0. Motivations.

For a perfectivid space X, the functor $X \longrightarrow x^b$ has many good properties. What about analytic adic spaces over Z_p ? There should be a functor $\{$ analytic adic spaces over Z_p $\} \longrightarrow ?$ $X \longmapsto x^b$

which torgets the structure morphism to Zp but keeps all topological information.

Fast: X/Zp analytic adic space, then X is pro-etalle locally perfectored

 $\chi : (\log(\tilde{\chi} \times, \tilde{\chi} \Longrightarrow \tilde{\chi}))$ where $\tilde{\chi} \to \chi$ pro-etale covering, $\tilde{\chi}$, $R = \tilde{\chi} \times_{\chi} \tilde{\chi}$ perfectoid.

Then
$$X^{\circ}$$
 should be $coeq(R^{\bullet} \rightrightarrows X^{\bullet})$.

What category does this live in?

Perfel: the costegory of perfected Spaces Perf: the subcategory of perfect spaces in char p

Recall: A perfect space X is an advic space conversed by opens of the form Space, R^+ , where R is perfect ring.

 $X = \text{Space}, \mathbb{R}^+$) perfol is called affined perfol (affind perfol) if \mathbb{R} perfol.

Inverse limit.

Forp. Let
$$X_i = \operatorname{Spa}(R_i, R_i^*)$$
 be a coffictured inverse system of olford perfect spaces.
For any $\overline{w} \in R_i^+$ compatible choice of pseudo-uniformizers for large i , let
 R^+ be the \overline{w} -adic compution of $\lim_{t \to \infty} R_i^+$ and $R = R^+(\overline{w})$.
Then $X = \operatorname{Spa}(R, R^+)$ is again alford perfect. Moreover
(a) The map $|X| \to \lim_{t \to \infty} |X_i|$ is a homeomorphism of spectral spaces.
(i) The base change functor $(X_i)_{\text{fer}} \to X_{\text{fer}}$ induces equivalence of categories
 $\frac{1}{(X_i)_{\text{fer}}} \sum_{i \in L} \lim_{t \to \infty} (X_i)_{\text{fer}} \longrightarrow X_{\text{fer}}$ and $\lim_{t \to \infty} (X_i)_{\text{fer}} \sum_{i \in L} \lim_{t \to \infty} (X_i)_{\text{fer}} \sum_{i \in L} \sum_{i \in L} \lim_{t \to \infty} (X_i)_{\text{fer}} \sum_{i \in L} \lim_{t \to \infty} (X_i)_{\text{fer}} \sum_{i \in L} \sum_{i \in L} \lim_{t \to \infty} (X_i)_{\text{fer}} \sum_{i \in L} \sum_{i \in L} \lim_{t \to \infty} (X_i)_{\text{fer}} \sum_{i \in L} \sum_{i \in L} \lim_{t \to \infty} (X_i)_{\text{fer}} \sum_{i \in L} \sum_{i \in L} \lim_{t \to \infty} (X_i)_{\text{fer}} \sum_{i \in L} \sum_{i \in L} \lim_{t \to \infty} (X_i)_{\text{fer}} \sum_{i \in L} \sum_{i \in L} \lim_{t \to \infty} (X_i)_{\text{fer}} \sum_{i \in L} \sum_{i \in L} \lim_{t \to \infty} (X_i)_{\text{fer}} \sum_{i \in L} \sum_{i \in L} \lim_{t \to \infty} (X_i)_{\text{fer}} \sum_{i \in L} \sum_{i \in L} \lim_{t \to \infty} (X_i)_{\text{fer}} \sum_{i \in L} \sum_{i \in L} \lim_{t \to \infty} (X_i)_{\text{fer}} \sum_{i \in L} \sum_{i \in L} \lim_{t \to \infty} (X_i)_{\text{fer}} \sum_{i \in L} \sum_{i \in L} \lim_{t \to \infty} (X_i)_{\text{fer}} \sum_{i \in L} \sum_{i \in L} \lim_{t \to \infty} (X_i)_{\text{fer}} \sum_{i \in L} \sum_{i \in L} \sum_{i \in L} \lim_{t \to \infty} (X_i)_{\text{fer}} \sum_{i \in L} \sum$

(i) follows from the theorem of Gabber - Ramero. [1]

$$(A, A^{+}) \text{ Tate pow, } \overline{w} \in A^{+} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad A \quad \text{Henselven clang } \overline{w} A^{+}$$

$$\Rightarrow) \quad A_{feq} \cong \hat{A}_{feq} \quad , \quad \widehat{A} \quad \overline{w} - \text{odic completion.}$$

Pro-etall Murphisms

Pef. A mumphism $f: \operatorname{Spa}(B, B^+) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spa}(A, A^+)$ of older period is called allowed appropro-ctale if there is a filtered direct system (A_i, A_i^+) with A_i period and $\operatorname{Spa}(A_i, A_i^+) \xrightarrow{f_i}$ $\operatorname{Spa}(A, A^+)$ etale such that $(B, B^+) = \underbrace{\operatorname{Cm}(A_i, A_i^+)}_{\operatorname{Spa}(A, A^+)}$ and f is induced by f_i . Spa $(A, B, B^+) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spa}(A_i, A_i^+) \xrightarrow{f_i}$ $\operatorname{Spa}(A, A^+)$

A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of perfit spaces is control pro-etalle if it is locally on the source and target allfind pro-etall, i.e. $\forall X \in X = 3 \times (\cup \subset X)$ open, $\lor \subset Y$ open, $f(U) \subset V$ and $f|_U : U \rightarrow V$ is allfind pro-etall. Examples.

1. Profinite sets.

X period space, S profinite set. Let $X \times S$ be the invose limit of $X \times Si$ where $S = \lim_{k \to \infty} Si$. Then $X \times S$ is period and $X \times S \longrightarrow X$ is pro-etale. $X = Spa(R, R^+)$ $X \amalg X \longrightarrow etale$. $X \amalg X \Rightarrow X$ etale.

2.
$$\chi = \text{Spa}(Q_p)$$
.
 $\chi = \text{Spa}(Q_p) = \text{Spa}(Q_p(p_{poi}))$ is a perfid field and $\tilde{\chi} \rightarrow \chi$ pro-etable cover.
 $Q_p \rightarrow Q_p(p_{poi})$ $Q_p(p_{poi})$

3. Industion of points.
K perfid field, S profinite set, seS,

$$T = Spa(K, O_K) \times S$$

 $f: x = \text{Spack}, O_{k}) \times \{s\} \longrightarrow T$ is prografe since $X = \lim_{k \to \infty} \text{Spack}, O_{k}\} \times U_{i}$ where $U_{i} \subset S$ open and dosed and $\bigcap_{i} U_{i} = \{s\}$.

Actually any Zanishi dosed immersions are po-etale.

2

$$X = Spac(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}^+) \qquad \mathbf{r} \to \mathbf{r}/\mathbf{1} = S$$

= Spac(S, S⁺)

Lemma.

(i) Let $g: Z \rightarrow Y$, $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be pro-etall / affind pro-etall morphisms then $fg: Z \rightarrow X$ is pro-etall / affind pro-etall.

(ii) Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be pro-etall/affind pro-etall, $g: X' \rightarrow X$ be a map from perfet/affind perfet space. Then $f': X'X_X Y \rightarrow X'$ is pro-etall/affind pro-etall. (iii) Let $Y \xrightarrow{h} Y'$ be a commutative diagram with g, g' pro-etall/affind pro-etalle then h is pro-etall/affind pro-etalle.

(i) Locally assume 9. f allend pro-etale.

Write $Z = \underbrace{\lim_{x \to \infty} Z_i} \xrightarrow{g} Y$, $Z_i \xrightarrow{g_i} Y$, g_i affind etale, $Y = \underbrace{\lim_{x \to \infty} Y_j}$ but $2 - \underbrace{\lim_{x \to \infty} (Y_j)}_{affind,et}} = (Y)_{affind,et}$ so $3 Z_{ij} \longrightarrow Y_j$ affind etale such that $Z_i = Z_{ij} \times_{Y_j} Y$ and $Z_i = \underbrace{\lim_{x \to \infty} Z_{ij}}_{Y_j}$. Then $Z_{ij} \longrightarrow Y_j \longrightarrow X$ affind etals and $Z = \underbrace{\lim_{x \to \infty} L_{ij}}_{Y_j} Z_{ij} \xrightarrow{h} X$ pro-etale. (ii) Assume all affind and check directly.

(iiii) Assume all algod pro-etale.

Prop. Let X be affend people.

 X_{et}^{abb} be the cutegory off etale maps $f: \Upsilon \rightarrow \chi$ from altifued period Υ x_{pro-et}^{abb} --- altifued pro-etale ---

Then the functor $Pro(X_{et}^{aff}) \rightarrow X_{pn-et}^{aff}$, $\{T_i\} \longmapsto " \notin T_i$ is an equivalence of categories.

Pf. By definition the fluctur is elsentially surjective. Enough to show fully faithful. Write $X = \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$. $Y_i = \text{Spa}(S_i, S_i^+)$. $Z_j^* = \text{Spa}(T_j, T_j^+)$ $Y = \text{Spa}(S, S^+)$. $Z = \text{Spa}(T, T^+)$

This can be checked locally on $Z = Spa(T, T^T)$.

Since locally an etalle map is a composition of rational embedding and finite etalls map WLOGI absume $f : Z \rightarrow X$ is one of these.

 $0 \text{ f rational embedding} \quad U = \text{Spa}(T, T^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow \chi = \text{Spa}(R, R^{\dagger}) .$

For any
$$g \in Ham_{x}(T, U)$$
, $T = \lim_{t \to 0} T_{i} \xrightarrow{g} U$ autholy since $U \longrightarrow X$
 $f_{i} \xrightarrow{f} U$ open summersion. Such g
 $f_{i} \xrightarrow{f} U$ is unique if exists.
 X

Then the map $f_{i} \longrightarrow X$ factors through U.

Compartness argument.

Topologically we have $|T| = \lim_{t \to \infty} |T_i|$ thus

For each i. $|Y_i| | 2prestmage of U3$ is closed in a spectral space here spectral, and it is compart and Hausdorfff for the constructible topology. Then the inverse built is empty implies one of them has to be empty. (Tychonoffl's Theorem). Hence the map $Y \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow X$ flavors through some Y_i : $Y \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow X$

€ f is finite etale.

Recall we have equivalence of cotegories

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \frac{$$

Det. (The big pro-etall site) Consider the following categories · Perfid : perfectorial spaces perfectorial spaces in char p · Perf : · Xpro-et : perfod spaces pro-etale over X Endew then the structure of a site by saying that a collection $\{f_i: T_i \rightarrow T\}$, is a (pro-etale) avering if · fi pro-etale . Viel. • Y BC open UCY, I finite subset IUCI, 8C open UiCYi, VicIu such that $U = U = f_i(U_i)$.

1pgc

Prop. Let X be a period spone. (. The presheaves O_{x} , O_{x}^{\dagger} are sheaves on Berld. If X altered then Hi (Xpro-et, Dx) = 0, Hi (Xpro-et, Ox) almost zero

2. The presheaf on Perfol defined by hx is a sheaf, it e. all representable presheaves are sheaves, i.e. pro-etail site is subcanonical.

1. WLOG ansume X = Spa (R, R⁺) affind perfid since they are already shaaves the analytic topology. Let We only need to check the sheaf property and almost vanishing of cech cohomology relative to affind pro-etale covering Y = Spa(Roo, Roo) -> X.

Fix a pseudouniformizer to ER. proshaf 0 + (to

Game the Cech complex $\partial \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+/\overline{\omega} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+_{a_0}/\overline{\omega} \longrightarrow \cdots$ is almost exact. For each j, $0 \rightarrow R^+/\varpi \rightarrow R^+_j/\varpi \rightarrow \cdots$ is almost exact, R_j etals were R Take direct buil over j . a gritered direct limit of almost exact sequences is almost exact. $0 \rightarrow R^+ \rightarrow R_{ab}^{ab} \rightarrow \cdots$ is almost exact as all terms are $\overline{w} - tarsim free$ and w - advically complete. Hence higher cohomology of Ox almost vanish. Invert w, a -> R -> Ras -> .- is exact here 0x is pro-stale sheaf whose higher cohomology is zero. Then Ux is also a sheaf on it is determined by subsheaf of Ux of Bunchuns h, is pro-elase sheaf. bunded by (everywhere. 2. Reduce to the case $\chi = \text{spa}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}^+)$, $\chi = \text{spa}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S}^+)$ affind. $\{f_i: Y_i \rightarrow Y\}$ be a pro-etall cover on which one has compatible let $T_i \rightarrow X$. Then we get $R \rightarrow H^{\circ}(T_{Prover}, \mathcal{O}_{T}) = S$ and similarly maps $\mathbf{R}^* \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}^+$. Hence there is a map $\Upsilon \longrightarrow X$. 111

Yixy Yi 3x

× analy lie adic spart (3p 2. Definition of Diamonds. $P = \tilde{\chi} + \tilde{\chi} = \tilde{\chi} \to \chi \qquad \chi^{2} = \log(R^{2} \Rightarrow \tilde{\chi}^{2})$ Intuitively $\mathcal{D} = \tilde{\chi}^{b}/R^{b}$ where $\tilde{\chi}^{b}$. R^{b} are perf spaces. Peff. A diamond is a pro-stall sheaf D on Perf such that one can write P=X/R as a quotient by a perf space X and an equivalence relation

RCXXX such that R is a perild space with s.t. R -> X pro-etale.

Question: What kind of pair (R, X) gives rise to a diamond X/R? We need R mjests into XXX.

Injection Morphisms.

Ref. A map f: Y -> X of perfor spaces is called injection if for all Z perfor space the map f_{*} : Hom $(2, \gamma) \rightarrow$ Hom $(2, \chi)$ is injective.

A map $f: T \rightarrow X$ of performances is control on immersion if it is an injection and $|f| |T| \rightarrow |X|$ is a locally closed runnersion. If |f| is in addition open/closed then f is called an upen / closed nummersion.

$$f \stackrel{f}{\rightarrow} g \stackrel{f}{\rightarrow} g \stackrel{f}{\rightarrow} g$$

- Prop. Let f: Y -> X in perfid. TFAE.
- (- f mjetion, i.e. ∀T, Hom(T, Y) → Hom(T, X)
- 2. V offend partial fields (K.K⁺), Hom (Spa(K,K⁺), Y) ~> Hom (Spa(K,K⁺), X)
- 3. V aligned algo dosed frieds cc, ct), -~
- 4. The map $|f| |\gamma| \rightarrow |x|$ is nyjective and $\forall y \in \gamma$, $K(y) \xrightarrow{\sim} K(f(y))$.
- 5. The map IFI: ITI -> IXI is rejective and VT.

13 bijection where C denotes the set of continuous functions.



Porp 5.3 Et con Diamonds

j'est $Z \xrightarrow{(a,b)} X \times X$ Since after pro-etale covering Z this is true. Hence $R \longrightarrow X \times_0 X$ is surjective. $h_{X \times X}$ [1] Lemma. The absolute product of two perf spaces is again perf. Pf. Suffrie to show the product of two altered perf space is again altered perf. Let $X = \text{Spa}(A, A^+)$. $Y = \text{Spa}(B, B^+)$, pseudoungeneixer $\overline{w} \in A$. $\overline{w}' \in B$. For each $m \cdot n \ge 1$, define a tripology on $A \otimes_{F_p} B$ using ring of definition $\left(A^{\circ} \otimes_{F_p} B^{\circ}\right) \left[\frac{\overline{w}^{m} \otimes 1}{1 \otimes \overline{w}'}, \frac{1 \otimes (\overline{w}')^{n}}{\overline{w} \otimes 1} \right]$ aquipped with $\overline{w} \otimes 1 - \text{adic tripology}$.

et
$$(A \otimes_{F_{p}} B)^{\dagger}$$
 be the integral closure of $A^{\dagger} \otimes_{F_{p}} B^{\dagger}$ in $A \otimes_{F_{p}} B$.
Then $(A \otimes_{F_{p}} B, (A \otimes_{F_{p}} B)^{\dagger})$ is a Huber pour and let $(C_{m.n}, C_{m.n}^{\dagger})$ be

For m'zm and n'zn there is a natural open immersion

$$\operatorname{Spa}(\operatorname{Cm},n,\operatorname{Cm},n') \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spa}(\operatorname{Cm}',n',\operatorname{Cm}',n').$$

Claim: the union of these over all pairs (m,n) represents the product. Suppose (R, R^{+}) is a complete Huber pair

$$\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{F}}}}}(\mathsf{A},\mathsf{A}^{\mathsf{+}}) \longrightarrow (\mathsf{R},\mathsf{R}^{\mathsf{+}}), \quad \mathsf{g}_{\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{F}}}}(\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B}^{\mathsf{+}}) \longrightarrow (\mathsf{R},\mathsf{R}^{\mathsf{+}})$$

Then the sequence $f(\overline{w})^m/g(\overline{w}') = g(\overline{w}')^n/f(\overline{w})$ both approach a in R there exists $m, n \ge 1$ such that both lie in A^n .

Then the homomorphism $(A \otimes_{F_{p}} B, A^{\dagger} \otimes_{F_{p}} B^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow (R, R^{\dagger})$ fature uniquely through some $(C_{m,n}, C_{m,n}^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow (R, R^{\dagger})$. Prop. Let D, D' be diamonds. Then the public sheaf $D \times D'$ is a diamond. Pff. Write D = X/R, D' = X'/R' where X, R, X', R' are perf spaces and $R \longrightarrow X \times X$, $R' \longrightarrow X' \times X'$ are sinjetions inducing equivalence relations. Then $X \times X'$, $R \times R'$ are perf spaces and $R \times R' \longrightarrow (X \times X') \times (X \times X')$ is an sinjection inducing equivalence relation. Therefore $D \times D' = X \times X' / R \times R'$ is a diamond. Fiber products of diamonds are another.

Example.

$$X = \text{Spa}(Q_{p} \text{ has a pro-stall perform covering } X = \text{Spa}(Q_{p})^{\text{current}}.$$

$$(Q_{q} (Q_{p}(\mu_{pos})/(Q_{p})) = 2p^{\times} \cdot (Q_{p}^{\text{current}})^{\text{b}} = \text{Fp}((t^{\frac{1}{p^{-1}}})) t \text{- advis completions of Fp}(t^{\frac{1}{p^{-1}}})$$
where $t = (1, 3p, 3p^{\text{s}}, \dots) - 1$ for $(3p^{\text{s}})^{\text{s}}$ compatible system of point power
nots of units.

$$Y(t^{2})^{\text{current}}.$$

$$R = X \times_{X} X = X \times \frac{2p}{X} \quad \text{considered as a perform space.}$$

$$\text{Spd}(Q_{p} = X^{\circ}) = \text{coeq}(X^{\circ} \times \frac{2p}{X} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} X^{\circ}) = \text{Spa}(t^{\frac{1}{p^{-1}}}) / 2p^{\times}$$

$$\text{Prop. } X = \text{Spa}(R, R^{+}) \quad \text{effind perform space , then } (\text{Spd}(Q_{p} > (X) \text{ is the set of isom a current of the pollowing data } (R \rightarrow \tilde{R}, t \in \tilde{R}) \quad \text{where }$$

$$1. \quad R \rightarrow \tilde{R} \quad \text{is a } \frac{2p^{\times}}{2p} - \text{tarsor }, \text{ i.e. } \tilde{R} = (\frac{4m}{p} R_{n})^{\circ} - R_{n}/R \quad \text{finite stale with }$$

$$\text{Galois group}(Z/p^{\circ} Z)^{\times} \quad R = Q_{p} \quad R_{p} \times Q_{p}(M_{p}^{\circ})$$

$$Z. \quad t \in \tilde{R} \quad \text{topologically milpotest unit such that } Y \neq 2p^{\times}, Y \cdot t = (1+t)^{\vee}-1$$

