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The main goal of this paper is to prove the existence of a new general type of reductions for the hierarchy of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) equation. The KP equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3}{4} u_{y y}+\left(u_{t}-\frac{3}{2} u u_{x}-\frac{1}{4} u_{x x x}\right)_{x}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

was the first spatially two-dimensional equation included in the framework of the inverse problem method. As was observed in [1, 2], Eq. (1) possesses the zero-curvature representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\partial_{y}-L, \partial_{t}-A\right]=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\partial_{x}^{2}+u(x, y, t), \quad A=\partial_{x}^{3}+\frac{3}{2} u \partial_{x}+w(x, y, t) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The zero-curvature representation was also used to describe an infinite set of nonlinear equations compatible with the KP equation. These equations form a system of equations for the coefficients $v_{i, n}$ of an infinite set of ordinary linear differential operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}=\partial_{x}^{n}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} v_{i, n}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots\right) \partial_{x}^{i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and are equivalent to the operator equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\partial_{m}-L_{m}, \partial_{n}-L_{n}\right]=0, \quad \partial_{n}=\partial / \partial t_{n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this form the "hierarchy" of the KP equation is an infinite system of equations for infinitely many unknown functions depending on infinitely many variables.

The KP hierarchy was defined in [3] as a system of commuting evolution equations on the space of infinite tuples $u_{i}(x), i=1,2, \ldots$, of functions of one variable. The corresponding equations are equivalent to the Lax equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{n} \mathcal{L}=\left[\mathcal{L}_{+}^{n}, \mathcal{L}\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the pseudodifferential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\partial_{x}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_{i} \partial_{x}^{-i} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(From now on $D_{+}$stands for the differential part of a pseudodifferential operator $D$.) The equivalence of these two definitions of KP hierarchy was proved in [4].

The basic type of reductions that has been considered in the theory of KP hierarchy is the reduction to stationary points of one of the flows of the hierarchy (or of a linear combination of such flows). The corresponding invariant submanifolds are characterized by the property that the $n$th power of the corresponding pseudodifferential operator $\mathcal{L}$ is a differential operator, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{n}=\mathcal{L}_{+}^{n}=L=\partial_{x}^{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} w_{i}(x) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients of $\mathcal{L}$ are differential polynomials in the coefficients $w_{i}$ of the differential operator $L$, and $w_{i}$ parametrize the corresponding invariant subspace of the KP hierarchy.

[^0]Let $\mathcal{K}_{m, n}$ be the manifold of pseudodifferential operators $\mathcal{L}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{n}=L_{1}^{-1} L_{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are coprime differential operators of degrees $m$ and $n+m$, respectively. (Two differential operators are said to be coprime if their kernels do not intersect.) The coefficients of these operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}=\partial_{x}^{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} w_{1, i} \partial_{x}^{i}, \quad L_{2}=\partial_{x}^{n+k}+\sum_{j=1}^{n+m-1} w_{2, j} \partial_{x}^{j} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

are parameters that specify the points of $\mathcal{K}_{m, n}$. The normalization of $\mathcal{L}$ such that there is no free term on the right-hand side in (7) is equivalent to the only relation on the coefficients of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1, m-1}=w_{2, n+m-1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients of pseudodifferential operators that belong to $\mathcal{K}_{m, n}$ are differential polynomials in $w_{1, i}$ and $w_{2, j}$.

A priori, the definition of $\mathcal{K}_{m, n}$ depends on the order of factors in the right-hand side in (9). But we are going to show that the corresponding submanifold of pseudodifferential operators is independent of this order and depends only on the degrees of the numerator and denominator of the noncommutative fraction.

Lemma 1. For any coprime differential operators $L_{3}$ and $L_{4}$ of degrees $m$ and $n+m$, respectively, there exist unique normalized differential operators $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ of degrees $m$ and $n+m$, respectively, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}^{-1} L_{2}=L_{4} L_{3}^{-1} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(A differential operator is said to be normalized if its leading coefficient is equal to 1.)
Remark. This result is well known. Exact formulas for the coefficients of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ can be found in [5]. Nevertheless, we are going to present the proof in a form that will be useful later.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O}_{i}, i=3,4$, be the kernel of $L_{i}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x) \in \mathcal{O}_{i}: \quad L_{i} y(x)=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dimension of the linear space $\mathcal{O}_{4}$ is $n+m$. It follows from the hypothesis of the lemma that the image $L_{3}\left(\mathcal{O}_{4}\right)$ of this space has the same dimension. Therefore, the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2} y(x)=0, \quad y(x) \in L_{3}\left(\mathcal{O}_{4}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniquely defines a normalized differential operator $L_{2}$ of degree $n+m$. The operator $L_{1}$ of degree $n$ is defined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1} y(x)=0, \quad y(x) \in L_{4}\left(\mathcal{O}_{3}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the definition of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ that the differential operators $L_{2} L_{3}$ and $L_{1} L_{4}$ of degree $2 n+m$ have the same kernel $\mathcal{O}_{3}+\mathcal{O}_{4}$. Therefore, they are equal. The equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1} L_{4}=L_{2} L_{3} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equivalent to (12). The lemma is thereby proved.
In the same way one can prove the converse statement: for any coprime differential operators $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ there exist unique normalized differential operators $L_{3}$ and $L_{4}$ such that Eq. (12) is valid.

Theorem 1. For any $n$ and $m$ the space $\mathcal{K}_{m, n}$ is invariant with respect to the KP hierarchy (6).

Proof. Equation (6) for $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{K}_{m, n}$ is equivalent to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{i}\left(L_{1}^{-1} L_{2}\right)=\left[\left(L_{1}^{-1} L_{2}\right)_{+}^{i / n}, L_{1}^{-1} L_{2}\right] \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (17) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{i} L_{2}\right) L_{3}-\left(\partial_{i} L_{1}\right) L_{4}=L_{1}\left(L_{1}^{-1} L_{2}\right)_{+}^{i / n} L_{4}-L_{2}\left(L_{1}^{-1} L_{2}\right)_{+}^{i / n} L_{3}, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{3}$ and $L_{4}$ are differential operators such that (12) is satisfied.
To prove the theorem, it suffices to show that for given $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ Eq. (18) uniquely defines operators $\partial_{i} L_{1}$ and $\partial_{i} L_{2}$ of degrees $m-1$ and $n+m-1$, respectively.

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the operator defined by the right-hand side in (18). The coefficients of this operator are differential polynomials in the coefficients of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, that is, $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{D}\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)$. In the same way as in the proof of the correctness of the KP hierarchy, one can show that this operator is of degree $n+2 m-1$. Indeed, any operator commutes with the powers of itself (including fractional powers). Hence, the differential part of the operator $\left(L_{1}^{-1} L_{2}\right)^{i / n}$ can be replaced in (17) by its integral part

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{1}^{-1} L_{2}\right)_{-}^{i / n}=\left(L_{1}^{-1} L_{2}\right)^{i / n}-\left(L_{1}^{-1} L_{2}\right)_{+}^{i / n} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}=L_{2}\left(L_{1}^{-1} L_{2}\right)_{-}^{i / n} L_{3}-L_{1}\left(L_{1}^{-1} L_{2}\right)_{-}^{i / n} L_{4} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last equation shows that the degree of the differential operator $\mathcal{D}$ is less than or equal to $n+2 m-1$.
Lemma 2. For any differential operator $\mathcal{D}$ of degree $n+2 m-1$ and for any coprime differential operators $L_{3}$ and $L_{4}$ of degrees $m$ and $n+m$, respectively, there exist unique differential operators $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ of degrees $m-1$ and $n+m-1$, respectively, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2} L_{3}-A_{1} L_{4}-\mathcal{D}=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. A differential operator $A_{1}$ of degree $m-1$ is uniquely determined by its action on any $m$ dimensional linear space. Therefore, it can be specified by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1} y(x)=\mathcal{D} y(x), \quad y(x) \in L_{4}\left(\mathcal{O}_{3}\right) . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2} y(x)=\mathcal{D} y(x), \quad y(x) \in L_{3}\left(\mathcal{O}_{4}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

specifies the operator $A_{2}$. It follows from Eqs. (21) and (22) that the differential operator specified by the left-hand side in (21) is of degree $n+2 m-1$ and that the dimension of its kernel is greater than or equal to $n+2 m$. Hence, this operator is zero. Lemma 2 is thereby proved.

If $L_{3}, L_{4}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are given by Eqs. (12) and (20), then the operators $A_{i}$ are uniquely determined by $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, that is, $A_{i}=A_{i}\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)$. Therefore, the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{i} L_{1}=A_{1}\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right), \quad \partial_{i} L_{2}=A_{2}\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

correctly define the evolution of the operators $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$. The theorem is proved.
When discussing this result with the author, T. Shiota proposed an explicit form of the equations that define the evolution of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$.

Theorem 2. The restriction of the KP hierarchy to $\mathcal{K}_{m, n}$ is equivalent to the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{i} L_{1}=L_{1}\left(L_{1}^{-1} L_{2}\right)_{+}^{i / n}-\left(L_{2} L_{1}^{-1}\right)_{+}^{i / n} L_{1},  \tag{25}\\
& \partial_{i} L_{2}=L_{2}\left(L_{1}^{-1} L_{2}\right)_{+}^{i / n}-\left(L_{2} L_{1}^{-1}\right)_{+}^{i / n} L_{2} . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 1 that (17) correctly defines the evolution of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$. On the other hand, it can readily be verified that Eq. (17) follows from Eqs. (25) and (26). Therefore, to prove the theorem it suffices to verify that the right-hand sides in Eqs. (25) and (26) are differential operators of degrees not greater than $m-1$ and $n+m-1$, respectively. The last statement follows from the fact that, by virtue of the identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}^{-1}\left(L_{2} L_{1}^{-1}\right)^{i / n} L_{1}=\left(L_{1}^{-1} L_{2}\right)^{i / n}, \quad L_{2}^{-1}\left(L_{2} L_{1}^{-1}\right)^{i / n} L_{2}=\left(L_{1}^{-1} L_{2}\right)^{i / n} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqs. (25) and (26) are equivalent to the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{i} L_{1}=\left(L_{2} L_{1}^{-1}\right)_{-}^{i / n} L_{1}-L_{1}\left(L_{1}^{-1} L_{2}\right)_{-}^{i / n},  \tag{28}\\
& \partial_{i} L_{2}=\left(L_{2} L_{1}^{-1}\right)_{-}^{i / n} L_{2}-L_{2}\left(L_{1}^{-1} L_{2}\right)_{-}^{i / n} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

The theorem is proved.
Remark. Equations (28) and (29) are similar to the formulas obtained in [6] that describe the evolution of the factors $L=L_{1} L_{2}$, where $L_{i}$ are differential operators or pseudodifferential operators of some special kind.

Example. A particular case of the cited statements is given by the results in the papers [8, 9], where it was proved that the hierarchy of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation can be obtained as a special reduction of the KP hierarchy. In the notation of the present work, the corresponding reduction is the reduction to the space $\mathcal{K}_{1,2}$.

The coefficients of the pseudodifferential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\left(\partial_{x}+v\right)^{-1}\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+v \partial_{x}+w\right)=\partial_{x}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_{i} \partial_{x}^{-i} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

are differential polynomials in the functions $v$ and $w$ and are recursively defined by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=w, \quad u_{i+1}+u_{i x}+v u_{i}=0, \quad i>1 . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider the second flow of the KP hierarchy (6), that is, the equation that defines the dependence of $\mathcal{L}$ on the variable $t_{2}$. For $n=2$, we have $\mathcal{L}_{+}^{2}=\partial_{x}^{2}+2 u_{1}$. The corresponding equations for the first two coefficients of $\mathcal{L}$ can be reduced to a closed system of two equations for two unknown functions by using Eq. (31) for $i=1,2$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{2} u_{1}=u_{1 x x}+2 u_{2 x}, \quad u_{2}=-u_{1 x}+v u_{1},  \tag{32}\\
& \partial_{2} u_{2}=u_{2 x x}+2 u_{3 x}+2 u_{1} u_{1 x}=u_{2 x x}-2\left(u_{2 x}+v u_{2}\right)_{x}+2 u_{1} u_{1 x} . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us define two new unknown functions $r$ and $q$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=r q, \quad v=-r_{x} / r . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (32) and (33) are equivalent to the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{2} r=r_{x x}+r q r, \quad \partial_{2} q=-q_{x x}+q r q, \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

which for $r= \pm q^{*}$ and $t_{2}=i t$ transforms into the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
i r_{t}-r_{x} x \mp|r|^{2} r=0 . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. Equations (35) admit the Lax representation $L_{t}=[A, L]$, where $L$ is the Dirac operator. It seems quite natural to conjecture that the proposed reductions of the KP hierarchy contain all equations that have a Lax representation with differential operators $L$ with matrix coefficients of an arbitrary dimension.

The restrictions of the KP hierarchy to the spaces $\mathcal{K}_{m, n}$ give a "quantization" of the corresponding algebraic orbits [7] of the dispersionless KP hierarchy. The hierarchy of the dispersionless KP equation is a system of commuting evolution equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{i} K=\left\{K_{+}^{i}, K\right\}=\partial_{p}\left(K_{+}^{i}\right) \partial_{x} K-\partial_{x}\left(K_{+}^{i}\right) \partial_{p} K \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the coefficients of the Laurent series

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(p)=p+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_{i}\left(x, t_{1}, \ldots\right) p^{-i} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

(In (37), $[\ldots]_{+}$stands for the part of the Laurent series containing the nonnegative powers of $p$.) The reductions of the hierarchy (37) to spaces of Laurent series such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{n}=E(p, t)=p^{n}+u_{n-2} p^{n-2}+\cdots+u_{0}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\alpha}} v_{i, \alpha}\left(p-p_{\alpha}\right)^{-i} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

were referred to as algebraic orbits in [7]. We should like to point out that it was showin in [7] that the algebraic orbits of the dispersionless KP hierarchy have additional symmetries, i.e., that the reductions of the hierarchy (37) to a space of Laurent series $K$ satisfying Eq. (39) are compatible with the evolution equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{i, \alpha} K=\left\{\Omega_{i, \alpha}, K\right\}=\partial_{p}\left(\Omega_{i, \alpha}\right) \partial_{x} K-\partial_{x}\left(\Omega_{i, \alpha}\right) \partial_{p} K \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega_{i, \alpha}$ is a polynomial of degree $i$ in the variable $\left(p-p_{\alpha}\right)^{-1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{i, \alpha}=\sum_{s=1}^{i} w_{s, i, \alpha}\left(p-p_{\alpha}\right)^{-s}=E^{i / n_{\alpha}}+O\left(p-p_{\alpha}\right) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $p \rightarrow p_{\alpha}$. The following theorem shows that these symmetries can be "quantized."
Theorem 3. The restriction of the KP hierarchy to the invariant spaces $\mathcal{K}_{m, n}$ is compatible with the Lax evolution equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{r}=\left[A_{-i}, \mathcal{L}\right], \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{-i}=M_{1}^{-1} M_{2} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

$M_{1}$ is a normalized differential operator of degree $i$, and $M_{2}$ is a differential operator of degree $i-1$.
Proof. Equation (42) for $\mathcal{L}=L_{1}^{-1} L_{2}$ is equivalent to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{\tau} L_{2}\right) L_{3}-\left(\partial_{\tau} L_{1}\right) L_{4}=L_{1} A_{-i} L_{4}-L_{2} A_{-i} L_{3} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{3}$ and $L_{4}$ are operators for which Eq. (12) is satisfied. The pseudodifferential operator $A_{-i}$ has a negative degree. Therefore, the right-hand side $\mathcal{D}$ in (44) is a pseudodifferential operator of degree less than or equal to $n+2 m-1$. The final part of the proof of Theorem 1 shows that (44) is a well-defined evolution system if and only if the pseudodifferential operator $\mathcal{D}$ on its right-hand side is a differential operator. Let us show that this condition allows one to express the coefficients of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ via the coefficients of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, thus obtaining a closed system of equations. By Lemma 1 , for a generic $A_{-i}$ the operator $\mathcal{D}$ can uniquely be represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}=D_{1} D_{2}^{-1} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are differential operators and $D_{2}$ has the degree $2 i$. Therefore, the condition that $D_{1}$ is divisible by $D_{2}$ is equivalent to a system of $2 i$ ordinary differential equations for $2 i$ unknown coefficients of the operators $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$. The theorem is proved.

Remark 1. It should be pointed out that the above-proved theorem claims the existence of symmetries of the form (42), (43) but does not provide an answer to the question of their complete classification. In particular, the question about how many of them exist for given $i$ and what algebra they form is still open. The commutativity conditions for two symmetries of the form (43) have the form of the zero-curvature equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\partial / \partial \tau_{1}-M_{1}^{-1} M_{2}, \partial / \partial \tau_{2}-M_{3}^{-1} M_{4}\right]=0 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

which were considered in the recent paper [10], with no relation to the symmetries of rational reductions of the KP hierarchy, as a new form of generating integrable equations.

Remark 2. We would like to mention that symmetries of the form (42), (43) exist for the usual Lax reductions of the KP hierarchy as well. For example, for the KdV equation (i.e., for $\mathcal{L}^{2}=L=\partial_{x}^{2}+u$ ) and $i=1$ the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\tau}=u_{\tau}=\left[\left(v \partial_{x}+w\right)^{-1}, L\right] \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equivalent to the equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{\tau} w^{2}+v\left(u_{\tau} w\right)_{x}=w_{x x}-v u_{x}, \quad v\left(u_{\tau} v\right)_{x}+2 u_{\tau} v w=v_{x x}+2 w_{x},  \tag{48}\\
u_{\tau} v^{2}=2 v_{x} . \tag{49}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\frac{1}{2} v_{x} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

then it follows from Eqs. (48) and (49) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{x x}-\frac{1}{4} \varphi_{x}^{2} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi$ is defined from the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=e^{\varphi} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (51) and (49) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\tau}\left(\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{x x}-\frac{1}{4} \varphi_{x}^{2}\right)=2 \varphi_{x} e^{-\varphi} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equivalent to the Lax equation (47) with condition (50).
In the forthcoming publication we are going to consider the cited open questions as well as the problem of constructing algebraic-geometrical solutions to general "rational" reductions of the KP hierarchy and their rational symmetries.
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