# Bounding Selmer Groups of Finite Galois Modules

#### Jacob Tsimerman

University of Toronto

### July 25, 2019

Joint work with Arul Shankar

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ

- K Number field of degree n
- $\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{K}}$  Class group of  $\mathcal{K}$
- $D_K$  Absolute value of the Discriminant of K

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

- *K* Number field of degree *n*
- $\operatorname{Cl}_{K}$  Class group of K
- $D_K$  Absolute value of the Discriminant of K

#### **Class Number Formula:**

$$w_{\mathcal{K}} \cdot D_{\mathcal{K}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \zeta_{\mathcal{K}}(s) = 2^{r_1} \cdot (2\pi)^{r_2} \cdot \operatorname{Reg}_{\mathcal{K}} \cdot |\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{K}}|$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

- K Number field of degree n
- $\operatorname{Cl}_{K}$  Class group of K
- $D_K$  Absolute value of the Discriminant of K

#### **Class Number Formula:**

$$w_{\mathcal{K}} \cdot D_{\mathcal{K}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \zeta_{\mathcal{K}}(s) = 2^{r_1} \cdot (2\pi)^{r_2} \cdot \operatorname{Reg}_{\mathcal{K}} \cdot |\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{K}}|$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

### Corollary (Brauer-Siegel)

 $|\mathrm{Cl}_{K}| \leqslant D_{K}^{\frac{1}{2}+o_{n}(1)}$ 

The exponent of  $\frac{1}{2}$  is tight.

Applications to counting number fields, integral points on Elliptic Curves, lower bounds for Galois orbits(André-Oort), modular forms,...

Applications to counting number fields, integral points on Elliptic Curves, lower bounds for Galois orbits(André-Oort), modular forms,...

**Heuristic**:  $Cl_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a 'random' finite Abelian group, so should be 'close' to a cyclic group.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Applications to counting number fields, integral points on Elliptic Curves, lower bounds for Galois orbits(André-Oort), modular forms,...

**Heuristic**:  $Cl_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a 'random' finite Abelian group, so should be 'close' to a cyclic group.

# Conjecture (Zhang, Brumer-Silverman) $Fix \ n = [K : \mathbb{Q}] \text{ and } m > 1.$ Then $|Cl_{\mathcal{K}}[m]| = D_{\mathcal{K}}^{o(1)}$

Applications to counting number fields, integral points on Elliptic Curves, lower bounds for Galois orbits(André-Oort), modular forms,...

**Heuristic**:  $Cl_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a 'random' finite Abelian group, so should be 'close' to a cyclic group.

#### Conjecture (Zhang, Brumer-Silverman)

Fix  $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$  and m > 1. Then

 $|\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathcal{K}}[m]| = D_{\mathcal{K}}^{o(1)}$ 

Trivial 'convexity bound':  $|\operatorname{Cl}_{K}[m]| \leq D_{K}^{\frac{1}{2}+o(1)}$ 

Applications to counting number fields, integral points on Elliptic Curves, lower bounds for Galois orbits(André-Oort), modular forms,...

**Heuristic**:  $Cl_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a 'random' finite Abelian group, so should be 'close' to a cyclic group.

#### Conjecture (Zhang, Brumer-Silverman)

Fix  $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$  and m > 1. Then

 $|\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathcal{K}}[m]| = D_{\mathcal{K}}^{o(1)}$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

Trivial 'convexity bound':  $|\operatorname{Cl}_{K}[m]| \leqslant D_{K}^{\frac{1}{2}+o(1)}$ 

Subconvexity:  $|\operatorname{Cl}_{K}[m]| \leq D_{K}^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta_{m,n}+o(1)}$ 

Subconvexity:  $|\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{K}}[m]| \leq D_{\mathcal{K}}^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta_{m,n}+o(1)}$ 

- $\delta_{2^k,2} = \frac{1}{2}$  (Gauss)
- $\delta_{3,2} = \frac{1}{6}$  (Pierce, Helfgott-Venkatesh, Ellenberg Venkatesh).
- $\delta_{3,3} = \delta_{3,4} > 0$  (Ellenberg-Venkatesh)
- $\delta_{2,n} = \frac{1}{2n}$  (Bhargava-Shankar-Taniguchi-Thorne-T-Zhao)
- $\delta_{m,n} = \frac{1}{2m(n-1)}$  Conditional on GRH (Ellenberg-Venkatesh).

Subconvexity:  $|Cl_K[m]| \leq D_K^{\frac{1}{2} - \delta_{m,n} + o(1)}$ 

- $\delta_{2^k,2} = \frac{1}{2}$  (Gauss)
- $\delta_{3,2} = \frac{1}{6}$  (Pierce, Helfgott-Venkatesh, Ellenberg Venkatesh).
- $\delta_{3,3} = \delta_{3,4} > 0$  (Ellenberg-Venkatesh)
- $\delta_{2,n} = \frac{1}{2n}$  (Bhargava-Shankar-Taniguchi-Thorne-T-Zhao)
- $\delta_{m,n} = \frac{1}{2m(n-1)}$  Conditional on GRH (Ellenberg-Venkatesh).

#### Theorem (Shankar-T)

Assume the Refined BSD Conjecture. Then  $\delta_{5,2} = \frac{1}{16}$ . Further Assuming GRH,  $\delta_{5,2} = \delta_{3,2} = \frac{1}{4}$ .

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● ● ● ●

# Heuristic Method: Embedding into Global Motives

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# Heuristic Method: Embedding into Global Motives

### WARNING: I KNOW NOTHING ABOUT MOTIVES!



Step 1: Reframe Cl<sub>K</sub>[n] as the Selmer group of a finite G<sub>0</sub>-module, 'separating it from K'.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

- Step 1: Reframe Cl<sub>K</sub>[n] as the Selmer group of a finite G<sub>Q</sub>-module, 'separating it from K'.
- On the one hand, we have finite  $\mathrm{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}\text{-modules}\ A$ , and we want to bound  $\mathrm{Sel}(A).$

- Step 1: Reframe Cl<sub>K</sub>[n] as the Selmer group of a finite G<sub>Q</sub>-module, 'separating it from K'.
- On the one hand, we have finite  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules A, and we want to bound Sel(A).
- On the other hand, we have motives *M*, and these have 'Class groups' Cl(*M*), which satisfy a *Class Number Formula*, giving analytic control over |Cl(M)|.

- Step 1: Reframe Cl<sub>K</sub>[n] as the Selmer group of a finite G<sub>Q</sub>-module, 'separating it from K'.
- On the one hand, we have finite  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules A, and we want to bound Sel(A).
- On the other hand, we have motives *M*, and these have 'Class groups' Cl(*M*), which satisfy a *Class Number Formula*, giving analytic control over |Cl(M)|.
- Occasionally, we may 'embed' A → M, giving an 'embedding' Sel(A) → Cl(M), yielding a 'trivial' upper bound.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

- Step 1: Reframe Cl<sub>K</sub>[n] as the Selmer group of a finite G<sub>Q</sub>-module, 'separating it from K'.
- On the one hand, we have finite  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules A, and we want to bound Sel(A).
- On the other hand, we have motives *M*, and these have 'Class groups' Cl(*M*), which satisfy a *Class Number Formula*, giving analytic control over |Cl(M)|.
- Occasionally, we may 'embed' A → M, giving an 'embedding' Sel(A) → Cl(M), yielding a 'trivial' upper bound.
- The game is to find the best M for a given A. In other words, perhaps  $D_K^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is not the best possible trivial bound for  $|Cl_K[m]|$

# Finite Selmer Groups

A - Finite  $\mathrm{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  module.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

# Finite Selmer Groups

A - Finite  $\mathrm{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  module.



 $D_A := D_L$  where  $G_L$  is the kernel of the action of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  on A. Analytic convention: We will write  $>, <, \approx$  to mean up to factors of  $D_A^{o(1)}$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

# Finite Selmer Groups

A - Finite  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  module.



 $D_A := D_L$  where  $G_L$  is the kernel of the action of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  on A. Analytic convention: We will write >, <,  $\approx$  to mean up to factors of  $D_A^{o(1)}$ .

• For exact  $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$ , we have

 $\max(|\mathrm{Sel}(A)|, |\mathrm{Sel}(C)|) \le |\mathrm{Sel}(B)| \le |\mathrm{Sel}(A)| \cdot |\mathrm{Sel}(C)|.$ 

• (Poitou-Tate) For  $A^D := \operatorname{Hom}(A, \mathbb{G}_m)$ ,

 $|\operatorname{Sel}(A)| \approx |\operatorname{Sel}(A^D)|$ 

- *T* Algebraic Torus over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , dimension *d*.
- X(T) cocharacter Group of T over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .
- $\rho_T : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset X(T)$ , of Artin conductor  $f_T$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

•  $\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{T}} := T(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus T(\mathbb{A}_f) / T(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}).$ 

- *T* Algebraic Torus over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , dimension *d*.
- X(T) cocharacter Group of T over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .
- $\rho_T : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset X(T)$ , of Artin conductor  $f_T$ .
- $\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{T}} := T(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus T(\mathbb{A}_f) / T(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}).$
- (Shyr, Ono, T, Ullmo-Yafaev)  $|\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{T}}| \cdot \operatorname{Reg}_{\mathcal{T}} = f_{\mathcal{T}}^{\frac{1}{2} + o_d(1)}$ .

- *T* Algebraic Torus over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , dimension *d*.
- X(T) cocharacter Group of T over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .
- $\rho_T : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset X(T)$ , of Artin conductor  $f_T$ .
- $\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{T}} := \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{A}_f) / \mathcal{T}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}).$
- (Shyr, Ono, T, Ullmo-Yafaev)  $|\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{T}}| \cdot \operatorname{Reg}_{\mathcal{T}} = f_{\mathcal{T}}^{\frac{1}{2} + o_d(1)}$ .

Analytic Warning: we will write  $\approx$  to mean equal up to factors of  $f_T^{o(1)}$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- *T* Algebraic Torus over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , dimension *d*.
- X(T) cocharacter Group of T over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .
- $\rho_T : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset X(T)$ , of Artin conductor  $f_T$ .
- $\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{T}} := \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{A}_f) / \mathcal{T}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}).$
- (Shyr, Ono, T, Ullmo-Yafaev)  $|\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{T}}| \cdot \operatorname{Reg}_{\mathcal{T}} = f_{\mathcal{T}}^{\frac{1}{2} + o_d(1)}$ .

Analytic Warning: we will write  $\approx$  to mean equal up to factors of  $f_T^{o(1)}$ .

Let  $\phi : T \to S$  be an Isogeny,  $M_{\phi} : \operatorname{Coker}(X(\phi) : X(T) \to X(S))$ .

- *T* Algebraic Torus over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , dimension *d*.
- X(T) cocharacter Group of T over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .
- $\rho_T : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset X(T)$ , of Artin conductor  $f_T$ .
- $\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{T}} := \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{A}_f) / \mathcal{T}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}).$
- (Shyr, Ono, T, Ullmo-Yafaev)  $|\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{T}}| \cdot \operatorname{Reg}_{\mathcal{T}} = f_{\mathcal{T}}^{\frac{1}{2} + o_d(1)}$ .

Analytic Warning: we will write  $\approx$  to mean equal up to factors of  $f_T^{o(1)}$ .

Let  $\phi : T \to S$  be an Isogeny,  $M_{\phi} : \operatorname{Coker}(X(\phi) : X(T) \to X(S))$ .

For  $\operatorname{Cl}(\phi) : \operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{T}} \to \operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{S}}$ ,  $|\operatorname{Sel}(M_{\phi})| \approx |\operatorname{Ker}\operatorname{Cl}(\phi)| \approx |\operatorname{Coker}(\operatorname{Cl}(\phi))|$ .

- *T* Algebraic Torus over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , dimension *d*.
- X(T) cocharacter Group of T over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .
- $\rho_T : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset X(T)$ , of Artin conductor  $f_T$ .
- $\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{T}} := \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{A}_f) / \mathcal{T}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}).$
- (Shyr, Ono, T, Ullmo-Yafaev)  $|\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{T}}| \cdot \operatorname{Reg}_{\mathcal{T}} = f_{\mathcal{T}}^{\frac{1}{2} + o_d(1)}$ .

Analytic Warning: we will write  $\approx$  to mean equal up to factors of  $f_T^{o(1)}$ .

Let  $\phi : T \to S$  be an Isogeny,  $M_{\phi} : \operatorname{Coker}(X(\phi) : X(T) \to X(S))$ .

For  $\operatorname{Cl}(\phi) : \operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{T}} \to \operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{S}}$ ,  $|\operatorname{Sel}(M_{\phi})| \approx |\operatorname{Ker}\operatorname{Cl}(\phi)| \approx |\operatorname{Coker}(\operatorname{Cl}(\phi))|$ .

- $K S_3$  cubic field
- L quadratic resolvent field of K (  $L = (K^{nor})^{A_3}$ ).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

- $K S_3$  cubic field
- L quadratic resolvent field of K (  $L = (K^{nor})^{A_3}$ ).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

- $T_K := \operatorname{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} G_m$
- $\rho_{K,n} := \rho_{T_K} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}.$
- $\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{K}}[3] \approx \operatorname{Sel}(\rho_{\mathcal{K},3}).$

- $K S_3$  cubic field
- L quadratic resolvent field of K (  $L = (K^{nor})^{A_3}$ ).
- $T_K := \operatorname{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} G_m$
- $\rho_{K,n} := \rho_{T_K} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}.$
- $\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{K}}[3] \approx \operatorname{Sel}(\rho_{\mathcal{K},3}).$
- Now, we have an exact sequence of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  modules  $0 \to \rho_{L,3} \to \rho_{K,3} \to \mathbb{F}_3 \to 0.$

- $K S_3$  cubic field
- L quadratic resolvent field of K (  $L = (K^{nor})^{A_3}$ ).
- $T_K := \operatorname{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} G_m$
- $\rho_{K,n} := \rho_{T_K} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}.$
- $\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{K}}[3] \approx \operatorname{Sel}(\rho_{\mathcal{K},3}).$
- Now, we have an exact sequence of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  modules  $0 \to \rho_{L,3} \to \rho_{K,3} \to \mathbb{F}_3 \to 0.$
- Since  $|{\rm Sel}(\mathbb{F}_3)|\approx |{\rm Cl}_{\mathbb{Q}}[3]|\approx 1,$  we see that

Transfer Principle for 3-torsion in cubic fields (Gerth)

 $|\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{K}}[3]| \approx |\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{L}}[3]|$ 

# Example: 2-torsion of quartic fields

- $K S_4$  or  $A_4$  quartic field
- L cubic resolvent field of K (  $L = (K^{nor})^{D_4}$ ).
- $\operatorname{Cl}_{\kappa}[2] \approx \operatorname{Sel}(\rho_{\kappa,2}).$
- $\rho_{K,2}$  and  $\rho_{L,2}$  are extensions of the same 2-dimensional irreducible component by trivial modules, so

### Transfer Principle for 2-torsion in quartic fields (T)

 $|\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathcal{K}}[2]| \approx |\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathcal{L}}[2]|$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

### Theorem (Gras, Gerth)

Let L be a cubic field, and K its quadratic resolvent. If  ${\sf LK}/{\sf K}$  is unramified, then

 $\mathrm{rk}_{2}\mathrm{Cl}_{L}=\mathrm{rk}_{2}\mathrm{Cl}_{K}+1.$ 

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

### Theorem (Gras, Gerth)

Let L be a cubic field, and K its quadratic resolvent. If LK/K is unramified, then

 $\mathrm{rk}_{2}\mathrm{Cl}_{L}=\mathrm{rk}_{2}\mathrm{Cl}_{K}+1.$ 

### Conjecture (Lemmermeyer)

Let K be an  $A_4$  quartic field, and L its cubic resolvent. Then

 $0 \leqslant \mathrm{rk}_2\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathcal{K}} - \mathrm{rk}_2\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathcal{L}} \leqslant 2.$ 

### Theorem (Gras,Gerth)

Let L be a cubic field, and K its quadratic resolvent. If LK/K is unramified, then

 $\mathrm{rk}_{2}\mathrm{Cl}_{L}=\mathrm{rk}_{2}\mathrm{Cl}_{K}+1.$ 

### Conjecture (Lemmermeyer)

Let K be an  $A_4$  quartic field, and L its cubic resolvent. Then

 $0 \leqslant \mathrm{rk}_2\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathcal{K}} - \mathrm{rk}_2\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathcal{L}} \leqslant 2.$ 

(Klys, 2018)  $-10 \leq \mathrm{rk}_2 \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathcal{K}} - \mathrm{rk}_2 \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathcal{L}} \leq 12.$ 

• 
$$E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$$
 - Elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

- $H_E := \max(A^3, B^2)$
- r rank of  $E(\mathbb{Q})$
- $\Omega_E$  minimal period of E.

• 
$$E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$$
 - Elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$ 

- $H_E := \max(A^3, B^2)$
- r rank of  $E(\mathbb{Q})$
- $\Omega_E$  minimal period of E.

### Refined BSD Conjecture

$$\frac{L^{(r)}(E,1)}{r!} = \frac{\# \mathrm{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})}{\# E(\mathbb{Q})_{tor}^2} \cdot \mathrm{Reg}_E \cdot \Omega_E \cdot \prod_{p|N} c_p$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

• 
$$E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$$
 - Elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$ 

- $H_E := \max(A^3, B^2)$
- r rank of  $E(\mathbb{Q})$
- $\Omega_E$  minimal period of E.

#### Refined BSD Conjecture

$$\frac{L^{(r)}(E,1)}{r!} = \frac{\# \mathrm{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})}{\# E(\mathbb{Q})_{tor}^2} \cdot \mathrm{Reg}_E \cdot \Omega_E \cdot \prod_{p|N} c_p$$

We think of  $\operatorname{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$  as the 'Class Group' of the motive given by E, and the Refined BSD Conjecture as the 'Class number Formula'.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

• 
$$E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$$
 - Elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$ 

- $H_E := \max(A^3, B^2)$
- r rank of  $E(\mathbb{Q})$
- $\Omega_E$  minimal period of E.

### Refined BSD Conjecture

$$\frac{L^{(r)}(E,1)}{r!} = \frac{\# \mathrm{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})}{\# E(\mathbb{Q})_{tor}^2} \cdot \mathrm{Reg}_E \cdot \Omega_E \cdot \prod_{p \mid N} c_p$$

We think of  $\operatorname{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$  as the 'Class Group' of the motive given by E, and the Refined BSD Conjecture as the 'Class number Formula'. Note:  $\Omega_E = H_E^{\frac{1}{12} + o(1)}$ ,

Optimistic Conjecture(Refined BSD+GRH+Bounds on Ranks)

$$\# \mathrm{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \cdot \mathrm{Reg}_E = H_E^{\frac{1}{12} + o(1)}$$

# Elliptic curves: Comparing Selmer Groups



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

# Elliptic curves: Comparing Selmer Groups

For all v at which E has good reduction and E[n] is unramified, the image of  $\kappa_v$  consists exactly of the unramified classes, i.e. the image of  $H^1(G_{\mathbb{F}_v}, E[n])$ .

# Elliptic curves: Comparing Selmer Groups

For all v at which E has good reduction and E[n] is unramified, the image of  $\kappa_v$  consists exactly of the unramified classes, i.e. the image of  $H^1(G_{\mathbb{F}_v}, E[n])$ .

It follows that  $|\operatorname{Sel}_n(E)| \approx |\operatorname{Sel}(E[n])|$ .

 Assume E[5] = Z/5Z ⊕ μ<sub>5</sub>. This is the only part of the proof which uses 5 and not a higher prime.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = 差 = のへで

 Assume E[5] = Z/5Z ⊕ μ<sub>5</sub>. This is the only part of the proof which uses 5 and not a higher prime.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

•  $E_D: y^2 = x^3 + AD^3X + BD^2$ .

- Assume E[5] = Z/5Z ⊕ μ<sub>5</sub>. This is the only part of the proof which uses 5 and not a higher prime.
- $E_D: y^2 = x^3 + AD^3X + BD^2$ .
- *E<sub>D</sub>*[5] = *χ*<sub>D,5</sub> ⊕ *χ*<sub>D,5</sub>(1), where *χ*<sub>D,5</sub> : G<sub>Q</sub> ⊂ ℤ/5ℤ quadratic character associated to ℚ(√D).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

- Assume E[5] = Z/5Z ⊕ μ<sub>5</sub>. This is the only part of the proof which uses 5 and not a higher prime.
- $E_D: y^2 = x^3 + AD^3X + BD^2$ .
- *E<sub>D</sub>*[5] = *χ<sub>D,5</sub>* ⊕ *χ<sub>D,5</sub>*(1), where *χ<sub>D,5</sub>* : G<sub>Q</sub> ⊂ ℤ/5ℤ quadratic character associated to ℚ(√D).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

•  $\operatorname{Sel}(E_D[5]) = \operatorname{Sel}(\chi_{D,5}) \oplus \operatorname{Sel}(\chi_{D,5}(1)).$ 

- Assume E[5] = Z/5Z ⊕ μ<sub>5</sub>. This is the only part of the proof which uses 5 and not a higher prime.
- $E_D: y^2 = x^3 + AD^3X + BD^2$ .
- *E<sub>D</sub>*[5] = *χ<sub>D,5</sub>* ⊕ *χ<sub>D,5</sub>*(1), where *χ<sub>D,5</sub>* : G<sub>Q</sub> ⊂ ℤ/5ℤ quadratic character associated to ℚ(√D).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

- $\operatorname{Sel}(E_D[5]) = \operatorname{Sel}(\chi_{D,5}) \oplus \operatorname{Sel}(\chi_{D,5}(1)).$
- Since  $\chi_{D,5}, \chi_{D,5}(1)$  are Cartier Dual,  $|\operatorname{Sel}(\chi_{D,5})(1)| \approx |\operatorname{Sel}(\chi_{D,5}| \approx |\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})}[5]|.$

- Assume E[5] = Z/5Z ⊕ μ<sub>5</sub>. This is the only part of the proof which uses 5 and not a higher prime.
- $E_D: y^2 = x^3 + AD^3X + BD^2$ .
- *E<sub>D</sub>*[5] = *χ<sub>D,5</sub>* ⊕ *χ<sub>D,5</sub>*(1), where *χ<sub>D,5</sub>* : G<sub>Q</sub> ⊂ ℤ/5ℤ quadratic character associated to ℚ(√D).
- $\operatorname{Sel}(E_D[5]) = \operatorname{Sel}(\chi_{D,5}) \oplus \operatorname{Sel}(\chi_{D,5}(1)).$
- Since  $\chi_{D,5}, \chi_{D,5}(1)$  are Cartier Dual,  $|\operatorname{Sel}(\chi_{D,5})(1)| \approx |\operatorname{Sel}(\chi_{D,5}| \approx |\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})}[5]|.$

Key Relation

$$|\operatorname{Sel}(E_D[5])| = |\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})}[5]|^2.$$

•  $0 \to E_D(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_5 \to \operatorname{Sel}_5(E_D) \to \operatorname{III}(E_D/\mathbb{Q})[5] \to 0$ 



# Proof: Analytic Details

•  $0 \to E_D(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_5 \to \operatorname{Sel}_5(E_D) \to \operatorname{III}(E_D/\mathbb{Q})[5] \to 0$ So

 $|\mathrm{III}(E_D/\mathbb{Q})| \geq |\mathrm{Sel}_5(E_D)| \cdot 5^{r_{E_D}+2} > |\mathrm{Sel}(E_D[5])| \cdot 5^{r_{E_D}+2}$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

### Proof: Analytic Details

• 
$$0 \to E_D(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_5 \to \operatorname{Sel}_5(E_D) \to \operatorname{III}(E_D/\mathbb{Q})[5] \to 0$$
  
So

 $|\mathrm{III}(E_D/\mathbb{Q})| \geq |\mathrm{Sel}_5(E_D)| \cdot 5^{r_{E_D}+2} > |\mathrm{Sel}(E_D[5])| \cdot 5^{r_{E_D}+2}$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

•  $\operatorname{Sel}_2(E_D) \ll \omega(D) \Rightarrow r_{E_d} \ll \omega(D) = o(\ln(D))$ 

• 
$$0 \to E_D(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_5 \to \operatorname{Sel}_5(E_D) \to \operatorname{III}(E_D/\mathbb{Q})[5] \to 0$$
  
So

 $|\mathrm{III}(E_D/\mathbb{Q})| \geq |\mathrm{Sel}_5(E_D)| \cdot 5^{r_{E_D}+2} > |\mathrm{Sel}(E_D[5])| \cdot 5^{r_{E_D}+2}$ 

- $\operatorname{Sel}_2(E_D) \ll \omega(D) \Rightarrow r_{E_d} \ll \omega(D) = o(\ln(D))$
- Reg<sub>E</sub> ≥ |D|<sup>o(1)</sup> since E<sub>D</sub>(Q) ⊗ Q has dimension o(ln(D)), and Neron-Tate height is bounded below.

• 
$$0 \to E_D(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_5 \to \operatorname{Sel}_5(E_D) \to \operatorname{III}(E_D/\mathbb{Q})[5] \to 0$$
  
So

 $|\mathrm{III}(E_D/\mathbb{Q})| \geq |\mathrm{Sel}_5(E_D)| \cdot 5^{r_{E_D}+2} > |\mathrm{Sel}(E_D[5])| \cdot 5^{r_{E_D}+2}$ 

• 
$$\operatorname{Sel}_2(E_D) \ll \omega(D) \Rightarrow r_{E_d} \ll \omega(D) = o(\ln(D))$$

 Reg<sub>E</sub> ≥ |D|<sup>o(1)</sup> since E<sub>D</sub>(Q) ⊗ Q has dimension o(ln(D)), and Neron-Tate height is bounded below.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

•  $\frac{L^{(r_E)}(E_D,1)}{r_E!} \ll D^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{8}+o(1)}$  - Subconvexity estimate+Cauchy integral formula (Harcos)

• 
$$0 \to E_D(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_5 \to \operatorname{Sel}_5(E_D) \to \operatorname{III}(E_D/\mathbb{Q})[5] \to 0$$
  
So

 $|\mathrm{III}(E_D/\mathbb{Q})| \geq |\mathrm{Sel}_5(E_D)| \cdot 5^{r_{E_D}+2} > |\mathrm{Sel}(E_D[5])| \cdot 5^{r_{E_D}+2}$ 

• 
$$\operatorname{Sel}_2(E_D) \ll \omega(D) \Rightarrow r_{E_d} \ll \omega(D) = o(\ln(D))$$

 Reg<sub>E</sub> ≥ |D|<sup>o(1)</sup> since E<sub>D</sub>(Q) ⊗ Q has dimension o(ln(D)), and Neron-Tate height is bounded below.

- $\frac{L^{(r_E)}(E_D,1)}{r_E!} \ll D^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{8}+o(1)}$  Subconvexity estimate+Cauchy integral formula (Harcos)
- $H_{E_D} \sim |D|^6$

• 
$$0 \to E_D(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_5 \to \operatorname{Sel}_5(E_D) \to \operatorname{III}(E_D/\mathbb{Q})[5] \to 0$$
  
So

 $|\mathrm{III}(E_D/\mathbb{Q})| \geqslant |\mathrm{Sel}_5(E_D)| \cdot 5^{r_{E_D}+2} > |\mathrm{Sel}(E_D[5])| \cdot 5^{r_{E_D}+2}$ 

• 
$$\operatorname{Sel}_2(E_D) \ll \omega(D) \Rightarrow r_{E_d} \ll \omega(D) = o(\ln(D))$$

- Reg<sub>E</sub> ≥ |D|<sup>o(1)</sup> since E<sub>D</sub>(Q) ⊗ Q has dimension o(ln(D)), and Neron-Tate height is bounded below.
- $\frac{L^{(r_E)}(E_D,1)}{r_E!} \ll D^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{8}+o(1)}$  Subconvexity estimate+Cauchy integral formula (Harcos)
- $H_{E_D} \sim |D|^6$
- Refined

 $\mathsf{BSD} \Rightarrow |\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})}[5]|^2 \approx |\mathrm{Sel}(E_D[5])| \leq |D|^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{8} + o(1)}$ 



• There is no  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  with  $E[p] = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mu_p$ . Note that having a *p*-torsion point is not enough!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



• There is no  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  with  $E[p] = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mu_p$ . Note that having a *p*-torsion point is not enough!

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• We win with BSD+subconvexity if we can find Abelian Variety over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with full level *p*-structure.

# Primes p > 5

- There is no E/Q with E[p] = Z/pZ ⊕ µ<sub>p</sub>. Note that having a p-torsion point is not enough!
- We win with BSD+subconvexity if we can find Abelian Variety over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with full level *p*-structure.
- For motives *M*, have Bloch Kato + (Equivariant) Tamagawa number conjecture. Highly conjectural, not so clear (to me!) how to systematically find embeddings Sel(*A*) → H<sup>1</sup>(*M*).

# Primes p > 5

- There is no  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  with  $E[p] = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mu_p$ . Note that having a *p*-torsion point is not enough!
- We win with BSD+subconvexity if we can find Abelian Variety over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with full level *p*-structure.
- For motives *M*, have Bloch Kato + (Equivariant) Tamagawa number conjecture. Highly conjectural, not so clear (to me!) how to systematically find embeddings Sel(*A*) → H<sup>1</sup>(*M*).
- Concretely, for  $X/\mathbb{Q}$  smooth projective,  $M = H^i(X)(j)$ . Want

$$H^{i}(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{F}_{\ell}(j)) = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{a} \oplus (\mu_{p})^{b}.$$

Do these exist?

# Thank you!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @