

The universal Whitham hierarchy and the geometry of the moduli space of pointed Riemann surfaces

Samuel Grushevsky and Igor Krichever

ABSTRACT. We show that certain structures and constructions of the Whitham theory, an essential part of the perturbation theory of soliton equations, can be instrumental in understanding the geometry of the moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces with marked points. We use the ideas of the Whitham theory to define local coordinates and construct foliations on the moduli spaces. We use these constructions to give a new direct proof of the Diaz' bound on the dimension of complete subvarieties of the moduli spaces. Geometrically, we study the properties of meromorphic differentials with real periods and their degenerations.

1. Introduction

Solitons originally arose in the study of shallow waves. Since then, the notion of soliton equations has broadened considerably, and it now embraces a wide class of non-linear ordinary and partial differential equations, which all share the characteristic feature of being expressible as a compatibility condition for an auxiliary system of linear differential equations. The general algebro-geometric construction of exact periodic and quasi-periodic solutions of soliton equations was proposed by the second-named author in [21, 22], where Baker-Akhiezer functions were introduced (the analytical properties of Baker-Akhiezer functions are generalization of properties of the Bloch solutions of the finite-gap Sturm-Liouville operators, established during the initial development of the finite-gap integration theory of the Korteweg-de Vries equation, see [5, 19, 31, 33]).

The algebro-geometric solutions of soliton equations corresponding to smooth algebraic curves can be explicitly written in terms of Riemann's

Research is supported in part by National Science Foundation under the grant DMS-05-55867.

theta function. The celebrated Novikov’s conjecture: that the Jacobians of curves are exactly those indecomposable principally polarized abelian varieties (ppav) whose theta functions provide explicit solutions of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) equation, was the first evidence of the now well-accepted usefulness of combining the techniques of integrable systems and algebraic geometry to obtain new results in both fields.

Novikov’s conjecture was proved by Shiota in [38], and until relatively recently had remained the most effective solution of the Riemann-Schottky problem, the problem of characterizing Jacobians of Riemann surfaces among all ppavs. A much stronger characterization of Jacobians was suggested by Welters, who, inspired by Novikov’s conjecture and Gunning’s theorem [15], conjectured in [39] that a ppav is a Jacobian if and only if its Kummer variety admits at least *one* trisecant line (and then it follows that in fact it has a four-dimensional family of trisecants).

Recall that for a ppav X with principal polarization Θ the Kummer variety $K(X)$ is the image of the complete linear system $|2\Theta|$. This is to say that the coordinates for the embedding $K : X/\pm 1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2g-1}$ are given by a basis of the sections of $|2\Theta|$, consisting of theta functions of the second order

$$\Theta[\varepsilon](z) := \theta[\varepsilon, 0](2\tau, 2z) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp(\pi i(2n + \varepsilon)^t \tau(n + \varepsilon/2) + 4(n + \varepsilon/2)^t z)$$

for all $\varepsilon \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$, where τ is the period matrix of X . A projective $(m-2)$ -dimensional plane $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{m-2} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2g-1}$ intersecting $K(X)$ in at least m points is called an m -secant of the Kummer variety.

The Kummer varieties of Jacobians of curves were shown to admit a four-dimensional family of trisecant lines (this is the Fay-Gunning trisecant formula, see [9]). It was then shown by Gunning [15] that the existence of a one-dimensional family of trisecants that are translates of each other characterizes Jacobians among all ppavs. The Welters’ trisecant conjecture was recently proved by the second-named author in [25, 27]. In [14, 26] the soliton theory was used to obtain a solution to another classical problem of algebraic geometry — characterizing Prym varieties among indecomposable ppavs.

The algebro-geometric perturbation theory for two-dimensional soliton equations was developed in [23, 24]. It was stimulated by the application of the Whitham approach for (1+1) integrable equation of the KdV type, see [4, 10, 16]. As usual, in the perturbation theory “integrals” of an initial equation become functions of the “slow” variables εt_A (where ε is a small parameter). “The Whitham equations” is a name given to the equations that describe “slow” variations of “adiabatic integrals”.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ the moduli space of smooth algebraic curves Γ of genus g with n distinct labeled marked points p_1, \dots, p_n (i.e., not taking the quotient under the symmetric group). The universal Whitham hierarchy, as

defined in [24], is a hierarchy of commuting equations on the total space of the bundle $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ of infinite rank: $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is the moduli space of smooth algebraic curves Γ of genus g with n labeled marked points and a choice of a holomorphic local coordinate z_i , which we think of as an infinite power series, in a neighborhood U_{p_i} of each marked point p_i (it is customary in the theory of integrable equations to write the local coordinate as k^{-1} instead of z , so that we have $k_i^{-1}(p_i) = 0$, i.e., $k_i(p_i) = \infty$). Thus we have the bundle

$$(1) \quad \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} = \{\Gamma, p_i \in \Gamma, z_i : U_{p_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, i = 1, \dots, n\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g,n} = \{\Gamma, p_i \in \Gamma\}.$$

For any point in $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ and for a point of the Jacobian $J(\Gamma)$ the algebro-geometric construction gives a quasi-periodic solution of some integrable partial non-linear differential equation (for a given non-linear integrable equation the corresponding set of data has to be specified. For example, the solutions of the KP hierarchy correspond to the case $n = 1$. The solutions of the two-dimensional Toda lattice correspond to the case $n = 2$).

The construction of special “algebraic” orbits of the Whitham hierarchy, proposed in [23], has already found its applications to the theory of topological quantum field models and to Seiberg-Witten solution of $N = 2$ supersymmetric gauge models (see details in [29, 30] and references therein).

The moduli spaces of curves with marked points have curious vanishing properties of tautological classes, Chow groups and rational cohomology. In [1] Arbarello constructed a stratification of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_g of smooth Riemann surfaces of genus g and provided some evidence that it can be a useful tool for investigating the geometric properties of \mathcal{M}_g . Later Diaz in [3] used a variant of Arbarello stratification to show that \mathcal{M}_g does not contain complete (complex) subvarieties of dimension $g - 1$. Some years later, using a similar stratification Looijenga proved in [32] that the tautological classes of degree greater than $g - 2$ vanish in the Chow ring of \mathcal{M}_g , which implies Diaz’ result (the Hodge class λ_1 is ample on \mathcal{M}_g , and thus for any complete d -dimensional subvariety $X \subset \mathcal{M}_g$ we would have $\lambda_1^d \cdot X > 0$, while $\lambda_1^{g-1} = 0$, as a tautological class). Hain and Looijenga [17] then asked whether the reason for this vanishing would be the existence of a cover of the moduli space of curves by at most $g - 1$ affine open sets. Roth and Vakil [37] studied affine stratifications (generalizing covers by affines) and asked whether they could be given for \mathcal{M}_g . The following conjecture is widely believed to be true, and would imply all of the above results.

CONJECTURE 1.1 ([17, 32, 37]). *Let $g > 0, n \geq 0$ be such that $2g - 2 + n > 0$. Then the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ of smooth genus g algebraic curves with*

n marked points has a stratification

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{M}_{g,n} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{g-\delta_{n,0}} S_i, \quad \bar{S}_j = \bigcup_{i \leq j} S_i$$

such that each locally closed stratum S_i is affine.

Existence of such a stratification would also imply bounds on the homotopical dimension of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ obtained by Mondello [35].

In [11] Fontanari and Looijenga construct such affine stratifications for \mathcal{M}_g for $g \leq 5$. Both Arbarello's and Diaz' stratifications of \mathcal{M}_g have the right number of strata, but it is not known whether the strata are affine. Not even conjectural candidates have been proposed for covers of \mathcal{M}_g by at most $g - 1$ affine open sets.

Our first goal is to give a new proof of Diaz' theorem. Our approach, based on the constructions of the Whitham theory, does not use any kind of stratification. What we do in a sense can be considered a generalization of the constructions and computations in the Hurwitz space (the space of Riemann surfaces together with a meromorphic function with prescribed pole orders), which were used to great effect in [6]. Our construction is on the total space of the bundle of meromorphic differentials on Riemann surfaces with prescribed pole orders. The Hurwitz space is a subvariety of this space corresponding to the case when the differential is exact, i.e., when all its periods are equal to zero. There are two advantages to our construction: that the compactification is straightforward, and that the subbundle where the singular parts are fixed admits a section, the unique meromorphic differential with prescribed singular parts and real periods (if all these real periods are in fact zero, we again recover the Hurwitz space).

We use this construction of *real-normalized* differentials to show that on the moduli spaces of curves with fixed finite jets of local coordinates at marked points there exist canonical *real-analytic* local coordinates. Moreover, part of these local coordinates are in fact globally defined piecewise real-analytic functions, which become piecewise harmonic when restricted to leaves of a canonically defined foliation on the moduli space. The maximum principle for harmonic functions then implies that codimension of any compact cycle in the moduli space can not be less than the dimension of the corresponding foliation, and we thus obtain a proof of Diaz' theorem.

The real-normalized differentials of the third kind (i.e., with two simple poles) are closely related to constructions in Chas-Sullivan string topology: indeed, the imaginary part of the integral of a meromorphic differential with real periods defines a global real function on the Riemann surface taking values $\pm\infty$ at the two poles, which is used in string topology. We will also use this global real function for our proof. Moreover, the total space of meromorphic differentials on Riemann surfaces has long been an object of study in Teichmüller theory. Our discussion of the possible degenerations of

real-normalized differentials is in some ways reminiscent for example of the theory of geometric limits of quadratic differentials developed by McMullen [34].

2. Algebraic orbits of the Whitham hierarchy

The notion of *algebraic orbits* of the universal Whitham hierarchy is at the heart of all the following constructions. They are defined as leaves of a certain “canonical” foliation on the moduli space of curves with marked points, together with a meromorphic differential with prescribed pole orders at the points.

DEFINITION 2.1. For any set of positive integers $\underline{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$ we denote by

$$\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h}) :=$$

$\left\{ (\Gamma, \underline{p}, \omega) \mid (\Gamma, \underline{p}) \in \mathcal{M}_{g,n}; \omega \in H^0(K_\Gamma + \sum h_i p_i) \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^n H^0(K_\Gamma - p_j + \sum h_i p_i) \right\}$
 the moduli space of curves of genus g with n marked points, together with a meromorphic differential with poles of order *exactly* h_i at each p_i . The residues of the differential give n global well-defined functions on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$:

$$(3) \quad \rho_i(\Gamma, \underline{p}, \omega) := \text{res}_{p_i} \omega.$$

REMARK 2.2. Note that in the definition we have required the poles to be of order exactly h_i — and thus obtained an open subset of the moduli of curves with differentials of poles of order at most h_i . This will be useful for our construction of local coordinates and foliations in $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$, as we will be able to say that the degree of any differential in $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$ is the same, and thus it has a fixed number of zeros.

The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$ is an open subset of the total space of the universal complex vector bundle of meromorphic differentials over $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ with fiber $K_\Gamma + \sum h_i p_i$, and thus is a complex orbifold of complex dimension

$$(4) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}_{g,n} + h^0(K_\Gamma + \sum_{i=1}^n h_i p_i) = 3g - 3 + n + g - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n h_i$$

(we have $h^0(K_\Gamma) = g$, and for a meromorphic differential the only condition is for the sum of the residues to be zero).

The moduli space of curves with marked points and an *exact* meromorphic differential with prescribed pole orders is a subset of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$. Thus the Hurwitz space — the moduli space of curves with marked points and a meromorphic function with pole orders $h_i - 1$ at marked points — is the subset of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$ consisting of differentials whose integral over any closed curve is zero. This is to say these are differentials with all periods zero, and

all residues zero. We will now describe a canonical foliation on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$, for which this Hurwitz space will be one leaf. To define this foliation, we will perform a construction on the total space of the bundle of appropriate meromorphic differentials over the Teichmüller space, and then argue that the construction is invariant under the action of the mapping class group, and thus descends to the moduli space.

DEFINITION 2.3. For a curve $\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_g$ we call a set of generators $A_1, \dots, A_g, B_1, \dots, B_g$ of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ standard if the only relation between them is $\prod A_i B_i A_i^{-1} B_i^{-1} = 1$. We denote $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$ the moduli of objects as in $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$ together with a choice of a standard basis. On $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$ we have the well-defined global functions

$$(5) \quad \alpha_i(\Gamma, \underline{p}, \omega, \underline{A}, \underline{B}) := \oint_{A_i} \omega; \quad \beta_i(\Gamma, \underline{p}, \omega, \underline{A}, \underline{B}) := \oint_{B_i} \omega$$

(from the point of view of integrable systems, these integrals are some of the times for the universal Whitham hierarchy, and thus often denoted T). We then define the foliation \mathcal{L} on $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$ to have leaves given by, for any complex numbers $r_1, \dots, r_n, a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g$,

$$(6) \quad L_{\underline{r}, \underline{a}, \underline{b}} := \{(\Gamma, \underline{p}, \omega, \underline{A}, \underline{B}) \in \mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\underline{h}) \mid \rho_j = r_j, \alpha_i = a_i, \beta_i = b_i, \forall j \leq n, \forall i \leq g\}$$

Since the periods of a differential are holomorphic functions on $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$, each leaf L is a holomorphic subvariety of $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$.

Notice that on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$ one cannot globally talk about periods, as there is no chosen basis of cycles, and thus there are no global functions α_i or β_i . However, the condition of the periods being constant is independent of the choice of the basis, and we thus have

LEMMA 2.4. *The subvarieties $L_{\underline{r}, \underline{a}, \underline{b}} \subset \mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$ are permuted by the action of the mapping class group, and thus the family \mathcal{L} of them for all values of $\underline{r}, \underline{a}, \underline{b}$ defines a complex foliation of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$ by complex submanifolds.*

PROOF. Indeed, note that though the definition of $L_{\underline{r}, \underline{a}, \underline{b}}$ depends on the choice of the set of generators $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ for $\pi_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ and thus only makes sense on $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$, if we choose a different basis $\underline{A}', \underline{B}'$, then the new basis is obtained from the old one by a linear transformation $G \in Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$, and thus the periods α', β' of a differential with respect to the new basis are obtained by applying G to the periods α, β , and thus the manifold $L_{\underline{r}, \underline{a}, \underline{b}}$ is mapped to $L_{\underline{r}, G(\underline{a}, \underline{b})}$, so the action of the mapping class group permutes the leaves, and thus preserves the foliation. \square

We note that the leaves of \mathcal{L} in $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$ may no longer be submanifolds, as the action of the mapping class group may result in the image $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$ of a leaf $L \subset \mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$ being dense, etc. However, we can still

talk of the tangent space to \mathcal{L} at a point, by thinking of the tangent space to a preimage L . Thus any connected component of the intersection \mathcal{L} with a small neighborhood of any point in $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$ is a manifold, and we can talk about the dimension of the leaves of \mathcal{L} . Each leaf of \mathcal{L} is given by $n - 1 + 2g$ equations (recall that the sum of the residues is equal to 1), and we thus expect it to have codimension $n - 1 + 2g$ in $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$. To prove that the codimension of a leaf is indeed this, one needs to show that the functions ρ, α, β are independent, i.e., that prescribing their values imposes independent conditions on $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$. It turns out that this is indeed the case, and moreover that this set of functions can be completed to a local coordinate system near any point of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$ (for local coordinates it does not matter whether we work with $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$ or the universal cover $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$). This will also imply that the leaves of \mathcal{L} are smooth. The construction of such local coordinates is given in [29]. We will now summarize it for completeness and for future use.

REMARK 2.5. For motivation, note that the leaf $L_{0,0,0}$ corresponding to zero values of all periods and residues, consists of exact differentials, and thus is simply the Hurwitz space of meromorphic functions with prescribed pole orders at the marked point. As described by Ekedahl, Lando, Shapiro, and Vainshtein in [6], the coordinates on the Hurwitz space are given by the Lyashko-Looijenga mapping: associating to the meromorphic function the (unordered) set of its critical values.

The critical point of a function is a zero of its differential, and so makes sense in our situation. The critical value of a function at the critical point is the integral of the differential — and thus we need to fix the path of integration. Note that when dealing with Hurwitz spaces, one often allows only simple branching away from infinity, i.e., requires all critical values to be distinct. We will not require this, and thus to get real analytic coordinates also along the locus where some critical values are multiple, we need to use the symmetric functions of critical values as coordinates rather than the critical values themselves.

Analogously to the Hurwitz space situation, where functions are only defined up to an additive constant, our construction should rather be performed on the moduli of curves with marked points and a chosen (multivalued) abelian integral, i.e., a chosen integral of the meromorphic differential — which is unique up to an additive constant. This space is an affine bundle over the moduli of curves with marked points, and is of independent interest, but we will not need the details of it for what follows.

To formally define local coordinates, we will use the critical values of the integral of ω . Indeed, write the divisor of ω on Γ as

$$(7) \quad (\omega) = \sum_{s=1}^{2g-2+\sum h_i} q_s$$

(where some of the q_s may be the same). Consider then the integrals

$$(8) \quad \phi_j := C + \int_{q_1}^{q_j} \omega, \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, 2g - 2 + \sum h_i$$

where the integral of course depends upon the path of integration, and on the choice of the numbering of the points in the divisor of ω . Note that even if we work on $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$ and require the path not to intersect any of the loops A_i or B_i , the integral still depends on the path of integration if the residues of ω are not all zero. The constant C in (8) is defined by the normalization condition $s_1(\phi) := \sum_j \phi_j = 0$. We let

$$(9) \quad \sigma_k := s_k(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_{2g-2+\sum h_i}) \quad \text{for } k = 2, \dots, 2g - 2 + \sum h_i$$

be the values of the elementary symmetric polynomials of the critical values ϕ_j .

Locally in a neighborhood of a point $(\Gamma, p, \omega) \in \mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$ we can choose a basis for cycles, a labeling for the points in the divisor, and a family of paths of integration. Notice that even if some of the points q_s coincide, the tuple $\underline{q} \in \text{Sym}^{2g-2+\sum h_i}(\Gamma)$ deforms holomorphically, and thus s_k are local holomorphic functions on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$, dependent on the choices made. Indeed, locally let $q = q_{s_1} = \dots = q_{s_\mu}$ be a zero of the differential ω of multiplicity μ . Consider a small neighborhood of q , viewed as a point of the universal curve above $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$. An abelian integral $f(p) = C + \int_{q_1}^p \omega$, viewed as a function on the universal curve in a neighborhood of q , is a deformation of a holomorphic function with a multiple critical value. Therefore, on the corresponding curve, there exists a local coordinate z such that

$$f = z^{\mu+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{\mu-1} \Phi_i z^i.$$

The coefficients Φ_i are (local) holomorphic functions on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$. Note that if $\mu = 1$, Φ_0 coincides with one of the critical value from (8). In the general case these coefficients are elementary symmetric polynomials of critical values $\phi_{s_1}, \dots, \phi_{s_\mu}$ that coincide at q .

THEOREM 2.6 ([29], Appendix). *The set of functions $\alpha, \beta, \sigma(\phi)$ (note that the total number of functions is equal to $n - 1 + 2g + 2g - 3 + \sum h_i = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$) give local holomorphic coordinates in a neighborhood of any point of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$, dependent on the choices made above for defining $\alpha, \beta, \sigma(\phi)$.*

PROOF. We outline the key step in the proof of the argument, given in full detail in [29]. Suppose that the differentials of these functions are linearly dependent at some point $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$ (and thus the functions do not give local coordinates near Γ_0). Then there exists a one-dimensional family $\Gamma_t \subset \mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$, with complex parameter t such that the derivative of any of the above functions along this family is equal to zero at $t = 0$.

Choose locally a basis $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ for cycles, and let $\Omega_i(t)$ be the basis of holomorphic differentials on Γ_t dual to A_i . Denote then $F_i(p, t) := \int_{q_1(t)}^p \Omega_i(t)$ the corresponding abelian integral — the function of $p \in \Gamma_t$, depending on the choice of the path of integration. Let us also denote $f_t(p) := \int_{q_1(t)}^p \omega(t)$ the integral of our chosen meromorphic differential along the same path.

We will now want to see how F_i varies in t . For this to make sense as a partial derivative, we need to “fix” the point p as we vary Γ_t , and to do this we will use f as the local coordinate on the universal cover of Γ . This is to say that we will fix $x := f_t(p)$ and let t vary; this is to say that f allows us to define a connection on the space of abelian integrals.

Rigorously, we consider the derivative

$$(10) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F_i(f_t^{-1}(x), t)|_{t=0}$$

and show that it is zero. We think of the surface Γ_t as cut along a basis of cycles, so that the integrals $\int_{q_1(t)}^p$ in the definition above are taken along paths not intersecting this basis, i.e., on the simply-connected cut surface. Then the expression above is by definition holomorphic on the cut surface Γ_0 , with possible poles at the zeros of ω (where f^{-1} is singular), and with discontinuities along the cuts. However, if as we vary t the coordinates α and β do not change, i.e., the periods of ω_t do not change, the differential of (10) has no discontinuity along the cuts, and since the critical values ϕ do not change (which is implied by $\sigma(\phi)$ not changing as we vary t), (10) also has no poles at the critical values of ω . Thus the differential of expression (10) is a holomorphic differential on Γ_0 with zero A -periods; thus it is identically zero, and also has zero B -periods. Since the B -periods of Ω_i are entries of the period matrix τ of Γ_0 , this means that we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tau_{ij}(t)|_{t=0} = 0,$$

The infinitesimal Torelli theorem says that the period map $\tau : \mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ induces an embedding on the tangent space away from the locus of the hyperelliptic curves and thus the above is impossible unless Γ_0 is a hyperelliptic curve.

For a hyperelliptic curve the kernel of $d\tau$ is one-dimensional and transverse to the tangent space of the hyperelliptic locus. Therefore, to complete the proof, it suffices to show that if Γ_0 is a hyperelliptic curve, then the tangent vector to the family Γ_t at $t = 0$ is tangent to the locus of hyperelliptic curves. For that, we fix on Γ_0 a pair of distinct points P^\pm for which there exists a function λ with simple poles at these points and holomorphic everywhere else. We may choose P^\pm so that $\omega(P^\pm) \neq 0$. Let

$$\lambda = a^\pm(x - x_\pm)^{-1} + b^\pm + O(x - x_\pm^0), \quad x_\pm^0 = f(P^\pm)$$

be the expansions of λ at the points P^\pm in terms of the local coordinate defined by the abelian integral $x = f(p)$. Then on Γ_t there exists a unique

meromorphic differential Λ^t with double poles at $P^{\pm,t}$, such that $\Lambda + a^{\pm}(f_t - f_{\pm,t})^{-2} \omega$ (where $f_{\pm,t} = f_t(P^{\pm,t})$) is holomorphic at $P^{\pm,t}$, and normalized by the condition $\oint_{A_i} \Lambda = 0$. By the definition of Λ singular parts of the expansions of the abelian integral $\lambda_t(p) := \int_{q_1(t)}^p \Lambda(t)$ at the points $P^{\pm,t}$ in terms of the local coordinates $(f_t - f_{\pm,t})$ are t -independent. Arguing as before, we conclude that

$$\partial_t \lambda_t(f_t^{-1}(x)|_{t=0} = 0.$$

This implies that, up to order $O(t^2)$, the periods of Λ_t are the same as the periods of $d\lambda_0$, and thus equal to zero, i.e., up to the order $O(t^2)$ the function λ_t is a single-valued function with only two simple poles on Γ_t . Hence, $\partial_{t=0}$ is a tangent vector to the moduli space of hyperelliptic curves.

Further similar arguments show that if the functions $\alpha, \beta, \sigma(\phi)$ are constant along the family, than the singular parts of ω are t -independent as well. (We refer to the appendix of [29] for details.) \square

3. Differentials with real periods

We now introduce the second main tool of the theory: differentials with real periods, or *real-normalized* abelian differentials, i.e., differentials ω on a Riemann surface Γ such that all their periods are real.

DEFINITION 3.1. We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{real}(\underline{h})$ the space of curves with marked points, together with a real-normalized meromorphic differential with prescribed pole orders:

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{real}(\underline{h}) := \left\{ (\Gamma, \underline{p}, \omega) \in \mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h}) \mid \oint_{\gamma} \omega \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \gamma \in H_1(\Gamma \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}, \mathbb{Z}) \right\}.$$

Note that to be able to talk of all periods of a meromorphic differential being real, without choosing a basis for cycles, the integrals of ω around all poles need to be real, so all residues need to be imaginary. Thus we have $\rho_j : \mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{real}(\underline{h}) \rightarrow i\mathbb{R}$.

Notice that the condition of the periods being real is a real-analytic and not a holomorphic condition. From now on our constructions will happen in the real-analytic category unless stated otherwise.

REMARK 3.2. Because the periods of differentials are constant along the leaves of the foliation \mathcal{L} on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$, the foliation \mathcal{L} restricts to a foliation on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{real}(\underline{h})$ (i.e., any leaf of \mathcal{L} on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$ intersecting $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{real}(\underline{h})$ is contained in $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{real}(\underline{h})$). Since $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{real}(\underline{h})$ is only a real-analytic orbifold, the foliation \mathcal{L} on it is real-analytic, but each individual leaf carries the structure of a complex orbifold (recall that the smoothness of every leaf follows from theorem 2.6). The functions $\sigma(\phi)$ give local holomorphic coordinates on the leaves of \mathcal{L} on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{real}(\underline{h})$.

One of the strengths of the real normalization lies in the following

PROPOSITION 3.3. *When restricted to $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{real}(\underline{h})$, the tuple of imaginary parts of the functions ϕ given by (8) is defined globally:*

$$\left\{ \text{Im } \phi_j, \sum_j \text{Im } \phi_j = 0 \right\} : \mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{real}(\underline{h}) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{2g-2+\sum h_i}(\mathbb{R})$$

Another strength of the real normalization is in the uniqueness of a real-normalized differential with prescribed singular parts at the marked points (written out in terms of the jets of local coordinates at the marked points). Thus the real-normalized differentials provide a section of the bundle of meromorphic differentials with prescribed pole orders over the moduli space of curves with marked points, endowed with jets of local coordinates at these points.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *For any $(\Gamma, p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, any set of positive integers h_1, \dots, h_n , and any choice of h_i -jets of local coordinates z_i in the neighborhood of marked points p_i , with $z_i(p_i)$ and any singular parts (i.e., for $i = 1 \dots n$ the choice of Taylor coefficients $c_i^1, \dots, c_i^{h_i}$, with all residues $c_i^1 \in i\mathbb{R}$ and the sum of the residues $\sum c_i^1 = 0$) there exists a unique real-normalized differential Ψ on Γ with prescribed singular parts, i.e., such that in a neighborhood U_i of each p_i we have*

$$\Psi|_{U_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{h_i} c_i^j \frac{dz}{z^j} + O(1)$$

PROOF. Indeed suppose there were two such differentials. Subtracting one from the other would then yield a holomorphic differential Ω with all periods real. Then all the periods of the difference $\Omega - \bar{\Omega}$ must be zero, and thus we must have $\Omega - \bar{\Omega} = 0 \in H^1(\Gamma)$. Since Ω is holomorphic and $\bar{\Omega}$ is antiholomorphic, this implies $\Omega = \bar{\Omega} = 0$.

From Riemann-Roch theorem it follows that there must exist a differential ω with the prescribed singular part. Let a_1, \dots, a_g be its periods over the A cycles, and let $\Omega_1 \dots \Omega_g$ be the basis of holomorphic differentials dual to the A cycles. The differential $\omega' := \omega - \sum a_i \Omega_i$ then has all A -periods zero (and thus in particular real). We now need to show that there exists a differential $\Psi = \omega' - \sum c_i \Omega_i$, for some $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$, with all B -periods real — its A -periods are equal to c_i . Indeed, let b_1, \dots, b_g be the imaginary parts of the B -periods of ω' . Since the imaginary part of the period matrix τ of Γ is non-degenerate, there must exist a vector $c \in \mathbb{R}^g$ such that $b = (\text{Im } \tau) c$, and this is our solution. \square

4. A foliation of $\mathcal{M}_{g,2}$, and Diaz' theorem

In what follows we will concentrate on meromorphic differentials with a single double pole (and thus with no residue at the marked point) — traditionally called second kind — i.e., sections of $K_\Gamma + 2p$ over $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$, and meromorphic differentials with two simple poles with opposite residues — traditionally called third kind — sections of $K_\Gamma + p_1 + p_2$ over $\mathcal{M}_{g,2}$.

DEFINITION 4.1. For the case of a differential of the second kind the singular part is equal to $rz^{-2}dz$ for some $r \in \mathbb{C}$, where z is the local coordinate near p with $z(p) = 0$. If the local coordinate z is changed, r transforms as a tangent vector, and thus a Riemann surface with a differential of a second kind determines a point in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ together with a non-zero tangent vector at p . We will denote by $\mathcal{M}_{g,\hat{1}}$ this space: the total space of the universal tangent bundle to $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ at the marked point, with the zero section removed.

REMARK 4.2. Notice that such a differential of the second kind has no residue, and thus we can talk about real normalization. If such a differential of the second kind were exact, it would be the derivative of a meromorphic function with a single simple pole, in which case the Riemann surface would be \mathbb{CP}^1 . In [6] it is explained why the tangent vectors at marked points appear in the context of Hurwitz spaces.

For a differential of the third kind the singular part is determined by the residue $r \in \mathbb{C}$ at p_1 (the residue at p_2 is then $-r$). To be able to talk about real normalization, we need to require this residue to be imaginary, and then all such real-normalized differentials of the third kind are \mathbb{R} -multiples of each other. We fix the residue to be i then (getting a section of the \mathbb{R} -line bundle $\mathcal{M}_{g,2}^{real}(1,1) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g,2}$), and thus the foliation \mathcal{L} , along the leaves of which the residue is constant, induces a real-analytic foliation on $\mathcal{M}_{g,2}$. Each leaf of this foliation itself carries a complex structure, compatible with the complex structure on $\mathcal{M}_{g,2}$, and is of complex codimension g in $\mathcal{M}_{g,2}$.

REMARK 4.3. Real-normalized differentials of the third kind probably had been known to Riemann in his study of electric potential created by two charged particles on a surface. In modern literature they were used in [12] to study triangulations of moduli space of curves with marked points in connection with light-cone string theory, and in [28] in a construction of an analog of Fourier-Laurent theory on Riemann surfaces. This is a case that is of importance for string topology.

The fiber of the forgetful map $\mathcal{M}_{g,2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ over the point $[\Gamma]$ is $\Gamma \times \Gamma \setminus$ diagonal, and thus non-compact. We define a partial compactification $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,2}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{g,2}$ by allowing the two marked points to collide, so that the fiber of the map $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$, equal to $\Gamma \times \Gamma$, is compact. From the point of view of

the Deligne-Mumford compactification, if the two marked points coincide, we attach a nodal \mathbb{CP}^1 at this point.

In the next section we formally study the degenerations of the real-normalized differentials of the second kind. For the case of the differential of the third kind the situation with this degeneration is clear: the bundle $K_\Gamma + p_1 + p_2$ extends to the boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ as a line bundle $\omega_\Gamma + p_1 + p_2$, where ω_Γ is the relative dualizing sheaf of a stable curve. Thus the limit of Ψ is a differential with possible poles at the node and at the marked points on \mathbb{CP}^1 . However, as the node on the original curve is separating, and is the only marked point on the genus g component of the stable curve, there can be no residue at it. Thus in this limit the real-normalized differential Ψ becomes holomorphic on Γ , and will have residues at the two other marked points of the \mathbb{CP}^1 . Since in the limit Ψ is still real-normalized, in the limit it becomes identically zero on Γ , and the associated functions σ, α, β all become zero (they no longer give coordinates near such degenerate points).

We now use this foliation on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,2}$ and the local coordinates on it to prove Diaz' theorem.

THEOREM 4.4 (Diaz [3]). *There do not exist complete complex subvarieties of \mathcal{M}_g of complex dimension greater than $g - 2$.*

PROOF. Suppose for contradiction $Y \subset \mathcal{M}_g$ were a complete complex submanifold of \mathcal{M}_g with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Y \geq g - 1$. Consider the preimage $Z \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,2}$ of Y ; it would then be a complete complex submanifold with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Z \geq g + 1$ (notice that here we need the fact that the fibers of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,2}$ are complete, which is why we could not use $\mathcal{M}_{g,2}$ in the first place).

By proposition 3.3 we have the globally defined tuple of the imaginary parts of the critical values $\text{Im } \phi_j$. Let us now arrange them into functions $f_1 \geq \dots \geq f_{2g}$, $\sum f_j = 0$. The functions f_j are continuous, and piecewise real-analytic: they are harmonic on any open set of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{real}(\underline{h})$ where the critical values are all distinct, so that their ordering above does not change. Then f_1 must achieve a maximum on Z , as a continuous function on a compact set. We will want to use local coordinates on $\mathcal{M}_{g,2}$ given by theorem 2.6, and thus need to avoid working on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,2} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{g,2}$, where we do not have local coordinates. We start by proving the following:

LEMMA 4.5. *The maximum of f_1 on Z is strictly greater than zero (and thus is achieved on $\mathcal{M}_{g,2}$).*

PROOF. Indeed, if the maximum of f_1 were zero, then due to the normalization condition $\sum_j \phi_j = 0$ it follows that all f_j would be identically zero on Z . Take then any point $(\Gamma, p_1 \neq p_2) \in Z \cap \mathcal{M}_{g,2}$ (this is non-empty, as Z is the preimage of $Y \subset \mathcal{M}_g$) and consider a leaf L containing it. Then in a neighborhood of (Γ, p_1, p_2) all functions $\text{Im } \phi_j$ would be identically zero along $L \cap Z$. If $(\Gamma, p_1 \neq p_2)$ is in the open set of $\mathcal{M}_{g,2}$, where zeros of ω

are simple, then the local holomorphic functions ϕ_j would be constant along $L \cap Z$, while all α and β are constant on L . Thus the values of $\sigma(\phi)$, α , β would all be constant along $L \cap Z$ locally near (Γ, p_1, p_2) . Since by theorem 2.6 these functions are local coordinates on $\mathcal{M}_{g,2}$, this would imply that (Γ, p_1, p_2) is an isolated point of $L \cap Z$. However, since $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{C}} L = g$, and we assumed $\text{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} Z \geq g + 1$, we have $\text{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(L \cap Z) \geq 1$, and thus there is a contradiction.

If all non-isolated points of $L \cap Z$ are not in the open set of $\mathcal{M}_{g,2}$ where the zeroes of w are simple, then they must lie in the strata corresponding to differentials with some configuration of multiple zeros. From the definition of local coordinates it follows that although ϕ_j are not holomorphic as functions on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{h})$ near the points of the strata, their restrictions to the (open) stratum with a fixed configuration of multiplicities of the zeroes are holomorphic. Moreover, on this open subset of a stratum they define a local coordinate system, and we get the same contradiction as before. \square

The proof of the theorem follows the same line of thought, but an inductive argument is needed. Indeed, we let Z_1 be the locus of points in Z where f_1 achieves its maximum. Since this maximum is non-zero, Z_1 is a closed subvariety of $Z \cap \mathcal{M}_{g,2}$ (and thus we know that we have local coordinates at any point of Z_1). We claim that Z_1 is foliated by (the connected components of) leaves of $\mathcal{L}|_Z$, i.e., that if any component $(L \cap Z)^0$ of $L \cap Z$ contains a point of Z_1 , then $(L \cap Z)^0 \subset (L \cap Z_1)$. Note that $Z_1 \subset Z$ is by definition closed and thus compact.

Indeed, take some $(\Gamma, p_1, p_2) \in Z_1$ and consider the leaf L containing (Γ, p_1, p_2) . Since the complex codimension of L is equal to g , and by assumption the complex dimension of Z is greater than g , we have $\text{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(L \cap Z) \geq 1$ (for all components of the intersection). By theorem 2.6 we have local holomorphic coordinates α, β, σ in a neighborhood of (Γ, p_1, p_2) in $\mathcal{M}_{g,2}$, and σ are local coordinates on the leaf L . The function $f_1|_{L \cap Z}$ is the maximum of $\{\text{Im } \phi_i\}$ for all i , and thus if f_1 achieves a maximum at $(\Gamma, p_1, p_2)_0 \in (L \cap Z)^0$, one of the $\text{Im } \phi_i$ must also achieve a local maximum. If $f_1 = \text{Im } \phi_i$ achieves its maximum f_1^0 at a point of the open set where $\text{Im } \phi_i$ is harmonic along the leaf, then it is a constant along $(L \cap Z)^0$ due to the maximum principle for harmonic functions. We claim that it is a constant along $(L \cap Z)^0$ even if its maximum is achieved at $(\Gamma, p_1, p_2)_0$, where the corresponding differential has zero of multiplicity $\mu > 1$, i.e., $q_{i_1} = \dots = q_{i_\mu}$.

Indeed, consider the function $F_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} \phi_{i_j}$. In a neighborhood of (Γ, p_1, p_2) it is well-defined and is holomorphic when restricted to the leaves of the foliation.

By definition we have $\text{Im } F_1 \leq \mu f_1$. Therefore, at the point $(\Gamma, p_1, p_2)_0$ the function $\text{Im } F_1$ achieves a local maximum, which is equal to μf_1^0 . Restricted to the leaf the function $\text{Im } F_1$ is globally harmonic. Therefore, it must be constant along the leaf, and thus along the leaf all the functions $\text{Im } \phi_{i_j}$ are identically equal to f_1^0 . Thus by definition of the foliation

$(L \cap Z)^0 \subset Z_1$. We now consider the function $f_2|_{Z_1}$, and let $Z_2 \subset Z_1$ be the set where it attains its maximum on the compact manifold Z_1 . We claim that Z_2 is still foliated by the leaves of $L \cap Z$, i.e., that if some $(L \cap Z)^0$ contains $(\Gamma, p_1, p_2) \in Z_2$, then $(L \cap Z)^0 \subset Z_2$. To prove this, we use the same argument as above: indeed, f_2 is the second-maximum value among the tuple of functions $\text{Im } \phi_i$, and thus if it attains a maximum at some point, one of the functions $\text{Im } \phi_i$ must have a local maximum at this point. Restricting $\text{Im } \phi$ to $(L \cap Z_1)^0$, which we inductively know is equal to the complex manifold $(L \cap Z)^0$, gives a harmonic function, which cannot have a local maximum unless it is constant, and thus the value of f_2 is constant along $(L \cap Z_1)^0 = (L \cap Z)^0$, so that $(L \cap Z_1)^0 \subset Z_2$ by definition.

Repeating this procedure, we get compact real subvarieties $Z_{2g-1} \subset \dots \subset Z_1 \subset Z$ such that for any leaf L containing some $(\Gamma, p_1, p_2) \in Z_{2g-1}$ we still have $(L \cap Z_{2g-1})^0 = (L \cap Z)^0$. Let us now consider tuples α, β, ϕ near (Γ, p_1, p_2) . The coordinates α, β are constant on the leaf L , while by construction all of f_i , and thus all of $\text{Im } \phi_i$, are constant along Z_{2g-1} (achieve their respective maxima everywhere). Since $(L \cap Z)^0$ is a complex variety, if the imaginary part of a (local) holomorphic function on it is constant, the holomorphic function itself is constant. As shown above the multiplicities of zeros of ω remain constant along $(L \cap Z)^0$. Therefore, ϕ_i are holomorphic on $(L \cap Z)^0$, and thus as shown above must be constant along $(L \cap Z)^0$. Since ρ, α, β are by definition constant on the leaf, it means that all the local coordinates given by theorem 2.6 are constant along $(L \cap Z)^0$, which implies that $(L \cap Z)^0$ is zero-dimensional. \square

Another interesting space to consider is \mathcal{M}_g^{ct} , the moduli space of stable curves of compact type, i.e., those stable curves where the Jacobian is compact; equivalently, this corresponds to pinching a number of separating (homologous to zero) loops on a Riemann surface. In [8] Faber and Pandharipande further study the vanishing properties of the tautological classes, for \mathcal{M}_g and for the partial compactification \mathcal{M}_g^{ct} . They relate the tautological classes on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ and on the boundary, and use this to prove the vanishing results for tautological rings of both \mathcal{M}_g and \mathcal{M}_g^{ct} . In particular their results imply that there do not exist complete subvarieties of \mathcal{M}_g^{ct} of dimension higher than $2g - 3$. In fact a stronger result is true:

PROPOSITION 4.6 (Keel and Sadun [20]). *For $g \geq 3$ there do not exist complete complex subvarieties of \mathcal{M}_g^{ct} of dimension greater than $2g - 4$.*

IDEA OF THE PROOF FROM [20]. One uses induction in g , the case of $g = 3$, when $\mathcal{M}_3^{ct} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_3$ was shown in [20] not to contain a threefold, being the base of induction. Suppose $X \subset \mathcal{M}_g^{ct}$ is a complete subvariety. If $X \subset \mathcal{M}_g$, then by Diaz' theorem its dimension is at most $g - 2$, and we are done. Otherwise X must intersect the boundary, and we must have $\dim(X \cap \partial \mathcal{M}_g^{ct}) = \dim X - 1$. Thus there must exist a component $\delta_i = \mathcal{M}_{i,1}^{ct} \times \mathcal{M}_{g-i,1}^{ct} \subset \partial \mathcal{M}_g^{ct}$ such that $\dim(X \cap \delta_i) = \dim X - 1$. One now

uses the inductive bound for the dimension of complete subvarieties of $\mathcal{M}_{i,1}^{ct}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{g-i,1}^{ct}$, and finally observes that since any complete curve in \mathcal{M}_2^{ct} must intersect the boundary, for $g = 4$ the hypothetical X would have to intersect not only δ_2 , but also δ_1 , which gives an improved bound in this case. \square

5. Extension to the boundary

The line bundle of meromorphic differentials with prescribed pole orders, i.e., the bundle with fiber $K_\Gamma + \sum c_i p_i$ over a smooth curve, extends to a bundle globally over the Deligne-Mumford compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ — the fiber over a stable curve Γ is $\omega_\Gamma + \sum c_i p_i$, where ω_Γ is the relative dualizing sheaf. Recalling the definition of the relative dualizing sheaf, analytically this means that limits of meromorphic differentials with prescribed poles at p_i are meromorphic differentials with poles at the points p_i and possibly with simple poles at the nodes, with the residues from the two components canceling. In general if one takes a family of meromorphic differentials on smooth Riemann surfaces (i.e., takes a section over $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$), we expect that the limit may have simple poles at the nodes. Moreover, the theory of limit linear series on reducible curves is extremely complicated, see for example [7], and to determine all possible limits of sections on reducible nodal curves, one may need to twist the bundle by some multiples of the connected components of the nodal curve. We claim that this does not happen for the differentials of the second kind with real periods.

THEOREM 5.1. *The real analytic section Ψ over $\mathcal{M}_{g,\hat{1}}$ of the bundle of meromorphic differentials with one double pole and prescribed singular part extends to a continuous section of the extension of this bundle, $\omega_\Gamma + 2p$ over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\hat{1}}$. For a stable curve (Γ, q, k) the section Ψ_Γ is the unique meromorphic differential that is identically zero on all connected components of the normalization $\tilde{\Gamma}$ (geometrically $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is obtained from Γ by detaching the attached nodes) except the one containing q . On that component Ψ_Γ is the unique differential with real periods and prescribed singular part at the double pole at q .*

PROOF. As we did above for differentials of the third kind, choose a point $p_0 \neq q$ on Γ and consider the function $f(p) := \text{Im} \int_{p_0}^p \Psi$. Since Ψ has real periods, this is a well-defined function on $\Gamma \setminus \{q\}$, and in this case f diverges to both $\pm\infty$ at q , i.e., in any neighborhood of q it takes arbitrarily large and small values. Let us now choose a small open disk $D \subset \Gamma$ around q . The function f is a real harmonic function on the open Riemann surface $\Gamma \setminus D$. By the maximum principle it must then achieve its maximum (and also minimum) on the boundary ∂D .

Consider now a family $\Gamma_t \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\hat{1}}$ degenerating to a stable curve Γ_0 . On each Γ_t choose a small neighborhood D_ε of the point q , of size εk , where k is the chosen cotangent vector at q , not containing any nodes for any t . This

is always possible — if the family degenerates by acquiring nodes away from q , this is clear; for the degeneration when a node develops and approaches q the stable model has a blowup at this point, and thus on the blown up \mathbb{P}^1 there is an open disk around the marked point there not containing the nodes.

Then the function f_t is bounded on $\Gamma_t \setminus D_\varepsilon$ above and below by its values on ∂D_ε . However, since the singular part of Ψ at q is prescribed, we can write down the singular part of the expansion of f_t near q , and thus the values of f_t on ∂D_ε are bounded independent of t . Thus the limit function $f(p) = \text{Im} \int^p \Psi_0$ must also be bounded on $\Gamma_0 \setminus D_\varepsilon$. We want to show that Ψ_0 is equal to the differential Φ determined by the condition of its holomorphicity at the nodes. Indeed, let us take $F(p) := \text{Im} \int^p (\Psi_0 - \Phi)$. This is a real harmonic function on Γ_0 , bounded on $\Gamma_0 \setminus D_\varepsilon$ by the above argument, but also bounded in the neighborhood $D_\varepsilon \supset p_0$ since $\Psi_0 - \Phi$ is holomorphic at p_0 . Thus F is a bounded harmonic function on a compact Riemann surface Γ_0 (to be more precise, on each component of the normalization), and thus is constant, which implies $\Psi_0 = \Phi$. \square

REMARK 5.2. The compactification of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}$ has boundary strata corresponding to the case of the marked point approaching the node in the limit — in this case the stable reduction is to take a blowup, and thus we would end up with an attached \mathbb{CP}^1 with a marked point and fixed coordinate z at the marked point, which can be extended to \mathbb{CP}^1 . In this case dz/z^2 is the unique meromorphic differential on \mathbb{CP}^1 with a double pole and given singular part — there are no periods to consider.

REMARK 5.3. In the theory of limit linear series determining the limit of a line bundle on a reducible curve is very complicated [7], and in fact the compactification of the universal Picard scheme over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ has several connected components over the boundary [2]. In dealing with limit linear series, it may not even be enough to consider limits in the versal deformation space [36]: studying the limit of the line bundle for degenerating families of curves with higher order tangency to the boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ may be needed. Note, however, that in our proof we only use the maximum principle for harmonic functions, which holds independent of the degenerating family considered.

REMARK 5.4. The above theorem fails for differentials of the third kind, i.e., for sections $\Psi_{\Gamma,p,q,k}$ over $\mathcal{M}_{g,2}$. Indeed, if one tries to apply the same proof, the neighborhoods of both points p and q need to be removed, and f could achieve its maximum on the boundary of one neighborhood, and the minimum on the boundary of the other. If points p and q lie on different components of the nodal curve Γ_0 , then on any component we would only have either the lower or the upper bound for f_t , and thus it is possible for the limit Ψ_0 to acquire simple poles with residues $\pm k$ at the nodes of Γ_0 . It

can in fact be shown that this is the only possible limit, i.e., that no twisting of the bundle by the components of the reducible curve is possible.

References

- [1] Arbarello, E.: *Weierstrass points and moduli of curves*, *Compositio Math.* **29** (1974), 325–342.
- [2] Caporaso, L.: *A compactification of the Universal Picard Variety over the Moduli Space of Stable Curves*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **7** (1994) no.3, 589–660.
- [3] Diaz, S.: *Exceptional Weierstrass points and the divisor on moduli space that they define*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **56** no. 27. Providence, RI, 1985.
- [4] Dobrokhotov, S.Yu., Maslov, V.P.: *Multiphase asymptotics of nonlinear partial differential equations with a small parameter*, *Soviet Scientific Reviews, Math. Phys. Rev. OPA Amsterdam* **3** (1982), 221–311.
- [5] Dubrovin, B.A., Matveev, V.B., Novikov, S.P.: *Non-linear equations of the Korteweg-de Vries type, finite-gap operators and Abelian varieties* *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **31** (1976) no. 1, 55–136.
- [6] Ekedahl, T., Lando, S., Shapiro, M., Vainshtein, A.: *Hurwitz numbers and intersections on moduli spaces of curves*, *Invent. Math.* **146** (2001), 297–327.
- [7] Esteves, E., Medeiros, N.: *Limit canonical systems on curves with two components*, *Invent. Math.* **149** (2002) 2, 267–338.
- [8] Faber, C., Pandharipande, R.: *Relative maps and tautological classes*, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **7** (2005), 13–49.
- [9] Fay, J.: *Theta functions on Riemann surfaces*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **352**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973.
- [10] Flaschka, H., Forrest, M.G., and McLaughlin, D.: *Multiphase averaging and the inverse spectral solution of the Korteweg-de Vries equation*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **33** (1980), 739–784.
- [11] Fontanari, C., Looijenga, E.: *A perfect stratification of \mathcal{M}_g for g at most 5*, *Geom. Dedicata* **136** (2008), 133–143.
- [12] Giddings B., Wolpert S.: *On triangulation of moduli space and light-cone string theory*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **109** (1987), 177–190.
- [13] Graber T., Vakil R.: *Relative virtual localization, and vanishing of tautological classes on moduli spaces of curves*, *Duke Math. J.* **30** (2005) 1, 1–37.
- [14] Grushevsky, S., Krichever, I.: *Integrable discrete Schrödinger equations and a characterization of Prym varieties by a pair of quadrisecants*, *Duke Math. J.*, to appear.
- [15] Gunning, R.: *Some curves in abelian varieties*, *Invent. Math.* **66** (1982) 3, 377–389.
- [16] Gurevich, A., Pitaevskii, L.: *Nonstationary structure of collisionless shock-wave* *JETP* **65** (1973) 2, 590–604.
- [17] Hain, R., Looijenga, E.: *Mapping class groups and moduli spaces of curves*, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **62**, AMS (1998), 97–142.
- [18] Ionel, E.-N.: *Topological recursive relations in $H^{2g}(\mathcal{M}_{g,n})$* , *Invent. Math.* **148** (2002) 3, 627–658.
- [19] Its, A.R., Matveev, V.B.: *On Hill’s operators with a finite number of gaps*, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **9** 1975 1, 69–70.
- [20] Keel, S., Sadun, L.: *Oort’s conjecture for \mathcal{A}_g* , *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (2003), 887–900.
- [21] Krichever, I.: *An algebro-geometric construction of the Zakharov-Shabat equation and their periodic solutions* (In Russian), *Doklady Akad. Nauk USSR* **227** (1976) 2, 291–294.
- [22] Krichever, I.: *The integration of non-linear equation with the help of algebro-geometric methods* (In Russian), *Funct. Anal. i Pril.* **11** (1977) 1, 15–31.
- [23] Krichever, I.: *Averaging method for two-dimensional integrable equations*, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **22** (1988), 37–52.

- [24] Krichever, I.: *The τ -function of the universal Whitham hierarchy, matrix models, and topological field theories*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **47** (1994), 437–475.
- [25] Krichever, I.: *Integrable linear equations and the Riemann-Schottky problem*, Algebraic geometry and number theory, 497–514, Progr. Math., 253, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006.
- [26] Krichever, I.: *A characterization of Prym varieties*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2006, Art. ID 81476, 36 pp.
- [27] Krichever, I.: *Characterizing Jacobians via trisecants of the Kummer Variety*, Ann. Math., to appear.
- [28] Krichever, I. M., Novikov, S. P.: *Algebras of Virasoro type, Riemann surfaces and strings in Minkowski space*. Funct. Anal. i Pril. **21** (1987) no. 2, 349–389.
- [29] Krichever, I., Phong, D.H.: *On the integrable geometry of $N = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theories and soliton equations*, J. Differential Geometry **45** (1997), 445–485.
- [30] Krichever, I., Phong, D.H.: *Symplectic forms in the theory of solitons*, Surveys in Differential Geometry **IV** (1998), edited by C.L. Terng and K. Uhlenbeck, 239–313, International Press.
- [31] Lax P.D.: *Periodic solutions of the KDV equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **28** (1975), 141–188.
- [32] Looijenga, E.: *On the tautological ring of \mathcal{M}_g* , Invent. Math. **121** (1995), 411–419.
- [33] McKean H. P., van Moerbeke, P.: *The spectrum of Hill's equation*, Invent. Math. **30** (1975) 3, 217–274.
- [34] McMullen, C.: *Amenability, Poincaré series and quasiconformal maps*, Invent. Math. **97** (1989), 95–127.
- [35] Mondello, G.: *A remark on the homotopical dimension of some moduli spaces of stable Riemann surfaces*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **10** (2008) 1, 231–241.
- [36] Mumford, D.: *Stability of projective varieties*, L'Enseignement Mathématique **XXIII** (1977), 39–110.
- [37] Roth, M., Vakil, R.: *The affine stratification number and the moduli space of curves*, CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes, Université de Montreal **38** (2004), 213–227.
- [38] Shiota, T.: *Characterization of Jacobian varieties in terms of soliton equations*, Invent. Math. **83** (1986) 2, 333–382.
- [39] Welters, G.: *A criterion for Jacobi varieties*, Ann. Math. **120** (1984) 3, 497–504.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, FINE HALL, WASHINGTON RD, PRINCETON, NJ 08544, USA

E-mail address: sam@math.princeton.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, BROADWAY, NEW YORK, NY 10027, USA, AND LANDAU INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS, MOSCOW, RUSSIA

E-mail address: krichev@math.columbia.edu

