An Inverse Function Theorem for Free Groups

Joan S. Birman

AN INVERSE FUNCTION THEOREM
FOR FREE GROUPS

JOAN S. BIRMAN[1]

ABSTRACT. Let F_n be a free group of rank n with free basis $x_1, \cdots, x_n$. Let $\{y_1, \cdots, y_k\}$ be a set of $k \leq n$ elements of F_n, where each y_i is represented by a word $Y_i(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ in the generators x_j. Let $\partial y_i/\partial x_j$ denote the free derivative of y_i with respect to x_j, and let $J_{kn} = \|\partial y_i/\partial x_j\|$ denote the $k \times n$ Jacobian matrix. THEOREM. If $k=n$, the set $\{y_1, \cdots, y_n\}$ generates F_n if and only if J_{nn} has a right inverse. If $k<n$, the set $\{y_1, \cdots, y_k\}$ may be extended to a set of elements which generate F_n only if J_{kn} has a right inverse. Several applications are given.

Let Z denote the ring of rational integers, and let ZF_n denote the integral group ring of a free group F_n which has the free basis $x_1, \cdots, x_n$. Let $\partial/\partial x_j : ZF_n \rightarrow ZF_n$ denote the jth free partial derivative, in the sense of R. H. Fox [3]. The mapping $\partial/\partial x_j$ is defined as follows: If $w \in F_n$ is represented by the word $x_{\mu_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots x_{\mu_r}^{\varepsilon_r}$, where $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ and $\mu_i = 1, \cdots, n$, then $\partial w/\partial x_j \in ZF_n$ is defined by:

$$(1) \qquad \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{j,\mu_k} \varepsilon_k x_{\mu_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots x_{\mu_{k-1}}^{\varepsilon_{k-1}} x_{\mu_k}^{(\varepsilon_k-1)/2}$$

where δ means the Kronecker symbol. More generally, if $u = \sum_{i=1}^{t} c_i w_i$, $c_i \in Z$, $w_i \in F_n$, we define

$$(2) \qquad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{i=1}^{t} c_i \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial x_j}.$$

It is easy to show that this definition is independent of the choice representatives of the elements $w_i \in F_n$.

There are known analogues between the "free" calculus of polynomials in the noncommuting indeterminates $x_1, \cdots, x_n$ and the "ordinary" calculus of polynomials in commuting indeterminates, such as the existence of Taylor series [3]. However, it is worth noting that if α is the

abelianizing homomorphism acting on F_n, and if α_* is the induced homomorphism from $ZF_n \rightarrow ZF_n^a$, then the image under α_* of the free partial derivative of u, as defined by (2), is not the ordinary partial derivative of $\alpha_*(u)$. Thus the free calculus and the ordinary calculus appear to be distinct theories. The purpose of this note is to point out a new analogue between the free calculus and the ordinary calculus, an "inverse function theorem" for free groups.

INVERSE FUNCTION THEOREM. *Let* $\{y_1, \cdots, y_k\}$ *be a set of* $k \leq n$ *elements of* F_n. *Let* J_{kn} *denote the* $k \times n$ *"Jacobian" matrix* $\|\partial y_i / \partial x_j\|$.

(i) *If* $k = n$, *a necessary and sufficient condition for* $\{y_1, \cdots, y_n\}$ *to be a generating set for* F_n *is that* J_{nn} *have a right inverse.*

(ii) *If* $k < n$, *a necessary condition for* $\{y_1, \cdots, y_k\}$ *to extend to a generating set* $\{y_1, \cdots, y_n\}$ *is that* J_{kn} *have a right inverse.*

PROOF. We first establish the sufficiency of the condition (i).[2] Suppose that $B = \|\beta_{ij}\|$ is a right inverse of J_{nn}. By a theorem of M. S. Montgomery [6], the matrix B is also a left inverse of J_{nn}. Hence

$$(3) \qquad \sum_{s=1}^{n} \beta_{is} \left(\frac{\partial y_s}{\partial x_j} \right) = \delta_{ij} \qquad (i, j = 1, \cdots, n).$$

Multiplying both sides of (3) by $x_j - 1$, and summing over j, we obtain

$$(4) \qquad \sum_{s=1}^{n} \beta_{is} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial y_s}{\partial x_j} (x_j - 1) = x_i - 1 \qquad (i = 1, \cdots, n).$$

By the "fundamental theorem" of free calculus [3]:

$$(5) \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial y_s}{\partial x_j} (x_j - 1) = y_s - 1 \qquad (s = 1, \cdots, n).$$

Hence

$$(6) \qquad \sum_{s=1}^{n} \beta_{is} (y_s - 1) = x_i - 1 \qquad (i = 1, \cdots, n).$$

Now let H be the subgroup of F_n generated by $y_1, \cdots, y_n$ and let I_H be the ideal of ZF_n generated by $y_1 - 1, \cdots, y_n - 1$. According to equation (6), the ring elements $x_i - 1$ belong to I_H for each $i = 1, \cdots, n$. But then, by Lemma 4.1 of [2], it follows that $x_i \in H$ for each $i = 1, \cdots, n$. Hence H coincides with F_n, and our result is established.

Necessity may be established by noting that if $\{y_1, \cdots, y_k\}$ extends to a basis $\{y_1, \cdots, y_n\}$, then we may write each x_i as a word $X_i(y_1, \cdots, y_n)$,

---

[2] The very brief proof of sufficiency given here was suggested by the referee. It replaces a longer and more computational proof in an earlier version of this paper. The author wishes to thank the referee for his constructive suggestions.

in the generators y_j. Moreover, if y_i is represented by the word $Y_i(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$, then we will have

(7) $Y_i(X_1(y_1, \cdots, y_n), \cdots, X_n(y_1, \cdots, y_n)) = y_i$ $(i = 1, \cdots, n)$.

The chain rule (see [3]) applied to (7) gives

(8) $\displaystyle\sum_{s=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial Y_i(x_1, \cdots, x_n)}{\partial x_s} \right) \left(\frac{\partial X_s(y_1, \cdots, y_n)}{\partial y_j} \right) = \delta_{ij}$ $(i, j = 1, \cdots, n)$.

Hence the $n \times n$ matrix J_{nn} has a right inverse, $J_{nn}^{*} = \|\partial x_i/\partial y_j\|$. Thus condition (i) is seen to be sufficient. Also the $k \times n$ submatrix J_{kn} formed by the first k rows of J_{nn} has a right inverse, namely the submatrix J_{nk}^{*} of J_{nn}^{*} formed by the first k columns of J_{nn}^{*}. This proves (ii).

As an application, we will use the inverse function theorem to give a new proof of a classical theorem of J. Nielsen. This application was suggested by the referee. The proof below is a modification of his proof.

COROLLARY 1 (J. Nielsen, see [5]). *Any set of n elements which generate a free group of rank n are a set of free generators.*

PROOF. Suppose that $\{y_1, \cdots, y_n\}$ generate F_n, and suppose also that $\{y_1, \cdots, y_n\}$ satisfy the relation

(9) $r(y_1, \cdots, y_n) = 1$,

where we assume that $r(y_1, \cdots, y_n)$ is freely reduced as a word in the y_j's. By the chain rule it follows that

(10) $\displaystyle\sum_{\partial=1}^{n} \frac{\partial r}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial x_i} = 0$, $i = 1, \cdots, n$.

Setting $r = (\partial r/\partial y_1, \cdots, \partial r/\partial y_n)$, we may rewrite (10) in the form

(11) $r J_{nn} = o$.

By the inverse function theorem, the matrix J_{nn} has a right inverse, say B. Multiplying both sides of (11) by B we obtain

(12) $r J_{nn} B = rI = r = o$,

hence $\partial r/\partial y_j = 0$ for each $j = 1, \cdots, n$. But then it follows that r does not involve the letter y_j, since no cancellations are possible in (1) if a word is freely reduced. It then follows that r must be the trivial relator. This completes the proof of Corollary 1.

We observe that our theorem may be applied both ways. Consider first the case $k = n$. An algorithm for deciding whether a set of n elements

in a free group F_n are a basis was discovered by J. Nielsen (see Chapter 2 of [5]), and Nielsen's algorithm translates into a straightforward algorithm for expressing a Jacobian matrix as a product of elementary invertible matrices over ZF_n.[3] On the other hand, it is known [7] that the ring ZF_n can be embedded in a skew field K. Since a procedure exists for finding inverses of invertible matrices over skew fields (see Chapter IV of [1]), and since such inverses are unique, one may decide whether a Jacobian matrix is invertible over ZF_n by computing its inverse over K, and seeing whether in fact the entries are in ZF_n. This yields a new test to decide if $y_1, \cdots, y_n$ are a basis. This procedure is not however, a practical alternative to Nielsen's relatively simple algorithm; the elementary invertible matrices which are obtained by the method in [1] are almost certainly not in ZF_n, even when their product is in ZF_n, so that this procedure is unnecessarily complex. Our theorem does, however, yield a very simple necessary condition which a set $\{y_1, \cdots, y_n\}$ must survive if it is a basis:

COROLLARY 2.   *Let J_{nn}^α denote the image of J_{nn} under the abelianizing homomorphism α_* acting on ZF_n. Then $\{y_1, \cdots, y_n\}$ is a basis for F_n only if $\det J_{nn}^\alpha$ is a unit in ZF_n^α.*

PROOF.   By Theorem 1, p. 59, of [4], a square matrix over a commutative ring with 1 is invertible if and only if its determinant is a unit.   □

To see that the condition in Corollary 2 is not sufficient, let $n=2$ and consider the elements

$$(13) \qquad y_1 = x_1,$$

$$(14) \qquad y_2 = x_2 x_1 x_2 x_1^{-1} x_2^{-1} x_1^2 x_2^2 x_1^{-2} x_2^{-1} x_1 x_2^{-1} x_1^{-1} x_2^2 x_1^2 x_2^{-2} x_1^{-2}.$$

A simple calculation shows that $\det \|\partial y_i / \partial x_j\|^\alpha = 1$, yet y_1 and y_2 are not primitive, by the test given in Corollary N4, p. 169, of [5].

The more difficult question of deciding whether a set of $k < n$ elements in a free group are primitive was solved by J. H. C. Whitehead [9], [10] and by E. Rapaport [8], and the inverse function theorem may be applied to yield an analogous algorithm for deciding when a $k \times n$ Jacobian matrix over ZF_n has a right inverse. Once again, the Jacobian matrices corresponding to Whitehead transformations are a very pleasant set of elementary invertible matrices.

---

[3] Note that the mapping which we have defined from Aut F_n to the ring of invertible matrices over ZF_n is a crossed homomorphism. That is, if α and β are automorphisms of F_n which have Jacobian matrices $\|a_{ij}\|$ and $\|b_{ij}\|$ respectively, then the Jacobian matrix corresponding to $\alpha\beta$ is the product $\|a_{ij}\| \|b_{ij}\|_\alpha$, where $\|b_{ij}\|_\alpha$ denotes the Jacobian matrix of β with respect to the transformed basis $\alpha(x_1), \cdots, \alpha(x_n)$. Thus, in order to apply Nielsen's algorithm, one must repeatedly change basis.

References

1. E. Artin, *Geometric algebra*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Appl. Math., no. 3, Interscience, New York, 1957. MR **18**, 553.

2. D. Cohen, *Groups of cohomological dimension one*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 245, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1972.

3. R. H. Fox, *Free differential calculus. I. Derivation in the free group ring*, Ann. of Math. (2) **57** (1953), 547–560. MR **14**, 843.

4. N. Jacobson, *Lectures in abstract algebra. I. Basic concepts*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1951. MR **12**, 794.

5. W. Magnus, A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory. Presentations of groups in terms of generators and relations*, Pure and Appl. Math. vol. 13, Interscience, New York, 1966. MR **34** #7617.

6. M. S. Montgomery, *Left and right inverses in group algebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 539–540. MR **39** #327.

7. B. Neumann, *On ordered division rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **66** (1949), 202–252. MR **11**, 311.

8. E. S. Rapaport, *On free groups and their automorphisms*, Acta Math. **99** (1958), 139–163. MR **24** #A1302.

9. J. H. C. Whitehead, *On certain sets of elements in a free group*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **41** (1936), 48–56.

10. ———, *On equivalent sets of elements in a free group*, Ann. of Math. **37** (1936), 782–800.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STEVENS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HOBOKEN, NEW JERSEY 07030

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027