

Supply and Shorting in Speculative Markets

Marcel Nutz

Columbia University

with Johannes Muhle-Karbe (Part I)
and José Scheinkman (Parts II–III)

May 2017

Outline

1 Part I: Resale Option

2 Part II: Supply

3 Part III: Short-Selling

Static Model

Consider

- Agents $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$
- Using distributions Q_i for the state $X(t)$
- Trading an **asset** with a single payoff $f(X(T))$ at time T
- The asset **cannot be shorted** and is in **supply** $s > 0$

Static case: Suppose the **agents trade only once**, at time $t = 0$

Equilibrium:

- Determine an **equilibrium price** p for f and **portfolios** $q_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$
- such that q_i maximizes $q(E_i[f(X(T))] - p)$ over $q \geq 0$, for all i
- and the market clears: $\sum_i q_i = s$

Static Equilibrium

Solution: The most optimistic agent determines the price (Miller '77),

$$p = \max_i E_i[f(X(T))]$$

- Let $i_* \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be the maximizer
- With portfolios $q_{i_*} = s$ and $q_i = 0$ for $i \neq i_*$, this is an equilibrium
- It is unique (modulo having several maximizers)

Note:

- At price p , the optimist is invariant and will accept any portfolio
- All other agents want to have $q_i = 0$
- Price not affected by supply

Preview: The Resale Option (Harrison and Kreps '78)

- When there are several trading dates, the relatively most optimistic agent **depends on date and state**
- **Option to resell** the asset to another agent **at a later time**
- **Adds** to the static price: speculative bubble

Scheinkman and Xiong '03, '04

A Continuous-Time Model

Asset can be traded on $[0, T]$.

Agents:

- Risk-neutral agents $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ using models Q_i
- Here: agent i uses a local vol model Q_i for X ,

$$dX(t) = \sigma_i(t, X(t)) dW_i(t), \quad X(0) = x$$

Equilibrium:

- Find a price process $P(t)$ with $P(T) = f(X(T))$ Q_i -a.s.
- Agents choose portfolio processes Φ
- such as to optimize expected P&L: $E_i[\int_0^T \Phi(t) dP(t)]$
- Market clearing $\sum_i \Phi_i(t) = s$

Existence

Theorem: There exists a **unique equilibrium price** $P(t) = v(t, X(t))$, and v is the solution of

$$v_t(t, x) + \sup_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{1}{2} \sigma_i^2(t, x) v_{xx}(t, x) = 0, \quad v(T, \cdot) = f.$$

The **optimal portfolios** $\Phi_i(t) = \phi_i(t, X(t))$ are given by

$$\phi_i(t, x) = \begin{cases} s, & \text{if } i \text{ is the maximizer at } (t, x) \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

- Derivative **held by the locally most optimistic agent** at any time
- Agents **trade** as this role changes

Control Problem and Speculative Bubble

- v is also characterized as the **value function**

$$v(t, x) = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} E[f(X_{\theta}^{t,x}(T))]$$

- ▶ Θ is the set of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ -valued, progressive processes
- ▶ $X_{\theta}^{t,x}(r)$, $r \in [t, T]$ is the solution of

$$dX(r) = \sigma_{\theta(r)}(r, X(r)) dW(r), \quad X(t) = x.$$

Bubble:

- The control problem (or comparison) shows that

$$P(0) \geq \max_i E_i[f(X(T))]$$

- Thus, the **price exceeds the static equilibrium**
- This “**speculative bubble**” can be attributed to the resale option

Remarks

Note:

- Price is again independent of supply
- No-shorting was essential

Comparison with UVM:

- v is the **uncertain volatility (UV)** or **G-expectation** price corresponding to the interval

$$[\underline{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}] = [\inf_i \sigma_i(t, x), \sup_i \sigma_i(t, x)]$$

- In our model, the UV price arises as an equilibrium price of **risk-neutral** agents, instead of a **superhedging** price

Outline

1 Part I: Resale Option

2 Part II: Supply

3 Part III: Short-Selling

Model

Supply:

- Supply should diminish price, not reflected in the model of Part I
- Need (risk) aversion against large positions

Add Cost-of-Carry: For holding a position $y = \Phi(t)$ at time t , agents must pay an instantaneous cost

$$c(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\alpha_+} y^2, & y \geq 0 \\ \infty, & y < 0 \end{cases}$$

Equilibrium: Agents optimize expected P&L – cost:

$$E_i \left[\int_0^T \Phi(t) dP(t) - \int_0^T c(\Phi(t)) dt \right]$$

Existence

Theorem: • There exists a **unique equilibrium price** $P(t) = v(t, X(t))$, and v is the solution of

$$v_t + \sup_{\emptyset \neq J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \left\{ \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{i \in J} \frac{1}{2} \sigma_i^2 v_{xx} - \frac{s}{|J| \alpha_+} \right\} = 0$$

• The **optimal portfolios** $\Phi_i(t) = \phi_i(t, X(t))$ are unique and given by

$$\phi_i(t, x) = \{\alpha_+ \mathcal{L}^i v(t, x)\}^+$$

where $\mathcal{L}^i v(t, x) = \partial_t v(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_i^2 \partial_{xx} v(t, x)$

Supply: enters as a **running cost**, $\kappa = \frac{s}{|J| \alpha_+}$

Delay Effect

- Again, one can consider a **static** version of the equilibrium: price is

$$p = \max_{\emptyset \neq J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \left(\frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{i \in J} E_i[f(X(T))] - \frac{sT}{|J|\alpha_+} \right).$$

- The **resale option** is still present and increases the dynamic price
- Novel: **Delay Effect**
- If many agents expect to **increase positions over time**, they may **anticipate** the increase in the static case
- The resulting **demand pressure** **raises the static price**
- This effect may dominate, causing a **“negative bubble”**

Delay Effect

- Again, one can consider a **static** version of the equilibrium: price is

$$p = \max_{\emptyset \neq J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \left(\frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{i \in J} E_i[f(X(T))] - \frac{sT}{|J|\alpha_+} \right).$$

- The **resale option** is still present and increases the dynamic price
- Novel: **Delay Effect**
- If many agents expect to **increase positions over time**, they may **anticipate** the increase in the static case
- The resulting **demand pressure raises the static price**
- This effect may dominate, causing a “**negative bubble**”

Outline

1 Part I: Resale Option

2 Part II: Supply

3 Part III: Short-Selling

Short-Selling

- In securities markets, **shorting** is often possible, though **at a cost**
- Not modeled in the existing literature

Asymmetric Cost-of-Carry:

- For holding a position $y = \Phi(t)$ at time t , **instantaneous cost**

$$c(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\alpha_+} y^2, & y \geq 0 \\ \frac{1}{2\alpha_-} y^2, & y < 0 \end{cases}$$

- **Short is more costly than long:** $\alpha_- \leq \alpha_+$

Existence

Theorem: • There exists a **unique equilibrium price** $P(t) = v(t, X(t))$, and v is the solution of

$$v_t(t, x) + \sup_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_I^2(t, x) v_{xx}(t, x) - \kappa_I(t, x) \right\} = 0, \quad v(T, \cdot) = f,$$

where the coefficients are defined as

$$\kappa_I(t, x) = \frac{s(t, x)}{|I|\alpha_- + |I^c|\alpha_+},$$

$$\Sigma_I^2(t, x) = \frac{\alpha_-}{|I|\alpha_- + |I^c|\alpha_+} \sum_{i \in I} \sigma_i^2(t, x) + \frac{\alpha_+}{|I|\alpha_- + |I^c|\alpha_+} \sum_{i \in I^c} \sigma_i^2(t, x)$$

• The **optimal portfolios** $\Phi_i(t) = \phi_i(t, X(t))$ are unique and given by

$$\phi_i(t, x) = \alpha_{\text{sign}(\mathcal{L}^i v(t, x))} \mathcal{L}^i v(t, x), \quad \mathcal{L}^i v(t, x) = \partial_t v(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_i^2 \partial_{xx} v(t, x).$$

Control Representation

- HJB equation of the **control problem**

$$v(t, x) = \sup_{\mathcal{I} \in \Theta} E \left[f(X_{\mathcal{I}}^{t,x}(T)) - \int_0^T \kappa_{\mathcal{I}(r)}(r, X_{\mathcal{I}}^{t,x}(r)) dr \right]$$

- ▶ Θ is the set of $2^{\{1, \dots, n\}}$ -valued, progressive processes
- ▶ $X_{\mathcal{I}}^{t,x}(r)$, $r \in [t, T]$ is the solution of

$$dX(r) = \Sigma_{\mathcal{I}(r)}(r, X(r)) dW(r), \quad X(t) = x.$$

- Interpretation?

A Principal Agent Problem

- At each state (t, x) , principal assigns a cost coefficient $\alpha_i \in \{\alpha_-, \alpha_+\}$ to every agent $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$
- This assignment will play the role of a contract (Second Best)
- With these coefficients given, agents maximize

$$E_i \left[\int_0^T \Phi(t) dP(t) - \int_0^T c_i(t, X(t), \Phi(t)) dt \right]$$

where $c_i(t, x, y) = \alpha_i(t, x)y^2$ irrespectively of y being long or short.

- An assignment can be summarized as a set

$$I(t, x) = \{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \alpha_i(t, x) = \alpha_-\}.$$

I.e., $I = \{\text{agents with } \alpha_-\}$, $I^c = \{\text{agents with } \alpha_+\}$

Principal Agent Problem: Solution

Theorem:

(i) For any assignment $\mathcal{I}(t) = I(t, X(t))$ of the principal, there exists a **unique equilibrium** price $P_{\mathcal{I}}(t) = v_{\mathcal{I}}(t, X(t))$, and

$$v_{\mathcal{I}}(t, x) = E \left[f(X_{\mathcal{I}}^{t,x}(T)) - \int_0^T \kappa_{\mathcal{I}(r)}(r, X_{\mathcal{I}}^{t,x}(r)) dr \right]$$

(ii) If the principal's aim is to **maximize the price**,

- the **optimal value** is our previous equilibrium price $v(t, x)$
- the **optimal contract assigns**, in equilibrium, α_- to **short** positions and α_+ to **long** positions

→ **Interpretation** for Σ_I, κ_I in our PDE for $v(t, x)$

Comparative Statics and Limiting Cases

- The price is **decreasing wrt. supply**
- The price is **increasing wrt. α_+** (when α_- is fixed)
- The price is **decreasing wrt. α_-** (when α_+ is fixed)

Infinite Cost for Short: As $\alpha_- \rightarrow 0$, the price v^{α_-, α_+} converges to the price from **Part II**:

$$v_t + \sup_{\emptyset \neq J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{i \in J} \sigma_i^2 v_{xx} - \frac{s}{|J| \alpha_+} \right\} = 0$$

Zero Cost for Long: As $\alpha_+ \rightarrow \infty$, the price v^{α_-, α_+} converges to the price from **Part I**:

$$v_t + \sup_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{1}{2} \sigma_i^2 v_{xx} = 0$$

In particular, the limit is independent of α_- and s

Comparison of Dynamic and Static Models

- Again, we can compare with the **static** version
- **Resale** and **delay** options now apply to **long and short** positions
- The resale option for short positions **depresses** the dynamic price
- “Bubble” may have either sign

- In the limits

$$\alpha_+ \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and/or} \quad \alpha_- \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad s \rightarrow 0,$$

the bubble is **always nonnegative**, as in Part I

- Main difference to previous models: **increasing marginal cost of carry**

Conclusion

Part I:

- Resale option leads to UVM price and speculative bubble

Parts II–III: A tractable model where

- Supply affects the price as a running cost
- Delay effect can depress the dynamic equilibrium price
- Short-selling is possible and may further depress the price

Happy Birthday, Ioannis!

Conclusion

Part I:

- Resale option leads to UVM price and speculative bubble

Parts II–III: A tractable model where

- Supply affects the price as a running cost
- Delay effect can depress the dynamic equilibrium price
- Short-selling is possible and may further depress the price

Happy Birthday, Ioannis!