

2.6 Multiplicity of Roots

2.6.1 Definition. Let m be a positive integer. For any $f \in R[x]$, the ring element $a \in R$ is a root of **multiplicity m** if f is divisible by $(x - a)^m$ but not $(x - a)^{m+1}$. A root of multiplicity 1 is a **simple** root and a root of multiplicity two is a **double** root.

2.6.2 Lemma. Let m and n be the multiplicities of the root $a \in R$ for the polynomials $f \in R[x]$ and $g \in R[x]$.

- The sum $f + g$ has a root of multiplicity at least $\min\{m, n\}$ at a and is equal to $\min\{m, n\}$ if $m \neq n$.
- The product fg has a root of multiplicity at least $m + n$ and it is equal to $m + n$ if R is domain.

Sketch of Proof. Set $f(x) = (x - a)^m p(x)$ and $g(x) = (x - a)^n q(x)$ where $p, q \in R[x]$ satisfy $p(a) \neq 0 \neq q(a)$. When $m \leq n$, it follows that $f(x) + g(x) = (x - a)^m (p(x) + (x - a)^{m-n} q(x))$ and a is not a root of $p(x) + (x - a)^{m-n} q(x)$. We have $f(x)g(x) = (x - a)^{m+n} p(x)q(x)$ and $p(a)q(a) \neq 0$ if R is a domain. \square

2.6.3 Proposition. Let R be a domain. Given a nonzero $f \in R[x]$ with distinct roots a_1, a_2, \dots, a_ℓ having multiplicities m_1, m_2, \dots, m_ℓ , there is a polynomial $g \in R[x]$ such that a_1, a_2, \dots, a_ℓ are not roots of g and

$$f(x) = (x - a_1)^{m_1} (x - a_2)^{m_2} \dots (x - a_\ell)^{m_\ell} g(x)$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on ℓ . The case $\ell = 1$ is covered by Proposition 2.5.8. Suppose that

$$f(x) = (x - a_1)^{m_1} (x - a_2)^{m_2} \dots (x - a_{\ell-1})^{m_{\ell-1}} h(x)$$

Since R is a domain and the root a_ℓ is distinct from $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{\ell-1}$, it follows that a_ℓ is not a root of the polynomial

$$(x - a_1)^{m_1} (x - a_2)^{m_2} \dots (x - a_{\ell-1})^{m_{\ell-1}}.$$

The element a_ℓ is a root of multiplicity m_ℓ of the polynomial h and Proposition 2.5.8 yields $h(x) = (x - a_\ell)^{m_\ell} g(x)$ where $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{\ell-1}$ are not roots of g . \square

2.6.4 Corollary. Let R be a domain. Given nonzero polynomial f in $R[x]$ of degree m , the sum of multiplicities of all the roots of f is at most m . \square

2.6.5 Example. Over the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}/\langle 2 \rangle \times \mathbb{Z}/\langle 2 \rangle$, all four elements are roots of the polynomial $x^2 - x \in R[x]$. \diamond

2.6.6 Corollary. Let R be a domain and consider nonzero polynomials $f, g \in R[x]$ of degree at most m . If there exists $m + 1$ pairwise distinct elements a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m in R such that $f(a_i) = g(a_i)$ for all $0 \leq i \leq m$, then we have $f = g$.

Proof. The polynomial $h := f - g$ has degree at most m and has at least $m + 1$ roots, so $h = 0$. \square

2.6.7 Proposition (Lagrange Interpolation). *Let K be a field and let a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m be $m + 1$ distinct elements of K . For any $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_m \in K$, there exists a unique polynomial $f \in K[x]$ of degree at most m such that $f(a_j) = b_j$ for all $0 \leq j \leq m$.*

Proof. Uniqueness follows from Corollary 2.6.6. For all $0 \leq j \leq m$, consider

$$g_j(x) := \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{(x - a_k)}{(a_j - a_k)}.$$

It follows that $\deg(g_j) = m$ and $g_j(a_k) = \delta_{j,k}$. Thus, we may take $f(x) := \sum_{j=0}^m b_j g_j(x)$. \square

2.6.8 Proposition. *Let m be a positive integer. If $a \in R$ is a root of the polynomial $f \in R[x]$ having multiplicity m , then a is a root of the derivative $Df \in R[x]$ having multiplicity at least $m - 1$. When $m 1_R \neq 0$ in R , then a is a root of Df having multiplicity $m - 1$.*

Proof. Proposition 2.5.8 establishes that there exists $g \in R[x]$ such that $f = (x - a)^m g$ and $g(a) \neq 0$. It follows that

$$Df = m(x - a)^{m-1} g + (x - a)^m Dg = (x - a)^{m-1} (m g + (x - a) Dg)$$

giving the first part. Since the evaluation map sends the polynomial $m g + (x - a) Dg$ to the ring element $m g(a)$, this ring element is nonzero when $m 1_R \neq 0$. \square

2.6.9 Corollary. *Let m be an integer such that $m! 1_R \neq 0$ in the ring R . An element $a \in R$ is a root of the polynomial $f \in R[x]$ having multiplicity m if and only if a is a root of $f, Df, \dots, D^{m-1} f$ and not a root of $D^m f$.*

Proof. Follows immediately from Proposition 2.6.8. \square

Let m be a positive integer such that $m 1_R = 0$ in R . For the polynomial $f := x^m$, we have $Df = m x^{m-1} = 0$, so the derivative has 0 as a root of arbitrarily high multiplicity.

2.7 Euclidean Domains

Copyright © 2020, Gregory G. Smith
Last updated: 2020-10-15

2.7.1 Definition. A *Euclidean domain* is a domain R equipped with a function $\partial : R \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

- for all $f, g \in R \setminus \{0\}$, we have $\partial(f) \leq \partial(fg)$;
- for all $f \in R$ and all $g \in R \setminus \{0\}$, there exists $q, r \in R$ such that $f = qg + r$ and either $r = 0$ or $\partial(r) < \partial(g)$.

2.7.2 Example. The integers \mathbb{Z} form a Euclidean domain where the function $\partial : \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is defined by $\partial(m) := |m|$. \diamond

2.7.3 Example. For any field K , the polynomial ring $K[x]$ forms a Euclidean domain where the function $\partial : K[x] \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is defined by $\partial(f) := \deg(f)$. \diamond

2.7.4 Example. For any field K , the formal power series ring $K[[x]]$ is a Euclidean domain where the function $\partial : K[[x]] \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is defined by $\partial(f) = \text{ord}(f)$ and

$$\text{ord}(f) := \min\left(k \mid a_k \neq 0 \text{ where } f = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k x^k\right). \quad \diamond$$

When $m = \text{ord}(f) \leq \text{ord}(g) = n$, we have $f = 0g + f$. Otherwise $m > n$, we have $f = x^m p$ and $g = x^n q$ where $p, q \in K[[x]]$ are units, so $f = x^{m-n} p q^{-1} g + 0$.

2.7.5 Problem. Show that the ring $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a Euclidean domain where $\partial : K[[x]] \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is defined by $\partial(a + bi) := a^2 + b^2$.

Geometric Solution. The elements of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ form a square lattice in \mathbb{C} . The ideal $\langle z \rangle$, all multiples of z , forms a similar lattice: if we write $z = re^{i\theta}$, then the lattice corresponding to $\langle z \rangle$ is obtained by rotating through the angle θ followed by stretching by the factor $r = |z|$. It is clear that for every $w \in \mathbb{C}$, there is at least one point of the lattice corresponding to $\langle z \rangle$ whose square distance from w is at most $(1/2)|z|^2$. Let that point be qz and set $p := w - qz$. It follows that $|p|^2 \leq (1/2)|z|^2 < |z|^2$ as required. Since there may be more than one choice for qz , this division with remainder is not unique. \square

Algebraic Solution. Divide $w \in \mathbb{C}$ by z : $w = cz$ where $c = x + yi \in \mathbb{C}$. Choose a nearest Gaussian integer: $x := a + x_0$, $y := b + y_0$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $-1/2 \leq x_0, y_0 < 1/2$. It follows that the product $(a + bi)z$ is the required point in $\langle z \rangle$ because we have $|x_0 + y_0 i|^2 < 1/2$ and $|w - (a + bi)z|^2 = |z(x_0 + y_0 i)|^2 < (1/2)|z|^2$. \square

2.7.6 Definition. A *principal ideal domain* is a domain in which every ideal is principal.

2.7.7 Theorem. Every Euclidean domain is a principal ideal domain.

Proof. Let I be an ideal in a Euclidean domain R . When $I = \langle 0 \rangle$, the ideal I is principal, so we may assume $I \neq \langle 0 \rangle$. By the well-ordering property of the integers, the set of all degrees of nonzero elements

in I has a minimum, say n . Choose $f \in I$ with $\partial(f) = n$. Since $f \in I$, we have $\langle f \rangle \subseteq I$. For any $g \in I$, there exists $q, r \in R$ with $g = qf + r$ and either $r = 0$ or $\partial(r) < \partial(f)$. However, we have $r = f - qg \in I$ so our choice of f implies that $r = 0$. Therefore, we deduce that $g = qf$ and $I \subseteq \langle f \rangle$. \square

2.7.8 Problem. Show that the ideal $\langle 2, x \rangle$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is not principal.

Solution. Suppose that $\langle f \rangle = \langle 2, x \rangle$. It follows that $gf = 2$ for some $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Since $\deg(g) + \deg(f) = \deg(2) = 0$, we see that $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, we have $f = \{\pm 1, \pm 2\}$. Since $\langle 2, x \rangle$ is a maximal ideal, the element f cannot be a unit, so $f = \pm 2$. However, we would also have $x \in \langle f \rangle$, so $x = 2h$ for some $h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ which is absurd. \square

2.7.9 Problem. Show that the ideal $\langle 2, 1 - \sqrt{-3} \rangle$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}] \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is not principal.

Solution. Suppose that $\langle a + b\sqrt{-3} \rangle = \langle 2, 1 - \sqrt{-3} \rangle$. It follows that $g(a + b\sqrt{-3}) = 2$ for some $g \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$. Taking absolute values in \mathbb{C} gives $|g| (a^2 + 3b^2) = 2$, so $a^2 + 3b^2 \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2\}$. Because $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ we must have $a = \pm 1, b = 0$ which contradicts the fact that $\langle 2, 1 - \sqrt{-3} \rangle$ is a maximal ideal. \square

2.7.10 Example. The rings

- $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \{a + b\alpha \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ where $\alpha := (1 + \sqrt{-19})/2$,
- $\mathbb{R}[x, y]/\langle x^2 + y^2 + 1 \rangle$,
- $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]/\langle y^2 - 2x^2 - 5 \rangle$,

are principal ideal domains but not Euclidean domains. For more details, see

- Jack C. Wilson, A principal ideal ring that is not a Euclidean ring, *Mathematics Magazine* 46 (1973) 34–38;
- Anthony J. Bevelacqua, A family of non-Euclidean PIDs, *American Mathematical Monthly* 123 (2016), no. 9, 936–939. \diamond