ON NORMAL EMBEDDING OF COMPLEX ALGEBRAIC SURFACES

LEV BIRBRAIR, ALEXANDRE FERNANDES, AND WALTER D. NEUMANN

ABSTRACT. We construct examples of complex algebraic surfaces not admitting normal embeddings (in the sense of semialgebraic or subanalytic sets) with image a complex algebraic surface.

Dedicated to our friends Maria (Cidinha) Ruas and Terry Gaffney in connection to their 60-th birthdays.

1. Introduction

Given a closed and connected subanalytic subset $X \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ the inner metric $d_X(x_1, x_2)$ on X is defined as the infimum of the lengths of rectifiable paths on X connecting x_1 to x_2 . Clearly this metric defines the same topology on X as the Euclidean metric on \mathbb{R}^m restricted to X (also called "outer metric"). But the inner metric is not necessarily bi-Lipschitz equivalent to the Euclidean metric on X. To see this it is enough to consider a simple real cusp $x^2 = y^3$. A subanalytic set is called normally embedded if these two metrics (inner and Euclidean) are bi-Lipschitz equivalent.

Theorem 1.1 ([3]). Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be a connected and globally subanalytic set. Then there exist a normally embedded globally subanalytic set $\tilde{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^q$ and a global subanalytic homeomorphism $p \colon \tilde{X} \to X$ bi-Lipschitz with respect to the inner metric. The pair (\tilde{X}, p) , is called a normal embedding of X.

The original version of this theorem (see [3]) was formulated in a semialgebraic language, but it easy to see that this result remains true for a global subanalytic structure or, moreover, for any o-minimal structure. The proof remains the same as in [3]

Complex algebraic sets and real algebraic sets are globally subanalytic sets. By the above theorem these sets admit globally subanalytic normal embeddings. Tadeusz Mostowski asked if there exists a complex algebraic normal embedding when X is complex algebraic set, i.e., a normal embedding for which the image set $\tilde{X} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a complex algebraic set. In this note we give a negative answer for the question of Mostowski. Namely, we prove that a Brieskorn surface $x^b + y^b + z^a = 0$ does not admit a complex algebraic normal embedding if b > a and a is

Key words and phrases. bi-Lipschitz, complex surface singularity, normal embedding.

not a divisor of b. For the proof of this theorem we use the ideas of the remarkable paper of A. Bernig and A. Lytchak [2] on metric tangent cones and the paper of the authors on the (b, b, a) Brieskorn surfaces [1]. We also briefly describe other examples based on taut singularities.

Acknowledgements. The authors acknowledge research support under the grants: CNPq grant no 301025/2007-0 (Lev Birbriar), FUN-CAP/PPP 9492/06, CNPq grant no 300393/2005-9 (Alexandre Fernandes) and NSF grant no. DMS-0456227 (Walter Neumann).

2. Proof

Recall that a subanalytic set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *metrically conical* at a point x_0 if there exists an Euclidean ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ centered at x_0 such that $X \cap B$ is bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic, with respect to the inner metric, to the metric cone over its link at x_0 . When such a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism is subanalytic we say that X is subanalytically metrically conical at x_0 .

Example 2.1. The Brieskorn surfaces in \mathbb{C}^3

$$\{(x, y, z) \mid x^b + y^b + z^a = 0\}$$

(b>a) are subanalytically metrically conical at $0\in\mathbb{C}^3$ (see [1]).

We say that a complex algebraic set admits a *complex algebraic normal embedding* if the image of a subanalytic normal embedding of this set can be chosen complex algebraic.

Example 2.2. Any complex algebraic curve admits a complex algebraic normal embedding. This follows from the fact that the germ of an irreducible complex algebraic curve is bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic with respect to the inner metric to the germ of \mathbb{C} at the origin.

Theorem 2.3. If 1 < a < b and a is not a divisor of b then no neighborhood of 0 in the Brieskorn surface in \mathbb{C}^3

$$\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid x^b + y^b + z^a = 0\}$$

admits a complex algebraic normal embedding.

We will need the following result on tangent cones.

Theorem 2.4. If (X_1, x_1) and (X_2, x_2) are germs of subanalytic sets which are subanalytically bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic with respect to the induced Euclidean metric, then their tangent cones $T_{x_1}X_1$ and $T_{x_2}X_2$ are subanalytically bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic.

This result is a weaker version of the results of Bernig-Lytchak([2], Remark 2.2 and Theorem 1.2). We present here an independent proof.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let us denote

$$S_x X = \{ v \in T_x X \mid |v| = 1 \}.$$

Since T_xX is a cone over S_xX , in order to prove that $T_{x_1}X_1$ and $T_{x_2}X_2$ are subanalytically bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic, it is enough to prove that $S_{x_1}X_1$ and $S_{x_2}X_2$ are subanalytically bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic.

By Corollary 0.2 in [6], there exists a subanalytic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism with respect to the induced Euclidean metric:

$$h: (X_1, x_1) \to (X_2, x_2),$$

such that $|h(x) - x_2| = |x - x_1|$ for all x. Let us define

$$dh: S_{x_1}X_1 \to S_{x_2}X_2$$

as follows: given $v \in S_{x_1}X_1$, let $\gamma : [0, \epsilon) \to X_1$ be a subanalytic arc such that

$$|\gamma(t) - x_1| = t \ \forall \ t \in [0, \epsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{\gamma(t) - x_1}{t} = v;$$

we define

$$dh(v) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{h \circ \gamma(t) - x_2}{t}.$$

Clearly, dh is a subanalytic map. Define $d(h^{-1}): S_{x_2}X_2 \to S_{x_1}X_1$ the same way. Let k > 0 be a Lipschitz constant of h. Let us prove that k is a Lipschitz constant of dh. In fact, given $v_1, v_2 \in S_{x_1}X_1$, let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 : [0, \epsilon) \to X_1$ be subanalytic arcs such that

$$|\gamma_i(t) - x_1| = t \ \forall \ t \in [0, \epsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{\gamma_i(t) - x_1}{t} = v \ i = 1, 2.$$

Then

$$|dh(v_1) - dh(v_2)| = \left| \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{h \circ \gamma_1(t) - x_2}{t} - \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{h \circ \gamma_1(t) - x_2}{t} \right|$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{1}{t} |h \circ \gamma_1(t) - h \circ \gamma_2(t)|$$

$$\leq k \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{1}{t} |\gamma_1(t) - \gamma_2(t)|$$

$$= k|v_1 - v_2|.$$

Since $d(h^{-1})$ is k-Lipschitz by the same argument and dh and $d(h^{-1})$ are mutual inverses, we have proved the theorem.

Corollary 2.5. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a normally embedded subanalytic set. If X is subanalytically metrically conical at a point $x \in X$, then the germ (X,x) is subanalytically bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic to the germ $(T_xX,0)$.

Proof. The tangent cone of the straight cone at the vertex is the cone itself. So the corollary is a direct application of Theorem 2.4.

Proof of the 2.3. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ be the complex algebraic surface defined by

$$X = \{(x, y, z) \mid x^b + y^b + z^a = 0\}.$$

We are going to prove that the germ (X,0) does not have a normal embedding in \mathbb{C}^N which is a complex algebraic surface. In fact, if $(\tilde{X},0)\subset(\mathbb{C}^N,0)$ is a complex algebraic normal embedding of (X,0) and $p\colon(\tilde{X},0)\to(X,0)$ is a subanalytic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism, since (X,0) is subanalytically metrically conical [1], then $(\tilde{X},0)$ is subanalytically metrically conical and by Corollary 2.5 $(\tilde{X},0)$ is subanalytically bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic to $(T_0\tilde{X},0)$. Now, the tangent cone $T_0\tilde{X}$ is a complex algebraic cone, thus its link is a S^1 -bundle. On the other hand, the link of X at 0 is a Seifert fibered manifold with b singular fibers of degree $\frac{a}{\gcd(a,b)}$. This is a contradiction because the Seifert fibration of a Seifert fibered manifold (other than a lens space) is unique up to diffeomorphism.

The following result relates the metric tangent cone of X at x and the usual tangent cone of the normally embedded sets. See [2] for a definition of a metric tangent cone.

Theorem 2.6 ([2], Section 5). Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be a closed and connected subanalytic set and $x \in X$. If (\tilde{X}, p) is a normal embedding of X, then $T_{p^{-1}(x)}\tilde{X}$ is bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic to the metric tangent cone \mathcal{T}_xX .

Remark 2.7. We showed that the metric tangent cones of the above Brieskorn surface singularities are not homeomorphic to any complex cone.

2.1. Other examples. We sketch how taut surface singularities give other examples of complex surface germs without any complex algebraic normal embeddings. We first outline the argument and then give some clarification.

Both the inner metric and the outer (euclidean) metric on a complex analytic germ (V, p) are determined up to bi-Lipschitz equivalence by the complex analytic structure (independent of a complex embedding). This is because $(f_1, \ldots, f_N) \colon (V, p) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$ is a complex analytic embedding if and only if the f_i generate the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{(V,p)}$, and adding to the set of generators gives an embedding that induces the same metrics up to bi-Lipschitz equivalence. A taut complex surface germ is an algebraicly normal germ (to avoid confusion we say "algebraicly normal" for algebro-geometric concept of normality) whose complex analytic structure is determined by its topology. Thus a taut singularity whose inner and outer metrics do not agree can have no complex analytic normal embedding. Taut complex surface singularities were classified by Laufer [5] and include, for example, the simple singularities. The simple singularities of type B_n , D_n , and E_n have

non-reduced tangent cones, from which follows easily that they have non-equivalent inner and outer metrics. Thus, they admit no complex algebraic normal embeddings.

There is an issue with this argument, in that we have restricted to complex analytic embeddings of (V, p), that is, embeddings that induce an isomorphism on the local ring. But one can find holomorphic maps that are topological embeddings but which only induce an injection on the local ring (the image will no longer be an algebraicly normal germ). Such a map is not a complex analytic embedding, but it will still be holomorphic and real semialgebraic. It is not hard to see that the non-reducedness of the tangent cone persists when one restricts the local ring, so the argument of the previous paragraph still applies.

References

- [1] Lev Birbrair, Alexandre Fernandes and Walter D. Neumann, Bi-Lipschitz geometry of complex surface singularities. Geom. Dedicata DOI: 10.1007/s10711-008-9333-2.
- [2] Andreas Bernig, Alexander Lytchak, Tangent spaces and Gromov-Hausdorff limits of subanalytic spaces, J. Reine Angew. Math. **608** (2007), 1–15.
- [3] Lev Birbrair, Tadeusz Mostowski, Normal embeddings of semialgebraic sets. Michigan Math. J. 47 (2000), 125–132.
- [4] Krzysztov Kurdyka, On a subanalytic stratification satisfying a Whitney property with exponent 1, in *Real Algebraic Geometry (Rennes, 1991)*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1524** (Springer-Verlag Berlin 1992) 316–322.
- [5] H.B. Laufer, Taut two-dimensional singularities. Math. Ann. 205 (1973), 131-164.
- [6] Guillaume Valette, Then link of the germ of semi-algebraic metric space. Proceedings of AMS, **135** (2007), 3083–3090.

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Ceará (UFC), Campus do Pici, Bloco 914, Cep. 60455-760. Fortaleza-Ce, Brasil

E-mail address: birb@ufc.br

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Ceará (UFC), Campus do Pici, Bloco 914, Cep. 60455-760. Fortaleza-Ce, Brasil

E-mail address: alexandre.fernandes@ufc.br

Department of Mathematics, Barnard College, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: neumann@math.columbia.edu}$