

Solutions to second midterm

Dave Bayer, Modern Algebra, Exam date November, 1998

[1] Find the multiplicative inverse of 23 mod 103.

Solution: By the extended euclidean algorithm, we compute

$$\begin{bmatrix} 103 & 1 & 0 \\ 23 & 0 & 1 \\ 11 & 1 & -4 \\ 1 & -2 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{which we interpret as} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 103 = 1 \cdot 103 + 0 \cdot 23 \\ 23 = 0 \cdot 103 + 1 \cdot 23 \\ 11 = 1 \cdot 103 - 4 \cdot 23 \\ 1 = -2 \cdot 103 + 9 \cdot 23 \end{bmatrix}$$

so $23^{-1} = 9 \pmod{103}$. We check our answer: $23 \cdot 9 = 230 - 23 = 207 = (2 \cdot 103) + 1$.

Alternatively, the multiplicative group of integers mod 103 has order 102 because 103 is prime, so $x \cdot x^{101} = x^{102} = 1$ for any integer x which is nonzero mod 103. Writing $101 = 64 + 32 + 4 + 1$, we can compute x^{101} as $x^{64} \cdot x^{32} \cdot x^4 \cdot x$. We compute $23^{-1} = 23^{101}$ by hand, reducing mod 103 whenever it helps:

$$\begin{aligned} 23^2 &= (25 - 2)^2 = 625 - 4 \cdot 25 + 4 = 529 = 14 \\ 23^4 &= 14^2 = (15 - 1)^2 = 225 - 2 \cdot 15 + 1 = 196 = -10 \\ 23^8 &= (-10)^2 = 100 = -3 \\ 23^{16} &= (-3)^2 = 9 \\ 23^{32} &= 9^2 = 81 = -22 \\ 23^{64} &= (-22)^2 = 4 \cdot 11^2 = 4 \cdot 121 = 4 \cdot 18 = 72 = -31 \\ 23^{-1} &= 23^{101} = 23^{64} \cdot 23^{32} \cdot 23^4 \cdot 23 = (-31)(-22)(-10)23 = -(620 + 62)230 \\ &= -(2 + 62)24 = -64 \cdot 24 = -16 \cdot 96 = 16 \cdot 7 = 80 + 32 = 112 = 9 \end{aligned}$$

We have used several times the formula $(a - b)^2 = a^2 - 2ab + b^2$ to rewrite squares into products we can recall without the tedium of direct multiplication. We chose negative representatives mod 103 whenever they had a smaller magnitude. The point is to meander through the arithmetic, keeping sufficiently amused to avoid making mistakes. It is unlikely that any two people would follow the same course through this calculation.

Can you think of a way of computing x^{101} that takes fewer than the 9 multiplies

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &= x \cdot x, & x^4 &= x^2 \cdot x^2, & x^8 &= x^4 \cdot x^4, & x^{16} &= x^8 \cdot x^8, & x^{32} &= x^{16} \cdot x^{16}, & x^{64} &= x^{32} \cdot x^{32}, \\ x^5 &= x \cdot x^4, & x^{37} &= x^5 \cdot x^{32}, & x^{101} &= x^{37} \cdot x^{64} \end{aligned}$$

used here? What about for other powers of x ? How would you teach a computer to exponentiate, if multiplication was *extremely* expensive, and you were allowed all the preparation time you needed to plan the calculation?

[2] *Prove ONE of the following two assertions:*

(a) Let V and W be subspaces of a vector space U . Then

$$\dim(V) + \dim(W) = \dim(V \cap W) + \dim(V + W).$$

Solution: Choose a basis u_1, \dots, u_j for $V \cap W$. Extend u_1, \dots, u_j to a basis $u_1, \dots, u_j, v_1, \dots, v_k$ for V . Also extend u_1, \dots, u_j to a basis $u_1, \dots, u_j, w_1, \dots, w_\ell$ for W . We claim that $u_1, \dots, u_j, v_1, \dots, v_k, w_1, \dots, w_\ell$ is a basis for $V + W$. Counting basis elements, the formula will follow as

$$(j + k) + (j + \ell) = (j) + (j + k + \ell).$$

Independence: For any expression

$$\sum_{i=1}^j r_i u_i + \sum_{i=1}^k s_i v_i + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} t_i w_i = 0$$

we need to show that all coefficients r_i, s_i, t_i are zero. Write

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^j r_i u_i + \sum_{i=1}^k s_i v_i = -\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} t_i w_i.$$

We see that $\alpha \in V$ and $\alpha \in W$, so $\alpha \in V \cap W$. Since u_1, \dots, u_j is a basis for $V \cap W$, α can be written in a unique way as a linear combination of u_1, \dots, u_j . This same expression must be the unique way of writing α as a linear combination of the basis $u_1, \dots, u_j, w_1, \dots, w_\ell$ for W , so we have $t_1 = \dots = t_\ell = 0$. Thus $\alpha = 0$. Because $u_1, \dots, u_j, v_1, \dots, v_k$ is a basis for V , we have $r_1 = \dots = r_j = 0$ and $s_1 = \dots = s_k = 0$ as desired.

Spanning: If $u \in V + W$, we need to show that u can be written as a linear combination of the vectors $u_1, \dots, u_j, v_1, \dots, v_k, w_1, \dots, w_\ell$. Write $u = v + w$ where $v \in V$ and $w \in W$, and write

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^j r_i u_i + \sum_{i=1}^k s_i v_i \quad \text{and} \quad w = \sum_{i=1}^j r'_i u_i + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} t_i w_i.$$

Then

$$u = v + w = \sum_{i=1}^j (r_i + r'_i) u_i + \sum_{i=1}^k s_i v_i + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} t_i w_i$$

as desired.

(b) Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation of vector spaces. Then

$$\dim(\ker(T)) + \dim(\text{image}(T)) = \dim(V).$$

Solution: There are various ways to prove this; here is one: Choose a basis u_1, \dots, u_j for $\ker(T)$, and choose a basis w_1, \dots, w_k for $\text{image}(T)$. For each $w_i \in W$, choose a vector $v_i \in V$ so $T(v_i) = w_i$. We claim that $u_1, \dots, u_j, v_1, \dots, v_k$ is a basis for V . Counting basis elements, the formula will follow as

$$(j) + (k) = (j + k).$$

Independence: For any expression

$$\sum_{i=1}^j r_i u_i + \sum_{i=1}^k s_i v_i = 0$$

we need to show that all coefficients r_i, s_i are zero. Applying T , we have

$$T\left(\sum_{i=1}^j r_i u_i + \sum_{i=1}^k s_i v_i\right) = 0 + \sum_{i=1}^k s_i T(v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k s_i w_i = 0,$$

so $s_i = \dots = s_k = 0$ because w_1, \dots, w_k is a basis for $\text{image}(T)$. Now $r_i = \dots = r_j = 0$ because u_1, \dots, u_j is a basis for $\ker(T)$.

Spanning: If $v \in V$, we need to show that v can be written as a linear combination of the vectors $u_1, \dots, u_j, v_1, \dots, v_k$. Write $T(v) = \sum_{i=1}^k s_i w_i$. Then $T(v) = T(\sum_{i=1}^k s_i v_i)$, so $v - \sum_{i=1}^k s_i v_i$ belongs to $\ker(T)$. Write

$$v - \sum_{i=1}^k s_i v_i = \sum_{i=1}^j r_i u_i, \quad \text{so} \quad v = \sum_{i=1}^j r_i u_i + \sum_{i=1}^k s_i v_i \quad \text{as desired.}$$

[3] Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -4 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find a change of basis matrix B so $A = BCB^{-1}$ where C is in Jordan canonical form. Use B and C to give an expression for e^{At} . You do not need to multiply this expression out.

Solution: A has characteristic polynomial

$$\lambda^2 - \text{trace}(A)\lambda + \det(A) = \lambda^2 - 6\lambda + 9 = (\lambda - 3)^2,$$

so A has a repeated eigenvalue of 3. We have

$$A - 3I = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -4 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is a nonzero matrix, so we have the Jordan canonical forms

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for} \quad A - 3I, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for} \quad A,$$

with respect to any basis v_1, v_2 such that

$$(A - 3I)v_2 = v_1 \quad \text{and} \quad (A - 3I)v_1 = 0.$$

This will hold for most choices of v_2 , so we try $v_2 = (1, 0)$. We have $(A - 3I)(1, 0) = (2, 1)$, and $(A - 3I)(2, 1) = (0, 0)$ as desired. Thus

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -4 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We check our work:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 3 & -6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -4 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that

$$e^{At} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -4 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{3t} & te^{3t} \\ 0 & e^{3t} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

[4] Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear transformation from the n -dimensional vector space V to itself, such that $T^2 = 0$. Prove that for some basis $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ of V , the matrix A for T with respect to this basis is in Jordan canonical form.

Solution: We have $\text{image}(T) \subset \ker(T)$, because $w \in \text{image}(T) \Rightarrow w = T(v) \Rightarrow T(w) = T^2(v) = 0$. Choose a basis w_1, \dots, w_j for $\text{image}(T)$, and extend this basis to a basis $w_1, \dots, w_j, u_1, \dots, u_k$ for $\ker(T)$. For each w_i , choose a vector $v_i \in V$ so $T(v_i) = w_i$. We claim that $w_1, \dots, w_j, u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_j$ is a basis for V . Arranging this basis in the order $v_1, w_1, \dots, v_j, w_j, u_1, \dots, u_k$ and relabeling as $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ gives the desired Jordan form.

Independence: For any expression

$$\sum_{i=1}^j r_i w_i + \sum_{i=1}^k s_i u_i + \sum_{i=1}^j t_i v_i = 0$$

we need to show that all coefficients r_i, s_i, t_i are zero. Applying T , we have

$$T\left(\sum_{i=1}^j r_i w_i + \sum_{i=1}^k s_i u_i + \sum_{i=1}^j t_i v_i\right) = 0 + 0 + \sum_{i=1}^j t_i T(v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^j t_i w_i = 0,$$

so $t_1 = \dots = t_j = 0$ because w_1, \dots, w_j is a basis for $\text{image}(T)$. Now $r_1 = \dots = r_j = 0$ and $s_1 = \dots = s_k = 0$ because $w_1, \dots, w_j, u_1, \dots, u_k$ is a basis for $\ker(T)$.

Spanning: If $v \in V$, we need to show that v can be written as a linear combination of the vectors $w_1, \dots, w_j, u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_j$. Write $T(v) = \sum_{i=1}^j t_i w_i$. Then $T(v) = T(\sum_{i=1}^j t_i v_i)$, so $v - \sum_{i=1}^j t_i v_i$ belongs to $\ker(T)$. Write

$$v - \sum_{i=1}^j t_i v_i = \sum_{i=1}^j r_i w_i + \sum_{i=1}^k s_i u_i,$$

so

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^j r_i w_i + \sum_{i=1}^k s_i u_i + \sum_{i=1}^j t_i v_i$$

as desired.

[5] Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find a formula for e^{At} .

Solution: We first need a formula for A^n . We have

$$A^1 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}, A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^2 & 2\lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda^2 & 2\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}, A^3 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^3 & 3\lambda^2 & 3\lambda \\ 0 & \lambda^3 & 3\lambda^2 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}, A^4 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^4 & 4\lambda^3 & 6\lambda^2 \\ 0 & \lambda^4 & 4\lambda^3 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}, A^5 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^5 & 5\lambda^4 & 10\lambda^3 \\ 0 & \lambda^5 & 5\lambda^4 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

allowing us to guess that

$$A^n = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^n & n\lambda^{n-1} & \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\lambda^{n-2} \\ 0 & \lambda^n & n\lambda^{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^n \end{bmatrix}$$

We check this formula inductively:

$$A^{n+1} = A A^n = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^n & n\lambda^{n-1} & \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\lambda^{n-2} \\ 0 & \lambda^n & n\lambda^{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{(n+1)} & (n+1)\lambda^n & \frac{(n+1)n}{2}\lambda^{n-1} \\ 0 & \lambda^{(n+1)} & (n+1)\lambda^n \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^{(n+1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

as desired. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{At} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A^n \frac{t^n}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^n & n\lambda^{n-1} & \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\lambda^{n-2} \\ 0 & \lambda^n & n\lambda^{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^n \end{bmatrix} \frac{t^n}{n!} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n t^n}{n!} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n\lambda^{n-1} t^n}{n!} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\lambda^{n-2} t^n}{n!} \\ 0 & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n t^n}{n!} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n\lambda^{n-1} t^n}{n!} \\ 0 & 0 & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n t^n}{n!} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n t^n}{n!} &= e^{\lambda t} \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n\lambda^{n-1} t^n}{n!} &= t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{n-1} t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n t^n}{n!} = t e^{\lambda t} \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\lambda^{n-2} t^n}{n!} &= \frac{t^2}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{n-2} t^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} = \frac{t^2}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n t^n}{n!} = \frac{t^2}{2} e^{\lambda t} \end{aligned}$$

so

$$e^{At} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\lambda t} & t e^{\lambda t} & \frac{t^2}{2} e^{\lambda t} \\ 0 & e^{\lambda t} & t e^{\lambda t} \\ 0 & 0 & e^{\lambda t} \end{bmatrix}$$

giving us the desired formula.