

Yang–Mills for probabilists

Sourav Chatterjee

Quantum Yang–Mills theories

- ▶ 4D quantum Yang–Mills theories are the building blocks of the Standard Model of quantum mechanics.

Quantum Yang–Mills theories

- ▶ 4D quantum Yang–Mills theories are the building blocks of the Standard Model of quantum mechanics.
- ▶ Unfortunately, quantum Yang–Mills theories have no rigorous mathematical foundation.

Quantum Yang–Mills theories

- ▶ 4D **quantum Yang–Mills** theories are the building blocks of the **Standard Model** of quantum mechanics.
- ▶ Unfortunately, quantum Yang–Mills theories have no rigorous mathematical foundation.
- ▶ Quantum Yang–Mills theories are defined in Minkowski spacetime. **Euclidean Yang–Mills theories** are ‘Wick-rotated’ quantum Yang–Mills theories that are defined in Euclidean spacetime.

Quantum Yang–Mills theories

- ▶ 4D **quantum Yang–Mills** theories are the building blocks of the **Standard Model** of quantum mechanics.
- ▶ Unfortunately, quantum Yang–Mills theories have no rigorous mathematical foundation.
- ▶ Quantum Yang–Mills theories are defined in Minkowski spacetime. **Euclidean Yang–Mills theories** are ‘Wick-rotated’ quantum Yang–Mills theories that are defined in Euclidean spacetime.
- ▶ They are formally probability measures on spaces of connections on certain principal bundles.

Quantum Yang–Mills theories

- ▶ 4D **quantum Yang–Mills** theories are the building blocks of the **Standard Model** of quantum mechanics.
- ▶ Unfortunately, quantum Yang–Mills theories have no rigorous mathematical foundation.
- ▶ Quantum Yang–Mills theories are defined in Minkowski spacetime. **Euclidean Yang–Mills theories** are ‘Wick-rotated’ quantum Yang–Mills theories that are defined in Euclidean spacetime.
- ▶ They are formally probability measures on spaces of connections on certain principal bundles.
- ▶ They have lattice analogs, known as **lattice gauge theories**, that are rigorously defined probabilistic models.

Quantum Yang–Mills theories

- ▶ 4D **quantum Yang–Mills** theories are the building blocks of the **Standard Model** of quantum mechanics.
- ▶ Unfortunately, quantum Yang–Mills theories have no rigorous mathematical foundation.
- ▶ Quantum Yang–Mills theories are defined in Minkowski spacetime. **Euclidean Yang–Mills theories** are ‘Wick-rotated’ quantum Yang–Mills theories that are defined in Euclidean spacetime.
- ▶ They are formally probability measures on spaces of connections on certain principal bundles.
- ▶ They have lattice analogs, known as **lattice gauge theories**, that are rigorously defined probabilistic models.
- ▶ Euclidean Yang–Mills theories are scaling limits of lattice gauge theories (probability-theoretic **open problem**).

- ▶ The problem of rigorously constructing Euclidean Yang–Mills theories, and then using them to construct quantum Yang–Mills theories, is the problem of Yang–Mills existence, posed as a millennium prize problem by the Clay Institute.

Constructive field theory

- ▶ The problem of rigorously constructing Euclidean Yang–Mills theories, and then using them to construct quantum Yang–Mills theories, is the problem of Yang–Mills existence, posed as a millennium prize problem by the Clay Institute.
- ▶ A standard approach is via the program of constructive quantum field theory.

Constructive field theory

- ▶ The problem of rigorously constructing Euclidean Yang–Mills theories, and then using them to construct quantum Yang–Mills theories, is the problem of Yang–Mills existence, posed as a millennium prize problem by the Clay Institute.
- ▶ A standard approach is via the program of constructive quantum field theory.
- ▶ The plan there is to first define Euclidean Yang–Mills theories as probability measures on appropriate spaces of generalized functions;

Constructive field theory

- ▶ The problem of rigorously constructing Euclidean Yang–Mills theories, and then using them to construct quantum Yang–Mills theories, is the problem of Yang–Mills existence, posed as a millennium prize problem by the Clay Institute.
- ▶ A standard approach is via the program of constructive quantum field theory.
- ▶ The plan there is to first define Euclidean Yang–Mills theories as probability measures on appropriate spaces of generalized functions; then show that these probability measures satisfy certain axioms (the Osterwalder–Schrader axioms);

Constructive field theory

- ▶ The problem of rigorously constructing Euclidean Yang–Mills theories, and then using them to construct quantum Yang–Mills theories, is the problem of Yang–Mills existence, posed as a millennium prize problem by the Clay Institute.
- ▶ A standard approach is via the program of constructive quantum field theory.
- ▶ The plan there is to first define Euclidean Yang–Mills theories as probability measures on appropriate spaces of generalized functions; then show that these probability measures satisfy certain axioms (the Osterwalder–Schrader axioms); this would then imply that the theory can be ‘quantized’ to obtain the desired quantum Yang–Mills theories.

Towards the formal definition of Euclidean YM theories

- ▶ Ingredients: dimension n and gauge group G .

Towards the formal definition of Euclidean YM theories

- ▶ Ingredients: dimension n and gauge group G .
- ▶ Assume that G is a closed subgroup of $U(N)$ for some N .

Towards the formal definition of Euclidean YM theories

- ▶ Ingredients: dimension n and gauge group G .
- ▶ Assume that G is a closed subgroup of $U(N)$ for some N .
- ▶ Examples: $G = U(1)$ for quantum electrodynamics, $G = SU(3)$ for quantum chromodynamics, and $G = SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ for the Standard Model, with dimension $n = 4$ in each case.

Towards the formal definition of Euclidean YM theories

- ▶ Ingredients: dimension n and gauge group G .
- ▶ Assume that G is a closed subgroup of $U(N)$ for some N .
- ▶ Examples: $G = U(1)$ for quantum electrodynamics, $G = SU(3)$ for quantum chromodynamics, and $G = SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ for the Standard Model, with dimension $n = 4$ in each case.
- ▶ Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G .

Towards the formal definition of Euclidean YM theories

- ▶ Ingredients: dimension n and gauge group G .
- ▶ Assume that G is a closed subgroup of $U(N)$ for some N .
- ▶ Examples: $G = U(1)$ for quantum electrodynamics, $G = SU(3)$ for quantum chromodynamics, and $G = SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ for the Standard Model, with dimension $n = 4$ in each case.
- ▶ Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G .
- ▶ Then \mathfrak{g} is a subspace of the space of all $N \times N$ skew-Hermitian matrices.

Connections and curvature

- ▶ A smooth G connection form on \mathbb{R}^n is a smooth map from \mathbb{R}^n into \mathfrak{g}^n .

Connections and curvature

- ▶ A smooth G connection form on \mathbb{R}^n is a smooth map from \mathbb{R}^n into \mathfrak{g}^n .
- ▶ If A is a G connection form, its value $A(x)$ at x is an n -tuple $(A_1(x), \dots, A_n(x))$ of skew-Hermitian matrices. In the language of differential forms,

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j dx_j.$$

Connections and curvature

- ▶ A smooth G connection form on \mathbb{R}^n is a smooth map from \mathbb{R}^n into \mathfrak{g}^n .
- ▶ If A is a G connection form, its value $A(x)$ at x is an n -tuple $(A_1(x), \dots, A_n(x))$ of skew-Hermitian matrices. In the language of differential forms,

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j dx_j.$$

- ▶ The curvature form F of A is the \mathfrak{g} -valued 2-form

$$F = dA + A \wedge A.$$

Connections and curvature

- ▶ A smooth G connection form on \mathbb{R}^n is a smooth map from \mathbb{R}^n into \mathfrak{g}^n .
- ▶ If A is a G connection form, its value $A(x)$ at x is an n -tuple $(A_1(x), \dots, A_n(x))$ of skew-Hermitian matrices. In the language of differential forms,

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j dx_j.$$

- ▶ The curvature form F of A is the \mathfrak{g} -valued 2-form

$$F = dA + A \wedge A.$$

- ▶ This means that at each x , $F(x)$ is an $n \times n$ array of skew-Hermitian matrices of order N , whose $(j, k)^{\text{th}}$ entry is the matrix

$$F_{jk}(x) = \frac{\partial A_k}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial x_k} + [A_j(x), A_k(x)].$$

The Yang–Mills action

- ▶ Let \mathcal{A} be the space of all smooth G connection forms on \mathbb{R}^n . The Yang–Mills action on this space is the function

$$S_{\text{YM}}(A) := - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \text{Tr}(F \wedge *F),$$

where F is the curvature form of A and $*$ denotes the Hodge star operator, assuming that this integral is finite.

The Yang–Mills action

- ▶ Let \mathcal{A} be the space of all smooth G connection forms on \mathbb{R}^n . The Yang–Mills action on this space is the function

$$S_{\text{YM}}(A) := - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \text{Tr}(F \wedge *F),$$

where F is the curvature form of A and $*$ denotes the Hodge star operator, assuming that this integral is finite.

- ▶ Explicitly, this is

$$S_{\text{YM}}(A) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \text{Tr}(F_{jk}(x)^2) dx.$$

Formal definition of Euclidean YM theories

- ▶ The Euclidean Yang–Mills theory with gauge group G on \mathbb{R}^n is formally described as the probability measure

$$d\mu(A) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4g^2} S_{\text{YM}}(A)\right) dA,$$

where:

Formal definition of Euclidean YM theories

- ▶ The Euclidean Yang–Mills theory with gauge group G on \mathbb{R}^n is formally described as the probability measure

$$d\mu(A) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4g^2} S_{\text{YM}}(A)\right) dA,$$

where:

- ▶ $A \in \mathcal{A}$, the space of all smooth G connection forms on \mathbb{R}^n ,

Formal definition of Euclidean YM theories

- ▶ The Euclidean Yang–Mills theory with gauge group G on \mathbb{R}^n is formally described as the probability measure

$$d\mu(A) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4g^2} S_{\text{YM}}(A)\right) dA,$$

where:

- ▶ $A \in \mathcal{A}$, the space of all smooth G connection forms on \mathbb{R}^n ,
- ▶ S_{YM} is the Yang–Mills action,

Formal definition of Euclidean YM theories

- ▶ The Euclidean Yang–Mills theory with gauge group G on \mathbb{R}^n is formally described as the probability measure

$$d\mu(A) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4g^2} S_{\text{YM}}(A)\right) dA,$$

where:

- ▶ $A \in \mathcal{A}$, the space of all smooth G connection forms on \mathbb{R}^n ,
- ▶ S_{YM} is the Yang–Mills action,
- ▶

$$dA = \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} d(A_j(x))$$

is ‘infinite-dimensional Lebesgue measure’ on \mathcal{A} ,

Formal definition of Euclidean YM theories

- ▶ The Euclidean Yang–Mills theory with gauge group G on \mathbb{R}^n is formally described as the probability measure

$$d\mu(A) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4g^2} S_{\text{YM}}(A)\right) dA,$$

where:

- ▶ $A \in \mathcal{A}$, the space of all smooth G connection forms on \mathbb{R}^n ,
- ▶ S_{YM} is the Yang–Mills action,
- ▶

$$dA = \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} d(A_j(x))$$

is ‘infinite-dimensional Lebesgue measure’ on \mathcal{A} ,

- ▶ g is a parameter called the coupling strength, and

Formal definition of Euclidean YM theories

- ▶ The Euclidean Yang–Mills theory with gauge group G on \mathbb{R}^n is formally described as the probability measure

$$d\mu(A) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4g^2} S_{\text{YM}}(A)\right) dA,$$

where:

- ▶ $A \in \mathcal{A}$, the space of all smooth G connection forms on \mathbb{R}^n ,
- ▶ S_{YM} is the Yang–Mills action,
- ▶

$$dA = \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} d(A_j(x))$$

is ‘infinite-dimensional Lebesgue measure’ on \mathcal{A} ,

- ▶ g is a parameter called the coupling strength, and
- ▶ Z is the normalizing constant that makes this a probability measure.

- ▶ The above description of Euclidean Yang–Mills theory with gauge group G is not directly mathematically meaningful because of the problems associated with the definition Lebesgue measure on \mathcal{A} .

- ▶ The above description of Euclidean Yang–Mills theory with gauge group G is not directly mathematically meaningful because of the problems associated with the definition Lebesgue measure on \mathcal{A} .
- ▶ While it has been possible to give rigorous meanings to similar descriptions of Brownian motion and various quantum field theories in dimensions two and three, 4D Euclidean Yang–Mills theories have so far remained largely intractable.

Lattice gauge theories

- ▶ Wilson (1974) proposed a discretization of Euclidean Yang–Mills theories, now known as lattice gauge theories.

Lattice gauge theories

- ▶ Wilson (1974) proposed a discretization of Euclidean Yang–Mills theories, now known as lattice gauge theories.
- ▶ The lattice gauge theory with gauge group G on a finite set $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is defined as follows.

Lattice gauge theories

- ▶ Wilson (1974) proposed a discretization of Euclidean Yang–Mills theories, now known as lattice gauge theories.
- ▶ The lattice gauge theory with gauge group G on a finite set $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is defined as follows.
- ▶ Suppose that for any two adjacent vertices $x, y \in \Lambda$, we have a group element $U(x, y) \in G$, with $U(y, x) = U(x, y)^{-1}$.

Lattice gauge theories

- ▶ Wilson (1974) proposed a discretization of Euclidean Yang–Mills theories, now known as lattice gauge theories.
- ▶ The lattice gauge theory with gauge group G on a finite set $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is defined as follows.
- ▶ Suppose that for any two adjacent vertices $x, y \in \Lambda$, we have a group element $U(x, y) \in G$, with $U(y, x) = U(x, y)^{-1}$.
- ▶ Let $G(\Lambda)$ denote the set of all such configurations.

Lattice gauge theories

- ▶ Wilson (1974) proposed a discretization of Euclidean Yang–Mills theories, now known as lattice gauge theories.
- ▶ The lattice gauge theory with gauge group G on a finite set $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is defined as follows.
- ▶ Suppose that for any two adjacent vertices $x, y \in \Lambda$, we have a group element $U(x, y) \in G$, with $U(y, x) = U(x, y)^{-1}$.
- ▶ Let $G(\Lambda)$ denote the set of all such configurations.
- ▶ A square bounded by four edges is called a plaquette. Let $P(\Lambda)$ denote the set of all plaquettes in Λ .

Lattice gauge theories

- ▶ Wilson (1974) proposed a discretization of Euclidean Yang–Mills theories, now known as lattice gauge theories.
- ▶ The lattice gauge theory with gauge group G on a finite set $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is defined as follows.
- ▶ Suppose that for any two adjacent vertices $x, y \in \Lambda$, we have a group element $U(x, y) \in G$, with $U(y, x) = U(x, y)^{-1}$.
- ▶ Let $G(\Lambda)$ denote the set of all such configurations.
- ▶ A square bounded by four edges is called a plaquette. Let $P(\Lambda)$ denote the set of all plaquettes in Λ .
- ▶ For a plaquette $p \in P(\Lambda)$ with vertices x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 in anti-clockwise order, and a configuration $U \in G(\Lambda)$, define

$$U_p := U(x_1, x_2)U(x_2, x_3)U(x_3, x_4)U(x_4, x_1).$$

Lattice gauge theories

- ▶ Wilson (1974) proposed a discretization of Euclidean Yang–Mills theories, now known as lattice gauge theories.
- ▶ The lattice gauge theory with gauge group G on a finite set $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is defined as follows.
- ▶ Suppose that for any two adjacent vertices $x, y \in \Lambda$, we have a group element $U(x, y) \in G$, with $U(y, x) = U(x, y)^{-1}$.
- ▶ Let $G(\Lambda)$ denote the set of all such configurations.
- ▶ A square bounded by four edges is called a plaquette. Let $P(\Lambda)$ denote the set of all plaquettes in Λ .
- ▶ For a plaquette $p \in P(\Lambda)$ with vertices x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 in anti-clockwise order, and a configuration $U \in G(\Lambda)$, define

$$U_p := U(x_1, x_2)U(x_2, x_3)U(x_3, x_4)U(x_4, x_1).$$

- ▶ The **Wilson action** of U is defined as

$$S_\Lambda(U) := \sum_{p \in P(\Lambda)} \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{Tr}(I - U_p)).$$

Definition of lattice gauge theory

- ▶ Let σ_Λ be the product Haar measure on $G(\Lambda)$.

Definition of lattice gauge theory

- ▶ Let σ_Λ be the product Haar measure on $G(\Lambda)$.
- ▶ Given $\beta > 0$, let $\mu_{\Lambda,\beta}$ be the probability measure on $G(\Lambda)$ defined as

$$d\mu_{\Lambda,\beta}(U) := \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta S_\Lambda(U)} d\sigma_\Lambda(U),$$

where Z is the normalizing constant.

Definition of lattice gauge theory

- ▶ Let σ_Λ be the product Haar measure on $G(\Lambda)$.
- ▶ Given $\beta > 0$, let $\mu_{\Lambda,\beta}$ be the probability measure on $G(\Lambda)$ defined as

$$d\mu_{\Lambda,\beta}(U) := \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta S_\Lambda(U)} d\sigma_\Lambda(U),$$

where Z is the normalizing constant.

- ▶ This probability measure is called the lattice gauge theory on Λ for the gauge group G , with inverse coupling strength β .

Definition of lattice gauge theory

- ▶ Let σ_Λ be the product Haar measure on $G(\Lambda)$.
- ▶ Given $\beta > 0$, let $\mu_{\Lambda,\beta}$ be the probability measure on $G(\Lambda)$ defined as

$$d\mu_{\Lambda,\beta}(U) := \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta S_\Lambda(U)} d\sigma_\Lambda(U),$$

where Z is the normalizing constant.

- ▶ This probability measure is called the lattice gauge theory on Λ for the gauge group G , with inverse coupling strength β .
- ▶ An **infinite volume limit** of the theory is a weak limit of the above probability measures as $\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Definition of lattice gauge theory

- ▶ Let σ_Λ be the product Haar measure on $G(\Lambda)$.
- ▶ Given $\beta > 0$, let $\mu_{\Lambda,\beta}$ be the probability measure on $G(\Lambda)$ defined as

$$d\mu_{\Lambda,\beta}(U) := \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta S_\Lambda(U)} d\sigma_\Lambda(U),$$

where Z is the normalizing constant.

- ▶ This probability measure is called the lattice gauge theory on Λ for the gauge group G , with inverse coupling strength β .
- ▶ An **infinite volume limit** of the theory is a weak limit of the above probability measures as $\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^n$.
- ▶ The infinite volume limit may or may not be unique.

Definition of lattice gauge theory

- ▶ Let σ_Λ be the product Haar measure on $G(\Lambda)$.
- ▶ Given $\beta > 0$, let $\mu_{\Lambda,\beta}$ be the probability measure on $G(\Lambda)$ defined as

$$d\mu_{\Lambda,\beta}(U) := \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta S_\Lambda(U)} d\sigma_\Lambda(U),$$

where Z is the normalizing constant.

- ▶ This probability measure is called the lattice gauge theory on Λ for the gauge group G , with inverse coupling strength β .
- ▶ An **infinite volume limit** of the theory is a weak limit of the above probability measures as $\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^n$.
- ▶ The infinite volume limit may or may not be unique.
- ▶ The uniqueness (or non-uniqueness) is in general unknown for lattice gauge theories in dimension four when β is large.

An approximation for products of matrix exponentials

- ▶ Recall the **Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formula** for products of matrix exponentials:

$$e^B e^C = e^{B+C+\frac{1}{2}[B,C]+\dots}.$$

An approximation for products of matrix exponentials

- ▶ Recall the **Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formula** for products of matrix exponentials:

$$e^B e^C = e^{B+C+\frac{1}{2}[B,C]+\dots}.$$

- ▶ Iterating this gives, for any m and any B_1, \dots, B_m ,

$$e^{B_1} \dots e^{B_m} = e^{\sum_{a=1}^m B_a + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq a < b \leq m} [B_a, B_b] + \dots}.$$

An approximation for products of matrix exponentials

- ▶ Recall the **Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formula** for products of matrix exponentials:

$$e^B e^C = e^{B+C+\frac{1}{2}[B,C]+\dots}.$$

- ▶ Iterating this gives, for any m and any B_1, \dots, B_m ,

$$e^{B_1} \dots e^{B_m} = e^{\sum_{a=1}^m B_a + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq a < b \leq m} [B_a, B_b] + \dots}.$$

- ▶ Suppose that the B_i are **skew-Hermitian**. Then all terms within the exponential are skew-Hermitian and therefore have purely imaginary traces.

An approximation for products of matrix exponentials

- ▶ Recall the **Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formula** for products of matrix exponentials:

$$e^B e^C = e^{B+C+\frac{1}{2}[B,C]+\dots}.$$

- ▶ Iterating this gives, for any m and any B_1, \dots, B_m ,

$$e^{B_1} \dots e^{B_m} = e^{\sum_{a=1}^m B_a + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq a < b \leq m} [B_a, B_b] + \dots}.$$

- ▶ Suppose that the B_i are **skew-Hermitian**. Then all terms within the exponential are skew-Hermitian and therefore have purely imaginary traces.
- ▶ Thus, if the entries of B_1, \dots, B_m are of order ϵ and if the entries of $B_1 + \dots + B_m$ are of order ϵ^2 , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{Tr}(I - e^{B_1} \dots e^{B_m})) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\left(\sum_{a=1}^m B_a + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq a < b \leq m} [B_a, B_b] \right)^2 \right] + O(\epsilon^5). \end{aligned}$$

From LGT to Euclidean YM theory: Wilson's heuristic

- ▶ Discretize \mathbb{R}^n as $\epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$ for some small ϵ .

From LGT to Euclidean YM theory: Wilson's heuristic

- ▶ Discretize \mathbb{R}^n as $\epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$ for some small ϵ .
- ▶ Take a G connection form $A = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j dx_j$.

From LGT to Euclidean YM theory: Wilson's heuristic

- ▶ Discretize \mathbb{R}^n as $\epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$ for some small ϵ .
- ▶ Take a G connection form $A = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j dx_j$.
- ▶ Let e_1, \dots, e_n denote the standard basis vectors of \mathbb{R}^n .

From LGT to Euclidean YM theory: Wilson's heuristic

- ▶ Discretize \mathbb{R}^n as $\epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$ for some small ϵ .
- ▶ Take a G connection form $A = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j dx_j$.
- ▶ Let e_1, \dots, e_n denote the standard basis vectors of \mathbb{R}^n .
- ▶ For a directed edge $(x, x + \epsilon e_j)$ of $\epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$, define

$$U(x, x + \epsilon e_j) := e^{\epsilon A_j(x)},$$

and let $U(x + \epsilon e_j, x) := U(x, x + \epsilon e_j)^{-1}$.

From LGT to Euclidean YM theory: Wilson's heuristic

- ▶ Discretize \mathbb{R}^n as $\epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$ for some small ϵ .
- ▶ Take a G connection form $A = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j dx_j$.
- ▶ Let e_1, \dots, e_n denote the standard basis vectors of \mathbb{R}^n .
- ▶ For a directed edge $(x, x + \epsilon e_j)$ of $\epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$, define

$$U(x, x + \epsilon e_j) := e^{\epsilon A_j(x)},$$

and let $U(x + \epsilon e_j, x) := U(x, x + \epsilon e_j)^{-1}$.

- ▶ This defines a configuration of unitary matrices assigned to directed edges of $\epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$.

From LGT to Euclidean YM theory: Wilson's heuristic

- ▶ Discretize \mathbb{R}^n as $\epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$ for some small ϵ .
- ▶ Take a G connection form $A = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j dx_j$.
- ▶ Let e_1, \dots, e_n denote the standard basis vectors of \mathbb{R}^n .
- ▶ For a directed edge $(x, x + \epsilon e_j)$ of $\epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$, define

$$U(x, x + \epsilon e_j) := e^{\epsilon A_j(x)},$$

and let $U(x + \epsilon e_j, x) := U(x, x + \epsilon e_j)^{-1}$.

- ▶ This defines a configuration of unitary matrices assigned to directed edges of $\epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$.
- ▶ Take any $x \in \epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$ and any $1 \leq j < k \leq n$, and let

$$x_1 = x, \quad x_2 = x + \epsilon e_j, \quad x_3 = x + \epsilon e_j + \epsilon e_k, \quad x_4 = x + \epsilon e_k.$$

From LGT to Euclidean YM theory: Wilson's heuristic

- ▶ Discretize \mathbb{R}^n as $\epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$ for some small ϵ .
- ▶ Take a G connection form $A = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j dx_j$.
- ▶ Let e_1, \dots, e_n denote the standard basis vectors of \mathbb{R}^n .
- ▶ For a directed edge $(x, x + \epsilon e_j)$ of $\epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$, define

$$U(x, x + \epsilon e_j) := e^{\epsilon A_j(x)},$$

and let $U(x + \epsilon e_j, x) := U(x, x + \epsilon e_j)^{-1}$.

- ▶ This defines a configuration of unitary matrices assigned to directed edges of $\epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$.
- ▶ Take any $x \in \epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$ and any $1 \leq j < k \leq n$, and let

$$x_1 = x, \quad x_2 = x + \epsilon e_j, \quad x_3 = x + \epsilon e_j + \epsilon e_k, \quad x_4 = x + \epsilon e_k.$$

- ▶ Let p be the plaquette formed by the vertices x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 .

From LGT to Euclidean YM theory: Wilson's heuristic

- ▶ Discretize \mathbb{R}^n as $\epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$ for some small ϵ .
- ▶ Take a G connection form $A = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j dx_j$.
- ▶ Let e_1, \dots, e_n denote the standard basis vectors of \mathbb{R}^n .
- ▶ For a directed edge $(x, x + \epsilon e_j)$ of $\epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$, define

$$U(x, x + \epsilon e_j) := e^{\epsilon A_j(x)},$$

and let $U(x + \epsilon e_j, x) := U(x, x + \epsilon e_j)^{-1}$.

- ▶ This defines a configuration of unitary matrices assigned to directed edges of $\epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$.
- ▶ Take any $x \in \epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$ and any $1 \leq j < k \leq n$, and let

$$x_1 = x, \quad x_2 = x + \epsilon e_j, \quad x_3 = x + \epsilon e_j + \epsilon e_k, \quad x_4 = x + \epsilon e_k.$$

- ▶ Let p be the plaquette formed by the vertices x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 .
- ▶ Then

$$\begin{aligned} U_p &= U(x_1, x_2)U(x_2, x_3)U(x_3, x_4)U(x_4, x_1) \\ &= e^{\epsilon A_j(x_1)} e^{\epsilon A_k(x_2)} e^{-\epsilon A_j(x_4)} e^{-\epsilon A_k(x_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Wilson's heuristic, continued

- ▶ Writing

$$A_k(x_2) = A_k(x + \epsilon e_j) = A_k(x) + \epsilon \frac{\partial A_k}{\partial x_j} + O(\epsilon^2)$$

and using a similar Taylor expansion for $A_j(x_4)$, we get

$$A_j(x_1) + A_k(x_2) - A_j(x_4) - A_k(x_1) = \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial A_k}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial x_k} \right) + O(\epsilon^2).$$

Wilson's heuristic, continued

- ▶ Writing

$$A_k(x_2) = A_k(x + \epsilon e_j) = A_k(x) + \epsilon \frac{\partial A_k}{\partial x_j} + O(\epsilon^2)$$

and using a similar Taylor expansion for $A_j(x_4)$, we get

$$A_j(x_1) + A_k(x_2) - A_j(x_4) - A_k(x_1) = \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial A_k}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial x_k} \right) + O(\epsilon^2).$$

- ▶ Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{Tr}(I - U_p)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^4 \operatorname{Tr} \left[\left(\frac{\partial A_k}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial x_k} + [A_j(x), A_k(x)] \right)^2 \right] + O(\epsilon^5) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^4 \operatorname{Tr}(F_{jk}(x)^2) + O(\epsilon^5). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ This gives the formal approximation

$$\begin{aligned} S(U) &= \sum_p \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{Tr}(I - U_p)) \\ &\approx -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{x \in \epsilon \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \epsilon^4 \operatorname{Tr}(F_{jk}(x)^2) \\ &\approx -\frac{\epsilon^{4-n}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \operatorname{Tr}(F_{jk}(x)^2) dx = \frac{\epsilon^{4-n}}{4} S_{\text{YM}}(A). \end{aligned}$$

Wilson's heuristic, continued

- ▶ This gives the formal approximation

$$\begin{aligned} S(U) &= \sum_p \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{Tr}(I - U_p)) \\ &\approx -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{x \in \epsilon \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \epsilon^4 \operatorname{Tr}(F_{jk}(x)^2) \\ &\approx -\frac{\epsilon^{4-n}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \operatorname{Tr}(F_{jk}(x)^2) dx = \frac{\epsilon^{4-n}}{4} S_{\text{YM}}(A). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ The above heuristic was used by Wilson to justify the approximation of Euclidean Yang–Mills theory by lattice gauge theory, scaling the inverse coupling strength β like ϵ^{4-n} as the lattice spacing $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Scaling in dimension four

- ▶ The most important dimension is $n = 4$, because spacetime is four-dimensional.

Scaling in dimension four

- ▶ The most important dimension is $n = 4$, because spacetime is four-dimensional.
- ▶ In the above formulation, β does not scale with ϵ at all when $n = 4$.

Scaling in dimension four

- ▶ The most important dimension is $n = 4$, because spacetime is four-dimensional.
- ▶ In the above formulation, β does not scale with ϵ at all when $n = 4$.
- ▶ Currently, however, the general belief in the physics community is that β should scale like some multiple of $\log(1/\epsilon)$ in dimension four.

Scaling in dimension four

- ▶ The most important dimension is $n = 4$, because spacetime is four-dimensional.
- ▶ In the above formulation, β does not scale with ϵ at all when $n = 4$.
- ▶ Currently, however, the general belief in the physics community is that β should scale like some multiple of $\log(1/\epsilon)$ in dimension four.
- ▶ But there are doubts about this belief and the question remains an open mathematical problem.

Wilson loop variables

- ▶ Suppose that we have an Euclidean Yang–Mills theory on \mathbb{R}^n with gauge group G .

Wilson loop variables

- ▶ Suppose that we have an Euclidean Yang–Mills theory on \mathbb{R}^n with gauge group G .
- ▶ Given a piecewise smooth closed path γ in \mathbb{R}^n and a G connection A , the **Wilson loop variable** for γ is defined as

$$W_\gamma := \text{Tr} \left(\mathcal{P} \exp \left(\int_\gamma \sum_{j=1}^n A_j dx_j \right) \right),$$

where \mathcal{P} is the path-ordering operator.

Wilson loop variables

- ▶ Suppose that we have an Euclidean Yang–Mills theory on \mathbb{R}^n with gauge group G .
- ▶ Given a piecewise smooth closed path γ in \mathbb{R}^n and a G connection A , the **Wilson loop variable** for γ is defined as

$$W_\gamma := \text{Tr} \left(\mathcal{P} \exp \left(\int_\gamma \sum_{j=1}^n A_j dx_j \right) \right),$$

where \mathcal{P} is the path-ordering operator.

- ▶ In differential geometric terminology, the term inside the trace in the above display is the holonomy of A along the closed path γ .

Wilson loop variables

- ▶ Suppose that we have an Euclidean Yang–Mills theory on \mathbb{R}^n with gauge group G .
- ▶ Given a piecewise smooth closed path γ in \mathbb{R}^n and a G connection A , the **Wilson loop variable** for γ is defined as

$$W_\gamma := \text{Tr} \left(\mathcal{P} \exp \left(\int_\gamma \sum_{j=1}^n A_j dx_j \right) \right),$$

where \mathcal{P} is the path-ordering operator.

- ▶ In differential geometric terminology, the term inside the trace in the above display is the holonomy of A along the closed path γ .
- ▶ Alternatively, it is the parallel transport of the identity matrix along γ by the connection A .

Wilson loop variables

- ▶ Suppose that we have an Euclidean Yang–Mills theory on \mathbb{R}^n with gauge group G .
- ▶ Given a piecewise smooth closed path γ in \mathbb{R}^n and a G connection A , the **Wilson loop variable** for γ is defined as

$$W_\gamma := \text{Tr} \left(\mathcal{P} \exp \left(\int_\gamma \sum_{j=1}^n A_j dx_j \right) \right),$$

where \mathcal{P} is the path-ordering operator.

- ▶ In differential geometric terminology, the term inside the trace in the above display is the holonomy of A along the closed path γ .
- ▶ Alternatively, it is the parallel transport of the identity matrix along γ by the connection A .
- ▶ Do not worry! Lattice definition coming soon.

Quark confinement

- ▶ In quantum chromodynamics, the potential between a static quark and antiquark separated by distance R is given by the formula

$$V(R) = - \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \langle W_{\gamma_{R,T}} \rangle,$$

where $\gamma_{R,T}$ is the boundary of a rectangle of length T and breadth R , and $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes expectation with respect to $SU(3)$ Yang–Mills theory in dimension four.

Quark confinement

- ▶ In quantum chromodynamics, the potential between a static quark and antiquark separated by distance R is given by the formula

$$V(R) = - \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \langle W_{\gamma_{R,T}} \rangle,$$

where $\gamma_{R,T}$ is the boundary of a rectangle of length T and breadth R , and $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes expectation with respect to $SU(3)$ Yang–Mills theory in dimension four.

- ▶ If $V(R)$ grows to infinity as $R \rightarrow \infty$, the quark-antiquark pair cannot separate beyond a fixed distance.

Quark confinement

- ▶ In quantum chromodynamics, the potential between a static quark and antiquark separated by distance R is given by the formula

$$V(R) = - \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \langle W_{\gamma_{R,T}} \rangle,$$

where $\gamma_{R,T}$ is the boundary of a rectangle of length T and breadth R , and $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes expectation with respect to $SU(3)$ Yang–Mills theory in dimension four.

- ▶ If $V(R)$ grows to infinity as $R \rightarrow \infty$, the quark-antiquark pair cannot separate beyond a fixed distance.
- ▶ This is the phenomenon of quark confinement, observed in experiments but currently lacking a satisfactory theoretical understanding.

Wilson's area law

- ▶ In fact, it is believed that $V(R)$ grows like a multiple of R for non-Abelian Yang–Mills theories in dimension four.

Wilson's area law

- ▶ In fact, it is believed that $V(R)$ grows like a multiple of R for non-Abelian Yang–Mills theories in dimension four.
- ▶ More generally, it is believed that $\langle W_\gamma \rangle$ behaves like $e^{-\text{area}(\gamma)}$, where $\text{area}(\gamma)$ is the minimum surface area enclosed by γ .

Wilson's area law

- ▶ In fact, it is believed that $V(R)$ grows like a multiple of R for non-Abelian Yang–Mills theories in dimension four.
- ▶ More generally, it is believed that $\langle W_\gamma \rangle$ behaves like $e^{-\text{area}(\gamma)}$, where $\text{area}(\gamma)$ is the minimum surface area enclosed by γ .
- ▶ This is known as **Wilson's area law**.

Wilson's area law

- ▶ In fact, it is believed that $V(R)$ grows like a multiple of R for non-Abelian Yang–Mills theories in dimension four.
- ▶ More generally, it is believed that $\langle W_\gamma \rangle$ behaves like $e^{-\text{area}(\gamma)}$, where $\text{area}(\gamma)$ is the minimum surface area enclosed by γ .
- ▶ This is known as **Wilson's area law**.
- ▶ If the area law holds, then the quantity

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V(R)}{R}$$

has physical significance. It is called the 'string tension' of the continuum theory, and represents the energy density per unit length in the theory.

Wilson loops in LGT

- ▶ Suppose that we have a lattice gauge theory on $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ with gauge group G .

Wilson loops in LGT

- ▶ Suppose that we have a lattice gauge theory on $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ with gauge group G .
- ▶ Given a loop γ with directed edges e_1, \dots, e_m , the Wilson loop variable W_γ is defined as

$$W_\gamma := \text{Tr}(U(e_1)U(e_2) \cdots U(e_m)).$$

Wilson loops in LGT

- ▶ Suppose that we have a lattice gauge theory on $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ with gauge group G .
- ▶ Given a loop γ with directed edges e_1, \dots, e_m , the Wilson loop variable W_γ is defined as

$$W_\gamma := \text{Tr}(U(e_1)U(e_2) \cdots U(e_m)).$$

- ▶ The rationale for this definition is as follows.

Wilson loops in LGT

- ▶ Suppose that we have a lattice gauge theory on $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ with gauge group G .
- ▶ Given a loop γ with directed edges e_1, \dots, e_m , the Wilson loop variable W_γ is defined as

$$W_\gamma := \text{Tr}(U(e_1)U(e_2) \cdots U(e_m)).$$

- ▶ The rationale for this definition is as follows.
- ▶ Let A be a smooth G connection on \mathbb{R}^n .

Wilson loops in LGT

- ▶ Suppose that we have a lattice gauge theory on $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ with gauge group G .
- ▶ Given a loop γ with directed edges e_1, \dots, e_m , the Wilson loop variable W_γ is defined as

$$W_\gamma := \text{Tr}(U(e_1)U(e_2) \cdots U(e_m)).$$

- ▶ The rationale for this definition is as follows.
- ▶ Let A be a smooth G connection on \mathbb{R}^n .
- ▶ Take some small ϵ and define a configuration of group elements assigned to directed edges of $\epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$ using the connection A as before.

Wilson loops in LGT

- ▶ Suppose that we have a lattice gauge theory on $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ with gauge group G .
- ▶ Given a loop γ with directed edges e_1, \dots, e_m , the Wilson loop variable W_γ is defined as

$$W_\gamma := \text{Tr}(U(e_1)U(e_2) \cdots U(e_m)).$$

- ▶ The rationale for this definition is as follows.
- ▶ Let A be a smooth G connection on \mathbb{R}^n .
- ▶ Take some small ϵ and define a configuration of group elements assigned to directed edges of $\epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$ using the connection A as before.
- ▶ Let γ be a smooth closed path in \mathbb{R}^n and let γ_ϵ be a loop in $\epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$ that approximates this path.

Wilson loops in LGT

- ▶ Suppose that we have a lattice gauge theory on $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ with gauge group G .
- ▶ Given a loop γ with directed edges e_1, \dots, e_m , the Wilson loop variable W_γ is defined as

$$W_\gamma := \text{Tr}(U(e_1)U(e_2) \cdots U(e_m)).$$

- ▶ The rationale for this definition is as follows.
- ▶ Let A be a smooth G connection on \mathbb{R}^n .
- ▶ Take some small ϵ and define a configuration of group elements assigned to directed edges of $\epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$ using the connection A as before.
- ▶ Let γ be a smooth closed path in \mathbb{R}^n and let γ_ϵ be a loop in $\epsilon\mathbb{Z}^n$ that approximates this path.
- ▶ Then, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the discrete Wilson loop variable W_{γ_ϵ} approaches the continuous Wilson loop variable W_γ .

The area law problem on lattices

Open problem (Area law)

Take any compact non-Abelian Lie group $G \subseteq U(N)$ for some $N \geq 2$ and consider any infinite volume limit of 4D lattice gauge theory with gauge group G at inverse coupling strength β . Let $\gamma_{R,T}$ be a rectangular loop of breadth R and length T in the lattice. Prove that

$$|\langle W_{\gamma_{R,T}} \rangle| \leq C(\beta) e^{-c(\beta)RT},$$

where $C(\beta)$ and $c(\beta)$ are positive constants that depend only on the inverse coupling strength β and the gauge group.

The area law problem on lattices

Open problem (Area law)

Take any compact non-Abelian Lie group $G \subseteq U(N)$ for some $N \geq 2$ and consider any infinite volume limit of 4D lattice gauge theory with gauge group G at inverse coupling strength β . Let $\gamma_{R,T}$ be a rectangular loop of breadth R and length T in the lattice. Prove that

$$|\langle W_{\gamma_{R,T}} \rangle| \leq C(\beta) e^{-c(\beta)RT},$$

where $C(\beta)$ and $c(\beta)$ are positive constants that depend only on the inverse coupling strength β and the gauge group.

- ▶ There is a proof at small β by Osterwalder & Seiler (1978).

The area law problem on lattices

Open problem (Area law)

Take any compact non-Abelian Lie group $G \subseteq U(N)$ for some $N \geq 2$ and consider any infinite volume limit of 4D lattice gauge theory with gauge group G at inverse coupling strength β . Let $\gamma_{R,T}$ be a rectangular loop of breadth R and length T in the lattice. Prove that

$$|\langle W_{\gamma_{R,T}} \rangle| \leq C(\beta) e^{-c(\beta)RT},$$

where $C(\beta)$ and $c(\beta)$ are positive constants that depend only on the inverse coupling strength β and the gauge group.

- ▶ There is a proof at small β by Osterwalder & Seiler (1978).
- ▶ Proof at large β for 3D $U(1)$ theory by G\"opfert and Mack (1982).

The area law problem on lattices

Open problem (Area law)

Take any compact non-Abelian Lie group $G \subseteq U(N)$ for some $N \geq 2$ and consider any infinite volume limit of 4D lattice gauge theory with gauge group G at inverse coupling strength β . Let $\gamma_{R,T}$ be a rectangular loop of breadth R and length T in the lattice. Prove that

$$|\langle W_{\gamma_{R,T}} \rangle| \leq C(\beta) e^{-c(\beta)RT},$$

where $C(\beta)$ and $c(\beta)$ are positive constants that depend only on the inverse coupling strength β and the gauge group.

- ▶ There is a proof at small β by Osterwalder & Seiler (1978).
- ▶ Proof at large β for 3D $U(1)$ theory by G\"opfert and Mack (1982).
- ▶ Disproof at large β for 4D $U(1)$ theory by Guth (1980) and Fr\"ohlich & Spencer (1982).

Correlation length

- ▶ Consider an infinite volume lattice gauge theory on \mathbb{Z}^n .

Correlation length

- ▶ Consider an infinite volume lattice gauge theory on \mathbb{Z}^n .
- ▶ Let γ_1 and γ_2 be two loops of fixed length, such as two plaquettes.

Correlation length

- ▶ Consider an infinite volume lattice gauge theory on \mathbb{Z}^n .
- ▶ Let γ_1 and γ_2 be two loops of fixed length, such as two plaquettes.
- ▶ The correlation between W_{γ_1} and W_{γ_2} is defined as

$$\langle W_{\gamma_1} W_{\gamma_2} \rangle - \langle W_{\gamma_1} \rangle \langle W_{\gamma_2} \rangle.$$

Correlation length

- ▶ Consider an infinite volume lattice gauge theory on \mathbb{Z}^n .
- ▶ Let γ_1 and γ_2 be two loops of fixed length, such as two plaquettes.
- ▶ The correlation between W_{γ_1} and W_{γ_2} is defined as

$$\langle W_{\gamma_1} W_{\gamma_2} \rangle - \langle W_{\gamma_1} \rangle \langle W_{\gamma_2} \rangle.$$

- ▶ Let $d(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ denote the Euclidean distance between the two loops.

Correlation length

- ▶ Consider an infinite volume lattice gauge theory on \mathbb{Z}^n .
- ▶ Let γ_1 and γ_2 be two loops of fixed length, such as two plaquettes.
- ▶ The correlation between W_{γ_1} and W_{γ_2} is defined as

$$\langle W_{\gamma_1} W_{\gamma_2} \rangle - \langle W_{\gamma_1} \rangle \langle W_{\gamma_2} \rangle.$$

- ▶ Let $d(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ denote the Euclidean distance between the two loops.
- ▶ If the logarithm of the above correlation behaves like $-d(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)/\xi$ for some $\xi \in (0, \infty)$ as $d(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \rightarrow \infty$, then the number ξ is called the correlation length of the model.

Correlation length

- ▶ Consider an infinite volume lattice gauge theory on \mathbb{Z}^n .
- ▶ Let γ_1 and γ_2 be two loops of fixed length, such as two plaquettes.
- ▶ The correlation between W_{γ_1} and W_{γ_2} is defined as

$$\langle W_{\gamma_1} W_{\gamma_2} \rangle - \langle W_{\gamma_1} \rangle \langle W_{\gamma_2} \rangle.$$

- ▶ Let $d(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ denote the Euclidean distance between the two loops.
- ▶ If the logarithm of the above correlation behaves like $-d(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)/\xi$ for some $\xi \in (0, \infty)$ as $d(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \rightarrow \infty$, then the number ξ is called the correlation length of the model.
- ▶ Any lattice gauge theory contains information of an associated class of elementary particles called ‘glueballs’ or ‘gluon-balls’.

Correlation length

- ▶ Consider an infinite volume lattice gauge theory on \mathbb{Z}^n .
- ▶ Let γ_1 and γ_2 be two loops of fixed length, such as two plaquettes.
- ▶ The correlation between W_{γ_1} and W_{γ_2} is defined as

$$\langle W_{\gamma_1} W_{\gamma_2} \rangle - \langle W_{\gamma_1} \rangle \langle W_{\gamma_2} \rangle.$$

- ▶ Let $d(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ denote the Euclidean distance between the two loops.
- ▶ If the logarithm of the above correlation behaves like $-d(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)/\xi$ for some $\xi \in (0, \infty)$ as $d(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \rightarrow \infty$, then the number ξ is called the correlation length of the model.
- ▶ Any lattice gauge theory contains information of an associated class of elementary particles called 'glueballs' or 'gluon-balls'.
- ▶ The number ξ represents the reciprocal of the mass of the lightest glueball in the theory.

Critical point

- ▶ Physicists say that the model has a continuum limit if there is a critical point $\beta_c \in [0, \infty]$ such that as $\beta \rightarrow \beta_c$, the correlation length $\xi(\beta)$ tends to infinity.

Critical point

- ▶ Physicists say that the model has a continuum limit if there is a critical point $\beta_c \in [0, \infty]$ such that as $\beta \rightarrow \beta_c$, the correlation length $\xi(\beta)$ tends to infinity.
- ▶ It is believed that in dimension four, many of the non-Abelian lattice models of interest have $\beta_c = \infty$.

One version of the mass gap problem

Open problem (Mass gap)

Take any compact non-Abelian Lie group $G \subseteq U(N)$ for some $N \geq 2$ and consider 4D lattice gauge theory with gauge group G at inverse coupling strength β . For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$, let p_x be the plaquette that is closest to x . Let $f_\beta(x)$ denote the correlation between W_{p_0} and W_{p_x} . Show that for any $\beta > 0$, there exists some $\xi(\beta) \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log f_\beta(x)}{|x|} = -\frac{1}{\xi(\beta)}.$$

Moreover, prove that

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \xi(\beta) = \infty.$$

Continuum limit via Wilson loops

- ▶ One approach to the construction of continuum limits of lattice gauge theories is via Wilson loops (advocated, for example, by Seiler (1982)).

Continuum limit via Wilson loops

- ▶ One approach to the construction of continuum limits of lattice gauge theories is via Wilson loops (advocated, for example, by Seiler (1982)).
- ▶ Let β_c be a critical point of a lattice gauge theory.

Continuum limit via Wilson loops

- ▶ One approach to the construction of continuum limits of lattice gauge theories is via Wilson loops (advocated, for example, by Seiler (1982)).
- ▶ Let β_c be a critical point of a lattice gauge theory.
- ▶ As $\beta \rightarrow \beta_c$, we would like to show that the lattice spacing ϵ can be taken to 0 in such a way that if γ_ϵ is any sequence of lattice loops converging to a loop γ in \mathbb{R}^n , then $\langle W_{\gamma_\epsilon} \rangle$ converges to a nontrivial limit after some appropriate renormalization.

Continuum limit via Wilson loops

- ▶ One approach to the construction of continuum limits of lattice gauge theories is via Wilson loops (advocated, for example, by Seiler (1982)).
- ▶ Let β_c be a critical point of a lattice gauge theory.
- ▶ As $\beta \rightarrow \beta_c$, we would like to show that the lattice spacing ϵ can be taken to 0 in such a way that if γ_ϵ is any sequence of lattice loops converging to a loop γ in \mathbb{R}^n , then $\langle W_{\gamma_\epsilon} \rangle$ converges to a nontrivial limit after some appropriate renormalization.
- ▶ Recall that β_c is believed to be ∞ for 4D non-Abelian theories.

One possible formulation

Open problem (Continuum limit)

Take any compact non-Abelian Lie group $G \subseteq U(N)$ for some $N \geq 2$ and consider 4D lattice gauge theory with gauge group G at inverse coupling strength β . Let $\gamma_{R,T}$ denote a rectangular loop of length T and breadth R . Prove that as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, there are sequences $\epsilon = \epsilon(\beta) \rightarrow 0$ and $c = c(\beta) \rightarrow \infty$, and a nonzero constant d , such that for any R and T ,

$$\log \langle W_{\gamma_{R/\epsilon, T/\epsilon}} \rangle = -c(R + T) - dRT + o(1).$$

One possible formulation

Open problem (Continuum limit)

Take any compact non-Abelian Lie group $G \subseteq U(N)$ for some $N \geq 2$ and consider 4D lattice gauge theory with gauge group G at inverse coupling strength β . Let $\gamma_{R,T}$ denote a rectangular loop of length T and breadth R . Prove that as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, there are sequences $\epsilon = \epsilon(\beta) \rightarrow 0$ and $c = c(\beta) \rightarrow \infty$, and a nonzero constant d , such that for any R and T ,

$$\log \langle W_{\gamma_{R/\epsilon, T/\epsilon}} \rangle = -c(R + T) - dRT + o(1).$$

Since this has not been proved, it is not clear to me whether the renormalization term $c(R + T)$ is indeed necessary. It seems possible that the limit holds without the renormalization term.

The $1/N$ expansion at strong coupling

- ▶ Consider $SO(N)$ lattice gauge theory on \mathbb{Z}^n , n arbitrary.

The $1/N$ expansion at strong coupling

- ▶ Consider $SO(N)$ lattice gauge theory on \mathbb{Z}^n , n arbitrary.
- ▶ In recent work (C., 2015 and C. & Jafarov, 2016), we gave a formula for Wilson loop expectations in this theory as asymptotic series expansions in $1/N$, where each coefficient in the series arises as a sum over trajectories in a certain **lattice string theory**.

The $1/N$ expansion at strong coupling

- ▶ Consider $SO(N)$ lattice gauge theory on \mathbb{Z}^n , n arbitrary.
- ▶ In recent work (C., 2015 and C. & Jafarov, 2016), we gave a formula for Wilson loop expectations in this theory as asymptotic series expansions in $1/N$, where each coefficient in the series arises as a sum over trajectories in a certain **lattice string theory**.
- ▶ This proves a version of **gauge-string duality**.

The $1/N$ expansion at strong coupling

- ▶ Consider $SO(N)$ lattice gauge theory on \mathbb{Z}^n , n arbitrary.
- ▶ In recent work (C., 2015 and C. & Jafarov, 2016), we gave a formula for Wilson loop expectations in this theory as asymptotic series expansions in $1/N$, where each coefficient in the series arises as a sum over trajectories in a certain **lattice string theory**.
- ▶ This proves a version of **gauge-string duality**.
- ▶ The expansion was proved only at small β (strong coupling). It is an open question whether such an expansion holds at large β .

The $1/N$ expansion at strong coupling

- ▶ Consider $SO(N)$ lattice gauge theory on \mathbb{Z}^n , n arbitrary.
- ▶ In recent work (C., 2015 and C. & Jafarov, 2016), we gave a formula for Wilson loop expectations in this theory as asymptotic series expansions in $1/N$, where each coefficient in the series arises as a sum over trajectories in a certain **lattice string theory**.
- ▶ This proves a version of **gauge-string duality**.
- ▶ The expansion was proved only at small β (strong coupling). It is an open question whether such an expansion holds at large β .
- ▶ In 2D, the terms were explicitly evaluated by Basu & Ganguly (2016) using combinatorial techniques.

The master loop equation

The following is a generalization of what are called **Makeenko–Migdal equations** or **master loop equations**. They hold at all β , and give the starting point for the proof of the $1/N$ expansion and gauge-string duality.

The master loop equation

The following is a generalization of what are called **Makeenko–Migdal equations** or **master loop equations**. They hold at all β , and give the starting point for the proof of the $1/N$ expansion and gauge-string duality.

Theorem (C., 2015)

Consider $SO(N)$ LGT on \mathbb{Z}^n . For a collection of loops $s = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_m)$, define

$$\phi(s) := \frac{\langle W_{\ell_1} W_{\ell_2} \cdots W_{\ell_m} \rangle}{N^m}.$$

Let $|s|$ be the total number of edges in s . Then

$$\begin{aligned}(N-1)|s|\phi(s) &= \sum_{s' \in \mathbb{T}^-(s)} \phi(s') - \sum_{s' \in \mathbb{T}^+(s)} \phi(s') + N \sum_{s' \in \mathbb{S}^-(s)} \phi(s') \\ &\quad - N \sum_{s' \in \mathbb{S}^+(s)} \phi(s') + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{s' \in \mathbb{M}^-(s)} \phi(s') - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{s' \in \mathbb{M}^+(s)} \phi(s') \\ &\quad + N\beta \sum_{s' \in \mathbb{D}^-(s)} \phi(s') - N\beta \sum_{s' \in \mathbb{D}^+(s)} \phi(s'),\end{aligned}$$

where \mathbb{T}^\pm , \mathbb{S}^\pm , \mathbb{M}^\pm and \mathbb{D}^\pm are certain operations that produce new collections of loops from old.

A recent result

- ▶ An exact result about the behavior of Wilson loop expectations as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ in 4D \mathbb{Z}_2 lattice gauge theory.

A recent result

- ▶ An exact result about the behavior of Wilson loop expectations as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ in 4D \mathbb{Z}_2 lattice gauge theory.
- ▶ Not physically interesting since ∞ is not a critical point of this theory (the group \mathbb{Z}_2 is Abelian).

A recent result

- ▶ An exact result about the behavior of Wilson loop expectations as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ in 4D \mathbb{Z}_2 lattice gauge theory.
- ▶ Not physically interesting since ∞ is not a critical point of this theory (the group \mathbb{Z}_2 is Abelian).
- ▶ A similar result for any 4D non-Abelian theory would be a huge breakthrough. So the proof technique may be worth studying further.

A recent result

- ▶ An exact result about the behavior of Wilson loop expectations as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ in 4D \mathbb{Z}_2 lattice gauge theory.
- ▶ Not physically interesting since ∞ is not a critical point of this theory (the group \mathbb{Z}_2 is Abelian).
- ▶ A similar result for any 4D non-Abelian theory would be a huge breakthrough. So the proof technique may be worth studying further.

Theorem (C., 2018)

Consider 4D \mathbb{Z}_2 lattice gauge theory. Let $\{\gamma_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of self-avoiding loops and $\beta_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $|\gamma_n|e^{-12\beta_n}$ converges to a limit $\theta \in (0, \infty)$, where $|\gamma_n|$ is the length of γ_n . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle W_{\gamma_n} \rangle_{\beta_n} = e^{-2\theta},$$

provided that the proportion of corner edges in γ_n tends to zero.
(Corner edge: an edge that shares a plaquette with another edge.)

Final remarks

- ▶ The result from the previous slide shows that for 4D \mathbb{Z}_2 theory, the coupling constant β needs to scale like $-\frac{1}{12} \log \epsilon$, where ϵ is the lattice spacing, to obtain a nontrivial limit of Wilson loop expectations. As mentioned earlier, such logarithmic scaling is conjectured for 4D non-Abelian theories in the physics literature.

Final remarks

- ▶ The result from the previous slide shows that for 4D \mathbb{Z}_2 theory, the coupling constant β needs to scale like $-\frac{1}{12} \log \epsilon$, where ϵ is the lattice spacing, to obtain a nontrivial limit of Wilson loop expectations. As mentioned earlier, such logarithmic scaling is conjectured for 4D non-Abelian theories in the physics literature.
- ▶ I have a very recent preprint on arXiv, with the same title as this talk, that contains most of this talk in greater detail (except the last theorem).

Final remarks

- ▶ The result from the previous slide shows that for 4D \mathbb{Z}_2 theory, the coupling constant β needs to scale like $-\frac{1}{12} \log \epsilon$, where ϵ is the lattice spacing, to obtain a nontrivial limit of Wilson loop expectations. As mentioned earlier, such logarithmic scaling is conjectured for 4D non-Abelian theories in the physics literature.
- ▶ I have a very recent preprint on arXiv, with the same title as this talk, that contains most of this talk in greater detail (except the last theorem).
- ▶ The preprint also has an extensive review of the mathematical literature on these topics, which I did not cover in this talk.

Final remarks

- ▶ The result from the previous slide shows that for 4D \mathbb{Z}_2 theory, the coupling constant β needs to scale like $-\frac{1}{12} \log \epsilon$, where ϵ is the lattice spacing, to obtain a nontrivial limit of Wilson loop expectations. As mentioned earlier, such logarithmic scaling is conjectured for 4D non-Abelian theories in the physics literature.
- ▶ I have a very recent preprint on arXiv, with the same title as this talk, that contains most of this talk in greater detail (except the last theorem).
- ▶ The preprint also has an extensive review of the mathematical literature on these topics, which I did not cover in this talk.
- ▶ Special thanks to David Brydges, Erhard Seiler and Steve Shenker for teaching me most of what I know about Yang–Mills theories, lattice gauge theories and quantum field theories.