $N \rtimes H$ as follows: The elements of $N \rtimes H$ are ordered pairs $(n, h), n \in N, h \in H$. Mutliplication is given by

$$(n_1, h_1)(n_2, h_2) = (n_1 \cdot r(h_1)(n_2), h_1 \cdot h_2).$$

We can remove the parentheses if we take care:

$$(n_1 \cdot h_1)(n_2 \cdot h_2) = n_1(h_1 \cdot n_2)h_2$$

and use the commutation rule

$$h_1 \cdot n_2 = h_1 n_2 h_1^{-1} h_1 = r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot h_1.$$

$$(n_1 \cdot h_1)(n_2 \cdot h_2) = n_1(h_1 \cdot n_2)h_2 = n_1r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot h_1h_2$$

Now suppose N and H are groups and

$$r: H \to Aut(N)$$

is a homomorphism. We construct a new group $N \rtimes H$ as follows: The elements of $N \rtimes H$ are ordered pairs $(n, h), n \in N, h \in H$. Mutliplication is given by

$$(n_1, h_1)(n_2, h_2) = (n_1 \cdot r(h_1)(n_2), h_1 \cdot h_2).$$

We can remove the parentheses if we take care:

$$(n_1 \cdot h_1)(n_2 \cdot h_2) = n_1(h_1 \cdot n_2)h_2$$

and use the commutation rule

$$h_1 \cdot n_2 = h_1 n_2 h_1^{-1} h_1 = r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot h_1.$$

$$(n_1 \cdot h_1)(n_2 \cdot h_2) = n_1(h_1 \cdot n_2)h_2 = n_1r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot h_1h_2$$

In other words, inside $N \rtimes H$ the homomorphism $r : H \rightarrow Aut(N)$ corresponds to conjugation of *N* by *H*.

The group $N \rtimes H$ is called the *semidirect product* of N and H. The roles of N and H cannot be exchanged.

Example

For any cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_n , there is a homomorphism $r: \{\pm 1\} \rightarrow Aut(\mathbb{Z}_n)$:

$$r(-1)(x) = -x.$$

The semidirect product $\mathbb{Z}_n \rtimes \{\pm 1\}$ is just the dihedral group D_{2n} .

In other words, inside $N \rtimes H$ the homomorphism $r : H \to Aut(N)$ corresponds to conjugation of N by H. The group $N \rtimes H$ is called the *semidirect product* of N and H. The roles of N and H cannot be exchanged.

Example

For any cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_n , there is a homomorphism $r: \{\pm 1\} \rightarrow Aut(\mathbb{Z}_n):$ r(-1)(x) = -x.

The semidirect product $\mathbb{Z}_n \rtimes \{\pm 1\}$ is just the dihedral group D_{2n} .

We need to prove that multiplication in $N \rtimes H$ is associative and that the identity and inverses exist. The identity is obvious: if we set $e = (e_N, e_H)$, then

 $(e_N, e_H)(n, h) = (e_N \cdot r(e_H)(n), e_H \cdot h)) = (e_N \cdot n, e_H \cdot h) = (n, h)$

because $r(e_H)$ is the identity in Aut(N).

The identity relation of multiplication on the right is verified in the same way.

Finding the inverse involves solving an equation. Given (n, h), we need to find (n', h') such that

$$(n',h')(n,h)=(e_N,e_H).$$

イロト イポト イヨト イ

We need to prove that multiplication in $N \rtimes H$ is associative and that the identity and inverses exist. The identity is obvious: if we set $e = (e_N, e_H)$, then

$$(e_N, e_H)(n, h) = (e_N \cdot r(e_H)(n), e_H \cdot h)) = (e_N \cdot n, e_H \cdot h) = (n, h)$$

because $r(e_H)$ is the identity in Aut(N).

The identity relation of multiplication on the right is verified in the same way.

Finding the inverse involves solving an equation. Given (n, h), we need to find (n', h') such that

$$(n',h')(n,h)=(e_N,e_H).$$

イロト イポト イヨト イ

We need to prove that multiplication in $N \rtimes H$ is associative and that the identity and inverses exist. The identity is obvious: if we set $e = (e_N, e_H)$, then

$$(e_N, e_H)(n, h) = (e_N \cdot r(e_H)(n), e_H \cdot h)) = (e_N \cdot n, e_H \cdot h) = (n, h)$$

because $r(e_H)$ is the identity in Aut(N).

The identity relation of multiplication on the right is verified in the same way.

Finding the inverse involves solving an equation. Given (n, h), we need to find (n', h') such that

$$(n',h')(n,h) = (e_N,e_H).$$

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

We need to prove that multiplication in $N \rtimes H$ is associative and that the identity and inverses exist. The identity is obvious: if we set $e = (e_N, e_H)$, then

$$(e_N, e_H)(n, h) = (e_N \cdot r(e_H)(n), e_H \cdot h)) = (e_N \cdot n, e_H \cdot h) = (n, h)$$

because $r(e_H)$ is the identity in Aut(N).

The identity relation of multiplication on the right is verified in the same way.

Finding the inverse involves solving an equation. Given (n, h), we need to find (n', h') such that

$$(n',h')(n,h)=(e_N,e_H).$$

Now if

$$(e_N,e_H)=(n',h')(n,h)=(n'\cdot r(h')n,h'\cdot h)$$

then we must have $h' = h^{-1}$. So the equation we need to solve is

$$n' \cdot r(h^{-1})(n) = e_N; \ n' = (r(h^{-1})n)^{-1}$$

and this gives the solution. You can check that

$$(n,h)((r(h^{-1})n)^{-1},h^{-1}) = (e_N,e_H)$$

as well.

Now if

$$(e_N, e_H) = (n', h')(n, h) = (n' \cdot r(h')n, h' \cdot h)$$

then we must have $h' = h^{-1}$. So the equation we need to solve is

$$n' \cdot r(h^{-1})(n) = e_N; \ n' = (r(h^{-1})n)^{-1}$$

and this gives the solution. You can check that

$$(n,h)((r(h^{-1})n)^{-1},h^{-1}) = (e_N,e_H)$$

as well.

The semidirect product is associative

This is a calculation:

$$[(n_1, h_1)(n_2, h_2)](n_3, h_3) = (n_1 \cdot r(h_1)(n_2), h_1 \cdot h_2)(n_3, h_3) = (n_1 \cdot r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot r(h_1 \cdot h_2)n_3, h_1h_2h_3).$$

On the other hand

$$(n_1, h_1)[(n_2, h_2)(n_3, h_3)] = (n_1, h_1)(n_2 \cdot r(h_2)(n_3), h_2 \cdot h_3)$$

= $(n_1 \cdot r(h_1)(n_2 \cdot r(h_2)(n_3), h_1h_2h_3)$

So we need to check

$$n_1 \cdot r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot r(h_1 \cdot h_2)n_3 = n_1 \cdot r(h_1)(n_2 \cdot r(h_2)(n_3))$$

or even $r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot r(h_1 \cdot h_2)n_3 = r(h_1)(n_2 \cdot r(h_2)(n_3)).$

The semidirect product is associative

This is a calculation:

$$[(n_1, h_1)(n_2, h_2)](n_3, h_3) = (n_1 \cdot r(h_1)(n_2), h_1 \cdot h_2)(n_3, h_3) = (n_1 \cdot r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot r(h_1 \cdot h_2)n_3, h_1h_2h_3).$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} (n_1, h_1)[(n_2, h_2)(n_3, h_3)] &= (n_1, h_1)(n_2 \cdot r(h_2)(n_3), h_2 \cdot h_3) \\ &= (n_1 \cdot r(h_1)(n_2 \cdot r(h_2)(n_3), h_1 h_2 h_3) \end{aligned}$$

So we need to check

$$n_1 \cdot r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot r(h_1 \cdot h_2)n_3 = n_1 \cdot r(h_1)(n_2 \cdot r(h_2)(n_3))$$

or even $r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot r(h_1 \cdot h_2)n_3 = r(h_1)(n_2 \cdot r(h_2)(n_3)).$

The semidirect product is associative

This is a calculation:

$$[(n_1, h_1)(n_2, h_2)](n_3, h_3) = (n_1 \cdot r(h_1)(n_2), h_1 \cdot h_2)(n_3, h_3) = (n_1 \cdot r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot r(h_1 \cdot h_2)n_3, h_1h_2h_3).$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} (n_1, h_1)[(n_2, h_2)(n_3, h_3)] &= (n_1, h_1)(n_2 \cdot r(h_2)(n_3), h_2 \cdot h_3) \\ &= (n_1 \cdot r(h_1)(n_2 \cdot r(h_2)(n_3), h_1 h_2 h_3) \end{aligned}$$

So we need to check

$$n_1 \cdot r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot r(h_1 \cdot h_2)n_3 = n_1 \cdot r(h_1)(n_2 \cdot r(h_2)(n_3))$$

or even $r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot r(h_1 \cdot h_2)n_3 = r(h_1)(n_2 \cdot r(h_2)(n_3))$.

The semidirect product is associative, end of the calculation

We need to show

$$r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot r(h_1 \cdot h_2)n_3 = r(h_1)(n_2 \cdot r(h_2)(n_3))$$

But $r(h_1 \cdot h_2)n_3 = r(h_1)(r(h_2)(n_3))$ by the definition of $r: H \rightarrow Aut(N)$. And for any n, n',

$$r(h_1)(n) \cdot r(h_1)(n') = r(h_1)(n \cdot n')$$

because $r(h_1)$ is an automorphism. So

 $r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot r(h_1 \cdot h_2)n_3 = r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot r(h_1)(r(h_2)(n_3)) = r(h_1)(n_2 \cdot r(h_2)(n_3))$

which is what we needed to prove.

The semidirect product is associative, end of the calculation

We need to show

$$r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot r(h_1 \cdot h_2)n_3 = r(h_1)(n_2 \cdot r(h_2)(n_3))$$

But $r(h_1 \cdot h_2)n_3 = r(h_1)(r(h_2)(n_3))$ by the definition of $r: H \rightarrow Aut(N)$. And for any n, n',

$$r(h_1)(n)\cdot r(h_1)(n')=r(h_1)(n\cdot n')$$

because $r(h_1)$ is an automorphism. So

 $r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot r(h_1 \cdot h_2)n_3 = r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot r(h_1)(r(h_2)(n_3)) = r(h_1)(n_2 \cdot r(h_2)(n_3))$

which is what we needed to prove.

・ 伊 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

The semidirect product is associative, end of the calculation

We need to show

$$r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot r(h_1 \cdot h_2)n_3 = r(h_1)(n_2 \cdot r(h_2)(n_3))$$

But $r(h_1 \cdot h_2)n_3 = r(h_1)(r(h_2)(n_3))$ by the definition of $r: H \rightarrow Aut(N)$. And for any n, n',

$$r(h_1)(n)\cdot r(h_1)(n')=r(h_1)(n\cdot n')$$

because $r(h_1)$ is an automorphism. So

 $r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot r(h_1 \cdot h_2)n_3 = r(h_1)(n_2) \cdot r(h_1)(r(h_2)(n_3)) = r(h_1)(n_2 \cdot r(h_2)(n_3))$

which is what we needed to prove.

Example

Recall that if p is prime, then $Aut(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ *. So there is a semidirect product*

$$\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$$

of order p(p-1) for any p. It is non-commutative:

$$x \cdot a = a \cdot ax, x \in \mathbb{Z}_p, a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}.$$

In this way we obtain new non-commutative groups of order $5 \cdot 4 = 20, 7 \cdot 6 = 42$, and so on. (When p = 3 we just get D_6 again).

Example

Recall that if p is prime, then $Aut(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ *. So there is a semidirect product*

 $\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$

of order p(p-1) for any p. It is non-commutative:

$$x \cdot a = a \cdot ax, x \in \mathbb{Z}_p, a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}.$$

In this way we obtain new non-commutative groups of order $5 \cdot 4 = 20, 7 \cdot 6 = 42$, and so on. (When p = 3 we just get D_6 again).

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

There are more possibilities. It is known that \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} is always a cyclic group. When p = 7 or p = 11 this follows from the classification of abelian groups: the only abelian groups of order 6 or 10 are $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$, which are cyclic.

So for example, \mathbb{Z}_7^* contains a cyclic group C_3 of order 3, and the inclusion

$$C_3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_7^* \xrightarrow{\sim} Aut(\mathbb{Z}_7)$$

gives us a semidirect product

 $\mathbb{Z}_7 \rtimes C_3$

of order $7 \cdot 3 = 21$. Similarly $C_5 \subset \mathbb{Z}_{11}^{\times}$ gives us a semidirect product

 $\mathbb{Z}_{11} \rtimes C_5$

of order 55.

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

There are more possibilities. It is known that \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} is always a cyclic group. When p = 7 or p = 11 this follows from the classification of abelian groups: the only abelian groups of order 6 or 10 are $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$, which are cyclic.

So for example, \mathbb{Z}_7^* contains a cyclic group C_3 of order 3, and the inclusion

 $C_3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_7^* \xrightarrow{\sim} Aut(\mathbb{Z}_7)$

gives us a semidirect product

 $\mathbb{Z}_7 \rtimes C_3$

of order $7 \cdot 3 = 21$. Similarly $C_5 \subset \mathbb{Z}_{11}^{\times}$ gives us a semidirect product

 $\mathbb{Z}_{11} \rtimes C_5$

of order 55.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

There are more possibilities. It is known that \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} is always a cyclic group. When p = 7 or p = 11 this follows from the classification of abelian groups: the only abelian groups of order 6 or 10 are $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$, which are cyclic.

So for example, \mathbb{Z}_7^* contains a cyclic group C_3 of order 3, and the inclusion

$$C_3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_7^* \xrightarrow{\sim} Aut(\mathbb{Z}_7)$$

gives us a semidirect product

 $\mathbb{Z}_7 \rtimes C_3$

of order $7 \cdot 3 = 21$. Similarly $C_5 \subset \mathbb{Z}_{11}^{\times}$ gives us a semidirect product

 $\mathbb{Z}_{11} \rtimes C_5$

of order 55.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト