Quadratic Forms, Sums of Three Squares

Jinoo Kim

Columbia University Department of Mathematics

> Instructor: Avi Zeff

Additive Number Theory Seminar Jan 31, 2024

- Introduction to Quadratic Forms
- Classification of Binary Quadratic Forms
- **Olassification of Ternary Quadratic Forms**
- Sums of Three Squares

Ex An example of an equivalence relation is $A \sim B \iff a - b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

Say that two matrices $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ are **equivalent**

$$A \sim B \iff B = A \cdot U = U^T A U$$

for some $U \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$.

This equivalence relation preserves determinants, so $A \sim B \implies \det(A) = \det(B)$

 $\implies \det(A \cdot U) = \det(U^T A U) = \det(U^T) \det(A) \det(U) = \det(A)$

The **equivalence classes** constructed by the equivalence relation partition the set of symmetric matrices in $M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ into equivalence classes based on their determinant.

Ex Let
$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$.
 $B = U^T A U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 13 & 31 \\ 31 & 74 \end{pmatrix}$

Def Each $n \times n$ symmetric matrix A (where the entry in the ith row and jth column is $a_{i,j}$) has an associated **Quadratic Form** F_A : $F_A(x_1, ..., x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} x_i x_j.$

We can think of the x_i 's as entries in a column vector, x. This allows us to write the quadratic form as $F_A(x_1, ..., x_n) = x^T A x$.

We say that two forms are **equivalent** if their associated matrices are equivalent and so

$$A \sim B \iff F_A \sim F_B.$$

Ex The identity matrix I_2 has an associated Quadratic Form $x_1^2 + x_2^2$.

$$F_A(x_1, x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= x_1^2 + x_2^2$$

We say that F_A represents N if $\exists x_1, ..., x_n$ such that $F_A(x_1, ..., x_n) = x^T A x = N$, where $N, x_1, ..., x_n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Ex The quadratic form $x_1^2 + x_2^2$ represents $13 = 2^2 + 3^2$ but not 7.

Any two quadratic forms in the same equivalence class represent the same integers.

$$\implies F_A(x) = x^T A x = x^T U^T B U x = (Ux)^T B (Ux) = F_B(Ux)$$

Def The quadratic form F_A is called **Positive-Definite** if $F_A(x_1,...,x_n) \ge 1$ for all $(x_1,...,x_n) \ne (0,...,0)$. Every form equivalent to a positive-definite quadratic form is positive-definite.

Def A **Binary** quadratic form is over two variables (x_1, x_2) and a **Ternary** quadratic form is over three.

Def The **discriminant** of the quadratic form F_A is the determinant of the matrix A.

In this section we will be classifying binary quadratic forms, in particular, by proving that every positive-definite binary quadratic form of discriminant 1 is equivalent to the form $x_1^2 + x_2^2$.

This is mainly useful in helping us understand ternary quadratic forms, which we will ultimately use in our proof about the sums of three squares.

Binary Quadratic Forms II

Lemma 1

Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} \\ a_{1,2} & a_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

be a 2×2 symmetric matrix and let

$$F_A(x_1, x_2) = a_{1,1}x_1^2 + 2a_{1,2}x_1x_2 + a_{2,2}x_2^2$$

be the quadratic form. F_A is positive definite if and only if $a_{1,1} \ge 1$ and the discriminant d satisfies

$$d = \det(A) = a_{1,1}a_{2,2} - a_{1,2}^2 \ge 1.$$

To prove an if and only if, we prove the forward and converse direction. First, we assume F_A is positive-definite then show that the conditions are satisfied. Second, we assume the conditions are satisfied and show that F_A is positive-definite.

Binary Quadratic Forms III

Lemma 1

Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} \\ a_{1,2} & a_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

be a 2×2 symmetric matrix and let

$$F_A(x_1, x_2) = a_{1,1}x_1^2 + 2a_{1,2}x_1x_2 + a_{2,2}x_2^2$$

be the quadratic form. F_A is positive definite if and only if $a_{1,1} \ge 1$ and the discriminant d satisfies

$$d = \det(A) = a_{1,1}a_{2,2} - a_{1,2}^2 \ge 1.$$

- F_A is positive definite $\implies F_A(1,0) = a_{1,1} \ge 1$
- F_A is positive definite $\implies F_A(-a_{1,2}, a_{1,1}) = a_{1,1}(a_{1,1}a_{2,2} a_{1,2}^2)$ = $a_{1,1}d \ge 1$.

d must be an integer and it can not be 0 or negative, otherwise $a_{1,1}d$ would be 0 or negative. Thus, $d \ge 1$.

Binary Quadratic Forms IV

Lemma 1

Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} \\ a_{1,2} & a_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

be a 2×2 symmetric matrix and let

$$F_A(x_1, x_2) = a_{1,1}x_1^2 + 2a_{1,2}x_1x_2 + a_{2,2}x_2^2$$

be the quadratic form. F_A is positive definite if and only if $a_{1,1} \ge 1$ and the discriminant d satisfies

$$d = \det(A) = a_{1,1}a_{2,2} - a_{1,2}^2 \ge 1.$$

If $a_{1,1} \geq 1$ and $d \geq 1$, then

$$a_{1,1}F_A(x_1, x_2) = (a_{1,1}x_1 + a_{1,2}x_2)^2 + dx_2^2 \ge 0$$

Thus, if $a_{1,1} \ge 1$ and $d \ge 1$, F_A is positive definite. $(F_A = 0?)$

The conditions we outlined in $Lemma \ 1$ then help us prove $Lemma \ 2$:

Lemma 2

Every equivalence class of positive definite binary quadratic forms of discriminant d **contains at least one form**

$$F_B(x_1, x_2) = b_{1,1}x_1^2 + 2b_{1,2}x_1x_2 + b_{2,2}x_2^2$$

for which

$$2|b_{1,2}| \le b_{1,1} \le \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\sqrt{d}$$

This proof is quite technical and so for time's sake I will simply outline it here. The details of the proof can be found in Nathanson $\S1.3$ (Lemma 1.2) or in my lecture notes.

Binary Quadratic Forms VI

Lemma 2

Every equivalence class of positive definite binary quadratic forms of discriminant d **contains at least one form**

$$F_B(x_1, x_2) = b_{1,1}x_1^2 + 2b_{1,2}x_1x_2 + b_{2,2}x_2^2$$

for which

$$2|b_{1,2}| \le b_{1,1} \le \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\sqrt{d}$$

- I take arbitrary matrix A and construct a subsequent matrix U ∈ SL₂(ℤ).
- When I conjugate A by U, I get a matrix $B = U^T A U$ which is positive-definite.
- I am then able to prove the inequality in the lemma, using a combination of clever algebraic manipulation and the properties outlined in Lemma 1

Thm Every positive-definite binary quadratic form of discriminant 1 is equivalent to the form $x_1^2 + x_2^2$.

Let F be some arbitrary positive-definite binary quadratic form of discriminant 1. By **Lemma 2**, the form F is equivalent to a form $a_{1,1}x_1^2 + 2a_{1,2}x_1x_2 + a_{2,2}x_2^2$ for which

$$2|a_{1,2}| \le a_{1,1} \le \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\sqrt{d}$$

• Since $a_{1,1} \geq 1$, d = 1, and $a_{1,1} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\sqrt{d}$, we must have $a_{1,1} = 1$

• If $a_{1,1} = 1$ and $2|a_{1,2}| \le a_{1,1}$, we have that $a_{1,2} = 0$

• Since d = 1, it follows that $a_{2,2} = a_{1,1}a_{2,2} - a_{1,2}^2 = 1$.

Plugging $a_{2,2} = 1, a_{1,2} = 0, a_{1,1} = 1$ into our quadratic form, we get that the form F is equivalent to $x_1^2 + x_2^2$ and we are done. \Box

- Details of the classification of Ternary Quadratic Forms can be found in Nathanson $\S1.4$. However, the general proof structure is similar and we end up proving a similar result.
- Thm Every positive-definite ternary quadratic form of discriminant 1 is equivalent to the form $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$.

In this section we will ultimately look to classify integers that can be written as the sum of three squares:

Thm A positive integer N can be represented as the sum of three squares if and only if N is not of the form $N = 4^a(8k + 7)$

We require three preliminary 'ingredients' to prove this. We will, of course, use the theorem we just stated:

Thm Every positive-definite ternary quadratic form of discriminant 1 is equivalent to the form $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$.

as well as Gauss's law of quadratic reciprocity, and Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions. (see next slide)

Def If a is a **Quadratic Residue** modulo m, it means that there is some n such that $n^2 \equiv a \pmod{m}$.

Ex 4 is a quadratic residue modulo 8 because $6^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$. As is 2^2 .

Law of Quadratic Reciprocity

Let p and q be distinct odd prime numbers, and define the Legendre symbol as:

$$\binom{q}{p} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n^2 \equiv q \mod p \text{ for some integer } n \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Using the Legendre symbol, the quadratic reciprocity law can be stated concisely: $\binom{p}{q}\binom{q}{p} = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}\cdot\frac{q-1}{2}}$

$$(rac{p}{q})=(rac{q}{p}) ext{ if } p ext{ or } q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$

 $(rac{-1}{p})=1 ext{ if and only if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

Further, the Legendre Symbol is multiplicative: $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ac \\ bd \end{pmatrix}$

Dirichlet's Theorem on Primes in Arithmetic Progressions

For fixed $a, q \in \mathbb{N}$, a, q coprime, there are infinitely many primes of the form a + qn, i.e. there are infinitely many primes congruent to $a \mod q$.

Ex There are infinitely many primes congruent to $1 \pmod{4}$ but finitely many primes congruent to $2 \pmod{4}$.

Lemma 3

Let $n \ge 2$. If there exists a positive integer d' such that -d' is a quadratic residue modulo d'n - 1, then n can be represented as the sum of three squares.

Recall that -d' is a quadratic residue modulo d'n - 1 if there is some n such that $x^2 = -d' \pmod{d'n - 1}$.

Let m = d'n - 1.

- By definition, $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x^2 \equiv -d' \pmod{m}$. So for some y, we can also say $x^2 = my d' \implies d' = my x^2$.
- We assumed in the lemma that $n \ge 2$ and $d' \ge 1$. Thus, $m = d'n 1 \ge 2d' 1 \ge 1$.

We can now construct a symmetric matrix A which corresponds to a ternary form F_A which represents n and has discriminant 1. Given this matrix and using the previously stated theorem,

Thm Every positive-definite ternary quadratic form of discriminant 1 is equivalent to the form $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$.

we know that this means n can be written as the sum of three squares. (See Lemma 1.3 in Nathanson for proof that A is also positive-definite).

Lemma 3

Let $n \ge 2$. If there exists a positive integer d' such that -d' is a quadratic residue modulo d'n - 1, then n can be represented as the sum of three squares.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} y & x & 1 \\ x & m & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & n \end{pmatrix}$$

Recall from earlier in the proof that $d' = my - x^2$.

•
$$det(A) = (my - x^2)n - m = d'n - m$$

• We defined m = d'n - 1 and so det(A) = 1.

This matrix has determinant 1 and thus F_A has discriminant 1. If we let x be (0, 0, 1) then $F_A(0,0,1) = n$. We are thus done.

Lemma 4

If n is a positive integer and $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then n can be represented as the sum of three squares.

Since 4n and n-1 are coprime, we can use **Dirichlet's Theorem** to say that there are infinitely many primes congruent to $n-1 \mod 4n$.

Choose $j \ge 1$ such that p = 4nj + n - 1 = (4j + 1)n - 1 is prime. Let d' = 4j + 1 and since $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$,

$$p = d'n - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$

By **Lemma 3**, we just need to show that -d' is a quadratic residue mod p in order to show that n can be represented as the sum of three squares. If we say that q_i are the distinct primes dividing d', then we have

$$p = d'n - 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{q_i}.$$

This is because p is by definition one less than d'n which is a multiple of any q_i . Thus, $p \equiv -1 \pmod{q_i}$.

Sums of Three Squares X

We can write the prime factorization of d' as a series of $q_i^{k_i}$ where q_i is the underlying prime and k_i is the exponent:

$$d' = \prod_{q_i|d'} q_i^{k_i}$$

By quadratic reciprocity, we have that $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$ since $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

$$\left(\frac{-d'}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)\left(\frac{d'}{p}\right) \tag{1}$$
$$= \left(\frac{d'}{p}\right) \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{d'}{p} = \prod_{q_i|d'} \left(\frac{q_i}{p}\right)^{k_i} \tag{3}$$

$$= \prod_{q_i|d'} \left(\frac{p}{q_i}\right)^{k_i} \tag{4}$$

$$= \prod_{q_i|d'} \left(\frac{-1}{q_i}\right)^{k_i} \tag{5}$$

- (3) follows from multiplicativity (each $q_i^{k_i}$ multiplied together equals d').
- (3) to (4) follows since p is 1 (mod 4) $\implies (\frac{q_i}{p}) = (\frac{p}{q_i})$.
- (4) to (5) follows since p ≡ -1 (mod q_i) so if p is a quadratic residue so is -1 and vice versa

$$\prod_{q_i|d'} \left(\frac{-1}{q_i}\right)^{k_i} = \prod_{q_i|d', q_i \equiv 3(mod4)} (-1)^{k_i}$$
(6)

Primes congruent to $3 \mod 4$ are never residues and thus the Legendre Symbol in that case is always -1.

$$\prod_{q_i|d',q_i\equiv 3(mod4)} (-1)^{k_i} = 1 \tag{7}$$

 $d' = 1 \mod 4$ by definition. Further, each of the q_i are 1 or 3 mod 4.

• When
$$q_i = 3 \pmod{4}$$
, $(-1)^{k_i} = 3^{k_i} = q_i^{k_i} \pmod{4}$

• When
$$q_i = 1 \pmod{4}$$
, $q_i^{k_i} = 1^{k_i} = 1 \pmod{4}$

• So $d' = q_i^{k_i} \cdot 1 = q_i^{k_i}$ which is equivalent to $(-1)^{k_i}$ for each of the $q_i = 3 \mod 4$.

Given this, the product $(-1)^{k_i}$ for each of the $q_i = 3 \mod 4$ is congruent to d' which is 1 mod 4. Since $(-1)^{k_i}$ must be 1 or -1 and congruent to 1 mod 4, we see that it must be equal to 1. So $(\frac{-d'}{p}) = 1$ and we are done.

Lemma 5

If n is a positive integer such that $n \equiv 1, 3, 5 \pmod{8}$ then n can be represented as the sum of three squares

The proof of this is structurally quite similar to **Lemma 4**. The full proof can be found in Nathanson $\S1.5$ but I will not mention it here for time's sake.

We first prove (\Longrightarrow), that a sum of three squares can not have the form $N=4^a(8k+7).$

We can confirm by hand that only 0, 1, 4 are quadratic residues modulo 8. $(0^2 = 0, 1^2 = 1, 2^2 = 4 \text{ etc.})$. Now, consider $N = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \pmod{8}$. We can again manually check that N can only be 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 modulo 8.

Let us assume for the sake of contradiction that there does exist a sum of three squares that has form $4^a(8k + 7)$. So we assume that we can write N as such: $N = 4^a(8k + 7) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$.

Note that $8k + 7 \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$. So if N = 8k + 7, i.e. a = 0, then it cannot be the sum of three squares.

Now, let's consider what happens when we multiply 8k + 7 by powers of 4. If N could be written as a sum of three squares $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$ and is divisible by 4, then x_1, x_2, x_3 must all be even. This can again be manually verified since we know only 0, 1, 4 are quadratic residues modulo 8. If any of x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2 are not even, i.e. congruent to 1 modulo 8, then it is impossible for their sum to be divisible by 4.

Since x_1, x_2, x_3 are all even we can divide by 4: $N_1 = 4^{a-1}(8k+7) = (\frac{x_1}{2})^2 + (\frac{x_2}{2})^2 + (\frac{x_3}{2})^2$. We can repeat this process, continually obtaining N_i 's as follows: $N_i = 4^{a-i}(8k+7) = (\frac{x_1}{2^i})^2 + (\frac{x_2}{2^i})^2 + (\frac{x_3}{2^i})^2$

We continually divide until we have one of two cases (1) one of the three terms is odd or (2) we cannot divide by 4 any further.

In the case of (1): we have $N_j = 4^{a-j}(8k+7) = (\frac{x_1}{2^j})^2 + (\frac{x_2}{2^j})^2 + (\frac{x_3}{2^j})^2$, where j < a and at least one of $\frac{x_1}{2^j}, \frac{x_2}{2^j}, \frac{x_3}{2^j}$ are odd. This yields a contradiction since if the left side is divisible by 4 then all of $\frac{x_1}{2^j}, \frac{x_2}{2^j}, \frac{x_3}{2^j}$ must be even.

In the case of (2), i.e. we cannot divide by 4 any further, our expression looks as follows: $N_a = 4^{a-a}(8k+7) = 8k+7 = (\frac{x_1}{2^a})^2 + (\frac{x_2}{2^a})^2 + (\frac{x_3}{2^a})^2$. This again is a contradiction, however, since we know that (8k+7) cannot be represented as the sum of three squares. Thus it is not possible for a sum of three squares to be written in the form $4^a(8k+7)$.

Let's now prove the other direction (\Leftarrow), that is, if N is not of the above form, it can be represented as the sum of three squares.

Notice that every positive integer can be written in the form 4^am , where m is either 2 (mod 4) or 1, 3, 5, 7 (mod 8) and 4^a is the highest possible power of 4.

If m is even, then it is not divisible by 4 so it is 2 mod 4 and if m is odd then it is necessarily 1,3,5, or 7 mod 8. We know from proving the (\implies) direction, that if m can be written as a sum of three squares then so can $4^a m$ (we just multiply x_1, x_2, x_3 each by 2^a).

From Lemma 5 and Lemma 6, we know that if $m = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 \mod 8$, then it can be represented as the sum of three squares.

So for any m that is not equivalent to 7 mod 8, it can be represented as the sum of three squares. Thus, if N is not of the form $4^a(8k+7)$, N can be represented as the sum of three squares and we are done.