

## Bordered Heegaard Floer homology

### R. Lipshitz, P. Ozsváth and D. Thurston

May 13, 2009

R. Lipshitz, P. Ozsváth and D. Thurston Bordered Heegaard Floer homology

-≣->

- 1 Review of Heegaard Floer
- 2 Basic properties of bordered HF
- 3 Bordered Heegaard diagrams
- 4 The algebra
- 5 The cylindrical setting for Heegaard Floer
- **(6)** The module  $\widehat{CFD}$
- The module CFA
- 8 The pairing theorem
- 9 Four-dimensional information from bordered HF.

< ∃⇒

## Classical Heegaard Floer theory assigns...

To $Y^3$ closed, oriented	chain complexes $\widehat{CF}(Y)$ , $CF^+(Y)$ ,
	well-defined up to homotopy equivalence.
To $W^4\colon Y^3_1  o Y^3_2$	chain maps $\widehat{F}_W \colon \widehat{CF}(Y_1) \to \widehat{CF}(Y_2), \ldots$
smooth, oriented	well-defined up to chain homotopy.

Such that...

**A** ► 4

문 문 문

## Classical Heegaard Floer theory assigns...

To $Y^3$ closed, oriented	chain complexes $\widehat{CF}(Y)$ , $CF^+(Y)$ ,
	well-defined up to homotopy equivalence.
To $W^4 \colon Y_1^3  o Y_2^3$	chain maps $\widehat{F}_W \colon \widehat{CF}(Y_1)  o \widehat{CF}(Y_2), \ldots$
smooth, oriented	well-defined up to chain homotopy.

Such that...

Theorem If  $W_1: Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$  and  $W_2: Y_2 \rightarrow Y_3$  then  $\hat{F}_{W_1 \cup Y_2 W_2} = \hat{F}_{W_2} \circ \hat{F}_{W_1}$ , ...

@ ▶ ★ ● ▶

(I'm omitting spin<sup>c</sup>-structures)



• Detects smooth structures on 4-manifolds. (Ozsváth-Szabó)

(4月) (日)

< ≣ >



- Detects smooth structures on 4-manifolds. (Ozsváth-Szabó)
- Detects the genus of knots / Thurston norm of 3-manifolds. (Ozsváth-Szabó, Ni)

(4月) イヨト イヨト



- Detects smooth structures on 4-manifolds. (Ozsváth-Szabó)
- Detects the genus of knots / Thurston norm of 3-manifolds. (Ozsváth-Szabó, Ni)
- Detects fiberedness of knots / three-manifolds (Ozsváth-Szabó-Ghiggini-Ni, Juhasz,...)

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト



- Detects smooth structures on 4-manifolds. (Ozsváth-Szabó)
- Detects the genus of knots / Thurston norm of 3-manifolds. (Ozsváth-Szabó, Ni)
- Detects fiberedness of knots / three-manifolds (Ozsváth-Szabó-Ghiggini-Ni, Juhasz,...)
- Obstructs overtwistedness / Stein fillability of contact structures (Ozsváth-Szabó)

- 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト



- Detects smooth structures on 4-manifolds. (Ozsváth-Szabó)
- Detects the genus of knots / Thurston norm of 3-manifolds. (Ozsváth-Szabó, Ni)
- Detects fiberedness of knots / three-manifolds (Ozsváth-Szabó-Ghiggini-Ni, Juhasz,...)
- Obstructs overtwistedness / Stein fillability of contact structures (Ozsváth-Szabó)
- Bounds the slice genus / minimal genus representatives of homology classes in 4-manifolds (Ozsváth-Szabó, ...)

- 4 回 2 4 三 2 4 三 2 4



- Detects smooth structures on 4-manifolds. (Ozsváth-Szabó)
- Detects the genus of knots / Thurston norm of 3-manifolds. (Ozsváth-Szabó, Ni)
- Detects fiberedness of knots / three-manifolds (Ozsváth-Szabó-Ghiggini-Ni, Juhasz,...)
- Obstructs overtwistedness / Stein fillability of contact structures (Ozsváth-Szabó)
- Bounds the slice genus / minimal genus representatives of homology classes in 4-manifolds (Ozsváth-Szabó, ...)

- 4 回 2 4 三 2 4 三 2 4



・ロン ・回と ・ヨン・



• The only known definition involves (nonlinear) partial differential equations.

- < ≣ →



- The only known definition involves (nonlinear) partial differential equations.
- Much of it is not yet algorithmically computable.

< **₩** ► < **⇒** ►

• 3 > 1

3



- The only known definition involves (nonlinear) partial differential equations.
- Much of it is not yet algorithmically computable.
  - All variants of *HF* for knots in *S*<sup>3</sup> (Manolescu-Ozsváth-Sarkar).

A (1) > (1) > (1)

Image: A image: A



- The only known definition involves (nonlinear) partial differential equations.
- Much of it is not yet algorithmically computable.
  - All variants of HF for knots in  $S^3$  (Manolescu-Ozsváth-Sarkar).

• 3 3 4

*HF*(Y<sup>3</sup>) is computable in general (Sarkar-Wang). So is *CF*<sup>-</sup>(Y)/U<sup>2</sup>CF<sup>-</sup>(Y) (Ozsváth-Stipsicz-Szabó).



- The only known definition involves (nonlinear) partial differential equations.
- Much of it is not yet algorithmically computable.
  - All variants of HF for knots in  $S^3$  (Manolescu-Ozsváth-Sarkar).

< **₩** ► < **⇒** ►

- $\widehat{HF}(Y^3)$  is computable in general (Sarkar-Wang). So is  $CF^-(Y)/U^2CF^-(Y)$  (Ozsváth-Stipsicz-Szabó).
- The cobordism maps  $\hat{F}_W$  are computable for most W (L-Manolescu-Wang).



- The only known definition involves (nonlinear) partial differential equations.
- Much of it is not yet algorithmically computable.
  - All variants of *HF* for knots in S<sup>3</sup> (Manolescu-Ozsváth-Sarkar).

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- $\widehat{HF}(Y^3)$  is computable in general (Sarkar-Wang). So is  $CF^-(Y)/U^2CF^-(Y)$  (Ozsváth-Stipsicz-Szabó).
- The cobordism maps  $\hat{F}_W$  are computable for most W (L-Manolescu-Wang).

But that's it.



- The only known definition involves (nonlinear) partial differential equations.
- Much of it is not yet algorithmically computable.
  - All variants of *HF* for knots in S<sup>3</sup> (Manolescu-Ozsváth-Sarkar).

A (10) A (10)

- $\widehat{HF}(Y^3)$  is computable in general (Sarkar-Wang). So is  $CF^-(Y)/U^2CF^-(Y)$  (Ozsváth-Stipsicz-Szabó).
- The cobordism maps  $\hat{F}_W$  are computable for most W (L-Manolescu-Wang).

But that's it.

• The algorithms for  $\widehat{HF}$  and  $\widehat{F}_W$  are inefficient and seem ad hoc.



- The only known definition involves (nonlinear) partial differential equations.
- Much of it is not yet algorithmically computable.
  - All variants of HF for knots in  $S^3$  (Manolescu-Ozsváth-Sarkar).
  - $\widehat{HF}(Y^3)$  is computable in general (Sarkar-Wang). So is  $CF^-(Y)/U^2CF^-(Y)$  (Ozsváth-Stipsicz-Szabó).
  - The cobordism maps  $\hat{F}_W$  are computable for most W (L-Manolescu-Wang).

But that's it.

• The algorithms for  $\widehat{HF}$  and  $\widehat{F}_W$  are inefficient and seem ad hoc.

It's like having only de Rham cohomology, except via nonlinear equations and without the Mayer-Vietoris theorem.



- The rest of the talk is about joint work with Peter Ozsváth and Dylan Thurston.
- Most of it can be found in "Bordered Heegaard Floer homology: Invariance and pairing," arXiv:0810.0687. (It's quite long.)
- We also wrote an expository paper about some of the ideas, "Slicing planar grid diagrams: a gentle introduction to bordered Heegaard Floer homology," arXiv:0810.0695, which we hope is easy to read.

A (1) > (1) > (1)

### The goals of bordered Floer homology

### Theorem

$$(Ozsváth-Szabó)$$
 If  $Y = Y_1 \# Y_2$  then  
 $\widehat{CF}(Y) \cong \widehat{CF}(Y_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} \widehat{CF}(Y_2).$ 

(cf. homology: CF multiplicative rather than additive.)

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

< ≣ ▶

## The goals of bordered Floer homology

### Theorem

$$(Ozsváth-Szabó)$$
 If  $Y = Y_1 \# Y_2$  then  
 $\widehat{CF}(Y) \cong \widehat{CF}(Y_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} \widehat{CF}(Y_2).$ 

(cf. homology: CF multiplicative rather than additive.)

Bordered Floer theory extends this more general decompositions of 3-manifolds along surfaces.

Image: A image: A



• To a surface F, a (dg) algebra  $\mathcal{A}(F)$ .

・日・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・



- To a surface F, a (dg) algebra  $\mathcal{A}(F)$ .
- To a 3-manifold Y with boundary F, a
  - right  $\mathcal{A}(F)$ -module  $\widehat{CFA}(Y)$
  - left  $\mathcal{A}(-F)$ -module  $\widehat{CFD}(Y)$

周▶ 《 ≧ ▶

< ∃ >

3



- To a surface F, a (dg) algebra  $\mathcal{A}(F)$ .
- To a 3-manifold Y with boundary F, a
  - right  $\mathcal{A}(F)$ -module  $\widehat{CFA}(Y)$
  - left  $\mathcal{A}(-F)$ -module  $\widehat{CFD}(Y)$

such that

• If 
$$Y = Y_1 \cup_F Y_2$$
 then

$$\widehat{CF}(Y) = \widehat{CFA}(Y_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(F)} \widehat{CFD}(Y_2).$$

## Precisely, bordered HF assigns...

То	which is	а
Marked	a connected, closed,	A differential graded
surface	oriented surface,	algebra $\mathcal{A}(F)$
F	+ a handle decompos. of F	
	+ a small disk in F	

・ 回 ト ・ ヨト

< ≣ >

## Precisely, bordered HF assigns...

<b>To</b> Marked surface F	<pre>which is a connected, closed, oriented surface, + a handle decompos. of F + a small disk in F</pre>	<b>a</b> A differential graded algebra $\mathcal{A}(F)$
Bordered $Y^3$ , $\partial Y^3 = F$	a compact, oriented 3-manifold with connected boundary, orientation-preserving homeomorphism $F \rightarrow \partial Y$	

・ 回 ト ・ ヨト

< ≣ >

## Precisely, bordered HF assigns...

<b>To</b> Marked surface <i>F</i>	<pre>which is a connected, closed, oriented surface, + a handle decompos. of F + a small disk in F</pre>	<b>a</b> A differential graded algebra $\mathcal{A}(F)$
Bordered $Y^3$ , $\partial Y^3 = F$	compact, oriented 3-manifold with connected boundary,	Right $A_{\infty}$ -module $\widehat{CFA}(Y)$ over $\mathcal{A}(F)$ , Left $dg$ -module
	orientation-preserving homeomorphism $F \rightarrow \partial Y$	$\widehat{CFD}(Y)$ over $\mathcal{A}(-F)$ , well-defined up to homotopy equiv.

・ 回 ト ・ ヨト

< ≣ >

## Satisfying the pairing theorem:

### Theorem

If  $\partial Y_1 = F = -\partial Y_2$  then

$$\widehat{\mathit{CF}}(Y_1\cup_\partial Y_2)\simeq \widehat{\mathit{CFA}}(Y_1)\widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}(F)}\widehat{\mathit{CFD}}(Y_2).$$

- ∢ ≣ ▶



• To an  $\phi \in MCG(F)$ , bimodules  $\widehat{CFDA}(\phi)$ ,  $\widehat{CFDA}(\phi)$ .

$$\widehat{CFA}(\phi(Y)) \simeq \widehat{CFA}(Y) \widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}(F)} \widehat{CFDA}(\phi)$$
$$\widehat{CFD}(\phi(Y)) \simeq \widehat{CFDA}(\phi) \widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}(-F)} \widehat{CFD}(Y)$$

(inducing an action of  $MCG_0(F)$  on  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{A}(F)-Mod)$ ).

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ …

# Background Properties Bordered diagrams The algebra Cylindrical HF CFD CFA Pairing 4D Further structure (in progress):

• To an  $\phi \in MCG(F)$ , bimodules  $\widehat{CFDA}(\phi)$ ,  $\widehat{CFDA}(\phi)$ .

$$\widehat{CFA}(\phi(Y)) \simeq \widehat{CFA}(Y) \widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}(F)} \widehat{CFDA}(\phi)$$
$$\widehat{CFD}(\phi(Y)) \simeq \widehat{CFDA}(\phi) \widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}(-F)} \widehat{CFD}(Y)$$

(inducing an action of  $MCG_0(F)$  on  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{A}(F)-Mod)$ ).

• To F, bimodules  $\widehat{CFDD}$  and  $\widehat{CFAA}$ , such that

$$\widehat{CFD}(Y) \simeq \widehat{CFA}(Y) \widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}(F)} \widehat{CFDD}$$
$$\widehat{CFA}(Y) \simeq \widehat{CFAA} \widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}(-F)} \widehat{CFD}(Y).$$

< 🗇 > < 🖃 >

→ Ξ → ...

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



• It's not tautologous.

▲ □ ► < □ ►</p>

< ≣ >



- It's not tautologous.
- It provides information about classical HF. For instance:

#### Theorem

Suppose  $CFK^{-}(K) \simeq CFK^{-}(K')$ . Let  $K_{C}$  (resp.  $K'_{C}$ ) be the satellite of K (resp. K') with companion C. Then  $HFK^{-}(K_{C}) \cong HFK^{-}(K'_{C})$ .

▲同 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶



- It's not tautologous.
- It provides information about classical HF. For instance:

#### Theorem

Suppose  $CFK^{-}(K) \simeq CFK^{-}(K')$ . Let  $K_{C}$  (resp.  $K'_{C}$ ) be the satellite of K (resp. K') with companion C. Then  $HFK^{-}(K_{C}) \cong HFK^{-}(K'_{C})$ .

• It's good for computations:



- It's not tautologous.
- It provides information about classical HF. For instance:

#### Theorem

Suppose  $CFK^{-}(K) \simeq CFK^{-}(K')$ . Let  $K_{C}$  (resp.  $K'_{C}$ ) be the satellite of K (resp. K') with companion C. Then  $HFK^{-}(K_{C}) \cong HFK^{-}(K'_{C})$ .

- It's good for computations:
  - CFDA(φ) for generators φ of MCG<sub>0</sub> can be computed explicitly.

▲ 同 ▶ | ▲ 三 ▶


- It's not tautologous.
- It provides information about classical HF. For instance:

#### Theorem

Suppose  $CFK^{-}(K) \simeq CFK^{-}(K')$ . Let  $K_{C}$  (resp.  $K'_{C}$ ) be the satellite of K (resp. K') with companion C. Then  $HFK^{-}(K_{C}) \cong HFK^{-}(K'_{C})$ .

- It's good for computations:
  - CFDA(φ) for generators φ of MCG<sub>0</sub> can be computed explicitly.
  - This leads to computations of  $\widehat{CF}(Y)$  for any Y, by factoring.

▲ □ ► < □ ►</p>



- It's not tautologous.
- It provides information about classical HF. For instance:

#### Theorem

Suppose  $CFK^{-}(K) \simeq CFK^{-}(K')$ . Let  $K_{C}$  (resp.  $K'_{C}$ ) be the satellite of K (resp. K') with companion C. Then  $HFK^{-}(K_{C}) \cong HFK^{-}(K'_{C})$ .

- It's good for computations:
  - CFDA(φ) for generators φ of MCG<sub>0</sub> can be computed explicitly.
  - This leads to computations of  $\widehat{CF}(Y)$  for any Y, by factoring.

・ 同・ ・ ヨ・

• In fact, you can compute  $\hat{F}_W$  for any  $W^4$ .

 Let (Σ<sub>g</sub>, α<sub>1</sub><sup>c</sup>,..., α<sub>g-k</sub><sup>c</sup>, β<sub>1</sub>,..., β<sub>g</sub>) be a Heegaard diagram for a Y<sup>3</sup> with bdy.



- Let (Σ<sub>g</sub>, α<sub>1</sub><sup>c</sup>,..., α<sub>g-k</sub><sup>c</sup>, β<sub>1</sub>,..., β<sub>g</sub>) be a Heegaard diagram for a Y<sup>3</sup> with bdy.
- Let Σ' be result of surgering along α<sup>c</sup><sub>1</sub>,..., α<sup>c</sup><sub>g-k</sub>.



- Let (Σ<sub>g</sub>, α<sub>1</sub><sup>c</sup>,..., α<sub>g-k</sub><sup>c</sup>, β<sub>1</sub>,..., β<sub>g</sub>) be a Heegaard diagram for a Y<sup>3</sup> with bdy.
- Let  $\Sigma'$  be result of surgering along  $\alpha_1^c, \ldots, \alpha_{g-k}^c$ .
- Let α<sup>a</sup><sub>1</sub>,..., α<sup>a</sup><sub>2k</sub> be circles in Σ' \ (new disks intersecting in one point p, giving a basis for π<sub>1</sub>(Σ').



- Let (Σ<sub>g</sub>, α<sub>1</sub><sup>c</sup>,..., α<sub>g-k</sub><sup>c</sup>, β<sub>1</sub>,..., β<sub>g</sub>) be a Heegaard diagram for a Y<sup>3</sup> with bdy.
- Let  $\Sigma'$  be result of surgering along  $\alpha_1^c, \ldots, \alpha_{g-k}^c$ .
- Let α<sup>a</sup><sub>1</sub>,..., α<sup>a</sup><sub>2k</sub> be circles in Σ' \ (new disks intersecting in one point p, giving a basis for π<sub>1</sub>(Σ').
- These give circles  $\alpha_1^a, \ldots, \alpha_{2k}^a$  in  $\overline{\Sigma}$ .



- Let  $\Sigma = \overline{\Sigma} \setminus \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}(p)$ .
- Σ, α<sup>c</sup><sub>1</sub>,..., α<sup>c</sup><sub>g-k</sub>, α<sup>a</sup><sub>1</sub>,..., α<sup>a</sup><sub>2k</sub>, β<sub>1</sub>,..., β<sub>g</sub>) is a bordered Heegaard diagram for Y.



→ 同 → → 三 →

< ≣⇒

- Let  $\Sigma = \overline{\Sigma} \setminus \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}(p)$ .
- Σ, α<sub>1</sub><sup>c</sup>,..., α<sub>g-k</sub><sup>c</sup>, α<sub>1</sub><sup>a</sup>,..., α<sub>2k</sub><sup>a</sup>, β<sub>1</sub>,..., β<sub>g</sub>) is a bordered Heegaard diagram for Y.
- Fix also  $z \in \overline{\Sigma}$  near p.



→ 同 → → 三 →

< ≣ >

A small circle near p looks like:

z

▲ @ > < ≥</p>

<= ≣⇒

A small circle near p looks like: This is called a *pointed matched circle* Z.



A small circle near p looks like: This is called a *pointed matched circle* Z.

This corresponds to a handle decomposition of  $\partial Y$ .



A small circle near p looks like: This is called a *pointed matched circle* Z. This corresponds to a handle decomposition of  $\partial Y$ . We will associate a dg algebra  $\mathcal{A}(Z)$  to Z.



Background Properties Bordered diagrams The algebra Cylindrical HF CFD CFA Pairing 4D Where the algebra comes from.

 Decomposing ordinary (Σ, α, β) into bordered H.D.'s (Σ<sub>1</sub>, α<sub>1</sub>, β<sub>1</sub>) ∪ (Σ<sub>2</sub>, α<sub>2</sub>, β<sub>2</sub>), would want to consider holomorphic curves crossing ∂Σ<sub>1</sub> = ∂Σ<sub>2</sub>.



Background Properties Bordered diagrams The algebra Cylindrical HF CFD CFA Pairing 4D Where the algebra comes from.

- Decomposing ordinary (Σ, α, β) into bordered H.D.'s (Σ<sub>1</sub>, α<sub>1</sub>, β<sub>1</sub>) ∪ (Σ<sub>2</sub>, α<sub>2</sub>, β<sub>2</sub>), would want to consider holomorphic curves crossing ∂Σ<sub>1</sub> = ∂Σ<sub>2</sub>.
- This suggests the algebra should have to do with Reeb chords in ∂Σ<sub>1</sub> relative to α ∩ ∂Σ<sub>1</sub>.



Background Properties Bordered diagrams The algebra Cylindrical HF CFD CFA Pairing 4D Where the algebra comes from.

- Decomposing ordinary  $(\Sigma, \alpha, \beta)$  into bordered H.D.'s  $(\Sigma_1, \alpha_1, \beta_1) \cup (\Sigma_2, \alpha_2, \beta_2)$ , would want to consider holomorphic curves crossing  $\partial \Sigma_1 = \partial \Sigma_2$ .
- This suggests the algebra should have to do with Reeb chords in  $\partial \Sigma_1$  relative to  $\alpha \cap \partial \Sigma_1$ .
- Analyzing some simple models, in terms of *planar grid diagrams*, suggested the product and relations in the algebra.





• Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be a pointed matched circle, for a genus k surface.



・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

- < ≣ →



- Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be a pointed matched circle, for a genus k surface.
- Primitive idempotents of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$  correspond to *k*-element subsets *l* of the 2*k* pairs in  $\mathcal{Z}$ .
- We draw them like this:



A ₽



- A pair (*I*, *ρ*), where *ρ* is a Reeb chord in *Z* \ *z* starting at *I* specifies an algebra element *a*(*I*, *ρ*).
- We draw them like this:



More generally, given  $(I, \rho)$  where  $\rho = \{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_\ell\}$  is a set of Reeb chords starting at I, with:

- $i \neq j$  implies  $\rho_i$  and  $\rho_j$  start and end on different pairs.
- {starting points of  $\rho_i$ 's}  $\subset I$ .

specifies an algebra element  $a(I, \rho)$ .



These generate  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .

R. Lipshitz, P. Ozsváth and D. Thurston

Bordered Heegaard Floer homology

That is,  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Z})$  is the subalgebra of the algebra of *k*-strand, upward-veering flattened braids on 4k positions where:

• no two start or end on the same pair



• Algebra elements are fixed by "horizontal line swapping".





... is concatenation if sensible, and zero otherwise.



・ 回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と …



... is concatenation if sensible, and zero otherwise.



個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …



... is concatenation if sensible, and zero otherwise.



個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …



We impose the relation

(double crossing) = 0.

e.g.,



A (10) > (10)

< ∃⇒



There is a differential d by

$$d(a) = \sum$$
 smooth one crossing of  $a$ .

e.g.,



・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

< ≣ >



#### Where do all of these relations (and differential) come from?

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Э



### Where do all of these relations (and differential) come from?

Studying degenerations of holomorphic curves.

A (10) > (10)

< ≣⇒



### Where do all of these relations (and differential) come from?

Studying degenerations of holomorphic curves.

They can all be deduced from some simple examples. See arXiv:0810.0695.

- 170

< 3 > <



• The algebra is generated by the Reeb chords in  $\mathcal{Z}$ , with certain relations. e.g.,

- 4 回 2 - 4 回 2 - 4 回 2 - 4



- The algebra is generated by the Reeb chords in  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{Z}}$  , with certain relations. e.g.,
  - Multiplying consecutive Reeb chords concatenates them.
  - Far apart Reeb chords commute.

- < ≣ →

- The algebra is generated by the Reeb chords in  $\mathcal{Z}$ , with certain relations. e.g.,
  - Multiplying consecutive Reeb chords concatenates them.
  - Far apart Reeb chords commute.
- The algebra is finite-dimensional over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , and has a nice description in terms of flattened braids.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

A (10) > (10)

4D

# The cylindrical setting for classical CF:

Fix an ordinary H.D.  $(\Sigma_{g,\alpha},\beta,z)$ . (Here,  $\alpha = \{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_g\}$ .)

 The chain complex CF is generated over F<sub>2</sub> by g-tuples {x<sub>i</sub> ∈ α<sub>σ(i)</sub> ∩ β<sub>i</sub>} ⊂ α ∩ β. (σ ∈ S<sub>g</sub> is a permutation.) (cf. T<sub>α</sub> ∩ T<sub>β</sub> ⊂ Sym<sup>g</sup>(Σ).)



# The cylindrical setting for classical CF:

Fix an ordinary H.D.  $(\Sigma_g, \alpha, \beta, z)$ . (Here,  $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_g\}$ .)

- The chain complex  $\widehat{CF}$  is generated over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  by g-tuples  $\{x_i \in \alpha_{\sigma(i)} \cap \beta_i\} \subset \alpha \cap \beta$ . ( $\sigma \in S_g$  is a permutation.)
- The differential counts embedded holomorphic maps

 $(S,\partial S) 
ightarrow (\Sigma imes [0,1] imes \mathbb{R}, (oldsymbol lpha imes 1 imes \mathbb{R}) \cup (oldsymbol eta imes 0 imes \mathbb{R}))$ 

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

asymptotic to  $\mathbf{x} \times [0,1]$  at  $-\infty$  and  $\mathbf{y} \times [0,1]$  at  $+\infty$ .

• For  $\widehat{CF}$ , curves may not intersect  $\{z\} \times [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}$ .





Generators:  $\{u, x\}, \{v, x\}$ .

$$\partial \{u, x\} = \{v, x\} + \{v, x\} = 0.$$

- < ≣ →





Generators:  $\{u, x\}, \{v, x\}$ .

$$\partial \{u, x\} = \{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x}\} + \{v, x\} = \mathbf{0}.$$

- < ≣ →





Generators:  $\{u, x\}, \{v, x\}$ .

$$\partial \{u, x\} = \{v, x\} \{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x}\} = \mathbf{0}.$$

< ∃⇒
Background	Properties	Bordered diagrams	The algebra	Cylindrical HF	CFD	CFA	Pairing	4D

For (Σ, α, β, z) a bordered Heegaard diagram, view ∂Σ as a cylindrical end, p.

문 문 문

Background	Properties	Bordered diagrams	The algebra	Cylindrical HF	CFD	CFA	Pairing	4D

- For (Σ, α, β, z) a bordered Heegaard diagram, view ∂Σ as a cylindrical end, p.
- Maps

$$u \colon (S, \partial S) \to (\Sigma \times [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}, (\alpha \times 1 \times \mathbb{R}) \cup (\beta \times 0 \times \mathbb{R}))$$

have asymptotics at  $+\infty,\,-\infty$  and the puncture p, i.e., east  $\infty.$ 

3 ×

★ 문 ▶ 문

Background	Properties	Bordered diagrams	The algebra	Cylindrical HF	CFD	CFA	Pairing	4D

- For (Σ, α, β, z) a bordered Heegaard diagram, view ∂Σ as a cylindrical end, p.
- Maps

$$u \colon (S, \partial S) \to (\Sigma \times [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}, (\alpha \times 1 \times \mathbb{R}) \cup (\beta \times 0 \times \mathbb{R}))$$

have asymptotics at  $+\infty$ ,  $-\infty$  and the puncture *p*, i.e., *east*  $\infty$ .

• The  $e\infty$  asymptotics are *Reeb chords*  $\rho_i \times (1, t_i)$ .

• 3 > 1

Background	Properties	Bordered diagrams	The algebra	Cylindrical HF	CFD	CFA	Pairing	4D

- For (Σ, α, β, z) a bordered Heegaard diagram, view ∂Σ as a cylindrical end, p.
- Maps

$$u \colon (S, \partial S) \to (\Sigma \times [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}, (\alpha \times 1 \times \mathbb{R}) \cup (\beta \times 0 \times \mathbb{R}))$$

have asymptotics at  $+\infty,\,-\infty$  and the puncture p, i.e., east  $\infty.$ 

- The  $e\infty$  asymptotics are *Reeb chords*  $\rho_i \times (1, t_i)$ .
- The asymptotics  $\rho_{i_1}, \ldots, \rho_{i_\ell}$  of *u* inherit a partial order, by  $\mathbb{R}$ -coordinate.

▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ─ 臣

Background Properties Bordered diagrams The algebra Cylindrical HF CFD CFA Pairing 4D Generators of  $\widehat{CFD}$ ...

Fix a bordered Heegaard diagram  $(\Sigma_g, \alpha, \beta, z)$  $\widehat{CFD}(\Sigma)$  is generated by *g*-tuples  $\mathbf{x} = \{x_i\}$  with:

- one  $x_i$  on each  $\beta$ -circle
- one  $x_i$  on each  $\alpha$ -circle
- no two  $x_i$  on the same  $\alpha$ -arc.



Background Properties Bordered diagrams The algebra Cylindrical HF CFD CFA Pairing 4D Generators of  $\widehat{CFD}$ ...

Fix a bordered Heegaard diagram  $(\Sigma_g, \alpha, \beta, z)$  $\widehat{CFD}(\Sigma)$  is generated by *g*-tuples  $\mathbf{x} = \{x_i\}$  with:

- one  $x_i$  on each  $\beta$ -circle
- one  $x_i$  on each  $\alpha$ -circle
- no two  $x_i$  on the same  $\alpha$ -arc.





 To x, associate the idempotent *I*(x), the α-arcs not occupied by x.



• As a left *A*-module,

$$\widehat{CFD} = \oplus_{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{A}I(\mathbf{x}).$$

- To x, associate the idempotent *I*(x), the α-arcs not occupied by x.
- As a left *A*-module,

$$\widehat{CFD} = \oplus_{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{A}I(\mathbf{x}).$$

• So, if *I* is a primitive idempotent,  $I\mathbf{x} = 0$  if  $I \neq I(\mathbf{x})$  and  $I(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$ .

A (1) > (1) > (1)

- ★ 臣 ▶ - - 臣



$$d(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{y}} \sum_{(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n)} (\# \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_n)) \, a(\rho_1, I(\mathbf{x})) \cdots a(\rho_n, I_n) \mathbf{y}.$$

where  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_n)$  consists of holomorphic curves asymptotic to

- x at  $-\infty$
- y at  $+\infty$
- $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n$  at  $e\infty$ .

→ 同 → → 三 →

→ 注→ 注

# Example D1: a solid torus.



$$d(b) = a + \rho_3 x$$
$$d(x) = \rho_2 a$$
$$d(a) = 0.$$

▲ @ > < ≥ >

< ∃→

э

# Example D1: a solid torus.



$$d(b) = \mathbf{a} + \rho_3 x$$
$$d(x) = \rho_2 a$$
$$d(a) = 0.$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

< ∃→

Э

# Example D1: a solid torus.



$$d(b) = a + \rho_3 \mathbf{x}$$
$$d(x) = \rho_2 a$$
$$d(a) = 0.$$

▲ @ > < ≥ >

< ∃→

# Example D1: a solid torus.



$$d(b) = a + \rho_3 x$$
$$d(x) = \rho_2 a$$
$$d(a) = 0.$$

▲ @ > < ≥ >

< ∃→

### Example D2: same torus, different diagram.



$$d(\mathbf{x}) = \rho_2 \rho_3 \mathbf{x} = \rho_{23} \mathbf{x}.$$

< (T) >

< ≣⇒

# Example D2: same torus, different diagram.



$$d(\mathbf{x}) = \rho_2 \rho_3 \mathbf{x} = \rho_{23} \mathbf{x}.$$

< 🗇 🕨

< ≣⇒

### Comparison of the two examples.

First chain complex:



Second chain complex:

$$x \xrightarrow{\rho_{23}} x$$

▲ 御 ▶ → ミ ▶

< ≣⇒

### Comparison of the two examples.

First chain complex:



Second chain complex:

$$x \xrightarrow{\rho_{23}} x$$

They're homotopy equivalent. In fact:

#### Theorem

If  $(\Sigma, \alpha, \beta, z)$  and  $(\Sigma, \alpha', \beta', z')$  are pointed bordered Heegaard diagrams for the same bordered  $Y^3$  then  $\widehat{CFD}(\Sigma)$  is homotopy equivalent to  $\widehat{CFD}(\Sigma')$ .

Image: A math a math

# Generators and idempotents of $\widehat{CFA}$ .

Fix a bordered Heegaard diagram  $(\Sigma_g, \alpha, \beta, z)$  $\widehat{CFA}(\Sigma)$  is generated by the same set as  $\widehat{CFD}$ : g-tuples  $\mathbf{x} = \{x_i\}$  with:

- one  $x_i$  on each  $\beta$ -circle
- one  $x_i$  on each  $\alpha$ -circle
- no two  $x_i$  on the same  $\alpha$ -arc.

# Generators and idempotents of $\widehat{CFA}$ .

Fix a bordered Heegaard diagram  $(\Sigma_g, \alpha, \beta, z)$  $\widehat{CFA}(\Sigma)$  is generated by the same set as  $\widehat{CFD}$ : g-tuples  $\mathbf{x} = \{x_i\}$  with:

- one  $x_i$  on each  $\beta$ -circle
- one  $x_i$  on each  $\alpha$ -circle
- no two x<sub>i</sub> on the same α-arc.

 $\text{Over } \mathbb{F}_2,$ 

 $\widehat{\mathit{CFA}} = \oplus_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{F}_2.$ 

4D

# Generators and idempotents of CFA.

Fix a bordered Heegaard diagram  $(\Sigma_g, \alpha, \beta, z)$  $\widehat{CFA}(\Sigma)$  is generated by the same set as  $\widehat{CFD}$ : g-tuples  $\mathbf{x} = \{x_i\}$ with:

- one  $x_i$  on each  $\beta$ -circle
- one  $x_i$  on each  $\alpha$ -circle
- no two  $x_i$  on the same  $\alpha$ -arc.

Over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .

$$\widehat{\mathit{CFA}} = \oplus_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{F}_2.$$

This is much smaller than CFD.



...counts only holomorphic curves contained in a compact subset of  $\Sigma,$  i.e., with no asymptotics at  $e\infty.$ 

< ≣⇒



To x, associate the idempotent J(x), the α-arcs occupied by x (opposite from CFD).

- 170

∢ ≣ ≯

Background Properties Bordered diagrams The algebra Cylindrical HF CFD CFA Pairing 4D The module structure on  $\widehat{CFA}$ 

- To x, associate the idempotent J(x), the α-arcs occupied by x (opposite from CFD).
- For I a primitive idempotent, define

$$\mathbf{x}I = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x} & \text{if } I = J(\mathbf{x}) \\ 0 & \text{if } I \neq J(\mathbf{x}) \end{cases}$$

Background Properties Bordered diagrams The algebra Cylindrical HF CFD CFA Pairing 4D The module structure on  $\widehat{CFA}$ 

- To x, associate the idempotent J(x), the α-arcs occupied by x (opposite from CFD).
- For I a primitive idempotent, define

$$\mathbf{x}I = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x} & \text{if } I = J(\mathbf{x}) \\ 0 & \text{if } I \neq J(\mathbf{x}) \end{cases}$$

• Given a set ho of Reeb chords, define

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot a(J(\mathbf{x}), oldsymbol{
ho}) = \sum_{\mathbf{y}} \left( \# \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; oldsymbol{
ho}) 
ight) \mathbf{y}$$

where  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y};\boldsymbol{\rho})$  consists of holomorphic curves asymptotic to

- **x** at  $-\infty$ .
- y at  $+\infty$ .
- ho at  $e\infty$ , all at the same height.

### A local example of the module structure on CFA.

• Consider the following piece of a Heegaard diagram, with generators  $\{r, x\}, \{s, x\}, \{r, y\}, \{s, y\}.$ 



Bordered diagrams

Background

Properties

 Consider the following piece of a Heegaard diagram, with generators {r, x}, {s, x}, {r, y}, {s, y}.

The algebra

Cylindrical HF

CFD

CFA

Pairing

4D

• The nonzero products are:  $\{r, x\}\rho_1 = \{s, x\}$ ,  $\{r, y\}\rho_1 = \{s, y\}$ ,  $\{r, x\}\rho_3 = \{r, y\}$ ,  $\{s, x\}\rho_3 = \{s, y\}$ ,  $\{r, x\}(\rho_1\rho_3) = \{s, y\}$ .



Bordered diagrams

Background

Properties

 Consider the following piece of a Heegaard diagram, with generators {r, x}, {s, x}, {r, y}, {s, y}.

The algebra

- The nonzero products are:  $\{r, x\}\rho_1 = \{s, x\}$ ,  $\{r, y\}\rho_1 = \{s, y\}$ ,  $\{r, x\}\rho_3 = \{r, y\}$ ,  $\{s, x\}\rho_3 = \{s, y\}$ ,  $\{r, x\}(\rho_1\rho_3) = \{s, y\}$ .
- Example:  $\{r, x\}\rho_1 = \{s, x\}$  comes from this domain.



Cylindrical HF

CFD

CFA

Pairing

Bordered diagrams

Background

Properties

 Consider the following piece of a Heegaard diagram, with generators {r, x}, {s, x}, {r, y}, {s, y}.

The algebra

- The nonzero products are:  $\{r, x\}\rho_1 = \{s, x\}$ ,  $\{r, y\}\rho_1 = \{s, y\}$ ,  $\{r, x\}\rho_3 = \{r, y\}$ ,  $\{s, x\}\rho_3 = \{s, y\}$ ,  $\{r, x\}(\rho_1\rho_3) = \{s, y\}$ .
- Example:  $\{r, x\}\rho_3 = \{r, y\}$  comes from this domain.



Cylindrical HF

CFD

CFA

Pairing

Bordered diagrams

Background

Properties

 Consider the following piece of a Heegaard diagram, with generators {r, x}, {s, x}, {r, y}, {s, y}.

The algebra

Cylindrical HF

CFD

CFA

Pairing

- The nonzero products are:  $\{r, x\}\rho_1 = \{s, x\}$ ,  $\{r, y\}\rho_1 = \{s, y\}$ ,  $\{r, x\}\rho_3 = \{r, y\}$ ,  $\{s, x\}\rho_3 = \{s, y\}$ ,  $\{r, x\}(\rho_1\rho_3) = \{s, y\}$ .
- Example:  $\{r, x\}(\rho_1\rho_3) = \{s, y\}$  comes from this domain.



### Example A1: a solid torus.



$$d(u) = v$$
$$u\rho_2 = t$$
$$u\rho_{23} = v$$
$$t\rho_3 = v.$$

- 4 回 > - 4 回 >

<= ≣⇒

### Example A1: a solid torus.



$$d(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{v}$$
$$u\rho_2 = t$$
$$a\rho_{23} = \mathbf{v}$$
$$t\rho_3 = \mathbf{v}.$$

<->→ □→ < ≥→</>

<= ≣⇒

### Example A1: a solid torus.



$$d(u) = v$$
$$u\rho_2 = t$$
$$a\rho_{23} = v$$
$$t\rho_3 = v.$$

(4日) (4日)

< ∃>

### Example A1: a solid torus.



$$a
ho_{23} = v$$

$$t\rho_3 = v.$$

<->→ □→ < ≥→</>

< ≣ >

### Example A1: a solid torus.



$$d(u) = v$$
$$u\rho_2 = t$$
$$a\rho_{23} = v$$
$$t\rho_3 = v$$
.

<->→ □→ < ≥→</>

<= ≣⇒

Э

Background Properties Bordered diagrams The algebra Cylindrical HF CFD CFA Pairing 4D Why associativity should hold...

- $(\mathbf{x} \cdot \rho_i) \cdot \rho_j$  counts curves with  $\rho_i$  and  $\rho_j$  infinitely far apart.
- $\mathbf{x} \cdot (\rho_i \cdot \rho_j)$  counts curves with  $\rho_i$  and  $\rho_j$  at the same height.
- These are ends of a 1-dimensional moduli space, with height between  $\rho_i$  and  $\rho_j$  varying.







・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト


• But this moduli space might have other ends: broken flows with  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  at a fixed nonzero height.



< ≣ >



- But this moduli space might have other ends: broken flows with  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  at a fixed nonzero height.
- These moduli spaces M(x, y; (ρ<sub>1</sub>, ρ<sub>2</sub>)) measure failure of associativity. So...



< ≣ >

### Define

$$m_{n+1}(\mathbf{x}, a(\boldsymbol{
ho}_1), \dots, a(\boldsymbol{
ho}_n)) = \sum_{\mathbf{y}} \left( \# \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; (\boldsymbol{
ho}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{
ho}_n)) \right) \mathbf{y}$$

where  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n))$  consists of holomorphic curves asymptotic to

- **x** at  $-\infty$ .
- y at  $+\infty$ .
- $\rho_1$  all at one height at  $e\infty$ ,  $\rho_2$  at some other (higher) height at  $e\infty$ , and so on.

(《圖》 《문》 《문》 - 문

# Example A2: same torus, different diagram.



$$m_3(x, \rho_3, \rho_2) = x$$
$$m_4(x, \rho_3, \rho_{23}, \rho_2) = x$$
$$m_5(x, \rho_3, \rho_{23}, \rho_{23}, \rho_2) = x$$

:

A ■

-≣->

# Example A2: same torus, different diagram.



$$m_3(x, \rho_3, \rho_2) = x$$
$$m_4(x, \rho_3, \rho_{23}, \rho_2) = x$$
$$m_5(x, \rho_3, \rho_{23}, \rho_{23}, \rho_2) = x$$

≣ >

# Comparison of the two examples.

First chain complex:



Second chain complex:

$$X \xrightarrow{m_3(\cdot,\rho_3,\rho_2)+m_4(\cdot,\rho_3,\rho_{23},\rho_2)+\dots} X$$

< ≣⇒

A ■

# Comparison of the two examples.

First chain complex:



Second chain complex:

$$X \xrightarrow{m_3(\cdot,\rho_3,\rho_2)+m_4(\cdot,\rho_3,\rho_{23},\rho_2)+\dots} X$$

They're  $A_{\infty}$  homotopy equivalent (exercise).

< ≣ > \_

# Comparison of the two examples.

First chain complex:



Second chain complex:

$$X \xrightarrow{m_3(\cdot,\rho_3,\rho_2)+m_4(\cdot,\rho_3,\rho_{23},\rho_2)+\dots} X$$

They're  $A_{\infty}$  homotopy equivalent (exercise). Suggestive remark:

$$(1 + \rho_{23})^{-1} = "1 + \rho_{23} + \rho_{23}, \rho_{23} + \dots$$
  
$$\rho_3 (1 + \rho_{23})^{-1} \rho_2 = "\rho_3, \rho_2 + \rho_3, \rho_{23}, \rho_2 + \dots$$

Background	Properties	Bordered diagrams	The algebra	Cylindrical HF	CFD	CFA	Pairing	4D

## In general:

### Theorem

If  $(\Sigma, \alpha, \beta, z)$  and  $(\Sigma, \alpha', \beta', z')$  are pointed bordered Heegaard diagrams for the same bordered  $Y^3$  then  $\widehat{CFA}(\Sigma)$  is  $A_{\infty}$ -homotopy equivalent to  $\widehat{CFA}(\Sigma')$ .

A⊒ ▶ ∢ ∃

## Recall:

# Theorem If $\partial Y_1 = F = -\partial Y_2$ then $\widehat{CF}(Y_1 \cup_{\partial} Y_2) \simeq \widehat{CFA}(Y_1) \widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}(F)} \widehat{CFD}(Y_2).$

We'll illustrate this with three examples.

→ 同 → → 三 →

★ 문 ▶ 문







$$d(t \otimes b) = t \otimes a + t \otimes \rho_3 x = t \otimes a + t\rho_3 \otimes x = t \otimes a + v \otimes x$$
  

$$d(u \otimes x) = v \otimes x + u \otimes \rho_2 a = v \otimes x + u\rho_2 \otimes a = v \otimes x + t \otimes a$$
  

$$d(v \otimes x) = v \otimes \rho_2 a = v\rho_2 \otimes a = 0$$
  

$$d(t \otimes a) = 0.$$

A ■



$$d(t \otimes b) = \mathbf{t} \otimes \mathbf{a} + t \otimes \rho_3 x = t \otimes a + t\rho_3 \otimes x = t \otimes a + v \otimes x$$
  

$$d(u \otimes x) = v \otimes x + u \otimes \rho_2 a = v \otimes x + u\rho_2 \otimes a = v \otimes x + t \otimes a$$
  

$$d(v \otimes x) = v \otimes \rho_2 a = v\rho_2 \otimes a = 0$$
  

$$d(t \otimes a) = 0.$$

≣ ▶



$$d(t \otimes b) = t \otimes a + \mathbf{t} \otimes \rho_3 \mathbf{x} = t \otimes a + t\rho_3 \otimes x = t \otimes a + v \otimes x$$
  
$$d(u \otimes x) = v \otimes x + u \otimes \rho_2 a = v \otimes x + u\rho_2 \otimes a = v \otimes x + t \otimes a$$
  
$$d(v \otimes x) = v \otimes \rho_2 a = v\rho_2 \otimes a = 0$$
  
$$d(t \otimes a) = 0.$$

≣ ▶



$$d(t \otimes b) = t \otimes a + t \otimes \rho_3 x = t \otimes a + \mathbf{t}\rho_3 \otimes \mathbf{x} = t \otimes a + \mathbf{v} \otimes x$$
  
$$d(u \otimes x) = \mathbf{v} \otimes x + u \otimes \rho_2 a = \mathbf{v} \otimes x + u\rho_2 \otimes a = \mathbf{v} \otimes x + t \otimes a$$
  
$$d(\mathbf{v} \otimes x) = \mathbf{v} \otimes \rho_2 a = \mathbf{v}\rho_2 \otimes a = 0$$
  
$$d(t \otimes a) = 0.$$

A ■



$$d(t \otimes b) = t \otimes a + t \otimes \rho_3 x = t \otimes a + t\rho_3 \otimes x = t \otimes a + \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{x}$$
  
$$d(u \otimes x) = \mathbf{v} \otimes x + u \otimes \rho_2 a = \mathbf{v} \otimes x + u\rho_2 \otimes a = \mathbf{v} \otimes x + t \otimes a$$
  
$$d(v \otimes x) = \mathbf{v} \otimes \rho_2 a = \mathbf{v}\rho_2 \otimes a = 0$$
  
$$d(t \otimes a) = 0.$$

A ■



Generators of  $\widehat{CFA}(Y_1) \otimes \widehat{CFD}(Y_2)$ :  $u \otimes x$ ,  $v \otimes x$ ,  $t \otimes a$ ,  $t \otimes b$ .

 $d(t \otimes b) = t \otimes a + t \otimes \rho_3 x = t \otimes a + t\rho_3 \otimes x = t \otimes a + v \otimes x$   $d(u \otimes x) = v \otimes x + u \otimes \rho_2 a = v \otimes x + u\rho_2 \otimes a = v \otimes x + t \otimes a$   $d(v \otimes x) = v \otimes \rho_2 a = v\rho_2 \otimes a = 0$  $d(t \otimes a) = 0.$ 

This simplifies to  $\mathbb{F}_2\langle t\otimes a+u\otimes x\rangle\oplus\mathbb{F}_2\langle t\otimes b=u\otimes x\rangle$ .

R. Lipshitz, P. Ozsváth and D. Thurston Bordered Heegaard Floer homology





Generators of  $\widehat{CFA}(Y_1) \otimes \widehat{CFD}(Y_2)$ :  $u \otimes x, v \otimes x$ .

글 🕨 🛛 글

A ₽





Generators of  $\widehat{CFA}(Y_1) \otimes \widehat{CFD}(Y_2)$ :  $u \otimes x$ ,  $v \otimes x$ .

$$d(u \otimes x) = v \otimes x + u \otimes \rho_{23}x = v \otimes x + u\rho_{23} \otimes x = v \otimes x + v \otimes x = 0.$$
  
$$d(v \otimes x) = v \otimes \rho_{23}x = v\rho_{23} \otimes x = 0.$$

A ■

Generators of 
$$\widehat{CFA}(Y_1) \otimes \widehat{CFD}(Y_2)$$
:  $u \otimes x$ ,  $v \otimes x$ .

$$d(u \otimes x) = v \otimes x + u \otimes \rho_{23}x = v \otimes x + u\rho_{23} \otimes x = v \otimes x + v \otimes x = 0.$$
  
$$d(v \otimes x) = v \otimes \rho_{23}x = v\rho_{23} \otimes x = 0.$$

The most interesting part is the interaction:



< 17 >

< 三→





 $\langle t\otimes a,t\otimes b\mid d(t\otimes a)=t\otimes a+t\otimes b=0,\quad d(t\otimes a)=0
angle.$ 

< 17 ×

< ≣⇒





 $\langle t \otimes a, t \otimes b \mid d(t \otimes b) = \mathbf{t} \otimes \mathbf{a} + t \otimes a = 0, \quad d(t \otimes a) = 0 \rangle.$ 

< 17 ×

æ

< ≣⇒





 $\langle t \otimes a, t \otimes b \mid d(t \otimes b) = t \otimes a + \mathbf{t} \otimes \mathbf{a} = 0, \quad d(t \otimes a) = 0 \rangle.$ 

▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 臣

< ≣⇒





 $\langle t\otimes a,t\otimes b\mid d(t\otimes b)=t\otimes a+\mathbf{t}\otimes \mathbf{a}=0,\quad d(t\otimes a)=0
angle.$ 



< ≣⇒

A ■





 $\langle t\otimes a,t\otimes b\mid d(t\otimes b)=t\otimes a+\mathbf{t}\otimes \mathbf{a}=0,\quad d(t\otimes a)=0
angle.$ 



< ≣⇒

A ■





 $\langle t\otimes a,t\otimes b\mid d(t\otimes b)=t\otimes a+\mathbf{t}\otimes \mathbf{a}=0,\quad d(t\otimes a)=0
angle.$ 



< ≣⇒

A ■

## The surgery exact sequence

### Theorem

(Ozsváth-Szabó) For K a knot in Y there is an exact sequence

$$ightarrow \widehat{HF}(Y_\infty(K)) 
ightarrow \widehat{HF}(Y_{-1}(K)) 
ightarrow \widehat{HF}(Y_0(K)) 
ightarrow \widehat{HF}(Y_\infty(K)) 
ightarrow$$

Image: A math a math

## The surgery exact sequence

#### Theorem

(Ozsváth-Szabó) For K a knot in Y there is an exact sequence

$$ightarrow \widehat{HF}(Y_\infty(K))
ightarrow \widehat{HF}(Y_{-1}(K))
ightarrow \widehat{HF}(Y_0(K))
ightarrow \widehat{HF}(Y_\infty(K))
ightarrow$$

### Proof via bordered Floer. Define ra $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$ : b $\mathcal{H}_0$ : nn3 ra There's a s.e.s. $0 \to \widehat{\mathsf{CFD}}(\mathcal{H}_{\infty}) \to \widehat{\mathsf{CFD}}(\mathcal{H}_{-1}) \to \widehat{\mathsf{CFD}}(\mathcal{H}_{0}) \to 0.$

R. Lipshitz, P. Ozsváth and D. Thurston

Bordered Heegaard Floer homology

# Is it the same sequence?

For



the maps are



・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

< ≣⇒

# Is it the same sequence?

For



the maps are



A version of the pairing theorem shows this gives the triangle map on HF. A (10) > (10) 3 - < ∃ >

R. Lipshitz, P. Ozsváth and D. Thurston



• The map in the surgery sequence is induced by a 2-handle attachment *W*.

イロン 不同と 不同と 不同と



- The map in the surgery sequence is induced by a 2-handle attachment *W*.
- So, this map has a universal definition as a map between  $\widehat{CFD}$  of solid tori.

→ 同 → → 三 →

< ≣ >



- The map in the surgery sequence is induced by a 2-handle attachment *W*.
- So, this map has a universal definition as a map between  $\widehat{CFD}$  of solid tori.
- More generally, the map for attaching handles along a link is given by a concrete map between  $\widehat{CFD}$  of handlebodies.

< **₩** ► < **⇒** ►

• 3 >