Innovation in Physics: The Tangled String Theory

Peter Woit

Columbia University

Collin College, March 24 2010

Peter Woit (Columbia University) Innovation in Physics: The Tangled String 1

March 2010 1 / 31

< 4 ₽ ► < 3 ► ►

Outline

1 The Standard Model

Peter Woit (Columbia University) Innovation in Physics: The Tangled String T

<ロ> <同> <同> < 同> < 同>



Beyond the Standard Model 2

-

(日)

Outline

1 The Standard Model

- 2 Beyond the Standard Model
- 3 The Tangled Tale of String Theory

< 4 ₽ ► < 3 ► ►



- 2 Beyond the Standard Model
- 3 The Tangled Tale of String Theory
- 4 Theoretical Innovations: Symmetries and the Higgs Mechanism



- 2 Beyond the Standard Model
- 3 The Tangled Tale of String Theory
- 4 Theoretical Innovations: Symmetries and the Higgs Mechanism
- 5 Experimental Innovation: The Large Hadron Collider



- 2 Beyond the Standard Model
- 3 The Tangled Tale of String Theory
- 4 Theoretical Innovations: Symmetries and the Higgs Mechanism
- 5 Experimental Innovation: The Large Hadron Collider
- 6 Current Situation and Future Prospects

Standard Model Forces

• Electromagnetism: light, structure of atoms. Classical Theory: Maxwell's equations 1860. Complete quantum theory ("Quantum Electrodynamics") late 1940s.

Standard Model Forces

- Electromagnetism: light, structure of atoms. Classical Theory: Maxwell's equations 1860. Complete quantum theory ("Quantum Electrodynamics") late 1940s.
- Weak interactions: radioactivity, 1890s. Complete quantum theory ("Glashow-Weinberg-Salam Model") 1967.

Standard Model Forces

- Electromagnetism: light, structure of atoms. Classical Theory: Maxwell's equations 1860. Complete quantum theory ("Quantum Electrodynamics") late 1940s.
- Weak interactions: radioactivity, 1890s. Complete quantum theory ("Glashow-Weinberg-Salam Model") 1967.
- Strong interactions: binds quarks into protons and neutrons, holds nuclei together. First observed Rutherford 1909. Complete quantum theory ("Quantum Chromodynamics") 1973.

Standard Model Forces

- Electromagnetism: light, structure of atoms. Classical Theory: Maxwell's equations 1860. Complete quantum theory ("Quantum Electrodynamics") late 1940s.
- Weak interactions: radioactivity, 1890s. Complete quantum theory ("Glashow-Weinberg-Salam Model") 1967.
- Strong interactions: binds quarks into protons and neutrons, holds nuclei together. First observed Rutherford 1909. Complete quantum theory ("Quantum Chromodynamics") 1973.
- Gravity: Classical theory: Einstein's General Relativity 1916. No accepted complete quantum theory to this day. Not part of the Standard Model.

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Fundamental Particles

Particles come in three generations, each with this pattern, but different masses.

Only this first generation is stable, contains all particles needed to make atoms.



The Standard Model and Geometry

Peter Woit (Columbia University) Innovation in Physics: The Tangled String T

<ロ> <同> <同> < 同> < 同>

The Standard Model and Geometry

Einstein's theory of gravity (1916) =Riemannian geometry (late 1800s).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

The Standard Model and Geometry

Einstein's theory of gravity (1916) =Riemannian geometry (late 1800s).

$\mathsf{Gauge}\ \mathsf{Fields} = \mathsf{Connections}$

In the Standard Model, forces are described by what physicists call "gauge fields", introduced by Yang-Mills (1954).

Modern formalism for geometry uses what mathematicians call

"connections", theory developed during late 1940s.

Early 1970s: Mathematicians and physicists realize these are the same thing.

The Standard Model and Geometry

Einstein's theory of gravity (1916) =Riemannian geometry (late 1800s).

$\mathsf{Gauge}\ \mathsf{Fields} = \mathsf{Connections}$

In the Standard Model, forces are described by what physicists call "gauge fields", introduced by Yang-Mills (1954).

Modern formalism for geometry uses what mathematicians call

"connections", theory developed during late 1940s.

Early 1970s: Mathematicians and physicists realize these are the same thing.

Spinors and the Dirac Equation

In the Standard Model, matter is described by "spinor fields", introduced by Dirac in 1928, satisfying an equation now called the "Dirac equation". In mathematics, spinors first introduced by Cartan in 1913. From 1960s on, increasing use by mathematicians of spinor fields and the Dirac equation. Currently an active topic of research.

The Higgs Mechanism (AKA Weinberg's Toilet)

1963 Glashow comes up with part of the Standard Model, but didn't work, no way to give particles masses.

The Higgs Mechanism (AKA Weinberg's Toilet)

1963 Glashow comes up with part of the Standard Model, but didn't work, no way to give particles masses.

1967: Weinberg and Salam introduce a new field, the Higgs field. Can give particles mass, but introduces lots of extra parameters.

The Higgs Mechanism (AKA Weinberg's Toilet)

1963 Glashow comes up with part of the Standard Model, but didn't work, no way to give particles masses.

1967: Weinberg and Salam introduce a new field, the Higgs field. Can give particles mass, but introduces lots of extra parameters.

Glashow likes to refer to Higgs field as "Weinberg's toilet": something you have to have in your home, but is not the part of your house you are most proud of and show off to the neighbors. No obvious geometrical significance, ruins your ability to predict many things you'd like to be able to predict.

Beyond the Standard Model

What the Standard Model Doesn't Explain

Peter Woit (Columbia University) Innovation in Physics: The Tangled String 1

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

• Why the specific pattern of gauge fields that give the strong (SU(3)), weak (SU(2)) and electromagnetic (U(1)) forces?

- Why the specific pattern of gauge fields that give the strong (SU(3)), weak (SU(2)) and electromagnetic (U(1)) forces?
- What explains the relative strengths of these forces?

- Why the specific pattern of gauge fields that give the strong (SU(3)), weak (SU(2)) and electromagnetic (U(1)) forces?
- What explains the relative strengths of these forces?
- Why the pattern of matter particles shown earlier? Why three generations?

- Why the specific pattern of gauge fields that give the strong (SU(3)), weak (SU(2)) and electromagnetic (U(1)) forces?
- What explains the relative strengths of these forces?
- Why the pattern of matter particles shown earlier? Why three generations?
- Why the Higgs? Can we somehow understand particle masses?

Favorite speculative ideas for going beyond the Standard Model

Peter Woit (Columbia University) Innovation in Physics: The Tangled String T

Favorite speculative ideas for going beyond the Standard Model

• Grand Unification: fit all 3 forces into one.

Favorite speculative ideas for going beyond the Standard Model

- Grand Unification: fit all 3 forces into one.
- Supersymmetry: extend ideas about geometry, requires un-observed doubling of particles

Favorite speculative ideas for going beyond the Standard Model

- Grand Unification: fit all 3 forces into one.
- Supersymmetry: extend ideas about geometry, requires un-observed doubling of particles
- Extra dimensions: explain Standard Model patterns using more than 3 space dimensions, somehow hidden.

Favorite speculative ideas for going beyond the Standard Model

- Grand Unification: fit all 3 forces into one.
- Supersymmetry: extend ideas about geometry, requires un-observed doubling of particles
- Extra dimensions: explain Standard Model patterns using more than 3 space dimensions, somehow hidden.

None of these ideas has really worked. No convincing answers questions of last page.

Biggest problem: No hints from experiment, all data agrees precisely with Standard Model. Theoretical physicists are victims of their own success.

String Theory: Basic Idea

In conventional physics, elementary objects are particles with no extension, at each moment in time, they exist at a point.

String Theory: Basic Idea

In conventional physics, elementary objects are particles with no extension, at each moment in time, they exist at a point.

New idea: take as elementary objects things that have one-dimensional extension: "strings".



The Tangled Tale of String Theory

String Theory: Some History

イロト 不得 とうせい かほとう ほ

The Tangled Tale of String Theory

String Theory: Some History

• 1970: First string theory: theory of strong interactions.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 >

String Theory: Some History

- 1970: First string theory: theory of strong interactions.
- 1973: QCD makes string theory unnecessary.

String Theory: Some History

- 1970: First string theory: theory of strong interactions.
- 1973: QCD makes string theory unnecessary.
- 1974: String theory proposed as quantum gravity theory.

String Theory: Some History

- 1970: First string theory: theory of strong interactions.
- 1973: QCD makes string theory unnecessary.
- 1974: String theory proposed as quantum gravity theory.
- 1984: Explosion of interest in string theory as a unified theory of gravity and Standard Model. Uses strings in nine dimensions of space. Various proposals for how to deal with extra six dimensions.
The Case for String Theory Unification



Published in 2000. Three-part NOVA special in 2003.

- An interesting consistent extension of usual theories.
- Gives a quantum theory of gravity likely to be consistent.
- Unifies gravity and the Standard Model. Enough structure to fit the patterns seen in the standard model.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The Case Against String Theory Unification



Basic problem: Despite 25 years of effort, no predictions

Reason: You can get just about anything, depending what you do with the extra six dimensions. The Tangled Tale of String Theory

The Anthropic String Theory Landscape and the Multiverse



THE COSMIC LANDSCAPE STRING THEORY AND THE ILLUSION OF INTELLIGENT DESIGN If you can't say something nice...

Peter Woit (Columbia University) Innovation in Physics: The Tangled String T

The String Wars: Books



US Publication date: September 4, 2006



US Publication date: September 19, 2006

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The String Wars: Blogs



High Energy Beams at the LHC

March 19th, 2010

At 5:23 am in Geneva this morning, for the first time the two LHC beams were ranged up to high energy, the 3:5 TeV/beam that two years. These are the highest energy (per particle) beams ever created by human beings, significantly suprassing the values at which the TeV/beam dist (.48 TeV/beam) as well as the record active del tat [1.18 TeV/beam] and the energy of beam commissioning.

From now on, work: will contribue on preparing the machine to operate at higher intentity (for now they are using low-intensity) plot beams). For the next week or two, one of the chalenges will be to carefully avoid any interesting collisions between particles in the two beams, since a major media event is being organiced around the first collisions, and the event is tentatively scheduled for March 30.

Update: CERN press release is here.

Posted in Experimental HEP News | 8 Comments >

Millennium Prize to Perelman

March 18th, 201

The Clay Mathematics Institute announced today the award of the

Searc
Not Even Wrong: The Book
WRONG WRONG
Reviews
Errata
Categories > Book Reviews (6) > BRST (12) > Experimental HEP News (5 > Langlands (2) > Multiverse Hania (39) > Not Even Wrong: The Bool (24) > Uncategorized (768)
Latest Comments
 High Energy Beams at the LHC 5 Peter Web Steve® Bills Dr.F.
Peter Wolt, SteveB, Bill K, Dr. E,

Started March 2004, still operating, devoted to topics in mathematics and physics

Peter Woit (Columbia University) Innovation in Physics: The Tangled String T

The String Wars: Blogs

String Theory Blogs

- Musings (Jacques Distler, UT Austin)
- Asymptotia (Clifford Johnson, USC)
- The Reference Frame (Lubos Motl, Harvard)

The String Wars: Blogs

String Theory Blogs

- Musings (Jacques Distler, UT Austin)
- Asymptotia (Clifford Johnson, USC)
- The Reference Frame (Lubos Motl, Harvard)

An Example, October 2006

"We've been thinking how to stop this whole new industry of parasites who have very significant profits from writing sensational patent lies about science and the scientists. I estimate that one of their prototypes - the black crackpot - has just done far too much damage to science and the civilization for his otherwise worthless life to be a sufficient price to repay his crimes."

Ideas about symmetry are crucial to our understanding of physics. Basic observables and conservation laws of physics are consequences of symmetries.

Ideas about symmetry are crucial to our understanding of physics. Basic observables and conservation laws of physics are consequences of symmetries.

Examples

• Symmetry under translation in time: Energy

Ideas about symmetry are crucial to our understanding of physics. Basic observables and conservation laws of physics are consequences of symmetries.

- Symmetry under translation in time: Energy
- Symmetry under translations in space directions: Momentum

Ideas about symmetry are crucial to our understanding of physics. Basic observables and conservation laws of physics are consequences of symmetries.

- Symmetry under translation in time: Energy
- Symmetry under translations in space directions: Momentum
- Symmetry under rotations: Angular Momentum

Ideas about symmetry are crucial to our understanding of physics. Basic observables and conservation laws of physics are consequences of symmetries.

- Symmetry under translation in time: Energy
- Symmetry under translations in space directions: Momentum
- Symmetry under rotations: Angular Momentum
- Symmetry under phase changes of quantum wave-function: Charge

Ideas about symmetry are crucial to our understanding of physics. Basic observables and conservation laws of physics are consequences of symmetries.

Examples

- Symmetry under translation in time: Energy
- Symmetry under translations in space directions: Momentum
- Symmetry under rotations: Angular Momentum
- Symmetry under phase changes of quantum wave-function: Charge

More tomorrow...

Importance of Symmetries in Mathematics

Study of symmetries is fundamental unifying topic throughout mathematics, goes under name "Representation Theory"

Importance of Symmetries in Mathematics

Study of symmetries is fundamental unifying topic throughout mathematics, goes under name "Representation Theory"

Can define what "geometry" means in terms of symmetries, also crucial in modern number theory.

Symmetries and the Higgs Mechanism



Higgs field setup: choose energy potential so zero Higgs field is unstable,the field prefers to sit at a non-zero value. Vacuum state has non-trivial structure.

Standard Model has infinite-dimensional "gauge symmetries", poorly understood in general.

Speculation: Non-trivial structure of the vacuum needed to make theory work has something to do with still mysterious behavior of these gauge symmetries.

The Tevatron



Startup in 1983 at Fermilab near Chicago.

Now colliding 1 TeV protons and 1 TeV anti-protons, was highest energy accelerator in the world until last fall.

Superconducting Super Collider



Construction started around Waxahachie, canceled in 1993.

Was to collide 20 TeV protons and 20 TeV protons.

< D > < P > < P > < P >

Peter Woit (Columbia University)

The LHC



Operation as a collider beginning next week under the French-Swiss border near Geneva.

This year: 3.5 TeV protons colliding with 3.5 TeV protons Design energy (2013?): 7 TeV protons colliding with 7 TeV protons Experimental Innovation: The Large Hadron Collider

Inside the LHC Tunnel



Inside the 53 mile long LHC tunnel.

Peter Woit (Columbia University) Inno

Innovation in Physics: The Tangled String T

Image: A mathematical states and a mathem

ATLAS: One of the LHC Detectors



ATLAS, one of the large detectors surrounding points where the LHC beams collide.

Peter Woit (Columbia University) Innovation in Physics: The Tangled String T

An ATLAS collision event from last year



In December, lower energy beams (1.18 TeV) were circulated and collided. This is a graphical display of the data from one event at ATLAS.

What the LHC will be looking for



A graph made using simulated data to show what one particular Higgs signal would look like.

Current Situation

• Lots of proposals for ways to get a quantum theory of gravity.

Current Situation

- Lots of proposals for ways to get a quantum theory of gravity.
- No way to test any of them.

Current Situation

- Lots of proposals for ways to get a quantum theory of gravity.
- No way to test any of them.

Hopes for the future

• Someone will have an unexpected idea about how to indirectly measure quantum gravity effects.

Current Situation

- Lots of proposals for ways to get a quantum theory of gravity.
- No way to test any of them.

Hopes for the future

- Someone will have an unexpected idea about how to indirectly measure quantum gravity effects.
- Deeper insight into the mathematical structures of the Standard Model and General Relativity will show how to unify them.

What do we know about the Higgs?

What do we know about the Higgs?

• The Higgs mass is at least 114 GeV (from LEP, previous accelerator in LHC tunnel).

What do we know about the Higgs?

- The Higgs mass is at least 114 GeV (from LEP, previous accelerator in LHC tunnel).
- At 95% confidence level, the Higgs mass is not between 163 GeV and 166 GeV (latest Tevatron data).

What do we know about the Higgs?

- The Higgs mass is at least 114 GeV (from LEP, previous accelerator in LHC tunnel).
- At 95% confidence level, the Higgs mass is not between 163 GeV and 166 GeV (latest Tevatron data).
- At 95% confidence level, the Higgs mass is less than 185 GeV (from searches for indirect effects of the Higgs).

What do we know about the Higgs?

- The Higgs mass is at least 114 GeV (from LEP, previous accelerator in LHC tunnel).
- At 95% confidence level, the Higgs mass is not between 163 GeV and 166 GeV (latest Tevatron data).
- At 95% confidence level, the Higgs mass is less than 185 GeV (from searches for indirect effects of the Higgs).

What will we learn about the Higgs soon?

What do we know about the Higgs?

- The Higgs mass is at least 114 GeV (from LEP, previous accelerator in LHC tunnel).
- At 95% confidence level, the Higgs mass is not between 163 GeV and 166 GeV (latest Tevatron data).
- At 95% confidence level, the Higgs mass is less than 185 GeV (from searches for indirect effects of the Higgs).

What will we learn about the Higgs soon?

• Now until Tevatron shutdown (late 2011, later?): exclusion of a wider range of masses, or tentative evidence of existence.

What do we know about the Higgs?

- The Higgs mass is at least 114 GeV (from LEP, previous accelerator in LHC tunnel).
- At 95% confidence level, the Higgs mass is not between 163 GeV and 166 GeV (latest Tevatron data).
- At 95% confidence level, the Higgs mass is less than 185 GeV (from searches for indirect effects of the Higgs).

What will we learn about the Higgs soon?

- Now until Tevatron shutdown (late 2011, later?): exclusion of a wider range of masses, or tentative evidence of existence.
- Late 2011: LHC data arrives competitive with Tevatron data.

What do we know about the Higgs?

- The Higgs mass is at least 114 GeV (from LEP, previous accelerator in LHC tunnel).
- At 95% confidence level, the Higgs mass is not between 163 GeV and 166 GeV (latest Tevatron data).
- At 95% confidence level, the Higgs mass is less than 185 GeV (from searches for indirect effects of the Higgs).

What will we learn about the Higgs soon?

- Now until Tevatron shutdown (late 2011, later?): exclusion of a wider range of masses, or tentative evidence of existence.
- Late 2011: LHC data arrives competitive with Tevatron data.
- 2014?: 7 GeV + 7 GeV LHC data, sufficient to rule out the existence of the Higgs, or confirm its existence if there.
What We're Hoping For

Discovery of the Higgs particle with predicted properties.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 >

What We're Hoping For

Discovery of the Higgs particle with predicted properties.

NOT!

< □ > < 同 > < 三 >

What We're Hoping For

Discovery of the Higgs particle with predicted properties.

NOT!

Much better: some surprising data inconsistent with the Standard Model, that will give us a hint towards new theoretical ideas and a better mechanism for getting masses than the Higgs field.

We'll know in a few years. First data from new energy region should arrive next Tuesday.

What's happening at the LHC right now?



Peter Woit (Columbia University) Innovation in Physics: The Tangled String T

What's happening at the LHC right now?



Peter Woit (Columbia University) Innovatio